

Supporting Equity in Rheumatoid Arthritis Outcomes in Canada: Population-specific Factors in Patient-centered Care

Emilie Pianarosa¹, Glen S. Hazlewood², Megan Thomas³, Ralph Hsiao⁴, and Cheryl Barnabe²

ABSTRACT. Objective. Health equity considerations have not been incorporated into prior Canadian Rheumatology Association guidelines. Our objective was to identify the challenges and possible solutions to mitigate threats to health equity in rheumatoid arthritis (RA) care in Canada.

Methods. A consultation process informed selection of priority populations, determined to be rural and remote, Indigenous, elderly with frailty, first-generation immigrant and refugee, low income and vulnerably housed, and diverse gender and sex populations. Semistructured interviews were completed with patients with lived experience, healthcare providers, and equity-oriented researchers. These interviews probed on population factors, initial and ongoing healthcare access issues, and therapeutic considerations influencing RA care. Known or proposed solutions to mitigate inequities during implementation of service models for the population group were requested. The research team used a phenomenological thematic analysis model and mapped the data into a logic model. Solutions applicable to several population groups were proposed. Results. Thirty-five interviews were completed to identify realities for each population in accessing RA care. Five themes emerged as primary solutions to population-based inequities, including actively improving the patient-practitioner relationship, increasing accessibility and coordination of care through alternative models of care, upholding autonomy in treatment selection while actively addressing logistical barriers and individualized therapy needs, collaborating with health supports valued by the patient, and being advocates for policy change and health system restructuring to ensure appropriate resource redistribution.

Conclusion. The challenges for populations facing inequities in rheumatology care and promising solutions should inform guideline development and implementation, policy change, and health system restructuring.

Key Indexing Terms: equity, guidelines, health services, rheumatoid arthritis

Inequities in health status reflect differences in social, economic, and political circumstances that contribute to unfair and avoidable consequences for health outcomes. While not minimizing the experiences of any individual population group, nor the

This study is funded by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research Foundation Scheme (CB).

EP is supported by a Canadian Institutes of Health Research Institute of Musculoskeletal Health and Arthritis Undergraduate Summer Studentship. CB is the Canada Research Chair in Rheumatoid Arthritis and Autoimmune

¹E. Pianarosa, BSc, MSc student, Dalla Lana School of Public Health, University of Toronto; ²G.S. Hazlewood, MD, PhD, Associate Professor, C. Barnabe, MD, MSc, Associate Professor, Department of Medicine, Cumming School of Medicine, University of Calgary, Department of Community Health Sciences, Cumming School of Medicine, University of Calgary, and Arthritis Research Canada; 3M. Thomas, MSc Student, Department of Community Health Sciences, Cumming School of Medicine, University of Calgary, Calgary, Alberta; 4R. Hsiao, MSc, MD student, Undergraduate Medical Education Program, Faculty of Medicine & Dentistry, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada. The authors declare no conflicts of interest relevant to this article. Address correspondence to Dr. C. Barnabe, 3330 Hospital Dr NW, Calgary AB T2N4N1, Canada. Email: ccbarnab@ucalgary.ca.

Accepted for publication April 30, 2021.

intersectionality of being a member in several populations facing inequities,² an acronym to define broad categories of populations facing disparities is PROGRESS-Plus (Place of residence, Race/ ethnicity/culture/language, Occupation, Gender/sex, Religion, Education, Socioeconomic status, Social capital, and other characteristics [Plus] such as age and disability).3 Persons from these populations face inequities in several aspects of rheumatoid arthritis (RA) care and outcomes, some of which are introduced through implementation of treatment recommendations (an example of intervention-generated inequity).4

While there is an awareness of the need to address health equity⁵ in the process of guideline development,⁶ and considerations for addressing equity in all phases of guideline development have been suggested, 7,8,9,10 this should be based on understanding disease manifestations, treatment preferences, and realities of health service access and delivery that vary between populations with RA in Canada. The aim of this research was to explore challenges to health equity in RA care in Canada, and to identify possible solutions to inform improved implementation strategies and approaches.

METHODS

Population selection. We consulted a variety of sources in determining which population groups were of highest priority to address. In an online survey distributed by the Canadian Rheumatology Association (CRA), Quality

© 2021 The Journal of Rheumatology

Care and Guidelines Committee members were asked to rank priority populations in the context of Canadian rheumatology practice.

Respondents (n = 43) ranked place of residence (rural/remote), Indigenous status, low income, low health literacy, and minority populations as the priorities. We conducted a literature review to identify randomized controlled trials of RA therapy conducted specifically in groups at risk for inequity or that reported differences in response to RA therapy; only 2 studies were identified reporting sex-11,12 and age-11 stratified results. A previously conducted systematic review identified that demographic characteristics of sex, age, income, education, ethnicity, and employment status were associated with variations in patient treatment preferences.¹³ Finally, a review of existing chronic disease guidelines in Canada (Diabetes Canada, Heart and Stroke Foundation, Hypertension Canada, Obesity Canada, Society of Obstetrician and Gynecologists of Canada) identified specific discussions or recommendations based on low-income status, pregnancy, sex, minority populations, Indigenous people, age, and place of residence (rural/remote). The survey results, literature review results, and population inclusions in other chronic disease guidelines were presented to the research team, with consensus to focus on rural and remote, Indigenous, elderly with frailty, first-generation immigrant and refugee, low income and vulnerably housed, and diverse gender and sex populations.

