The Role of Tumor Necrosis Factor- α Blockers in Psoriatic Disease. Therapeutic Options in Psoriatic Arthritis

Olga Addimanda, Niccolò Possemato, Andrea Caruso, Nicolò Pipitone, and Carlo Salvarani

ABSTRACT. Psoriatic arthritis (PsA) is a chronic inflammatory disease affecting peripheral and axial joints, usually associated with psoriasis (PsO) and involving various systems and organs (eye inflammation, such as uveitis; and involvement of nail and entheses), and it usually requires a multidisciplinary treatment approach. Tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α) is overexpressed in psoriatic synovium and skin plaques and its selective inhibition by anti-TNF- α agents has been demonstrated to reduce TNF- α levels in the articular environment, reversing the synovial hyperproliferative phenotype. Studies performed on anti-TNF- α agents in PsA demonstrated that they are able to reduce neutrophil and macrophage infiltration as well as vascular cell adhesion protein 1 expression with ensuing synovial thickness normalization. The efficacy of anti-TNF- α agents for all PsA manifestations (peripheral arthritis, axial involvement, enthesopathy, and skin disease) suggests that anti-TNF- α efficacy might be related to the ability to influence angiogenesis and osteoclastogenesis, reduce synovial inflammation, and slow radiological disease progression. This review describes the role of anti-TNF- α in each manifestation of PsA. (J Rheumatol Suppl. 2015 Nov;93:73–8; doi:10.3899/jrheum.150642)

Key Indexing Terms:

PSORIATIC ARTHRITIS
CLINICAL RESPONSE

PATHOGENESIS

ANTI-TUMOR NECROSIS FACTOR- α
RADIOGRAPHIC PROGRESSION

Psoriatic arthritis (PsA) is a chronic inflammatory disease involving peripheral and axial joints, usually associated with psoriasis (PsO), an immune-mediated disease characterized by hyperproliferation and aberrant differentiation of keratinocytes. Because of its involvement of various systems and organs (peripheral arthritis, axial involvement, eye inflammation such as uveitis, skin and nail disease as well as enthesitis and dactylitis), psoriatic disease usually requires a multidisciplinary treatment approach.

A recent review by Chimenti, *et al*¹ underscores that the pathogenesis of PsA is still incompletely understood and the pathophysiological role of synovium has to be better elucidated.

Histologically, PsA is characterized by hyperplasia of the lining layer associated with a diffuse infiltrate of B and T cells, macrophages, dendritic cells, neutrophil proliferation, as well as increased angiogenesis. Oligoclonal T cell expansion has been demonstrated both in the skin and the synovium, associated with a high expression of monocyte-derived cytokines^{1,2}.

From the Rheumatology Unit, Department of Internal Medicine, Azienda Ospedaliera ASMN; and Istituto di Ricovero e Cura a Carattere Scientifico, Reggio Emilia, Italy.

O. Addimanda, MD; N. Possemato, MD; A. Caruso, MD; N. Pipitone, MD, PhD; C. Salvarani, MD, Rheumatology Unit, Department of Internal Medicine, Azienda Ospedaliera ASMN, and Istituto di Ricovero e Cura a Carattere Scientifico.

Address correspondence to Dr. O. Addimanda, Rheumatology Unit, Department of Internal Medicine, Azienda Ospedaliera ASMN, Istituto di Ricovero e Cura a Carattere Scientifico, Viale Risorgimento 80, 42123 Reggio Emilia, Italy; E-mail: olga.addimanda@asmn.re.it

According to these features, the role of tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α) appears to be intriguing. Specifically, TNF- α is overexpressed in psoriatic synovium and skin plaques, and its selective inhibition by anti-TNF agents has been demonstrated to reduce TNF- α levels in the articular environment, reversing the hyperproliferative phenotype of synoviocytes².

A peculiar feature of PsA is enthesitis, one of the criteria for the Classification of Psoriatic ARthritis (CASPAR)³. Lymphocyte and macrophage infiltrates are present at inflamed synovial-entheseal complexes, and chronic entheseal inflammation may lead to cystic and erosive changes at bone insertion of tendons, as well as spur formation, syndesmophytes, and periosteal new bone^{1,2}.

