ABSTRACT. Objective. The purpose of the ULISSE study was to evaluate the prevalence of clinical and ultrasonographic (US) entheseal involvement in patients with psoriatic arthritis (PsA), psoriasis, and fibromyalgia syndrome (FMS).

Methods. In this cross-sectional multicenter study, patients with PsA and psoriasis (not taking systemic therapy) and FMS underwent a clinical evaluation of the entheses, and a B-mode and power Doppler examination of 6 pairs of entheses.

Results. The study analyzed 140 patients with PsA, 51 with psoriasis, and 51 with FMS. Clinical and US examinations were performed in 1960 and 1680 entheses in the PsA group, and 714 and 612 entheses both in the psoriasis group and in the FMS group. In both per-patient and per-enthesis evaluation, the frequency of entheseal tenderness was higher in patients with FMS (92% of the patients and 46% of the entheses, compared with 66%/23% in the PsA group and 59%/18% in the psoriasis group). With US examination, signs of entheseal involvement were more frequent in both the per-patient and per-enthesis evaluation in PsA and psoriasis (about 90% of patients in both the PsA and psoriasis groups and 75% of patients in the FMS group had at least 1 site affected, and 54%, 41%, and 27% of the pairs of entheses in, respectively, PsA, psoriasis, and FMS patients showed at least 1 enthesis involved).

Conclusion. The ULISSE study indicated that enthesitis is a common feature in patients with PsA, those with psoriasis, and in those with FMS if only clinical examination is used. US entheseal assessment showed findings more consistent with the 3 disorders. (J Rheumatol First Release March 15 2019; doi:10.3899/jrheum.171411)
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Psoriatic arthritis (PsA) is a chronic inflammatory musculoskeletal disorder affecting patients with psoriasis or with a familial predisposition to psoriasis, which belongs to the spondyloarthritis (SpA) family of inflammatory rheumatic diseases. Patients with PsA present with various combinations of peripheral joint synovitis, dactylitis, enthesitis, and spondylitis. Enthesitis is considered the hallmark of the SpA and occurs frequently in patients with PsA. It may involve few or many sites, superficial or deep entheses, and it may be asymptomatic or very painful and disabling2,3,4,5,6,7,8.

Clinical assessment of enthesitis is often difficult because of the frequent lack of apparent signs of inflammation and the involvement of clinically inaccessible sites. A number of studies have described the use of ultrasonography (US) as a useful tool to evaluate enthesitis in patients with SpA and PsA9-18. These studies observed a low concordance rate of the frequent lack of apparent signs of inflammation and the involvement of clinically inaccessible sites. A number of studies have described the use of ultrasonography (US) as a useful tool to evaluate enthesitis in patients with SpA and PsA9-18. These studies observed a low concordance rate of

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design. This cross-sectional multicenter study was conducted in 10 Italian rheumatologic centers collaborating with dermatologic centers with a recognized expertise in PsA and US imaging. The study was approved by the local ethics committees of all of the participating centers according to Italian current legislation on epidemiological studies (First approval: prot n. 11/2011 dated 15 Dec 2011 of the EC Comitato Etico della provincia di Ferrara).

Study populations. Adult patients (age 18–65 yrs) were enrolled if they had PsA according to the Classification for Psoriatic Arthritis (CASPAR) criteria20, psoriasis diagnosed by a dermatologist, or a diagnosis of FMS based on a rheumatologist’s opinion. Written consent was required, according to the Declaration of Helsinki.

Patients with PsA were excluded from the study if they had concomitant FMS, psoriasis and FMS, or any musculoskeletal complaint; patients with FMS were excluded if they had any form of arthritis. Other exclusion criteria were presence or history of other concomitant rheumatic inflammatory disorders and inflammatory bowel diseases, presence of tendinitis due to overuse or physical stress, and recent articular injury. Patients receiving current treatment with systemic corticosteroids, disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARD), including biologics, and intraarticular or intraenthesal corticosteroids during the past 4 weeks were excluded, as were patients participating in clinical trials or with any medical condition that could have jeopardized their ability to participate in the study.