Data collection. Semistructured interview guides (Supplementary Data 1, available with the online version of this article) were constructed to probe on population factors, initial and ongoing healthcare access issues, and therapeutic considerations influencing RA care specific to the existing CRA RA guidelines¹⁴ for the selected populations. Known or proposed solutions to resolve, minimize, or not worsen existing inequities during implementation of service models for the population groups were requested. Patients with lived experience, healthcare providers for the selected populations, and equity-oriented health service researchers with expertise with 1 or more of the selected populations were engaged through patient organizations, through email invitation distributed by the research team, and through recruitment by other research participants. 15 Semistructured interviews were conducted with consenting participants during the summer and fall of 2019 by members of the research team (EP, RH). Care was taken to engage participants from all Canadian regions. Recruitment continued until saturation was achieved for that population group, or no further participants could be recruited. Interviews were audio-recorded and transcribed verbatim, with field notes supplementing analysis. Interview transcripts were then reviewed by 2 other team members (GH, CB) to begin a collaborative data synthesis

Analysis. The research team used a phenomenological thematic analysis model^{16,17} to generate a coding tree for inequities in RA care. This iterative approach to analysis is appropriate in understanding how barriers are experienced by specific groups through their lived experience. The cut and sort method, a categorization technique, was utilized to label data and group concepts appropriately for each population group independently.¹⁸ This step was completed by EP and CB with peer debriefing and verified for face validity by GH to ensure qualitative rigor.¹⁸ The final step was to map the data into a logic model¹⁹ highlighting evidence and expert solutions for approaches, activities, and models of care promoted as mechanisms to mitigate threats to equity. This is similar to the "equity matrix" utilized by the National Advisory Committee on Immunization in advising the Public Health Agency of Canada.

Ethics. Research activities were approved by the University of Calgary Conjoint Health Research Ethics Board (certificate REB19-0695) and participants in all stages of the study provided written informed consent as well as reconfirmed verbal consent prior to interviews.

RESULTS

A total of 35 semistructured interviews were conducted. The study population represented a pan-Canadian sample, with

participants from British Columbia (n=10), Alberta (n=10), Manitoba (n=1), Ontario (n=9), Quebec (n=2), Nova Scotia (n=2), and New Brunswick (n=1). As some of the participants could speak to their membership or interactions with multiple population groups of interest (e.g., some responses described both rural/remote and Indigenous populations), data were available from 8 stakeholders and 3 patients speaking to rural and remote population realities, 11 stakeholders, and 1 patient speaking to Indigenous population realities, and 3 stakeholders and 1 patient representing perspectives for elderly persons with frailty.

Despite attempts to recruit stakeholders and patients from refugee and first-generation immigrant populations and low socioeconomic populations, it is likely that saturation was not achieved here (2 stakeholders and 1 patient for each group). Participants recruited to speak to diverse gender and sex population considerations provided perspectives of those identifying as female sex and discussed the preconception, pregnancy, and postpartum periods (5 stakeholders and 2 patient participants), but we were unable to recruit persons with perspectives from other gender or sexual identities.

Analysis of the interview transcripts focused on 16 domains that demonstrate obstacles for RA care: population factors (goals of treatment, patient beliefs, and preferences, manifestations affecting management, differences in efficacy, and safety), initial and ongoing management (access, reassessment of disease activity, baseline testing, monitoring tests, coordination of care), and medication considerations (access, storage, strategy, adherence). Analysis of these domains and guideline implementation solutions are summarized here for each of the 6 population groups separately, and recurrent solutions and approaches to mitigate inequity are visualized in Figure 1.

Rural and remote residents. Patients who reside in rural and remote communities experience differential healthcare access compared to urban populations (Table 1). Diagnostic delay due to reduced provider access and availability (both primary and specialty care), reduced availability of testing in rural and remote communities, or extensive travel distance to larger centers for appointments significantly affect patients in this population. Travel financially strains patients who must take time off work, pay out of pocket for travel and accommodation, or secure childcare. Alternative models of care (e.g., telehealth, outreach clinics, distributed advanced practitioners, and tele-allied health professional visits) and rheumatologist flexibility were perceived to support consistent disease assessment and management. Enhanced collaboration and relationship-building with primary care, including strong communication protocols and enhancement of rheumatology assessment skills, could facilitate stepwise therapy initiation and escalation within the medical home and reduce travel requirements. Pharmacotherapeutic strategies could incorporate options to minimize specialized monitoring needs, provide convenient routes of administration, and integrate bridging therapy. Since pharmacy supply may be variable, engaging in regular communication with local pharmacists as well as drug sampling programs would support consistent medication access. Issues of transportation and variable pharmacy