Studies on anti-TNF- α agents in PsA have demonstrated that they decrease neutrophil and macrophage infiltration as well as VCAM-1 (vascular cell adhesion molecule 1) expression, leading to synovial thickness normalization^{1,2}. These data are considered the rationale underlying the clinical and radiological efficacy of anti-TNF- α agents in PsA.

The efficacy of anti-TNF- α agents in all manifestations of PsA has been described: It is argued that such efficacy might be related to the ability of these agents to modulate angiogenesis and osteoclastogenesis, thus reducing synovial inflammation and slowing radiological disease progression¹.

With these considerations in mind, we examine the role of anti-TNF- α biological agents in each manifestation of PsA. To date, 5 anti-TNF agents have proved effective in

PsA: Infliximab (IFX), a chimeric anti-TNF- α monoclonal antibody; adalimumab (ADA), a fully human anti-TNF- α monoclonal antibody; golimumab (GOL), another human anti-TNF- α monoclonal antibody; etanercept (ETN), a fusion protein consisting of the extracellular ligand-binding domain of the 75 kDa receptor for TNF- α and the Fc portion of human IgG1; and certolizumab pegol (CZP), a nanomolecule comprising a humanized Fab' antibody fragment against TNF- α with a polyethylene glycol tail. With the exception of IFX, these agents are administered by subcutaneous injection and they have shown efficacy in PsA "across the board."^{4,5,6,7,8}

ADA has been demonstrated to effectively decrease tender and swollen joint counts and to inhibit magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) articular changes (bone marrow edema, erosions, synovitis, and effusion) after 24 weeks of treatment. We recently reviewed the literature on ADA: Its efficacy in PsA has largely been confirmed on peripheral arthritis, enthesitis, dactylitis, and radiographic progression, both in randomized controlled trials (RCT) and in observational studies. Similarly, IFX efficacy has been demonstrated in observational studies, in RCT, and in longterm extension studies, with remission obtained in up to 24% of patients with peripheral PsA^{1,6,7}.

Significant efficacy of anti-TNF- α agents has also been demonstrated for ETN (PRESTA Trial⁹), as well as for GOL and CZP. Data from the GO-REVEAL RCT¹⁰ showed that GOL treatment through 2 years was effective in maintaining clinical response [response rates: American College of Rheumatology 20% remission: 63%–70%; 28-joint Disease Activity Score (DAS28)-C-reactive protein (CRP): 77%–86%; Psoriasis Area Severity Index 75: 56%–72%] and inhibiting radiographic progression (mean change in PsA-modified Sharp/van der Heijde score) in GOL-treated patients: –0.36). No new safety signals were identified over 2 years of treatment^{7,11}. Data on CZP have been obtained from RAPID-PsA, a 24-week phase III RCT: improvements in signs and symptoms of PsA, including joint and skin disease, enthesitis, dactylitis, and nail disease were demonstrated⁷.

A large body of evidence supports the efficacy of anti-TNF- α agents in slowing radiographic progression. Hoff, *et al* in a pilot study showed that, in a subset of 120 patients from IMPACT II (Induction and Maintenance Psoriatic Arthritis Clinical Trial 2) in which cortical hand bone density was assessed at weeks 0, 24, and 54 by digital X-ray radiogrammetry on the same radiographs, hand bone loss in patients treated with TNF- α blocking agents was arrested^{12,13,14}. Likewise, Poggendorf, *et al* in an MRI study showed that ADA could both reduce clinical signs of disease activity and avoid erosive progression in patients with PsA¹⁵.

PsA-related enthesitis is known to be resistant to synthetic disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARD): both RCT and observational studies have shown that TNF- α blocking agents are beneficial in this regard. Genovese, *et al*

showed ADA efficacy in a placebo controlled, double-blind, randomized, multicenter study, where enthesitis score was significantly improved after 12 weeks of therapy¹⁶. Subsequently, these findings were confirmed by Gladman, *et al*¹⁷. Similar evidence of efficacy on enthesitis was reported by Sterry, *et al* for ETN in the PRESTA Study⁹; by Antoni and coworkers for IFX in IMPACT¹² and IMPACT2 trials¹³; and by Kavanaugh for GOL in the GO-REVEAL trial¹⁰.