Collection of clinical data. Personal and clinical data were collected by rheumatologists using an electronic case report form. Data were collected including patient demographics, anthropometry, lifestyle, social profile, date of disease onset and diagnosis, comorbid diseases, and medications. Clinical examination consisted of joint count (28 joints for swelling and tenderness) and enthesis evaluation. The following entheses were examined for tenderness and swelling bilaterally: common extensor tendon (CET) insertion on the lateral epicondyle of the humerus, quadriceps tendon (QT), patellar tendon (PT), tibial tuberosity, knee medial collateral ligament (MCL), Achilles tendon (AT), and plantar fascia (PF) insertion on the calcaneus. These scores were calculated: Leeds Enthesitis Index (LEI)21, Maastricht Ankylosing Spondylitis Enthesitis Score (MASDES)22, and the 28-joint count Disease Activity Score (DAS28)23. In addition to clinical data, C-reactive protein and erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) levels were measured.

Collection of US data. US was performed in B-mode and PD mode. All centers used the same US machine (Esaote MyLab70) equipped with 18-6 MHz and 13-5 MHz multifrequency linear probe. To standardize the US evaluation of the enthesal sites, all the sonographers attended a training meeting. In addition, they were given a booklet with standard US imaging instructions. All the operators were experienced in musculoskeletal US and blinded to diagnosis and clinical findings. All the scan images were recorded for digital imaging. The US evaluation was performed within 2 weeks of the clinical evaluation. The following enthesal sites were examined bilaterally:
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- Knee medial collateral ligament (MCL)
- Plantar fascia (PF)
- Achilles tendon (AT)
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in transverse and longitudinal according to a standard protocol: CET, QT, PT, MCL, AT, and PF. In B-mode assessment, all the following abnormal findings were recorded: entheal thickening measured at 2 mm proximal to the bony contour (abnormality definitions: quadriceps tendon > 6.1 mm, proximal and distal patellar ligament > 4 mm, Achilles tendon > 5.29 mm, planter aponeurosis > 4.4 mm)\(^{10}\), entheal hypoechogenicity (defined as loss of normal fibrillar architecture), peritenon hypoechogenicity (defined as presence of blurring contours of tendon), bony erosions (defined as a cortical break with a stepdown contour defect, seen in 2 perpendicular planes, at the insertion of the enthesis to the bone), enthesophytes (defined by bony prominence at the end of the normal bone contour, seen in 2 perpendicular planes, with or without acoustic shadow), and enlargement of bursae (defined as the presence of enlarged bursae at their anatomic sites as a well-circumscribed localized anechoic or hypoechoic area at the site of an anatomic bursa compressible by the transducer). These lesions were scored as 1 or 0 if present or absent. Enthesal thickening, enthesal hypoechogenicity, peritenon hypoechogenicity, and bursal enlargement were considered acute lesions. Bony erosions, calcifications, and enthesophytes were considered chronic lesions. Entheses were scored globally as 1 (presence of ≥ 1 lesion) and separately as 1 for acute involvement (presence of ≥ 1 acute lesion), and 1 for chronic involvement (presence of ≥ 1 chronic lesion). Vascularization was examined using PD mode, standardized with a pulse repetition frequency of 750 Hz and a PD gain of 50–53 dB. Vascularization was studied at the following areas: cortical bone insertion, body of tendon, bursa, and junction between the tendon and enthesis. The detection of vascularization in any of these areas was considered abnormal. Enthesis US vascularity was classified into 4 distinctive patterns according to the number of vessels involved: 0 = none; 1 = 1 to 3 vessels; 2 = 4 to 5 vessels; 3 = more than 5 vessels. The presence of PD signal > 1 was considered indicative of an acute lesion.

**Statistical analysis.** Because this was a study intended to generate descriptive statistics only, no formal sample size calculation was performed; therefore, a total sample of 250 subjects was considered appropriate to provide preliminary indications. Descriptive statistics and differences among the 3 study groups were presented for continuous variables, and absolute and relative frequencies for categorical variables. Given the nature of the study, statistical comparisons were not needed. However, variables were compared to provide a better measure of the differences. For continuous variables, comparison among groups was tested by means of a t test or an analysis of variance for normally distributed variables, and a Mann-Whitney U test or Kruskal-Wallis test for the other variables. The chi-square test or the Fisher exact test were used for categorical variables as appropriate. Whenever necessary, normality was assessed by means of the Shapiro-Wilk test.

Sex, body mass index (BMI), age, disease duration, and diagnosis were correlated through linear regression models with US results, keeping male sex and FMS group as reference categories.

