Comparison of Men and Women With Axial Spondyloarthritis in the US-Based Corrona Psoriatic Arthritis/Spondyloarthritis Registry

Philip J. Mease, Robert R. McLean, Blessing Dube, Mei Liu, Sabrina Rebello, Meghan Glynn, Esther Yi, Yujin Park, Alexis Ogdie

Key indexing terms: ankylosing spondylitis; epidemiology; registry; sex; spondyloarthropathy

From the Swedish Medical Center/Providence St. Joseph Health and University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA; Corrona, LLC, Waltham, MA, USA; Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, East Hanover, NJ, USA; Division of Rheumatology, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA

Funding: This study was sponsored by Corrona, LLC. Corrona, LLC, has been supported through contracted subscriptions in the last 2 years by AbbVie, Amgen, Boehringer Ingelheim, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Celgene, Crescendo, Eli Lilly and Company, Genentech, Gilead, GSK, Janssen, Merck, Momenta Pharmaceuticals, Novartis, Ortho Dermatologics, Pfizer Inc, Regeneron, Roche, Sun, and UCB. The design and conduct of the study were a collaborative effort between Corrona, LLC, and Novartis, and financial support for the study was provided by Novartis. Novartis participated in the interpretation of data and review and approval of the manuscript.

Conflicts of interest: P.J. Mease has received research grants from AbbVie, Amgen, Bristol Myers Squibb, Galapagos, Gilead, Janssen, Lilly, Novartis, Pfizer, Sun, and UCB; and consulting and/or speakers bureau fees from AbbVie, Amgen, Boehringer Ingelheim, Bristol Myers Squibb, Galapagos, Genentech, Gilead, GlaxoSmithKline, Janssen, Lilly, Novartis, Pfizer,

Open Access. This article has been accepted for publication in The Journal of Rheumatology following full peer review. This version has not gone through proper copyediting

proofreading and typesetting, and therefore will not be identical to the final published version. Reprints and permissions are not available for this version.

This accepted article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

Please cite this article as doi 10.3899/jrheum.201549.

Sun, and UCB. R.R. McLean and B. Dube are employees of Corrona, LLC. E. M. Liu, S. Rebello, and M. Glynn were employees of Corrona, LLC, at the time of this analysis. Yi and Y. Park are employees of Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation. A. Ogdie has received consulting fees from Amgen, AbbVie, Bristol Myers Squibb, Celgene, Corrona, Janssen, Lilly, Novartis, and Pfizer, and has received grant support from the National Institutes of Health/National Institute of Arthritis and Musculoskeletal and Skin Diseases, National Psoriasis Foundation, Rheumatology Research Foundation, Pfizer (University of Pennsylvania), Amgen (FORWARD Databank), and Novartis (FORWARD Databank).

Initials, surnames, appointments, and highest academic degrees:

P. J. Mease, MD, Swedish Medical Center/Providence St. Joseph Health and University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA; R. R. McLean, DSc, MPH, B. Dube, MPH, M. Liu, PhD, S. Rebello, MPH, M. Glynn, MS, CPH, Corrona, LLC, Waltham, MA, USA; E. Yi, PharmD, Y. Park, PharmD, Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, East Hanover, NJ, USA; A. Ogdie, MD, MCSE, Perelman School of Medicine at the University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA.

Address correspondence to: Philip J. Mease, MD, Seattle Rheumatology Associates, 601
Broadway, Suite 600, Seattle, WA 98122; phone: (206) 386-2000; fax: (206) 386-2083; email: pmease@philipmease.com

Running head: Sex differences in axSpA

Word count: 3418

ABSTRACT

Objective: To compare patient characteristics and disease burden between men and women with axial spondyloarthritis (axSpA) in the US-based Corrona Psoriatic Arthritis/Spondyloarthritis (PsA/SpA) Registry.

Methods: Patients aged ≥18 years with axSpA enrolled in the Corrona PsA/SpA Registry between March 2013 and November 2018 who were not concurrently diagnosed with PsA were included. Patient demographics, clinical characteristics, disease activity, patient-reported symptoms, work productivity, and treatment history at enrollment were compared between men and women using t tests or Wilcoxon rank-sum tests for continuous variables and χ^2 or Fisher's exact tests for categorical variables.

Results: Of 498 patients with axSpA and available sex information, 307 (61.6%) were men and 191 (38.4%) were women. Compared with men, women had higher disease activity as measured by Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index, Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Functional Index, and physician global assessment, and had higher tender/swollen joint counts and enthesitis scores (all $P \le 0.01$). Women also had worse patient-reported symptoms (pain, fatigue, HAQ-S, and EQ-VAS; all P < 0.05), greater work and activity impairment, and were less likely to work full time than men. Prior csDMARD and prednisone use was more common in women than in men (both P < 0.05). Additionally, women were more likely to have diagnoses of depression and fibromyalgia (both P < 0.01).

Conclusion: In this US registry of patients with axSpA, women had higher overall disease burden and more peripheral manifestations than men. Improved awareness of sex differences in the presentation of axSpA may aid physicians in earlier identification and improved disease management.

INTRODUCTION

Axial spondyloarthritis (axSpA) is a chronic inflammatory rheumatic disease that primarily affects the axial skeleton, causing inflammation of the vertebral joints that can lead to spinal fusion; peripheral joints and entheses are also frequently involved.(1) The leading symptom of axSpA is chronic inflammatory back pain (IBP); other symptoms include arthritis, enthesitis, and extra-articular manifestations such as uveitis, psoriasis, and inflammatory bowel disease.(2) AxSpA encompasses both patients with sacroiliitis visible on imaging (ankylosing spondylitis [AS] or radiographic axSpA [r-axSpA]) and those without radiographic evidence of damage in the sacroiliac joints (nonradiographic axSpA [nr-axSpA]).(1) Patients with nr-axSpA may eventually develop radiographically evident damage(3); however, this may take years to develop or may not develop at all, which can complicate early disease identification and delay management.(3, 4) Patients with axSpA often experience reduced health-related quality of life (QOL) due to pain, stiffness, fatigue, and impaired physical function,(5) and have increased risk of developing comorbidities, such as cardiovascular disease, osteoporosis, depression, and anxiety.(6, 7) Failure to diagnose axSpA in the early stages can result in delayed treatment and worse patient outcomes.(8)

AxSpA, particularly AS, has historically been considered a disease that predominantly affects men,(9-11) partly due to the perception of AS as the prototypical form of the disease and classification criteria focused on axial symptoms and the presence of discernable radiographic structural damage.(12, 13) The prevalence of definitive sacroillitis and radiographic spinal damage is lower in women than in men,(14-17) which may contribute to underrecognition of axSpA in women. Additionally, women with axSpA are more likely to have peripheral symptoms(17-21) and extra-articular manifestations,(17, 22, 23) which can lead to misdiagnosis. Recent evidence and a broader definition of axSpA that includes nr-axSpA and peripheral symptoms suggest that the prevalence of axSpA overall, and particularly nr-axSpA, may be comparable between men and women.(11, 16, 17, 24-27)

Limited information is available on the overall disease burden of axSpA in women, particularly in the United States. Women are generally underrepresented in clinical studies and much of the available data on axSpA disease burden in women are derived from patients with AS.(11) Considering our limited historical understanding of sex differences in axSpA, it is important to better characterize differences in disease presentation between men and women to ensure that women are represented in clinical studies and routine practice. A thorough understanding of these differences may lead to improved identification of patients with axSpA and earlier diagnosis. Therefore, the objective of this study was to characterize and compare men and women with axSpA in a real-world population of patients seen in routine US clinical practice.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Study population

The Corrona Psoriatic Arthritis/Spondyloarthritis (PsA/SpA) Registry is a large independent, prospective, observational cohort initiated in March 2013 that comprises patients diagnosed with PsA or SpA by a rheumatologist. Patients are recruited by 61 participating rheumatologists from 58 private and academic practice sites across 30 states in the United States. As of November 1, 2019, the registry included data on approximately 4095 patients with PsA/SpA from 16,687 patient visits.

Participating investigators were required to obtain full board approval for conducting noninterventional research with a limited data set involving human participants. The Corrona PsA/SpA Registry and its investigators have been reviewed and approved by a central institutional review board (IRB; New England Independent Review Board No. 120160070). Academic investigative sites that did not receive a waiver to use the central IRB obtained approval from the respective governing IRB. All research was conducted in compliance with the

current (2013) Declaration of Helsinki. All registry participants were required to provide written informed consent and authorization prior to participating.