Greater efficacy of anti-TNF- α agents compared with synthetic DMARD has been demonstrated in dactylitis. In a longitudinal PsA cohort, the prevalence of dactylitis on at least 1 visit was 39%. Patients treated with biologics had better response to treatment compared with those treated with nonbiologic DMARD alone: multivariate analysis showed that only treatment type (anti-TNF- α agents vs DMARD) was a significant predictor of response of dactylitis at 12 months, with a relative risk of acute dactylitis taking DMARD versus biologics of 0.528 (95% CI 0.283–0.985, $p = 0.045$). The efficacy of anti-TNF- α on dactylitis is confirmed to be a class effect: ADA was confirmed effective in the ACCLAIM study¹⁷; ETN in the PRESTA study⁹; IFX in the IMPACT¹² and IMPACT2¹³ trials; and GOL in the GO-REVEAL trial¹⁰.

Along the same lines, axial involvement, which is refractory to synthetic DMARD, has been shown to respond to TNF- α blockade in RCT (data from IMPACT^{12,13} and ADEPT¹⁸ trials^{4,6,7,19}) and in observational studies^{20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28}.

Lubrano, *et al* investigated the effectiveness of ETN on axial manifestations of a group of patients with established PsA in a multicenter observational study that included 32 patients (median disease duration 14.5 yrs; 25th–75th percentiles: 9.2–17.00). Effectiveness of ETN was observed in 72% of patients for the Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index ($p < 0.001$), in 68% for the Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Functional Index ($p < 0.001$), in 76% for erythrocyte sedimentation rate ($p < 0.001$), and in 68% of patients for CRP ($p < 0.01$)²⁰.

Overall, efficacy data have also been confirmed by systematic literature reviews^{5,6,7} and observational studies from real-life cohorts (Table 1)^{17,23–32}.

On the basis of the published literature, numerous guidelines recommend anti-TNF- α agents for axial disease, as well as for peripheral arthritis, enthesitis, and dactylitis^{4,5,6,8,21,22}.

All these data are supported by many published RCT (Table 2A)^{7,13,16,33–43,44,45,46,47}. No RCT have investigated the issue of switching between TNF-blocking agents, although it is recognized that a proportion of patients have to come off their first TNF inhibitor owing to inefficacy or side effects. The only exception is the RAPID-PsA study, which demonstrated the efficacy of CZP in active PsA where up to 40% of patients were anti-TNF failures⁷. Reports from observational cohorts have investigated the use of second and third-line drugs^{4,5,6,7,8,16,23,24,25,26,27}.

All published studies, although not always comparable in

Table 1. Efficacy data from observational studies in real-life cohorts.

Study ^{Reference}	Year	Method	Drug
Glintborg ²⁹	2011	Observational from registry data	Anti-TNF- α
Gladman ¹⁷	2010	Open-label, observational study	Switch to ADA
Delaunay ²³	2005	Observational study	Switch from IFX to ETN
Saad ²⁸	2010	BSR registry; from 2002–2006, the BSRBR recruited pts starting anti-TNF therapies for PsA	Anti-TNF- α
Haberhauer ²⁴	2010	Observational study	Anti-TNF switching
Gomez-Reino JJ, BIOBADASER Group ³⁰	2006	Registry (2000–2004)	Anti-TNF
Fagerli ²⁵	2013	Registry (2001–2011)	Anti-TNF
Glintborg ³¹	2013	DANBIO Registry	Anti-TNF
Van den Bosch ³²	2010	Prospective, open-label, uncontrolled study	ADA
Mazzotta ²⁶	2009	Observational study	ETN — SWITCH
Wick ²⁷	2005	Observational from the STURE registry	ADA — SWITCH

Anti-TNF: anti-tumor necrosis factor; ADA: adalimumab; ETN: etanercept; IFX: infliximab; BSR: British Society for Rheumatology; BSRBR: BSR Biologics Register; PsA: psoriatic arthritis; pts: patients.

terms of methods and sample sizes, indicate that the failure of an initial TNF- α antagonist does not preclude response to another. No data are yet available to indicate which TNF antagonist should be chosen after failure of a first anti-TNF- α agent.

According to published studies, switching to a second agent should be considered in patients who fail to respond adequately to a first TNF- α inhibitor^{4,5,8,15,16,21,22,23,24,25,33}. Insufficient data are available about switching to a third, but this therapeutic approach is usually not recommended.