P. Equity in RA

Considerations	Populations		Solutions and Approaches
		Improved Patient- Practitioner Interactions	Relational, safe, and trauma-informed care environment Flexible office procedures and scheduling Additional communication methods
	Rural and Remote Residents	Alternative Models of Care to Increase Accessibility and	Telehealth Utreach clinics and distributed advanced care practitioners Patient navigators
Population Factors	Indigenous Peoples	Coordination of Care	· rauent navigators
Initial and Ongoing	Elderly Persons with Frailty	Treatment Options	Use shared decision making and support health literacy to align decisions with patient beliefs and preferences Where possible, offer options that minimize burden on patients
Healthcare Access	Refugee and First-Generation Immigrant Populations		Consider patient storage options and living situations Individualize therapy for disease phenotype and comorbidities
Medication Access and Strategy	Persons of Low Socioeconomic Status and Vulnerably Housed	Collaboration	With patient community and family With primary care and multidisciplinary healthcare practitioners With other health supports
	Gender and Sex Diversity	Advocacy	Universal screening policy for latent infections Medication access through flexibility in insurance formularies, pharmaceutical company patient support programs, and enhanced pharmacy distribution Travel subsidies Health system restructuring and resource redistribution

Figure 1. Solutions and approaches supporting equity in rheumatoid arthritis care.

Table 1. Factors contributing to inequities in RA care for rural and remote populations and proposed mitigation approaches through guideline recommendations and implementation.

	Contributors to Inequity	Mitigation Approaches
Population factors	No data to suggest differences in goals of treatment, patient beliefs, and preferences, or efficacy differences	Support individualized therapy decisions related to a perception of more severe disease and increased frequency of comorbidities
Initial and ongoing healthcare access	 Delays to secure a rheumatology consultation, travel distances, and socioeconomic considerations affect access to specialty care Primary care providers in a community may be itinerant 	 Propose alternative models of care for treatment initiation and monitoring Reinforce enhanced collaboration with primary care for co-management Coordinate care with other specialists the patient accesses and promote rheumatologist flexibility for patient visits Providers should investigate and advocate for travel subsidies for patient travel
	Reduced allied health resources Baseline testing and monitoring	Propose alternative modes of care delivery (e.g., telephysio) Recommend required tests are completed prior to rheumatologist assessment to ensure treatment can begin immediately when indicated Recommend therapies and monitoring regimens that minimize testing frequency or specialized monitoring needs when possible
Medication access and strategy	Variable pharmacy supply and dispensation limits could result in discontinuous access	Rheumatologist to be proactive in building relationships with local pharmacies, offering patient support programs including sampling, and advocating for approval of larger dispensation quantities
	Storage concerns (e.g., power supply) and transportation could result in disruption of the cold chain for injection medications Intravenous and intraarticular therapies may be difficult to administer in rural/remote locations	 Recommendation may preferentially suggest shelf-stable medications Rheumatologist encouraged to help patient navigate primary health supports for medication storage and pharmacy delivery programs Recommendation may preferentially suggest selection of therapy, minimizing need for provider intervention Use of intramuscular bridging instead of intraarticular injections if needed Collaborative care with primary care providers to minimize use of prednisone

RA: rheumatoid arthritis.

supply is intensified by insurers limiting pharmacologic supply to 1 month, and a suggestion was for providers to advocate for medication to be dispensed in intervals of several months. Pharmacy delivery programs and cold chain assurance should be engaged to safely transport medication for long distances, while primary health supports should be considered for long-term medication storage; shelf-stable therapeutic options could eliminate some of these concerns.

Indigenous populations. Although not universal within the population, Indigenous people employ a holistic approach to health and wellness, and engaging health supports with families and traditional healers to bring Indigenous ways of achieving wellness are an expectation (Table 2). Family and community experiences are highly influential in patient decision making, which may amplify discordance between the patient and provider goals. Using strategies such as shared decision making, collaborating with primary care providers, and supporting community education about RA were proposed as mechanisms to inform patient goals and preferences in their rheumatologic care while also responding to increased disease severity and worse prognosis. Provider bias, structural racism, and experiences of racism in the healthcare system are barriers to Indigenous patient access to care, amplified by social and clinical complexities, difficulties navigating the healthcare system, low health literacy, and low trust in the healthcare system due to personal or historical experiences. Provider education and models of care to ensure equitable access to care were recommended to be implemented to provide positive patient experiences. Providers were advised to be knowledgeable about local resources and form alliances with primary care, social agencies, and health navigators who are proficient in government programs to help guide patient care. Extending the medical team to include collaborative multidisciplinary team-based care, case conferencing between providers, and longer appointment times were seen to promote holistic, individualized care and would serve to increase patient trust in their rheumatology provider and in the larger healthcare system.

The national formulary for Status First Nations and Inuit patients has separate processes from provincial and private plans for medication access; medication coverage options for non-Status and Métis patients will need to be explored with the patient. Medications that are easily administered, nontemperature-dependent for storage, or those with longer dosing intervals were preferred to mitigate travel-related interruptions when participating in traditional activities. Safety and logistical concerns for medication storage were raised in the context of socioeconomic realities related to poverty, such as shared living environments and homelessness. Alliance with shelters, community programs, and pharmacies for medication storage as well as with primary care or speciality clinics providing therapy on-site were suggested to mitigate these concerns.