This study included all patients aged ≥18 years with axSpA who were enrolled in the Corrona PsA/SpA Registry between March 2013 and November 2018. AxSpA was defined using the Assessment of SpondyloArthritis international Society (ASAS)(12) and New York modified(13) classification criteria and included both AS (r-axSpA) and nr-axSpA. Patients with a concurrent diagnosis of PsA were excluded.

Outcomes and assessments

Data were collected at registry enrollment using questionnaires from patients and their treating rheumatologists at office visits and included patient demographics, clinical characteristics, history of physician-reported comorbidities, treatment history, laboratory measurements, disease activity measures, and patient-reported outcome (PRO) measures. Disease activity measures and clinical features included the Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Score (ASDAS); Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index (BASDAI); Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Functional Index (BASFI); spinal mobility measures (lumbar flexion using the modified Schober test and occiput-to-wall distance); presence of IBP, enthesitis, and dactylitis; 68/66 tender/swollen joint counts; and physician global assessment (visual analog scale [VAS] 0-100). PRO measures included patient-reported pain and fatigue (VAS 0-100), morning stiffness, patient global assessment (VAS 0-100), Health Assessment Questionnaire for the Spondyloarthropathies (HAQ-S; 0-3), and EuroQol VAS (EQ VAS; 0-100; higher scores indicate better general health). Work productivity was assessed using the Work Productivity and Activity Impairment questionnaire.

Statistical analysis

For the primary analysis, enrollment characteristics were compared between men and women with axSpA. In a secondary analysis, patients were stratified by rheumatologist-reported diagnosis of AS or nr-axSpA, and enrollment characteristics were compared between men and women with AS and between men and women with nr-axSpA. For continuous variables, P values were calculated using t tests for variables with approximately normal distribution (assessed using the Shapiro-Wilk test for normality) or non-parametric Wilcoxon rank-sum tests for variables with evidence of skewed or non-normal distribution. For categorical variables, P values were calculated using χ^2 tests for variables with expected frequency ≥ 5 or Fisher exact tests for variables with frequency ≤ 5 . Statistical analyses were performed using Stata® 15.1 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX).

RESULTS

Demographics and defining clinical characteristics

Of 504 patients meeting the criteria for axSpA without a concurrent diagnosis of PsA, 498 had sex information available (307 [61.6%] men, 191 [38.4%] women). A total of 414 patients had a diagnosis of AS, of whom 408 had sex information available (252 [61.8%] men, 156 [38.2%] women). Ninety patients had a diagnosis of nr-axSpA, of whom 55 (61.1%) were men and 35 (38.9%) were women.

Patient demographics and clinical characteristics are shown in **Table 1.** Women and men were of comparable age and most patients in both groups were white. Differences in axSpA symptom duration and time from symptom onset to diagnosis between women and men were not significant. Differences in demographics and clinical characteristics between men and women with AS and between men and women with nr-axSpA were generally similar to those in the overall population of patients with axSpA (**Supplementary Table 1**).

Disease activity and clinical features

Women had higher disease activity and greater functional impairment than men as reflected by higher BASDAI and BASFI scores (**Table 2**). ASDAS was numerically higher in women, although this difference did not achieve statistical significance. The prevalence of IBP was comparable between women and men (57.6% vs 62.2%; *P*=0.31), but women reported greater IBP severity as assessed by BASDAI question 2 (**Figure 1**). Lumbar flexion was comparable between women and men, but women had a significantly lower occiput-to-wall distance. A higher proportion of women had enthesitis (37.2% vs 20.2%; *P*<0.01), with higher Spondyloarthritis Research Consortium of Canada (SPARCC) Enthesitis Index scores, and women had higher tender and swollen joint counts compared with men. Among patients with available laboratory measures, CRP levels were comparable between women and men, but women had a higher ESR than men. Women also had higher physician global assessment scores than men.

Similar trends in disease activity and clinical characteristics were observed when patients were stratified by diagnosis of AS or nr-axSpA (**Supplementary Table 2**). However, mean swollen joint counts and SPARCC Enthesitis Index scores were comparable between men and women with AS, whereas women with nr-axSpA had worse scores for both measures than men with nr-axSpA. Prevalence and severity of IBP were comparable between men and women with AS (**Supplementary Table 2** and **Figure 1**). In contrast, a lower proportion of women with nr-axSpA had IBP, but reported greater IBP severity, than men with nr-axSpA. In contrast to the overall population and patients with AS, women with nr-axSpA had higher ASDAS scores, but similar occiput-to-wall distance and physician global assessment scores, compared with men with nr-axSpA.

PRO measures and work productivity and activity impairment

Women reported worse pain and fatigue scores than men (**Table 3**). Although patient global assessment scores were comparable between women and men, women had worse HAQ-DI,

HAQ-S, and EQ VAS scores than men. Women were less likely to work full time and reported greater percent impairment while working and greater overall work impairment and activity impairment than men.

Differences in PRO measures between men and women after stratification by diagnosis of AS or nr-axSpA were generally comparable to those observed in the overall population (**Supplementary Table 3**). However, men and women with AS reported comparable pain scores, whereas women with nr-axSpA reported worse pain than men with nr-axSpA.

Treatment history

The proportions of patients with prior (33.0% vs 29.0%) and current (66.5% vs 70.4%) biologic use and current conventional synthetic disease-modifying antirheumatic drug (csDMARD) use (24.6% vs 20.5%) were comparable between women and men (**Table 4**). However, higher proportions of women had prior csDMARD use (22.0% vs 13.4%; *P*=0.01) and women had used a greater number of prior csDMARDs than men. Additionally, higher proportions of women had prior (15.7% vs 8.8%; *P*=0.02) or current (9.9% vs 2.9%; *P*<0.01) prednisone and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID) use compared with men. Comparisons of treatment profiles between men and women with AS and between men and women with nr-axSpA generally reflected those in the overall population of patients with axSpA (**Supplementary Table 4**).

Comorbidities

The prevalence of comorbidities was generally comparable between men and women (**Table 5**). However, higher proportions of women had diagnoses of depression (25.7% vs 12.1%; *P*<0.01) and fibromyalgia (10.5% vs 1.0%; *P*<0.01) compared with men. Differences in the prevalence of comorbidities between men and women with AS and between men and women with nr-axSpA were similar to those in the overall population of patients with axSpA (**Supplementary Table 5**). Overall, 18 of 408 (4.4%) patients with AS and 5 of 90 patients (5.6%) with nr-axSpA had

fibromyalgia. A higher proportion of women with AS had fibromyalgia than men with AS (10.3% vs 0.8%; P<0.01). The proportion of women with nr-axSpA who had fibromyalgia was higher than the proportion of men with nr-axSpA, but this difference did not reach statistical significance (11.4% vs 1.8%; P=0.07).

DISCUSSION

This real-world study of patients with axSpA enrolled in the Corrona PsA/SpA Registry is one of the first to evaluate differences in clinical and patient-reported disease burden between men and women with axSpA in the United States. Differences in clinical characteristics, disease activity, and PRO measures between men and women with axSpA were maintained when patients were stratified by diagnosis of AS or nr-axSpA, although these differences were less pronounced between men and women with AS. Overall, we found that women had more peripheral axSpA manifestations than men, including more peripheral arthritis and enthesitis.