To date, efficacy and safety in PsA have also been demonstrated in RCT for biological agents other than TNF- α inhibitors, such as agents inhibiting the interleukin 17 (IL-17)³³ and IL-12/23 pathway (ustekinumab), which showed significant efficacy in patients with active PsA. In particular, ustekinumab was effective, both in TNF- α blocker-naïve patients and in those previously treated with anti-TNF- α drugs, in reducing clinical disease activity (of both skin and joint involvement) and in slowing radiographic damage^{1,2,7}. Therefore, ustekinumab could be considered as a treatment option for patients with active PsA and severe psoriasis who have failed to respond to anti-TNF- α agents.

Abatacept might have some efficacy in PsA, as shown by a phase II study, both in joint and skin disease, as well as in slowing radiographic disease progression as assessed by MRI⁴⁶. Data are summarized in Table 2B.

On the other hand, rituximab induced modest improvement in PsA according to 2 open-label trials using data from a French registry on spondyloarthritis. Efficacy was more marked in patients who were naïve to anti-TNF- α agents^{48,49,50}. Regarding use of tocilizumab (TCZ) in PsA, so far most data are on axial disease; only case reports describe TCZ efficacy in PsA, and with conflicting results⁵⁰.

A phase II trial and a more recent phase III RCT in PsA demonstrated apremilast (phosphodiesterase type 4 inhibitor) efficacy compared to placebo⁴⁰.

According to published guidelines, in patients who fail to adequately respond to a TNF- α inhibitor, switching to another agent of the same class should be considered. However, for persistent inadequate response, other biological agents might be considered as second-line drugs, in particular, ustekinumab. Lastly, in patients who fail multiple therapies, potential third-line drugs to consider are abatacept and apremilast.

REFERENCES

- Chimenti MS, Ballanti E, Perricone C, Cipriani P, Giacomelli R, Perricone R. Immunomodulation in psoriatic arthritis: focus on cellular and molecular pathways. *Autoimmun Rev* 2013; 12:599-606.
- Sakkas LI, Alexiou I, Simopoulou T, Vlychou M. Enthesitis in psoriatic arthritis. *Semin Arthritis Rheum* 2013;43:325-34.
- Taylor W, Gladman D, Helliwell P, Marchesoni A, Mease P, Mielants H, et al. Classification Criteria for Psoriatic Arthritis. Development of new criteria from a large international study. *Arthritis Rheum* 2006;54:2665-73.
- Cawson MR, Mitchell SA, Knight C, Wildey H, Spurden D, Bird A, et al. Systematic review, network meta-analysis and economic evaluation of biological therapy for the management of active psoriatic arthritis. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 2014;15:26.
- Lemos LL, de Oliveira Costa J, Almeida AM, Junior HO, Barbosa MM, Kakehasi AM, et al. Treatment of psoriatic arthritis with anti-TNF agents: a systematic review and meta-analysis of efficacy, effectiveness and safety. *Rheumatol Int* 2014;34:1345-60.
- Salvarani C, Pipitone N, Catanoso M, Chiarolanza I, Boiardi L, Caruso A, et al. Adalimumab in psoriatic arthritis. *J Rheumatol Suppl* 2012;89:77-81.
- Mease PJ, Fleischmann R, Deodhar AA, Wollenhaupt J, Khraishi M, Kielar D, et al. Effect of certolizumab pegol signs and symptoms in patients with psoriatic arthritis: 24-week results of a Phase 3 double-blind randomized placebo-controlled study (RAPID-PsA). *Ann Rheum Dis* 2014;73:48-55.
- Gossec L, Smolen JS, Gaujoux-Viala C, Ash Z, Marzo-Ortega H, van der Heijde D, et al. European League Against Rheumatism recommendations for the management of psoriatic arthritis with pharmacological therapies. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2012;71:4-12.
- Sterry W, Ortonne JP, Kirkham B, Brocq O, Robertson D, Pedersen

Table 2A. Data from RCT on anti-TNF- α .