Elderly persons with frailty. Patients with frailty have multiple medical conditions, complex medical regimes, and face cognitive and physical decline in their health status (Table 3). Patient-centered goals for outcomes shift as life expectancy and treatment burden favor quality of life over prevention of damage progression. Multiple providers are typically involved,

and there is an increased risk of drug interactions, decreased metabolism of therapies, and nonadherence due to polypharmacy; thus, a well-documented integrated care plan was advised. A cautious, individualized treatment approach using conservative approaches, medication minimization, and a selection of nonpharmacotherapeutic options was advised, recognizing that most trials exclude elderly patients and those with frailty, resulting in a paucity of efficacy and safety evidence in this population. Participants shared that patients with frailty are at risk for difficulties in initial and ongoing access to rheumatology care, reliant on family and support networks for appointment attendance related to transportation issues, poor mobility, and cognitive impairment. Alternative models of care, including virtual visits and direct communication with primary care providers or geriatricians, and engaging community services for patient transport and laboratory investigations are potential avenues to ensuring ongoing rheumatic disease assessment and treatment. Therapeutic strategies may need to shift to support routes of administration that enable safe self-administration or administration by the patient's supports including family, home care, or paramedic programs while ensuring access to secure medication storage in supported living environments. Finally, it was highlighted that insurance coverage may be affected by a transition from private to public funding sources.

Refugee and first-generation immigrant populations. Patients from ethnic minority groups represent different paths to immigration to Canada: immigrants relocate by choice, whereas refugees are fleeing situations in their home countries (Table 4). Both groups experience their own premigration stressors and relocation stress; however, refugees will have likely had severely traumatizing experiences. Trauma-informed care approaches were related as necessary to provide a supportive care environment and to build trust while mitigating risk for stigma in patient care.

Sociocultural approaches to health, levels of self-advocacy for health needs, and acceptance of treatment were accepted as varying. Utilizing translation services and having multilingual health literacy supports were mechanisms to support care delivery. Social and clinical complexity, socioeconomic disparities, incomplete healthcare coverage, and patient inexperience with the new healthcare system were issues identified that could be supported by multidisciplinary care teams, close alliance with primary care providers, and engaging navigators or community support workers with government program knowledge to promote ongoing disease management and minimize loss to follow-up. There is also a potential that differences of disease phenotype, comorbidities, and treatment response between population groups may affect therapy choices, with a general preference for medication minimization. Employing shared decision making was deemed essential to support positive patient outcomes. Strategies to mitigate marginalization could include employing universal latent infection screening (e.g., tuberculosis, hepatitis) policies to eliminate providers' subjective selection of "high-risk" patients. Several factors unique to migrant populations, which could influence medication strategy, were shared. For immigrants established on advanced therapies, providers may need to secure documentation of past treatment to avoid

Table 2. Factors contributing to inequities in RA care for Indigenous populations and proposed mitigation approaches through guideline recommendations and implementation.

	Contributors to Inequity	Mitigation Approaches
Population factors	Health systems do not resource a holistic approach to health nor incorporate traditional medicine in	Engage with health supports beyond biomedical providers and collaborate with the cultural health system
	Worse prognosis and refractory disease, including increased frequency of extraarticular manifestations and comorbidities	Support individualized therapy decisions. Incorporate shared decision-making approaches to support need for aggressive therapy, including collaboration with primary care to provide wraparound supports
	Younger disease onset has implications for childbearing potential	Offer medication options that are safe in pregnancy
	Increased risk of TB reactivation with certain pharmacotherapies	Offer medication options associated with reduced TB reactivation Engage public health supports for screening and treatment of latent TB
Initial and ongoing healthcare access	 Low trust in the healthcare system due to provider bias, structural racism, and past experiences of racism in the healthcare system Stigma of "high-risk patient" often assigned to Indigenous patients, which perpetuates feelings of bias and racism 	 Promote a safe care environment by educating providers, engaging health navigators in the care team, and building trust and rapport with the patient Delay preliminary testing until the provider can communicate reasons for testing with patients Rheumatologist encouraged to advocate for universal latent
	Social and clinical complexity	 infection screening policy Advocate for resource redistribution to address inequities in social determinants of health Coordinate care with multidisciplinary care teams that engage health navigators, primary care, and social agencies Specialist should have extensive knowledge of local resources and offer longer appointment times to review all aspects of the patient's health
	Difficulties achieving frequent reassessment of disease activity or monitoring tests due to long travel distance to see rheumatologist	 Build rapport with primary care: empower local primary care to begin initial treatment, and co-manage the patient Offer alternative models of care Promote rheumatologist flexibility for patient visits
	Personal identification needed for testing	Engage health navigators and social resources team to acquire necessary documentation
	Socioeconomic considerations affecting access to care	 Health system restructuring and/or utilization of alternative models of care to increase provider availability and accessibility Embed specialty care in the medical home
	Difficulties with health system navigation (to primary care, to specialty care)	 Engage health navigators or community support workers with government program knowledge Use different modes of communication if traditional appointment notification systems are a barrier to accessing care
Medication access and strategy	Storage concerns (e.g., power supply, shared living environments and homelessness) and transportation could result in disruption of the cold chain for injection medications	Recommendation may preferentially suggest shelf-stable medications Rheumatologist encouraged to help patient navigate primary health supports for medication storage and pharmacy delivery programs
	Variable pharmacy supplies, insurers limiting supply to 1 month or formulary limitations could result in discontinuous access	 Rheumatologist encouraged to build a strong relationship and frequent communication with local pharmacist Use sampling programs and patient support programs to ensurt treatment continuation Advocate to enable dispensation of several months of medication and to ensure equal access to medication across the country
	Loss of trust when side effects or adverse events occur may affect adherence to treatment regime, intensified by family and community experience	 Use health navigators and brokers for education to improve patient, family, and community understanding of disease and treatment Increase provider availability and appointment times to build trust and rapport with the patient Use shared decision-making approaches

RA: rheumatoid arthritis; TB: tuberculosis.