Our results are consistent with findings from prior studies. The majority of studies that have evaluated disease burden in men vs women with axSpA have shown worse BASDAI scores, pain, fatigue, and QOL in women than in men.(11) We also observed worse functional status, as evidenced by higher BASFI, HAQ-DI, and HAQ-S scores, in women than in men, which is consistent with other real-world cohort studies.(15, 17) In prior studies, women were more likely to have peripheral symptoms, such as enthesitis and tender or swollen joints, than men.(17-23) We observed similar features in our study population. This increased prevalence of peripheral symptoms in woman is relevant, as peripheral axSpA symptoms were deprioritized in prior classification criteria. Updated classification criteria now include nonradiographic sacroiliac magnetic resonance imaging manifestations and peripheral symptoms more prominently, rather than focusing on primarily axial components.(24, 26, 28, 29)

Both men and women experience a substantial delay in diagnosis of axSpA; recent estimates suggest an average of 5-14 years between symptom onset and diagnosis.(8)

Previous studies have shown a longer delay in women than in men, which may be partly due to historical emphasis on axial symptoms for diagnosis.(30) In contrast, we observed a comparable delay between men and women (7.3 vs 7.6 years), which may reflect the ability of rheumatologists participating in the Corrona registry to better detect axSpA due to training and routine patient visits. Most patients initially see nonrheumatology healthcare providers for back pain or nonaxial symptoms, and unfamiliarity with axSpA manifestations may delay referral to rheumatologists.(30, 31) Updated classification criteria and referral recommendations that account for peripheral symptoms, as well as increased access to educational and public awareness programs, have improved referral rates of patients with potential axSpA to rheumatology and reduced diagnosis delay (29, 31-33); however, the average delay of >7 years suggests additional educational efforts may be needed.

While several previous studies of patients with AS have suggested comparable or greater disease burden in women than in men,(15, 19-21) limited information is available regarding sex differences in patients with nr-axSpA. In our study population, women with nr-axSpA had worse disease activity and QOL than men, and our results show more pronounced sex differences in patients with nr-axSpA than in patients with AS. While men and women with AS had comparable swollen joint counts, SPARCC Enthesitis Index scores, ASDAS scores, and patient-reported pain, scores for these measures were worse in women than in men with nr-axSpA. Additionally, although the prevalence and severity of IBP were comparable between men and women with AS, the prevalence of IBP was lower among women than men with nr-axSpA, but women reported greater IBP severity.

There are several potential reasons for the differences in symptoms between men and women with axSpA. First, genetic differences between men and women may result in differential disease expression and progression. In one study, men with AS had higher levels of tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α), IL-17, and Th17 cells than women with AS.(34) IL-17 facilitates osteoblastic differentiation and proliferation, promoting new bone formation,(35, 36) and works

synergistically with TNF-α to stimulate inflammatory pathways that lead to bone damage,(37) which may contribute to the higher prevalence of radiographic progression in men than in women. Sex-specific differences in the expression or allele variants of other genes implicated in axSpA have also been identified.(11) Further research may elucidate additional genetic mechanisms in the differential disease progression between men and women with axSpA.

Second, the greater disease burden in women may be partially due to central sensitization(38): hypersensitivity to painful and/or inflammatory stimuli due to dysregulation in the central nervous system that can lead to chronic pain, perceived pain intensity disproportionate to the intensity of the stimulus, or pain perceived in areas where trauma or inflammation has not occurred.(39) Central sensitization is more common in women than in men.(38, 40, 41) Because symptoms of central sensitization overlap those of inflammatory rheumatic diseases, it can be challenging to determine disease severity due to the primary rheumatic disease vs the burden of central sensitization, particularly when evaluating outcome measures that rely on patient-reported symptoms. (38, 42, 43) For example, it may be difficult to distinguish true enthesitis resulting from inflammation of the entheses vs entheseal tenderness due to central sensitization when using clinical examinations that rely on palpitation of tender entheseal insertion sites. Use of imaging techniques and development of more sensitive screening tools may help improve differentiation between central sensitization and axSpA or other rheumatic diseases in the future, but these methods are not commonly employed in longitudinal observational cohort studies due to time and cost. (38, 42) Distinguishing the degree of symptoms due to central sensitization from that of axSpA is important to guide treatment decisions and accurately assess treatment response.

Third, the higher prevalence of peripheral symptoms in women may contribute to differences in treatment profiles between men and women that can impact disease progression. A previous study using US claims data found that women with AS were less likely to receive biologics and more likely to receive csDMARDs, NSAIDs, muscle relaxants, anticonvulsants,

Sex differences in axial spondyloarthritis

opioids, and glucocorticoids compared with men.(27) Use of csDMARDs and prednisone was also higher in women with AS than in men with AS in the PSOAS study, but biologic use was comparable between men and women. (15) Biologic use was comparable between men and women in our study population, while csDMARD, prednisone, and NSAID use were higher in women than in men. The ASAS/European League Against Rheumatism management quidelines for axSpA indicate that csDMARDs, particularly sulfasalazine, may be used in patients with peripheral arthritis(44); thus the higher csDMARD use among women may reflect the higher burden of peripheral symptoms. Prednisone may be used for symptomatic treatment of other seronegative arthropathies, such as PsA or reactive arthritis, whose symptoms mimic peripheral axSpA manifestations, (45, 46) but is not recommended for the treatment of axSpA. The increased prednisone use in women may reflect greater potential for misdiagnosis with seronegative peripheral arthritis in women with early axSpA presenting with a high degree of peripheral, rather than axial, symptoms. Misdiagnosis can delay appropriate disease management, resulting in continued disease progression that may lead to worse clinical, economic, and QOL outcomes.(8) These results suggest a need for greater awareness of peripheral axSpA manifestations and improved screening to ensure prompt diagnosis and appropriate treatment.

Finally, the differences in functional and PRO measures between men and women with axSpA may be influenced by differences in the type of work and daily activities that men and women perform and how the disease impacts these day-to-day activities. For example, women are more likely to engage in child and elderly care, perform unpaid labor in the home and workplace, and use public transportation for daily travel than men.(47) These activities likely result in different physical and psychological stresses than corporate and administrative positions, manual labor occupations, and driving, which are more common among men.(47) Additionally, mechanical and physical stress differs between men and women in manual labor occupations and other physically demanding activities.(47) Several studies have shown that

women with axSpA have worse PROs than men,(11) and some have also shown greater functional impairment in women despite comparable or less radiographic damage than men.(15, 17) We observed higher pain, fatigue, and HAQ scores and greater work and activity impairment in women in our study population than in men. The higher burden of peripheral symptoms in women may contribute to the greater functional impairment and worse QOL observed in women with axSpA, in part due to the impact of these peripheral symptoms on the work and activities women perform.

Patients in the Corrona Registry are routinely seen and treated by rheumatologists voluntarily participating in the registry and may not be representative of all US patients with axSpA, many of whom are not being treated by a rheumatologist. A reduced number of patients had data available for ASDAS, CRP, and ESR; because Corrona does not require laboratory tests, these missing data may reflect practice patterns of the investigators. Data on radiographic progression were not collected; thus, no associations can be made between disease burden and level of radiographic damage. Diagnosis of fibromyalgia was based on physician judgment, the prevalence of which may be underrepresented in this data set. The Corrona Registry is currently incorporating the Widespread Pain Index and Symptom Severity Scale, a validated, quantitative measure of central sensitization, (48, 49) to better assess fibromyalgia in future analyses. The presence of depression was also based on physician judgment and the prevalence may be over- or underestimated in this data set. The small sample size of patients with nr-axSpA may have limited the detection of statistically significant differences between men and women with nr-axSpA. Due to the descriptive, cross-sectional nature of this study, no longitudinal analyses were conducted to assess differences in disease outcomes over time between men and women.

In this US registry of patients with axSpA, women had greater overall disease burden compared with men, including higher disease activity, worse patient-reported symptoms, and greater work productivity impairment. We observed similar results when patients were stratified

by diagnosis of AS or nr-axSpA. Women demonstrated less impairment of spinal mobility but increased signs of peripheral arthritis, suggesting that conventional definitions of axSpA centered around axial symptoms may need to be broadened to include peripheral manifestations in women. A substantial delay in diagnosis was observed in both men and women; greater awareness of peripheral axSpA symptoms may reduce delayed or missed diagnoses. Improved awareness of sex differences in the presentation of axSpA may aid physicians in earlier identification and improved disease management. Further studies are needed to better understand the differences in disease progression and outcomes in men vs women with axSpA.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the participating providers and patients for contributing data to the Corrona PsA/SpA Registry. Support for third-party writing assistance for this manuscript, furnished by Elizabeth Ohneck, PhD, of Health Interactions, Inc, was provided by Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, East Hanover, NJ.

REFERENCES

- 1. Sieper J, Poddubnyy D. Axial spondyloarthritis. Lancet 2017;390:73-84.
- 2. Erol K, Gok K, Cengiz G, Kilic G, Kilic E, Ozgocmen S. Extra-articular manifestations and burden of disease in patients with radiographic and non-radiographic axial spondyloarthritis.