Author ^{Reference} , Year	Method	Drug	Evaluated Items/Efficacy	Comments/ Limits
Van der Heijde ³³ , 2014 Kavanaugh ³⁴ , 2013	Phase III RCT Longterm extension	CZP GOL	Radiographic progression (SHS) ACR response, DAS28-CRP response, PASI, dactylitis, MASES, SHS	Up to 40% of pts failed anti-TNF No previous anti-TNF
Mease ⁷ , 2014	Phase III RCT	CZP	ACR response, SHS, PsARC, PASI, Leeds Enthesitis Index, Leeds Dactylitis Index, and NAPS	Up to 40% of pts failed anti-TNF
Torii ³⁵ , 2010	Phase III RCT	IFX	Peripheral arthritis and skin involvement evaluation	TNF-naïve pts only
Antoni ³⁶ , 2008	Phase III RCT	IFX	ACR20/50/70, PASI, radiographic progression	TNF-naïve pts only
Van der Heijde ³⁷ , 2007	Phase III RCT	IFX	Sharp/van der Heijde scoring method modified for PsA	TNF-naïve pts only
Genovese ¹⁶ , 2007	Phase III RCT	ADA	ACR and PsARC scores, PASI	TNF-naïve pts only
Antoni ¹³ , 2005	IMPACT trial, phase III RCT	IFX	PASI, ACR20/50/70 criteria, DAS28, ratings of enthesitis and dactylitis, and PsARC	TNF-naïve pts only
Mease ³⁸ , 2004	Phase III RCT	ETN	ETN reduced joint symptoms, improved psoriatic lesions as well as inhibited radiographic progression	TNF-naïve pts only
Mease ³⁹ , 2000	Phase III RCT	ETN	ETN offers pts with PsA and PsO a new therapeutic option for disease control	TNF-naïve pts only

Table 2B. Data from RCT on biologics different from anti-TNF- α .

Author ^{Reference} , Year	Method	Drug	Evaluated Items/Efficacy	Comments/Limits
Kavanaugh ⁴⁰ , 2014	Phase III RCT	Apremilast	Peripheral arthritis (ACR20/50/70), enthesitis (MASES), dactylitis, and skin involvement	Biologic-naïve pts and pts who failed biologics
Kavanaugh ⁴¹ , 2014	Phase III RCT	UST	ACR20, DAS28-CRP, PASI75, and PsA-modified SHS	Biologic-naïve pts and pts who failed biologics
McInnes ⁴² , 2014	Phase II proof-of- concept RCT	Secukinumab	Clinical responses, acute-phase reactant and quality of life improvements were greater with secukinumab versus placebo	TNF-naïve pts only
Ritchlin ⁴³ , 2014	Phase III RCT	UST	ACR response, DAS28-CRP response, PASI score, dactylitis, enthesitis (MASES score), BASDAI	Both biologics-naïve pts and biologics failures
McInnes ⁴⁴ , 2013	Phase III RCT	UST	Peripheral psoriatic arthritis (ACR response criteria)	TNF-naïve pts only
Schett ⁴⁵ , 2012	Phase II RCT	Apremilast	ACR20/50/70 response, PsARC, EULAR response criteria based on DAS28	No highlighted differences between TNF-naïve pts and those who failed TNF
Mease ⁴⁶ , 2011	Phase II RCT	ABA	ACR20 response, MRI scores for joint erosion, osteitis, and synovitis, PASI score	Phase II clinical trial, not applicable to real life
Gottlieb ⁴⁷ , 2009	Phase II RCT (2005-2007)	UST	Good efficacy in PsA pts (peripheral arthritis and skin disease)	Not highlighted if pts previously treated with TNF- α inhibitors had a different clinical outcome

RCT: randomized controlled trials; anti-TNF: anti-tumor necrosis factor; GOL: golimumab; IFX: infliximab; ADA: adalimumab; ETN: etanercept; CZP: certolizumab pegol; PsO: psoriasis; PsA: psoriatic arthritis; PASI: Psoriasis Area Severity Index; DAS28-CRP: 28-joint Disease Activity Score-C-reactive protein; MASES: Maastricht Ankylosing Spondylitis Enthesitis Scale; ACR20/50/70: American College of Rheumatology 20%-50%-70% improvement; PsARC: Psoriatic Arthritis Response Criteria; NAPS: Nail Psoriasis Severity Index; SHS: Sharp/van der Heijde scores; UST: ustekinumab; ABA: abatacept; BASDAI: Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index; EULAR: European League Against Rheumatism; MRI: magnetic resonance imaging; pts: patients.