Table 3. Factors contributing to inequities in RA care for elderly persons with frailty and proposed mitigation approaches through guideline recommendations and implementation.

	Contributors to Inequity	Mitigation Approaches
Population factors	Short life expectancy informs individualized outcome goals	 Document and communicate an integrated care plan and goals of care Use shared decision-making approaches to reinforce patient-centered care Assess treatment burden relative to benefit and use conservative approaches
	Exclusion from clinical trials results in paucity of outcome data	Support reasonable use of therapy given lack of data
	Side effects vary based on comorbidities, polypharmacy, cognitive impairment, and degree of frailty	 Individualize treatment approach with safe and appropriate medication Deprescribe and minimize medication to increase adherence and reduce side effects Include family and healthcare proxies in care plan
Initial and ongoing healthcare access	Barriers in accessible transportation	 Propose alternative models of care including telehealth and telephone consultations Engage community organizations or services for patient transportation Include family and support networks but be mindful of not creating extra burden Minimize testing or use mobile laboratories where available
	Multimorbidity, complex medical history, and cognitive decline affect continuity of care	 Use collaborative multidisciplinary team-based care with strong communication protocols and information systems Form a strong alliance with primary care and geriatrics Engage family and support networks
Medication access and strategy	 Treatment strategy should be aligned with patient outcome goals Necessary to avoid or minimize medications associated with adverse events (e.g., steroids or NSAIDs) 	 Promote shared decision-making approaches Use a conservative approach to pharmacotherapy Select safe alternatives or nonpharmacotherapy where possible
	Administration preferences may vary based on the cognitive abilities of the patient and the availability of their supports Insurance may vary once the patient is considered a senior	Understand the patient's available supports (family, home care, paramedic program) for medication administration Advocacy to ensure medication coverage for seniors

NSAID: nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drug; RA: rheumatoid arthritis.

the need to reestablish treatment failures. Preferential administration of nontemperature-dependent therapies and advocacy for additional supply for prolonged travel were strategies to mitigate travel-related disruptions to medication administration when patients return to their home country for long-term visits. Persons of low socioeconomic status and who are vulnerably housed. Economically disadvantaged populations are widely stigmatized and marginalized in the healthcare system (Table 5). Experiences of trauma affect decisions to seek and maintain healthcare system interactions, and social and clinical complexities pose a burden to accessing and coordinating appointments; other health conditions and social situations are prioritized over rheumatology care. Low health literacy may amplify issues regarding healthcare system navigation, and financial limitations pose barriers to modern appointment notification systems. For this population, participants spoke of the imperative need for healthcare providers to ensure safe and trauma-informed care interactions and environments. Longer appointment times and collaborative multidisciplinary team-based care would increase patient trust in the healthcare system and support patients with substance misuse and mental health conditions. Extending care team members to include social services resources to provide "wraparound"

individualized care coordination strategies, and health navigators or community support workers with government program knowledge were strategies to engage in care coordination, as they expand knowledge of availability and access of local resources. Communication strategies to include low health literacy supports, adapting modes of communication, and providing the patient with communication devices were suggested. Medication strategies that incorporate therapies that minimize infection risk if the patient is an intravenous substance user or at risk for lung infections from preexisting inhalation injury were proposed. Financial concerns influencing medication access could be addressed by subsidizing essential medication, using sampling programs, or assisting the patient in applying for compassionate coverage policies. Cost-effective approaches such as cycling through less expensive first-line therapies prior to exploring expensive alternatives may be practically necessary. Alliance with shelters and community programs, pharmacies, and primary care or specialty care clinics for safe and temperature-stable medication storage and on-site administration, was seen as necessary to maintain optimal pharmacotherapeutic strategies.

Females during preconception, pregnancy, and postpartum periods. Recent guidelines^{21,22,23} uphold remission (or low disease activity)

Table 4. Factors contributing to inequities in RA care for refugees and first-generation immigrants, and proposed mitigation approaches through guideline recommendations and implementation.