 Acta Reumatol Port 2018;43:32-9.
- 3. Wang R, Gabriel SE, Ward MM. Progression of nonradiographic axial spondyloarthritis to ankylosing spondylitis: a population-based cohort study. Arthritis Rheumatol 2016;68:1415-21.
- 4. Rudwaleit M, Haibel H, Baraliakos X, Listing J, Marker-Hermann E, Zeidler H, et al. The early disease stage in axial spondylarthritis: results from the German Spondyloarthritis Inception Cohort. Arthritis Rheum 2009;60:717-27.
- 5. Strand V, Singh JA. Patient burden of axial spondyloarthritis. J Clin Rheumatol 2017;23:383-91.
- 6. Molto A, Nikiphorou E. Comorbidities in Spondyloarthritis. Front Med 2018;5:62.
- 7. Walsh JA, Song X, Kim G, Park Y. Evaluation of the comorbidity burden in patients with ankylosing spondylitis using a large US administrative claims data set. Clin Rheumatol 2018;37:1869-78.
- 8. Yi E, Ahuja A, Rajput T, George AT, Park Y. Clinical, economic, and humanistic burden associated with delayed diagnosis of axial spondyloarthritis: a systematic review. Rheumatol Ther 2020;71:65-87.
- 9. Gran JT, Ostensen M, Husby G. A clinical comparison between males and females with ankylosing spondylitis. J Rheumatol 1985;12:126-9.
- 10. Kennedy LG, Will R, Calin A. Sex ratio in the spondyloarthropathies and its relationship to phenotypic expression, mode of inheritance and age at onset. J Rheumatol 1993;20:1900-4.
- 11. Rusman T, van Vollenhoven RF, van der Horst-Bruinsma IE. Gender differences in axial spondyloarthritis: women are not so lucky. Curr Rheumatol Rep 2018;20:35.

Sex differences in axial spondyloarthritis

- 12. Rudwaleit M, Landewe R, van der Heijde D, Listing J, Brandt J, Braun J, et al. The development of Assessment of SpondyloArthritis international Society classification criteria for axial spondyloarthritis (part I): classification of paper patients by expert opinion including uncertainty appraisal. Ann Rheum Dis 2009;68:770-6.
- 13. van der Linden S, Valkenburg HA, Cats A. Evaluation of diagnostic criteria for ankylosing spondylitis. A proposal for modification of the New York criteria. Arthritis Rheum 1984;27:361-8.
- 14. Deodhar A, Strand V, Kay J, Braun J. The term 'non-radiographic axial spondyloarthritis' is much more important to classify than to diagnose patients with axial spondyloarthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 2016;75:791-4.
- 15. Lee W, Reveille JD, Davis JC, Jr., Learch TJ, Ward MM, Weisman MH. Are there gender differences in severity of ankylosing spondylitis? Results from the PSOAS cohort. Ann Rheum Dis 2007;66:633-8.
- 16. Slobodin G, Reyhan I, Avshovich N, Balbir-Gurman A, Boulman N, Elias M, et al. Recently diagnosed axial spondyloarthritis: gender differences and factors related to delay in diagnosis. Clin Rheumatol 2011;30:1075-80.
- 17. Tournadre A, Pereira B, Lhoste A, Dubost JJ, Ristori JM, Claudepierre P, et al. Differences between women and men with recent-onset axial spondyloarthritis: results from a prospective multicenter French cohort. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken) 2013;65:1482-9.
- 18. de Carvalho HM, Bortoluzzo AB, Goncalves CR, da Silva JA, Ximenes AC, Bertolo MB, et al. Gender characterization in a large series of Brazilian patients with spondyloarthritis. Clin Rheumatol 2012;31:687-95.
- 19. Ibn Yacoub Y, Amine B, Laatiris A, Hajjaj-Hassouni N. Gender and disease features in Moroccan patients with ankylosing spondylitis. Clin Rheumatol 2012;31:293-7.
- 20. Landi M, Maldonado-Ficco H, Perez-Alamino R, Maldonado-Cocco JA, Citera G, Arturi P, et al. Gender differences among patients with primary ankylosing spondylitis and spondylitis

associated with psoriasis and inflammatory bowel disease in an Iberoamerican spondyloarthritis cohort. Medicine (Baltimore) 2016;95:e5652.

- 21. Shahlaee A, Mahmoudi M, Nicknam MH, Farhadi E, Fallahi S, Jamshidi AR. Gender differences in Iranian patients with ankylosing spondylitis. Clin Rheumatol 2015;34:285-93.
- 22. Stolwijk C, van Tubergen A, Castillo-Ortiz JD, Boonen A. Prevalence of extra-articular manifestations in patients with ankylosing spondylitis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Ann Rheum Dis 2015;74:65-73.
- 23. Zarco P, Gonzalez CM, Rodriguez de la Serna A, Peiro E, Mateo I, Linares L, et al. Extraarticular disease in patients with spondyloarthritis. Baseline characteristics of the spondyloarthritis cohort of the AQUILES study. Reumatol Clin. 2015;11:83-9.
- 24. Rudwaleit M, van der Heijde D, Landewe R, Listing J, Akkoc N, Brandt J, et al. The development of Assessment of SpondyloArthritis international Society classification criteria for axial spondyloarthritis (part II): validation and final selection. Ann Rheum Dis 2009;68:777-83.
- 25. Sieper J, van der Heijde D. Review: Nonradiographic axial spondyloarthritis: new definition of an old disease? Arthritis Rheum 2013;65:543-51.
- 26. Deodhar A, Mease PJ, Reveille JD, Curtis JR, Chen S, Malhotra K, et al. Frequency of axial spondyloarthritis diagnosis among patients seen by United States rheumatologists for evaluation of chronic back pain. Arthritis Rheumatol 2016;68:1669-76.
- 27. Walsh J, Hunter T, Schroeder K, Sandoval D, Bolce R. Trends in diagnostic prevalence and treatment patterns of male and female ankylosing spondylitis patients in the United States, 2006-2016. BMC Rheumatol 2019;3:39.
- 28. Masson Behar V, Dougados M, Etcheto A, Kreis S, Fabre S, Hudry C, et al. Diagnostic delay in axial spondyloarthritis: a cross-sectional study of 432 patients. Joint Bone Spine 2017;84:467-71.
- 29. Abdelrahman F, Mortada M. AB0858 Impact of application of ASAS criteria for axial spondyloarthritis on the diagnostic delay in Egyptian patients. Ann Rheum Dis 2018;77:1556-7.

- 30. Jovaní V, Blasco-Blasco M, Ruiz-Cantero MT, Pascual E. Understanding how the diagnostic delay of spondyloarthritis differs between women and men: a systematic review and metaanalysis. J Rheumatol 2017;44:174-83.
- 31. Danve A, Deodhar A. Axial spondyloarthritis in the USA: diagnostic challenges and missed opportunities. Clin Rheumatol 2019;38:625-34.
- 32. Abawi O, van den Berg R, van der Heijde D, van Gaalen FA. Evaluation of multiple referral strategies for axial spondyloarthritis in the SPondyloArthritis Caught Early (SPACE) cohort. RMD Open 2017;3:e000389.
- 33. Sørensen J, Hetland ML. Diagnostic delay in patients with rheumatoid arthritis, psoriatic arthritis and ankylosing spondylitis: results from the Danish nationwide DANBIO registry. Ann Rheum Dis 2015;74:e12.
- 34. Gracey E, Yao Y, Green B, Qaiyum Z, Baglaenko Y, Lin A, et al. Sexual dimorphism in the Th17 signature of ankylosing spondylitis. Arthritis Rheumatol 2016;68:679-89.
- 35. Raychaudhuri SP, Raychaudhuri SK. Mechanistic rationales for targeting interleukin-17A in spondyloarthritis. Arthritis Res Ther 2017;19:51.
- 36. Jo S, Wang SE, Lee YL, Kang S, Lee B, Han J, et al. IL-17A induces osteoblast differentiation by activating JAK2/STAT3 in ankylosing spondylitis. Arthritis Res Ther 2018;20:115.
- 37. Miossec P. Update on interleukin-17: a role in the pathogenesis of inflammatory arthritis and implication for clinical practice. RMD Open 2017;3:e000284.
- 38. Mease PJ. Fibromyalgia, a missed comorbidity in spondyloarthritis: prevalence and impact on assessment and treatment. Curr Opin Rheumatol 2017;29:304-10.
- 39. Yunus MB. Central sensitivity syndromes: a new paradigm and group nosology for fibromyalgia and overlapping conditions, and the related issue of disease versus illness. Semin Arthritis Rheum 2008;37:339-52.