- RD, et al. Comparison of two etanercept regimens for treatment of psoriasis and psoriatic arthritis: PRESTA randomised double blind multicentre trial. *BMJ* 2010;340:c147.
10. Kavanaugh A, van der Heijde D, McInnes IB, Mease P, Krueger GG, Gladman DD, et al. Golimumab in psoriatic arthritis: one-year clinical efficacy, radiographic, and safety results from a phase III, randomized, placebo-controlled trial. *Arthritis Rheum* 2012;64:2504-17.
11. Kay J, Fleischmann R, Keystone E, Hsia EC, Hsu B, Mack M, et al. Golimumab 3-year safety update: an analysis of pooled data from the long-term extensions of randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trials conducted in patients with rheumatoid arthritis, psoriatic arthritis or ankylosing spondylitis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2015;74:538-46.
12. Antoni CE, Kavanaugh A, Kirkham B, Tutuncu Z, Burmester GR, Schneider U, et al. Sustained benefits of infliximab therapy for dermatologic and articular manifestations of psoriatic arthritis: results from the infliximab multinational psoriatic arthritis controlled trial (IMPACT). *Arthritis Rheum* 2005;52:1227-36.
13. Antoni C, Krueger GG, de Vlam K, Birbara C, Beutler A, Guzzo C, et al; IMPACT 2 Trial Investigators. Infliximab improves signs and symptoms of psoriatic arthritis: results of the IMPACT 2 trial. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2005;64:1150-7.
14. Hoff M, Kavanaugh A, Haugeberg G. Hand bone loss in patients