	Contributors to Inequity	Mitigation Approaches
Population factors	Varying goals of treatment based on previous socioeconomic status and reason for immigration	 Shared decision-making approaches Employ relationship-building skills and provide trauma-informed care to build rapport with the patient
	Different sociocultural approaches to healthcare Patients risk having poor self-advocacy and difficulties communicating their preferences	 Shared decision-making approaches Rheumatologist encouraged to improve communication skills and build rapport with the patient Engage health navigators and community support workers in the care team
	Variations in disease phenotype, comorbidities between populations, treatment effect, and tolerability by race or ethnicity	Individualize therapy approach to meet patient needs
Initial and ongoing healthcare access	Difficulties navigating the healthcare system and appointment notification systems incompatible with patients' technological skills may affect reoccurring care	 Use different modes of communication as needed by the patient Use health navigators or community support workers with government program knowledge Engage family members in the care team
	Socioeconomic considerations	Advocate for health system restructuring to increase provider availability and accessibility
	Stigma and bias of "high-risk patient"	Rheumatologists encouraged to advocate for a policy change to universal screening
Medication access and strategy	Variable coverage for refugees	Engage with health navigators and multidisciplinary care teams
	Variable transfer of prior medication exposure history may force the patient to retry ineffective pharmacotherapies	 Compassionate coverage policies Rheumatologist encouraged to advocate variances in medication order with formulary companies
	Storage and accessibility concerns for prolonged travel to home country could result in disruption of the cold chain for injection medications	Recommendation may preferentially suggest shelf-stable medications Rheumatologist encouraged to help patient navigate support programs to access additional supply for prolonged travel
	Low initial trust in the healthcare system, and rapid loss of trust when side effects or adverse events occur, may affect adherence and loss to follow-up	 Use a health navigator or broker for effective communication Encourage increasing provider accessibility to build trust and rapport with the patient

RA: rheumatoid arthritis.

goals prior to conception and for the duration of the pregnancy. If tapering or therapy discontinuation is necessary related to teratogenicity or patient preference, shared decision making, multidisciplinary care, and availability of credible data sources for patient education are supportive approaches. It is important to ensure ongoing monitoring throughout pregnancy, but this should be balanced with the requirements for the patient to be attending several other healthcare providers (e.g., obstetrical care, other specialty care) and more frequent laboratory monitoring. Collaborative multidisciplinary team-based care with strong communication protocols was promoted as imperative to ensure consistent messaging and positive patient outcomes; local rheumatology expertise in the management of pregnant patients may be a natural venue for team-based care. Participants reported that fatigue, physical and emotional stress, and financial or caregiving burden for other family members arise, and alternative models of care, including virtual visits, should be provided. Patients receiving infusion therapies will need to have childcare support to attend these appointments; thus, therapies delivered orally or subcutaneously may be preferable. Insurance coverage may vary during maternity leaves, and advocacy may be required to maintain effective therapies, especially if first-line therapies were not trialed for safety reasons. In the postpartum period, social supports may be required for caring of the neonate, and the rheumatologist should liaise with public health and/or pediatrics to address any safety concerns for neonatal immunization around immunosuppressed mothers.

DISCUSSION

We have summarized contributors and threats to equity in RA care in Canada, and share recommended and proposed solutions to incorporate in the implementation strategies of treatment guidelines to support attainment of equity in outcomes. Despite positive progressive work and widespread recognition of the importance of health equity, inclusion of equity considerations in treatment guidelines is still infrequent^{24,25} and has not

Table 5. Factors contributing to inequities in RA care for persons of low socioeconomic status and vulnerably housed and proposed mitigation approaches through guideline recommendations and implementation.

	Contributors to Inequity	Mitigation Approaches
Population factors	Past or current intravenous drug use or substance abuse may alter administration feasibility and patient preferences	Offer medications with minimized infection risk
	Increased frequency of mental health conditions, history of trauma, or substance abuse	Engage multidisciplinary teams in patient care with strong coordination strategy
	Poor health literacy	 Promote a safe and trauma-informed care environment Use appropriate communication tools to engage with the patient Use health navigators in the care team
Initial and ongoing healthcare access	Socioeconomic considerations	 Advocacy for health system restructuring and alternative models of care to increase provider availability and accessibility Embed specialty care in the medical home
	Social and clinical complexity affects patient monitoring and coordination of care	 Ally with health navigators, social agencies, and primary care provider knowledgeable of local resources Engage with multidisciplinary care teams and case conferencing between providers Increase appointment duration
	Difficulties navigating the healthcare system and appointment notification systems that are incompatible with patients' technological realities may affect reoccurring care	Use different modes of communication as needed by the patient Use health navigators or community support workers with government program knowledge
Medication access and strategy	Prioritizing cost-effective approaches	Offer more first-line therapies to increase adherence Use sampling programs; pharmaceutical companies should subsidize necessary medications and have compassionate coverage policies
	Storage concerns (e.g., power supply, refrigeration access, shared living environments, homelessness) could result in disruption of the cold chain for injection medications	 Recommendation may preferentially suggest shelf-stable medications Rheumatologist encouraged to help patient navigate primary health supports for medication storage and pharmacy delivery programs or seek out alliances with shelters, community programs, and pharmacies for storage
	Low initial trust in the healthcare system, and rapid loss of trust when side effects or adverse events occur, which may affect adherence and loss to follow-up	Use a health navigator or broker for effective communication Improve provider accessibility to build trust and rapport with the patient

RA: rheumatoid arthritis.

occurred to date in any Canadian rheumatology guidelines.