- 40. Eller-Smith OC, Nicol AL, Christianson JA. Potential mechanisms underlying centralized pain and emerging therapeutic interventions. Front Cell Neurosci 2018;12:35.
- 41. Bartley EJ, Fillingim RB. Sex differences in pain: a brief review of clinical and experimental findings. Br J Anaesth 2013;111:52-8.
- 42. Alunno A, Carubbi F, Stones S, Gerli R, Giacomelli R, Baraliakos X. The impact of fibromyalgia in spondyloarthritis: from classification criteria to outcome measures. Front Med (Lausanne) 2018;5:290.
- 43. Atzeni F, Cazzola M, Benucci M, Di Franco M, Salaffi F, Sarzi-Puttini P. Chronic widespread pain in the spectrum of rheumatological diseases. Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol 2011;25:165-71.
- 44. van der Heijde D, Ramiro S, Landewé R, Baraliakos X, Van den Bosch F, Sepriano A, et al. 2016 update of the ASAS-EULAR management recommendations for axial spondyloarthritis.

 Ann Rheum Dis 2017;76:978-91.
- 45. Singh JA, Guyatt G, Ogdie A, Gladman DD, Deal C, Deodhar A, et al. Special article: 2018 American College of Rheumatology/National Psoriasis Foundation guideline for the treatment of psoriatic arthritis. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken) 2019;71:2-29.
- 46. Lucchino B, Spinelli FR, Perricone C, Valesini G, Di Franco M. Reactive arthritis: current treatment challenges and future perspectives. Clin Exp Rheumatol 2019;37:1065-76.
- 47. Criado Perez C. Invisible women: data bias in a world designed for men. New York, NY: Abram's Press; 2019.
- 48. Wolfe F, Clauw DJ, Fitzcharles MA, Goldenberg DL, Häuser W, Katz RL, et al. 2016 Revisions to the 2010/2011 fibromyalgia diagnostic criteria. Semin Arthritis Rheum 2016;46:319-29.
- 49. Wolfe F, Butler SH, Fitzcharles M, Häuser W, Katz RL, Mease PJ, et al. Revised chronic widespread pain criteria: development from and integration with fibromyalgia criteria. Scand J Pain 2019;20:77-86.

FIGURE LEGEND

Figure 1. Patient-reported severity of inflammatory neck, back, or hip pain in men and women with axSpA. Results are mean (SD) of BASDAI question 2: "How would you describe the overall level of inflammatory neck, back, or hip pain you have had." Severity is rated on a scale of 0 (none) to 10 (very severe). *P* values were calculated using Wilcoxon rank-sum tests. AS, ankylosing spondyloarthritis; axSpA, axial spondyloarthritis; BASDAI, Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index; nr-axSpA, nonradiographic axial spondyloarthritis.

Table 1. Patient demographics and defining clinical characteristics in men and women with axSpA at enrollment

Characteristic?	Overall	Men	Women	D volue ^c
Characteristic ^a	(N=504) ^b	(n=307)	(n=191)	<i>P</i> value ^c
Diagnosis, n (%)				
AS	414 (82.1)	252 (82.1)	156 (81.7)	0.91
nr-axSpA	90 (17.9)	55 (17.9)	35 (18.3)	0.01
Age, mean (SD), years	47.4 (13.7)	47.3 (13.9)	47.7 (13.5)	0.75 ^d
Race, n (%)				
White	449 (91.8)	276 (91.4)	172 (92.5)	
Black	9 (1.8)	3 (1.0)	6 (3.2)	0.08 ^e
Other	31 (6.3)	23 (7.6)	8 (4.3)	
BMI, mean (SD), kg/m ²	29.9 (7.1)	29.8 (6.0)	30.0 (8.5)	0.32 ^d
BMI category, n (%)				
Normal/Underweight (<25	124 (25.2)	64 (21.5)	60 (31.7)	
kg/m²)			,	0.04 ^f
Overweight (25 to <30 kg/m²)	157 (31.9)	102 (34.3)	54 (28.6)	
Obese (≥30 kg/m²)	211 (42.9)	131 (44.1)	75 (39.7)	
Symptom duration, mean (SD),	16.8 (12.1)	17.6 (12.3)	15.7 (11.6)	0.09 ^d
years			,	
Disease duration, mean (SD),	9.5 (10.5)	10.3 (10.8)	8.2 (9.9)	0.02 ^d
years				
Time from symptom onset to	7.3 (8.9)	7.3 (8.9)	7.6 (9.0)	0.79 ^d
diagnosis, mean (SD), years				

Sex differences in axial spondyloarthritis

HLA-B27 positive test result, n				
(0/)	354 (70.2)	224 (73.0)	124 (64.9)	0.06 ^f
(%)				

AS, ankylosing spondylitis; axSpA, axial spondyloarthritis; BMI, body mass index; HLA-B27,

human leukocyte antigen B27; nr-axSpA, nonradiographic axial spondyloarthritis.

- ^a All values were calculated based on available data, and all variables had <20% missing data.
- ^b Six patients did not have sex information available at enrollment or follow-up.
- °P values compare men vs women with axSpA.
- ^d P value calculated using Wilcoxon rank-sum test.
- ^e *P* value calculated using Fisher exact test.
- ^f *P* value calculated using χ^2 test.

Table 2. Disease activity and clinical features in men and women with axSpA at enrollment

Characteristic ^a	Overall	Men	Women	<i>P</i> value ^c
Characteristic*	(N=504) ^b	(n=307)	(n=191)	P value ^s
ASDAS	2.7 (1.1)	2.6 (1.2)	2.8 (0.9)	0.07 ^d
BASDAI (0-10)	4.5 (2.4)	4.2 (2.5)	4.9 (2.3)	<0.01 ^e
BASFI (0-10)	3.6 (2.8)	3.4 (2.8)	4.1 (2.7)	<0.01e
Inflammatory back pain, n (%)	305 (60.5)	191 (62.2)	110 (57.6)	0.31 ^f
Lumbar flexion (modified Schober test), cm	4.7 (4.3)	4.6 (4.5)	4.8 (4.0)	0.43e
Occiput to wall, cm	4.6 (6.9)	5.8 (7.7)	2.7 (5.0)	<0.01e
Enthesitis, n (%)	133 (26.4)	62 (20.2)	71 (37.2)	<0.01 ^f
SPARCC Enthesitis Index score in patients with enthesitis (1-16)	4.1 (3.0)	3.2 (2.4)	4.8 (3.2)	<0.01e
Dactylitis, n (%)	12 (2.4)	9 (2.9)	3 (1.6)	0.39 ^g
Dactylitis count in patients with dactylitis (1-20)	2.9 (3.2)	3.4 (3.5)	1.3 (0.6)	0.37°
Tender joint count (0-68)	3.1 (7.1)	1.8 (4.7)	5.1 (9.6)	<0.01e
Swollen joint count (0-66)	0.7 (2.4)	0.6 (2.5)	0.9 (2.2)	0.01 ^e
CRP, mg/L	10.0 (21.0)	11.6 (25.6)	7.8 (11.5)	0.53 ^e
ESR, mm/h	15.3 (18.1)	13.4 (17.3)	18.0 (19.0)	<0.01 ^e
Physician global assessment (VAS 0-100)	27.5 (23.0)	25.7 (23.4)	30.8 (22.2)	<0.01 ^e

ASDAS, Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Score; axSpA, axial spondyloarthritis; BASDAI, Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index; BASFI, Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis

Functional Index; CRP, C-reactive protein; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; SPARCC, Spondyloarthritis Research Consortium of Canada; VAS, visual analog scale.