- with psoriatic arthritis: posthoc analysis of IMPACT II data comparing infliximab and placebo. *J Rheumatol* 2013;40:1344-8.
15. Poggenborg RP, Wiell C, Bøyesen P, Boonen A, Bird P, Pedersen SJ, et al. No overall damage progression despite persistent inflammation in adalimumab-treated psoriatic arthritis patients: results from an investigator-initiated 48-week comparative magnetic resonance imaging, computed tomography and radiography trial. *Rheumatology* 2014;53:746-56.
 16. Genovese MC, Mease PJ, Thomson GT, Kivitz AJ, Perdok RJ, Weinberg MA, et al; M02-570 Study Group. Safety and efficacy of adalimumab in treatment of patients with psoriatic arthritis who had failed disease modifying antirheumatic drug therapy. *J Rheumatol* 2007;34:1040-50.
 17. Gladman DD; ACCLAIM Study Investigators, Sampalis JS, Illouz O, Guérette B. Responses to adalimumab in patients with active psoriatic arthritis who have not adequately responded to prior therapy: effectiveness and safety results from an open-label study. *J Rheumatol* 2010;37:1898-906.
 18. Mease PJ, Ory P, Sharp JT, Ritchlin CT, Van den Bosch F, Wellborne F, et al. Adalimumab for long-term treatment of psoriatic arthritis: 2-year data from the Adalimumab Effectiveness in Psoriatic Arthritis Trial (ADEPT). *Ann Rheum Dis* 2009;68:702-9.
 19. Nash P. Therapies for axial disease in psoriatic arthritis. A systematic review. *J Rheumatol* 2006;33:1431-4.
 20. Lubrano E, Spadaro A, Marchesoni A, Olivieri I, Scarpa R, D'Angelo S, et al. The effectiveness of a biologic agent on axial manifestations of psoriatic arthritis. A twelve months observational study in a group of patients treated with etanercept. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2011;29:80-4.
 21. Machado P, Bogas M, Ribeiro A, Costa J, Neto A, Sepriano A, et al. 2011 Portuguese recommendations for the use of biological therapies in patients with psoriatic arthritis. *Acta Rheumatol Port* 2012;37:26-39.
 22. Fernández Sueiro JL, Juanola Roura X, Cañete Crespillo Jde D, Torre Alonso JC, García de Vicuña R, Queiro Silva R, et al. Consensus statement of the Spanish Society of Rheumatology on the management of biologic therapies in psoriatic arthritis. *Rheumatol Clin* 2011;7:179-88.
 23. Delaunay C, Farrenq V, Marini-Portugal A, Cohen JD, Chevalier X, Claudepierre P. Infliximab to etanercept switch in patients with spondyloarthropathies and psoriatic arthritis: preliminary data. *J Rheumatol* 2005;32:2183-5.
 24. Haberhauer G, Strehlow C, Fasching P. Observational study of switching anti-TNF agents in ankylosing spondylitis and psoriatic arthritis versus rheumatoid arthritis. *Wien Med Wochenschr* 2010;160:220-4.
 25. Fagerli KM, Lie E, van der Heijde D, Heiberg MS, Kalstad S, Rødevand E, et al. Switching between TNF inhibitors in psoriatic arthritis: data from the NOR-DMARD study. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2013;72:1840-4.
 26. Mazzotta A, Esposito M, Costanzo A, Chimenti S. Efficacy and safety of etanercept in psoriasis after switching from other treatments: an observational study. *Am J Clin Dermatol* 2009;10:319-24.
 27. Wick MC, Ernestam S, Lindblad S, Bratt J, Klareskog L, van Vollenhoven RF. Adalimumab (Humira) restores clinical response in patients with secondary loss of efficacy from infliximab (Remicade) or etanercept (Enbrel): results from the STURE registry at Karolinska University Hospital. *Scand J Rheumatol* 2005;34:353-8.
 28. Saad AA, Ashcroft DM, Watson KD, Symmons DP, Noyce PR, Hyrich KL; BSRBR. Efficacy and safety of anti-TNF therapies in psoriatic arthritis: an observational study from the British Society for Rheumatology Biologics Register. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2010;49:697-705.
 29. Glimtorg B, Østergaard M, Dreyer L, Krogh NS, Tarp U, Hansen MS, et al. Treatment response, drug survival, and predictors thereof in 764 patients with psoriatic arthritis treated with anti-tumor necrosis factor α therapy: results from the nationwide Danish DANBIO registry. *Arthritis Rheum* 2011;63:382-90.
 30. Gomez-Reino JJ, Carmona L; BIOBADASER Group. Switching TNF antagonists in patients with chronic arthritis: an observational study of 488 patients over a four-year period. *Arthritis Res Ther* 2006;8:R29.
 31. Glimtorg B, Østergaard M, Krogh NS, Andersen MD, Tarp U, Loft AG, et al. Clinical response, drug survival, and predictors thereof among 548 patients with psoriatic arthritis who switched tumor necrosis factor α inhibitor therapy: results from the Danish Nationwide DANBIO Registry. *Arthritis Rheum* 2013;65:1213-23.
 32. Van den Bosch F, Manger B, Goupille P, McHugh N, Rødevand E, Holck P, et al. Effectiveness of adalimumab in treating patients with active psoriatic arthritis and predictors of good clinical responses for arthritis, skin and nail lesions. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2010;69:394-9.
 33. van der Heijde D, Fleischmann R, Wollenhaupt J, Deodhar A, Kiehl D, Woltering F, et al. Effect of different imputation approaches on the evaluation of radiographic progression in patients with psoriatic arthritis: results of the RAPID-PsA 24-week phase III double-blind randomised placebo-controlled study of certolizumab pegol. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2014;73:233-7.
 34. Kavanaugh A, McInnes IB, Mease PJ, Krueger GG, Gladman DD, van der Heijde D, et al. Clinical efficacy, radiographic and safety findings through 2 years of golimumab treatment in patients with active psoriatic arthritis: results from a long-term extension of the randomized, placebo-controlled GO-REVEAL Study. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2013;72:1777-85.
 35. Torii H, Nakagawa H; Japanese Infliximab Study investigators. Infliximab monotherapy in Japanese patients with moderate-to-severe plaque psoriasis and psoriatic arthritis. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled multicenter trial. *J Dermatol Sci* 2010;59:40-9.
 36. Antoni CE, Kavanaugh A, van der Heijde D, Beutler A, Keenan G, Zhou B, et al. Two-year efficacy and safety of infliximab treatment in patients with active psoriatic arthritis: findings of the Infliximab Multinational Psoriatic Arthritis Controlled Trial (IMPACT). *J Rheumatol* 2008;35:869-76.
 37. van der Heijde D, Kavanaugh A, Gladman DD, Antoni C, Krueger GG, Guzzo C, et al. Infliximab inhibits progression of radiographic damage in patients with active psoriatic arthritis through one year of treatment: Results from the induction and maintenance psoriatic arthritis clinical trial 2. *Arthritis Rheum* 2007;56:2698-707.
 38. Mease PJ, Kivitz AJ, Burch FX, Siegel EL, Cohen SB, Ory P, et al. Etanercept treatment of psoriatic arthritis: safety, efficacy, and effect on disease progression. *Arthritis Rheum* 2004;50:2264-72.
 39. Mease PJ, Goffe BS, Metz J, VanderStoep A, Finck B, Burge DJ. Etanercept in the treatment of psoriatic arthritis and psoriasis: a randomised trial. *Lancet* 2000;356:385-90.
 40. Kavanaugh A, Mease PJ, Gomez-Reino JJ, Adebajo AO, Wollenhaupt J, Gladman DD, et al. Treatment of psoriatic arthritis in a phase 3 randomised, placebo-controlled trial with apremilast, an oral phosphodiesterase 4 inhibitor. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2014;73:1020-6.
 41. Kavanaugh A, Ritchlin C, Rahman P, Puig L, Gottlieb AB, Li S, et al; PSUMMIT-1 and 2 Study Groups. Ustekinumab, an anti-IL-12/23 p40 monoclonal antibody, inhibits radiographic progression in patients with active psoriatic arthritis: results of an integrated analysis of radiographic data from the phase 3, multicentre, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled PSUMMIT-1 and PSUMMIT-2 trials. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2014;73:1000-6.
 42. McInnes IB, Sieper J, Braun J, Emery P, van der Heijde D, Isaacs JD, et al. Efficacy and safety of secukinumab, a fully human anti-interleukin-17A monoclonal antibody, in patients with