In contrast to considering health equity as a standalone domain,5 a 4-part series by authors from GRADE (Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation) outlined a rigorous evaluation process to include equity considerations through each step of the evidence-to-decision process, including rating the certainty of evidence and incorporating issues of preferences, acceptability, and feasibility in implementation.^{7,8,9,10} The research presented in this manuscript aims to provide preliminary information for the upcoming update to the CRA RA guidelines, and outlines existing knowledge gaps and health service interventions that should inform the research agenda of health services researchers. While there are some priority populations in Canada that have had RA inequities previously described, 26,27,28,29 and active health service intervention research continues to close care gaps, we present the challenges faced by populations who are infrequently discussed

in rheumatology clinical care or research in Canada, including elderly persons with frailty, those who are first-generation immigrants or refugees to Canada, persons with low socioeconomic status or who are vulnerably housed, and patients with unique considerations relating to peripartum and postpartum care. Larger-scale engagement and understanding of the unique experiences and positions of these population groups will increase the relevance and applicability of CRA guidelines for the future.

While the contributors to inequities may be unique to each of the populations, approaches common to all groups to support equity in arthritis care delivery were suggested. These include actively improving the patient–practitioner relationship through approaches and actions that support meeting patients where they are at, increasing accessibility and coordination of care through alternative models of care, upholding autonomy and patient knowledge in treatment selection while actively mitigating logistical barriers and addressing individualized therapy

needs, collaborating with health supports valued by the patient, and being advocates for policy change and health system restructuring to ensure appropriate resource redistribution. The novelty of this work further suggests the need for monitoring of shortand long-term implementation outcomes, including inequality and disparity analyses,³⁰ to ensure that care gaps are closing and resolved.

The research presented here has limitations. This study included a pan-Canadian selection of healthcare practitioners, researchers, and patients from 7 Canadian provinces, recruited through convenience and purposive and snowball sampling techniques commonly used in community-based work³¹ to assure that data collected represented national opinions and views. Sampling bias is a risk due to possible alternative motivation for participation, such as personal or professional relationships. Additionally, there is underrepresentation of patients from population groups where we encountered difficulties in recruitment. Although we chose to recruit from patient-focused webpages and to communicate through phone call, videoconference, and email for convenience and cost-effectiveness, these modes may be unattainable for certain patients. In ongoing studies, we are continuing to recruit additional patient perspectives from the populations perceived to require further exploration, as well as other population groups facing inequities in rheumatology care. Further, we intentionally reported our results for each of the 6 population groups separately. In doing so, we ignored intersectionality experiences, which describe the synergistic impact of identifying with multiple structural identities.³² This theory was originally presented in the context of black women, with unique issues that could not be simply characterized as the sum of their gender or sex characteristics, and as a result, should be considered as an independent population. In the context of our study, identities of race, class, gender, sex, and geographical location have the potential to intersect and perpetuate inequities. Though creating guidelines unique to individual identities is not feasible, these intersections must be considered upon interpreting and implementing as to not perpetuate discrimination and health inequities. These results should be used to supplement and comprehensively tailor care to individual needs.

Ultimately, the disparities identified between general and disadvantaged populations in the Canadian healthcare system, and the subsequent necessary alterations to guidelines, should spearhead policy change and health system restructuring to achieve these goals.

ONLINE SUPPLEMENT

Supplementary material accompanies the online version of this article.

REFERENCES

- Commission on Social Determinants of Health. Closing the gap in a generation: Health equity through action on the social determinants of health. Final Report of the Commission on Social Determinants of Health. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2008.
- Nixon SA. The coin model of privilege and critical allyship: implications for health. BMC Public Health 2019;19:1637.
- 3. O'Neill J, Tabish H, Welch V, Petticrew M, Pottie K, Clarke M, et al. Applying an equity lens to interventions: using PROGRESS ensures