- ^a Values are mean (SD) unless otherwise indicated. All values were calculated based on available data, and all variables had <20% missing data except for ASDAS (n=305), CRP (n=325), and ESR (n=309).
- ^b Six patients did not have sex information available at enrollment or follow-up.
- °P values compare men vs women with axSpA.
- ^d *P* value calculated using two-sample *t* test.
- ^e *P* value calculated using Wilcoxon rank-sum test.
- ^f *P* value calculated using χ^2 test.
- ⁹ P value calculated using Fisher exact test.

Table 3. Patient-reported outcome measures and work productivity and activity impairment in men and women with axSpA at enrollment

Charactariaties	Overall	Men	Women	Byolues
Characteristic ^a	(N=504) ^b	(n=307)	(n=191)	<i>P</i> value ^c
Patient pain (VAS 0-100)	47.8 (29.6)	45.3 (30.5)	51.6 (27.8)	0.03 ^d
Patient fatigue (VAS 0-100)	48.6 (28.7)	45.4 (29.1)	53.9 (27.4)	<0.01 ^d
Morning stiffness, n (%)				
<30 minutes	132 (26.8)	88 (29.4)	43 (22.6)	0.10 ^e
≥30 minutes	360 (73.2)	211 (70.6)	147 (77.4)	00
Patient global assessment (VAS 0-	52.3 (32.4)	52.2 (32.5)	52.5 (33.1)	0.82 ^d
100)	02.0 (02.1)	02.2 (02.0)	02.0 (00.1)	0.02
HAQ-DI (0-3)	0.66 (0.64)	0.58 (0.62)	0.80 (0.65)	<0.01 ^d
HAQ-S (0-3)	0.67 (0.64)	0.59 (0.62)	0.82 (0.65)	<0.01 ^d
EQ VAS (0-100) ^f	64.0 (22.4)	66.2 (22.2)	61.1 (22.4)	<0.01 ^d
Employment				
Work status, n (%)				
Full time	292 (58.6)	190 (62.1)	102 (53.7)	
Part time	31 (6.2)	11 (3.6)	20 (10.5)	
Disabled	74 (14.9)	49 (16.0)	24 (12.6)	<0.01e
Retired	60 (12.0)	38 (12.4)	22 (11.6)	
Other	41 (8.2)	18 (5.9)	22 (11.6)	
Current employment, n (%)	328 (66.1)	206 (67.8)	121 (64.0)	0.39 ^e
WPAI domains				
% Work time missed	6.9 (18.0)	6.7 (18.4)	7.3 (17.4)	0.33 ^d
% Impairment while working	28.8 (26.0)	24.9 (23.8)	35.4 (28.5)	<0.01 ^d

Sex differences in axial spondyloarthritis

% Overall work impairment	31.3 (27.9)	28.4 (27.1)	36.4 (28.6)	0.03 ^d
% Activity impairment	39.9 (30.2)	36.1 (29.7)	45.9 (30.0)	<0.01 ^d

axSpA, axial spondyloarthritis; EQ VAS, EuroQol visual analogue scale; HAQ-DI, Health Assessment Questionnaire Disability Index; HAQ-S, Health Assessment Questionnaire for the Spondyloarthropathies; VAS, visual analog scale; WPAI, Work Productivity and Activity Impairment questionnaire.

^a Values are mean (SD) unless otherwise indicated. All values were calculated based on available data, and all variables had <20% missing data except for patient global assessment (n=144), HAQ-DI (n=392), HAQ-S (n=392), % work time missed (n=299), % impairment while working (n=313), and % overall work impairment (n=289).

- ^b Six patients did not have sex information available at enrollment or follow-up.
- ^c P values compare men vs women with axSpA.
- ^d *P* value calculated using Wilcoxon rank-sum test.
- ^e *P* value calculated using χ^2 test.
- ^f Higher scores indicate better general health.

Table 4. Prior and current treatments in men and women with axSpA at enrollment

Treatment characteristic ^a	Overall	Men	Women	<i>P</i> value ^c
reatment characteristic	(N=504) ^b	(n=307)	(n=191)	P value ^s
Prior medication use				
Biologic	154 (30.6)	89 (29.0)	63 (33.0)	0.35
No. of prior biologics				
0	350 (69.4)	218 (71.0)	128 (67.0)	
1	98 (19.4)	57 (18.6)	39 (20.4)	0.62
≥2	56 (11.1)	32 (10.4)	24 (12.6)	
csDMARD	85 (16.9)	41 (13.4)	42 (22.0)	0.01
No. of prior csDMARDs				
0	419 (83.1)	266 (86.6)	149 (78.0)	
1	64 (12.7)	32 (10.4)	30 (15.7)	0.03
≥2	21 (4.2)	9 (2.9)	12 (6.3)	
Prednisone	59 (11.7)	27 (8.8)	30 (15.7)	0.02
Current medication use				
Biologic	347 (68.8)	216 (70.4)	127 (66.5)	0.37
csDMARD	111 (22.0)	63 (20.5)	47 (24.6)	0.29
Prednisone	28 (5.6)	9 (2.9)	19 (9.9)	<0.01
Analgesics (excluding NSAIDs)	10 (2.0)	4 (1.3)	6 (3.1)	0.19
Opioid	15 (3.0)	8 (2.6)	7 (3.7)	0.59
NSAID use				
Never	419 (83.1)	266 (86.6)	148 (77.5)	
Prior use	24 (4.8)	11 (3.6)	13 (6.8)	0.03
Current use	61 (12.1)	30 (9.8)	30 (15.7)	

axSpA, axial spondyloarthritis; csDMARD, conventional synthetic disease-modifying antirheumatic drug; NSAID, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug.

- ^a Values are n (%). All values were calculated based on available data, and all variables had < 20% missing data.
- ^b Six patients did not have sex information available at enrollment or follow-up.
- $^{\circ}P$ values compare men vs women with axSpA and were calculated using χ^2 tests, except analgesic and opioid use, which were calculated using Fisher exact tests.

Table 5. Prevalence of select comorbidities in men and women with axSpA at enrollment

O a manufactura	Overall	Men	Women	Duralinas
Comorbidity ^a	(N=504) ^b	(n=307)	(n=191)	<i>P</i> value ^c
Hypertension	161 (31.9)	103 (33.6)	55 (28.8)	0.27
Depression	87 (17.3)	37 (12.1)	49 (25.7)	<0.01
Hyperlipidemia	77 (15.3)	48 (15.6)	28 (14.7)	0.77
Uveitis	60 (11.9)	30 (9.8)	27 (14.1)	0.14
Cardiovascular diseased	47 (9.3)	30 (9.8)	16 (8.4)	0.60
Diabetes mellitus	34 (6.7)	21 (6.8)	12 (6.3)	0.81
Psoriasis	30 (6.0)	18 (5.9)	10 (5.2)	0.77
Serious infectione	30 (6.0)	19 (6.2)	10 (5.2)	0.66
Fibromyalgia	24 (4.8)	3 (1.0)	20 (10.5)	<0.01
Any cancer (excluding NMSC)	22 (4.4)	13 (4.2)	9 (4.7)	0.80
Ulcerative colitis	22 (4.4)	9 (2.9)	13 (6.8)	0.04
Anxiety	17 (3.4)	7 (2.3)	10 (5.2)	0.08

axSpA, axial spondyloarthritis; NMSC, nonmelanoma skin cancer.

^a Values are n (%). All values were calculated based on available data, and all variables had < 20% missing data.

^b Six patients did not have sex information available at enrollment or follow-up.

^c P values compare men vs women with axSpA and were calculated using χ² tests.

^d Combined histories of myocardial infarction, acute coronary syndrome, coronary artery disease, congestive heart failure, peripheral arterial thromboembolic event, peripheral artery disease, cardiac revascularization procedure, ventricular arrhythmia, cardiac arrest, unstable angina, stroke, transient ischemic attack, peripheral ischemia or gangrene (necrosis), pulmonary embolism, carotid artery disease, or other cardiovascular event.