- moderate-to-severe psoriatic arthritis: a 24-week, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase II proof-of-concept trial. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2014;73:349-56.
43. Ritchlin C, Rahman P, Kavanaugh A, McInnes IB, Puig L, Li S, et al. Efficacy and safety of the anti-IL-12/23 p40 monoclonal antibody, ustekinumab, in patients with active psoriatic arthritis despite conventional non-biological and biological anti-tumour necrosis factor therapy: 6-month and 1-year results of the phase 3, multicentre, double-blind, placebo controlled, randomised PSUMMIT 2 trial. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2014;73:990-9.
 44. McInnes IB, Kavanaugh A, Gottlieb AB, Puig L, Rahman P, Ritchlin C, et al. Efficacy and safety of ustekinumab in patients with active psoriatic arthritis: 1 year results of the phase 3, multicentre, double-blind, placebo-controlled PSUMMIT 1 trial. *Lancet* 2013;382:780-9.
 45. Schett G, Wollenhaupt J, Papp K, Joos R, Rodrigues JF, Vessey AR. Oral apremilast in the treatment of active psoriatic arthritis: results of a multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *Arthritis Rheum* 2012;64:3156-67.
 46. Mease P, Genovese MC, Gladstein G, Kivitz AJ, Ritchlin C, Tak PP, et al. Abatacept in the treatment of patients with psoriatic arthritis: results of a six-month, multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase II trial. *Arthritis Rheum* 2011;63:939-48.
 47. Gottlieb A, Menter A, Mendelsohn A, Shen YK, Li S, Guzzo C, et al. Ustekinumab, a human interleukin 12/23 monoclonal antibody, for psoriatic arthritis: randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, crossover trial. *Lancet* 2009;373:633-40.
 48. Pham T, Fautrel B, Dermis E, Goupille P, Guillemin F, Le Loët X, et al. Recommendations of the French Society for Rheumatology regarding TNFalpha antagonist therapy in patients with ankylosing spondylitis or psoriatic arthritis: 2007 update. *Joint Bone Spine* 2007;74:638-46.
 49. Spadaro A, Lubrano E. Beyond anti-TNF- α agents in psoriatic arthritis. *Expert Rev Clin Immunol* 2013;9:507-9.
 50. Her M, Kavanaugh A. Treatment of spondyloarthritis: the potential of agents other than TNF inhibitors. *Curr Opin Rheumatol* 2013;25:455-9.