- consideration of socially stratifying factors to illuminate inequities in health. J Clin Epidemiol 2014;67:56-64.
- 4. White M, Adams J, Heywood P. How and why do interventions that increase health overall widen inequalities within populations? In: Barbones S, editor. Health, inequality and public health. Bristol: Policy Press; 2009:65-83.
- Alonso-Coello P, Schünemann HJ, Moberg J, Brignardello-Petersen R, Akl EA, Davoli M, et al. GRADE Evidence to Decision (EtD) frameworks: a systematic and transparent approach to making well informed healthcare choices. 1: introduction. BMJ 2016;353:i2016.
- Guyatt G, Oxman AD, Akl EA, Kunz R, Vist G, Brozek J, et al. GRADE guidelines: 1. Introduction-GRADE evidence profiles and summary of findings tables. J Clin Epidemiol 2011;64:383-94.
- Welch VA, Akl EA, Guyatt G, Pottie K, Eslava-Schmalbach J, Ansari MT, et al. GRADE equity guidelines 1: considering health equity in GRADE guideline development: introduction and rationale. J Clin Epidemiol 2017;90:59-67.
- Akl EA, Welch V, Pottie K, Eslava-Schmalbach J, Darzi A, Sola I, et al. GRADE equity guidelines 2: considering health equity in GRADE guideline development: equity extension of the guideline development checklist. J Clin Epidemiol 2017;90:68-75.
- 9. Welch VA, Akl EA, Pottie K, Ansari MT, Briel M, Christensen R, et al. GRADE equity guidelines 3: considering health equity in GRADE guideline development: rating the certainty of synthesized evidence. J Clin Epidemiol 2017;90:76-83.
- Pottie K, Welch V, Morton R, Akl EA, Eslava-Schmalbach JH, Katikireddi V, et al. GRADE equity guidelines 4: considering health equity in GRADE guideline development: evidence to decision process. J Clin Epidemiol 2017;90:84-91.
- Saevarsdottir S, Wallin H, Seddighzadeh M, Ernestam S, Geborek P, Petersson IF, et al. Predictors of response to methotrexate in early DMARD naive rheumatoid arthritis: results from the initial open-label phase of the SWEFOT trial. Ann Rheum Dis 2011;70:469-75.
- Anderson JJ, Wells G, Verhoeven AC, Felson DT. Factors predicting response to treatment in rheumatoid arthritis: the importance of disease duration. Arthritis Rheum 2000;43:22-9.
- 13. Durand C, Eldoma M, Marshall DA, Bansback N, Hazlewood GS. Patient preferences for disease-modifying antirheumatic drug treatment in rheumatoid arthritis: a systematic review. J Rheumatol 2020;47:176-87.
- Bykerk VP, Akhavan P, Hazlewood GS, Schieir O, Dooley A, Haraoui B, et al. Canadian Rheumatology Association recommendations for pharmacological management of rheumatoid arthritis with traditional and biologic disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs. J Rheumatol 2012;39:1559-82.
- Robins Sadler G, Lee H-C, Lim RS-W, Fullerton J. Recruiting hard-to-reach population subgroups via adaptations of the snowball sampling strategy. Nurs Health Sci 2010;12:369-74.
- Braun V, Clarke V. Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qualitative research in psychology 2006;3:77-101.
- Sundler AJ, Lindberg E, Nilsson C, Palmér L. Qualitative thematic analysis based on descriptive phenomenology. Nurs Open 2019;6:733-9.
- 18. Lincoln YS, Guba EG. Naturalistic Inquiry. Newbury Park, CA: Sage Publishing; 1985.
- Hayes H, Parchman ML, Howard R. A logic model framework for evaluation and planning in a primary care practice-based research network (PBRN). J Am Board Fam Med 2011;24:576-82.
- Ismail SJ, Hardy K, Tunis MC, Young K, Sicard N, Quach C. A framework for the systematic consideration of ethics, equity, feasibility, and acceptability in vaccine program recommendations. Vaccine 2020;38:5861-76.

- Flint J, Panchal S, Hurrell A, van de Venne M, Gayed M, Schreiber K, et al. BSR and BHPR guideline on prescribing drugs in pregnancy and breastfeeding-part I: standard and biologic disease modifying anti-rheumatic drugs and corticosteroids. Rheumatology 2016;55:1693-7.
- Flint J, Panchal S, Hurrell A, van de Venne M, Gayed M, Schreiber K, et al. BSR and BHPR guideline on prescribing drugs in pregnancy and breastfeeding-part II: analgesics and other drugs used in rheumatology practice. Rheumatology 2016;55:1698-702.
- Sammaritano LR, Bermas BL, Chakravarty EE, Chambers C, Clowse MEB, Lockshin MD, et al. 2020 American College of Rheumatology guideline for the management of reproductive health in rheumatic and musculoskeletal diseases. Arthritis Rheumatol 2020;72:529-56.
- Oxman AD, Schünemann HJ, Fretheim A. Improving the use of research evidence in guideline development: 12. Incorporating considerations of equity. Health Res Policy Syst 2006;4:24.
- Dewidar O, Tsang P, León-García M, Mathew C, Antequera A, Baldeh T, et al. Over half of the WHO guidelines published from 2014 to 2019 explicitly considered health equity issues: a cross-sectional survey. J Clin Epidemiol 2020;127:125-33.
- Hurd K, Barnabe C. Systematic review of rheumatic disease phenotypes and outcomes in the Indigenous populations of Canada, the USA, Australia and New Zealand. Rheumatol Int 2017; 37:503-21.

- Hurd K, Barnabe C. Mortality causes and outcomes in Indigenous populations of Canada, the United States, and Australia with rheumatic disease: a systematic review. Semin Arthritis Rheum 2018;47:586-92.
- Movahedi M, Joshi R, Rampakakis E, Thorne C, Cesta A, Sampalis JS, et al. Impact of residential area on the management of rheumatoid arthritis patients initiating their first biologic DMARD: results from the Ontario Best Practices Research Initiative (OBRI). Medicine 2019;98:e15517.
- Pianarosa E, Chomistek K, Hsiao R, Anwar S, Umaefulam V, Hazlewood G, et al. Global rural and remote patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a systematic review. Arthritis Care Res 2020 Nov 12 (E-pub ahead of print).
- Eslava-Schmalbach JH, Welch VA, Tugwell P, Amaya AC, Gaitán H, Mosquera P, et al. Incorporating equity issues into the development of Colombian clinical practice guidelines: suggestions for the GRADE approach. Rev Salud Publica 2016;18:72-81.
- Jacklin KM, Henderson RI, Green ME, Walker LM, Calam B, Crowshoe LJ. Health care experiences of Indigenous people living with type 2 diabetes in Canada. CMAJ 2017;189:E106-12.
- Crenshaw K. Demarginalizing the intersection of race and sex: a black feminist critique of antidiscrimination doctrine, feminist theory and antiracist politics. University of Chicago Legal Forum 1989:139-68.