Sex differences in axial spondyloarthritis

^e Includes infections that led to hospitalization or intravenous antibiotics: joint/bursa, cellulitis, sinusitis, diverticulitis, sepsis, pneumonia, bronchitis, gastroenteritis, meningitis, urinary tract infection, upper respiratory tract infection, *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, or infection of other specified site.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Supplemental Table 1. Patient demographics and defining clinical characteristics in men and women with AS or nr-axSpA at enrollment

		AS			nr-axSpA	
Characteristic ^a	Men	Women	P	Men	Women	P
	(n=252)	(n=156)	valueb	(n=55)	(n=35)	valuec
Age, mean (SD), years	48.1 (14.4)	48.5 (13.7)	0.82 ^d	43.6 (10.5)	44.4.	0.77 ^e
Race, n (%)						
White	228 (92.3)	143 (93.5)		48 (87.3)	29 (87.9)	
Black	3 (1.2)	5 (3.3)	0.16 ^f	0	1 (3.0)	0.47 ^f
Other	16 (6.5)	5 (3.3)		7 (12.7)	3 (9.1)	
BMI, mean (SD), kg/m ²	29.9 (5.9)	30.3 (9.0)	0.32 ^d	29.2 (6.2)	29.0 (5.8)	0.82 ^d
BMI category, n (%)						
Normal/Underweight (<25 kg/m²)	55 (22.4)	48 (31.2)		9 (17.3)	12 (34.3)	
Overweight (25 to <30 kg/m²)	77 (31.4)	45 (29.2)	0.15 ⁹	25 (48.1)	9 (25.7)	0.07 ^g
Obese (≥30 kg/m²)	113 (46.1)	61 (39.6)		18 (34.6)	14 (40.0)	
Symptom duration, mean (SD), years	17.9 (12.4)	16.1 (11.8)	0.14 ^d	16.3 (12.2)	13.9 (11.0)	0.38 ^d
Disease duration, mean (SD), years	10.6 (11.3)	8.2 (9.9)	0.03 ^d	8.8 (8.2)	7.9 (10.0)	0.34 ^d
Time from symptom onset to diagnosis, mean (SD), years	7.2 (8.6)	7.9 (9.4)	0.74 ^d	7.8 (10.0)	6.1 (6.7)	0.99 ^d

Sex differences in axial spondyloarthritis

HLA-B27 positive test result, n						
	173 (68.7)	97 (62.2)	0.18 ^g	51 (92.7)	27 (77.1)	0.05 ^g
(%)						

AS, ankylosing spondylitis; BMI, body mass index; HLA-B27, human leukocyte antigen B27; nr-axSpA, nonradiographic axial spondyloarthritis.

- ^a All values were calculated based on available data, and all variables had <20% missing data.
- ^b P values compare men vs women with AS.
- °P values compare men vs women with nr-axSpA.
- ^d P value calculated using Wilcoxon rank-sum test.
- ^e *P* value calculated using two-sample *t* test.
- ^f *P* value calculated using Fisher exact test.
- ^g *P* value calculated using χ^2 test.

Supplemental Table 2. Disease activity and clinical features in men and women with AS or nr-axSpA at enrollment

		AS			SpA		
Characteristic ^a	Men	Women	P	Men	Women	P	
	(n=252)	(n=156)	value ^b	(n=55)	(n=35)	value	
ASDAS	2.7 (1.2)	2.8 (1.0)	0.41 ^d	2.3 (1.2)	3.1 (0.8)	<0.01	
BASDAI (0-10)	4.2 (2.5)	4.8 (2.3)	0.02 ^e	3.9 (2.5)	5.4 (2.4)	<0.01	
BASFI (0-10)	3.5 (2.8)	4.1 (2.7)	0.01e	3.0 (2.7)	3.8 (2.7)	0.12 ^e	
Inflammatory back pain,	141 (56.0)	87 (55.8)	0.97 ^f	50 (90.9)	23 (65.7)	<0.01	
Lumbar flexion (modified Schober test), cm	4.3 (3.5)	4.8 (4.2)	0.56e	6.0 (7.5)	5.1 (3.2)	0.68 ^e	
Occiput to wall, cm	6.3 (7.7)	2.7 (5.2)	<0.01e	3.6 (7.5)	2.7 (3.9)	0.51e	
Enthesitis, n (%)	45 (17.9)	54 (34.6)	<0.01 ^f	17 (30.9)	17 (48.6)	0.09 ^f	
SPARCC Enthesitis Index score in patients with enthesitis (1-16)	3.3 (2.6)	4.2 (3.0)	0.16 ^e	3.1 (1.8)	6.6 (3.3)	<0.01	
Dactylitis, n (%)	9 (3.6)	2 (1.3)	0.22 ^g	0	1 (2.9)	0.39 ^g	
Dactylitis count in patients with dactylitis (1-20)	3.4 (3.5)	1.5 (0.7)	0.62 ^e	NA (NA)	1.0 (NA)	NA	
Tender joint count (0-68)	1.6 (4.5)	4.1 (7.9)	<0.01e	2.7 (5.5)	9.9 (14.1)	<0.01	
Swollen joint count (0-66)	0.7 (2.7)	0.7 (1.8)	0.17 ^e	0.3 (1.0)	1.7 (3.1)	<0.01	
CRP, mg/L	12.6 (27.6)	8.4 (12.5)	0.49 ^e	6.0 (6.1)	4.8 (3.5)	0.95€	
ESR, mm/h	14.8 (18.4)	19.5 (20.4)	0.01e	6.5 (6.3)	12.0 (10.6)	<0.01	

Ph	nysician global				00 7 (00 7)							
as	ssessment (VAS 0-100)	26.1 (23.4)	31.7 (22.5)	0.01 ^e	23.5 (23.7)	26.8 (20.7)	0.28 ^e					
	ASDAS, Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Score; AS, ankylosing spondylitis; BASDAI,											
•======================================	Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index; BASFI, Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis											
	Functional Index; CRP, C-	reactive prote	ein; ESR, erytl	nrocyte se	dimentation r	ate; NA, not						
	available; nr-axSpA, nonra	diographic ax	kial spondyloa	rthritis; SF	PARCC, Spon	ndyloarthritis						
	Research Consortium of C	anada; VAS,	visual analog	scale.								
	^a Values are mean (SD) ur	less otherwis	se indicated. A	All values	were calculate	ed based on						
	available data.											
	^b P values compare men v	s women with	AS.									
+	^c P values compare men v	s women with	nr-axSpA.									
	^d P value calculated using	two-sample <i>t</i>	test.									
	^e P value calculated using	Wilcoxon ran	k-sum test.									
	f P value calculated using	ζ² test.										
ccep	^g P value calculated using	Fisher exact	test.									

- ^a Values are mean (SD) unless otherwise indicated. All values were calculated based on available data.
- ^b *P* values compare men vs women with AS.
- ^c P values compare men vs women with nr-axSpA.
- ^d *P* value calculated using two-sample *t* test.
- e P value calculated using Wilcoxon rank-sum test.
- ^f P value calculated using χ² test.
- ⁹ P value calculated using Fisher exact test.

Supplemental Table 3. Patient-reported outcome measures and work productivity and activity impairment in men and women with AS or nr-axSpA at enrollment

		AS		nr-axSpA		
Characteristica	Men	Women	P	Men	Women	P
	(n=252)	(n=156)	value ^b	(n=55)	(n=35)	valuec
Patient pain (VAS 0-100)	46.3 (30.5)	51.1 (28.3)	0.14 ^d	40.8 (30.1)	53.9 (26.1)	0.05 ^d
Patient fatigue (VAS 0-100)	45.7 (29.3)	52.5 (27.8)	0.03 ^d	43.8 (28.5)	60.4 (24.9)	<0.01 ^d
Morning stiffness, n (%)						
<30 minutes	72 (29.5)	33 (21.3)	0.07e	16 (29.1)	10 (28.6)	0.96e
≥30 minutes	172 (70.5)	122 (78.7)	0.07	39 (70.9)	25 (71.4)	0.90
Patient global assessment	55.7 (32.9)	49.6 (31.6)	0.54 ^d	41.0 (29.1)	60.3 (37.3)	0.11 ^f
(VAS 0-100)	33.7 (32.9)	49.0 (31.0)	0.54	41.0 (29.1)	00.3 (37.3)	0.11
HAQ-DI (0-3)	0.59 (0.63)	0.78 (0.65)	<0.01 ^d	0.54 (0.60)	0.88 (0.63)	<0.01 ^d
HAQ-S (0-3)	0.60 (0.63)	0.80 (0.65)	<0.01 ^d	0.56 (0.61)	0.88 (0.63)	0.01 ^d
EQ VAS (0-100) ^g	65.8 (22.2)	60.7 (22.9)	0.02 ^d	67.8 (22.4)	62.5 (20.2)	0.19 ^d
Employment						
Work status, n (%)						
Full time	150 (59.8)	79 (51.0)		40 (72.7)	23 (65.7)	
Part time	9 (3.6)	14 (9.0)		2 (3.6)	6 (17.1)	
Disabled	40 (15.9)	20 (12.9)	0.02e	9 (16.4)	4 (11.4)	0.27 ^h
Retired	36 (14.3)	21 (13.5)		2 (3.6)	1 (2.9)	
Other	16 (6.4)	21 (13.5)		2 (3.6)	1 (2.9)	
Current employment, n	163 (65.5)	94 (60.6)	0.33e	43 (78.2)	27 (79.4)	0.89 ^e
(%)	100 (00.0)	0 1 (00.0)	0.00	10 (70.2)	2. (70.1)	0.00
WPAI domains						

	% Work time missed	7.7 (20.1)	6.0 (14.4)	0.73 ^d	2.8 (9.1)	12.4 (25.5)	0.16 ^d	
	% Impairment while	24.9 (23.5)	32.9 (27.5)	0.05 ^d	24.9 (25.6)	44.4 (30.6)	0.01 ^d	
	working							
	% Overall work	29.0 (27.2)	34.6 (27.7)	0.15 ^d	26.1 (27.0)	43.0 (31.5)	0.04 ^d	
	impairment	,	, ,					
	% Activity impairment	36.2 (29.4)	45.3 (30.8)	<0.01 ^d	35.7 (31.3)	48.3 (26.7)	0.06 ^d	
	AS, ankylosing spondylitis; EQ VAS, EuroQol visual analogue scale; HAQ-DI, Health							
	Assessment Questionnaire Disability Index; HAQ-S, Health Assessment Questionnaire for the							
	Spondyloarthropathies; nr-axSpA, nonradiographic axial spondyloarthritis; VAS, visual analog							
	scale; WPAI, Work Productivity and Activity Impairment questionnaire.							
+	^a Values are mean (SD) unless otherwise indicated.							
	^b P values compare men vs women with AS.							
	°P values compare men vs women with nr-axSpA.							
0	^d P value calculated using Wilcoxon rank-sum test.							
2	$^{\rm e}$ <i>P</i> value calculated using χ^2 test.							
	^f <i>P</i> value calculated using two-sample <i>t</i> test.							
	⁹ Higher scores indicate better general health.							

- ^a Values are mean (SD) unless otherwise indicated.
- ^b *P* values compare men vs women with AS.
- °P values compare men vs women with nr-axSpA.
- ^d *P* value calculated using Wilcoxon rank-sum test.
- ^e P value calculated using χ² test.
- ^f *P* value calculated using two-sample *t* test.
- ⁹ Higher scores indicate better general health.
- ^h *P* value calculated using Fisher exact test.

Supplemental Table 4. Prior and current treatments in men and women with axSpA at enrollment

		AS		nr-axSpA			
Treatment characteristic ^a	Men	Women	P	Men	Women	P	
	(n=252)	(n=156)	value ^b	(n=55)	(n=35)	value ^c	
Prior medication use							
Biologic	71 (28.2)	50 (32.1)	0.41 ^d	18 (32.7)	13 (37.1)	0.67 ^d	
No. of prior biologics							
0	181 (71.8)	106 (67.9)		37 (67.3)	22 (62.9)		
1	47 (18.7)	29 (18.6)	0.46 ^d	10 (18.2)	10 (28.6)	0.47 ^e	
≥2	24 (9.5)	21 (13.5)		8 (14.5)	3 (8.6)		
csDMARD	32 (12.7)	32 (20.5)	0.04 ^d	9 (16.4)	10 (28.6)	0.17 ^d	
No. of prior csDMARDs							
0	220 (87.3)	124 (79.5)		46 (83.6)	25 (71.4)		
1	24 (9.5)	21 (13.5)	0.08 ^d	8 (14.5)	9 (25.7)	0.38 ^e	
≥2	8 (3.2)	11 (7.1)		1 (1.8)	1 (2.9)		
Prednisone	20 (7.9)	22 (14.1)	0.05 ^d	7 (12.7)	8 (22.9)	0.21 ^d	
Current medication use							
Biologic	180 (71.4)	107 (68.6)	0.54 ^d	36 (65.5)	20 (57.1)	0.43 ^d	
csDMARD	48 (19.0)	34 (21.8)	0.50 ^d	15 (27.3)	13 (37.1)	0.32 ^d	
Prednisone	8 (3.2)	14 (9.0)	0.01 ^d	1 (1.8)	5 (14.3)	0.03 ^e	

AS, ankylosing spondylitis; csDMARD, conventional synthetic disease-modifying antirheumatic drug; nr-axSpA, nonradiographic axial spondyloarthritis.

^a Values are n (%). All values were calculated based on available data, and all variables had <20% missing data.

Sex differences in axial spondyloarthritis

- ^b P values compare men vs women with AS.
- °P values compare men vs women with nr-axSpA.
- $^{\text{d}}P$ value calculated using χ^2 test.
- ^e *P* value calculated using Fisher exact test.

Supplemental Table 5. Prevalence of select comorbidities in men and women with AS or nr-axSpA at enrollment

		AS		nr-axSpA			
Comorbidity ^a	Men	Women	P	Men	Women	P	
	(n=252)	(n=156)	value ^b	(n=55)	(n=35)	value ^c	
Hypertension	91 (36.1)	42 (26.9)	0.05 ^d	12 (21.8)	13 (37.1)	0.11 ^d	
Depression	30 (11.9)	38 (24.4)	<0.01 ^d	7 (12.7)	11 (31.4)	0.03 ^d	
Hyperlipidemia	45 (17.9)	23 (14.7)	0.41 ^d	3 (5.5)	5 (14.3)	0.25 ^e	
Uveitis	24 (9.5)	24 (15.4)	0.07 ^d	6 (10.9)	3 (8.6)	1.00 ^e	
Cardiovascular diseasef	27 (10.7)	12 (7.7)	0.31 ^d	3 (5.5)	4 (11.4)	0.42 ^e	
Diabetes mellitus	17 (6.7)	11 (7.1)	0.91 ^d	4 (7.3)	1 (2.9)	0.65 ^e	
Psoriasis	17 (6.7)	5 (3.2)	0.12 ^d	1 (1.8)	5 (14.3)	0.03 ^e	
Serious infection ^g	15 (6.0)	9 (5.8)	0.94 ^d	4 (7.3)	1 (2.9)	0.65 ^e	
Fibromyalgia	2 (0.8)	16 (10.3)	<0.01 ^d	1 (1.8)	4 (11.4)	0.07 ^e	
Any cancer (excluding NMSC)	12 (4.8)	6 (3.8)	0.66 ^d	1 (1.8)	3 (8.6)	0.30e	
Ulcerative colitis	6 (2.4)	12 (7.7)	0.01 ^d	3 (5.5)	1 (2.9)	1.00#	
Anxiety	7 (2.8)	10 (6.4)	0.07 ^d	0	0	NA	

AS, ankylosing spondylitis; NA, not available; NMSC, nonmelanoma skin cancer; nr-axSpA, nonradiographic axial spondyloarthritis.

- ^a Values are n (%). All values were calculated based on available data, and all variables had <20% missing data.
- ^b P values compare men vs women with AS.
- °P values compare men vs women with nr-axSpA.
- $^{\text{d}}$ *P* value calculated using χ^2 test.

Sex differences in axial spondyloarthritis

^e P value calculated using Fisher exact test.

^f Combined histories of myocardial infarction, acute coronary syndrome, coronary artery disease, congestive heart failure, peripheral arterial thromboembolic event, peripheral artery disease, cardiac revascularization procedure, ventricular arrhythmia, cardiac arrest, unstable angina, stroke, transient ischemic attack, peripheral ischemia or gangrene (necrosis), pulmonary embolism, carotid artery disease, or other cardiovascular event.

⁹ Includes infections that led to hospitalization or intravenous antibiotics: joint/bursa, cellulitis, sinusitis, diverticulitis, sepsis, pneumonia, bronchitis, gastroenteritis, meningitis, urinary tract infection, upper respiratory tract infection, *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, or infection of other specified site.