Frequencies and percentages of each distinct type of abnormality, without specifying the enthesis, were also analyzed. These percentages were calculated using the total number of possible abnormalities investigated per enthesis (10), multiplied by the 2 sides (right and left), multiplied by the total number of patients for each group. The sensitivity and specificity of US signs of enthesitis versus clinical examination were computed for each site. Positive predictive value, negative predictive value, and Cohen’s κ coefficient between US and clinical findings were also calculated, including confidence. An additional analysis of the results for the same sites was performed considering only PD data. Correlations with disease activity indices were performed only for patients with PsA. The level of significance was set at 0.05.

Data were analyzed using the SAS system for Windows version 9.2 (SAS Institute) and SPSS v.22 (IBM Statistics).

**RESULTS**

The final study population included 242 patients: 140 with PsA, 51 with psoriasis, and 51 with FMS. Main demographic and general data of the 3 study populations are reported in Table 1. Among the groups, statistically significant differences were found for sex (more female patients in the FMS group; p < 0.0001), disease duration (higher in the psoriasis group; p < 0.0001), BMI (lower in the FMS group; p = 0.001), and DAS28 (higher in the PsA group; p < 0.0001).

**Clinical evaluation.** The global number of clinically evaluated entheses was 1960 in the PsA group, 714 for psoriasis, and 714 for FMS. Clinical signs (tenderness and/or swelling) of enthesitis were found in 92.2% of patients with FMS, 66.4% of those with PsA, and 58.8% of those with psoriasis. The percentages of entheses with clinical signs of enthesitis were 45.6% in FMS, 23.1% in PsA, and 18.1% in psoriasis. AT was the only enthesal site with a similar percentage of involvement in patients with PsA and FMS (29.3% and 25.5%, respectively) and CET was the enthesis with the greatest difference between these 2 patient groups (38.6% and 76.5%, respectively). The median values of LEI and MASES are reported in Table 2.

**US evaluation.** The global number of US-evaluated entheses was 1680 in the PsA group, 612 in the psoriasis group, and 612 in the FMS group. Most patients had ≥ 1 abnormality detected by US, including 92.1% with PsA, 90% with psoriasis, and 74.6% with FMS. Considering B-mode evaluation only, the results were similar, with 90% of patients with PsA, 92.2% with psoriasis, and 62.7% with FMS having ≥ 1 abnormality. Using PD-mode evaluation, ≥ 1 abnormality was noted in 59.3% of patients with PsA, 47.1% with psoriasis, and 35.3% with FMS.

Grouping the entheses in pairs, a ≥ 1 US abnormality was observed in 53.7% of the pairs in patients with PsA, 41.2% in patients with psoriasis, and 27.4% in FMS. These percentages remained similar considering only the B-mode evaluation (49.5%, 37.6%, and 22.6%, respectively), but decreased at the PD-mode evaluation (19.2%, 12.7%, and 7.8%, respectively). The median B-mode and PD-mode scores are reported in Table 2. The number of abnormalities by PD assessment was similar in patients with PsA and psoriasis and higher in these 2 study populations than in the FMS population.

The models of linear regression created to evaluate which factors (among sex, diagnosis, age, BMI, and disease duration) were independently predictive of the highest US scores (Supplementary Tables I–11, available with the online version of this article) yielded the following associations: PsA with total US score ($R^2 = 0.130$, $\beta = 6.383$, 95% CI 3.237–9.529, $p < 0.001$); PsA with PD score ($R^2 = 0.059$, $\beta = 2.142$, 95% CI 0.554–3.731, $p = 0.008$); PsA with B-mode score ($R^2 = 0.155$, 95% CI 2.313–6.169, $p < 0.001$), age with B-mode score ($\beta = 0.072$, 95% CI 0.003–0.141, $p = 0.042$), and BMI with B-mode score ($\beta = 0.166$, 95% CI 0.018–0.314, $p = 0.028$); PsA with acute B-mode score ($R^2 = 0.114$, $\beta = 2.504$, 95% CI 0.974–4.035, $p = 0.001$) and BMI with acute B-mode score ($\beta = 0.152$, 95% CI 0.035–0.270, $p = 0.011$); PsA with chronic B-mode score ($R^2 = 0.156$, $\beta = 1.736$, 95% CI 0.841–2.631, $p < 0.001$) and age with
The most common abnormalities were enthesophytes and chronic B-mode score ($\beta = 0.0777$, 95% CI 0.045–0.109, p < 0.001); PsA with AT B-mode score ($R^2 = 0.095$, $\beta = 1.131$, 95% CI 0.466–1.795, p = 0.001); PsA with PT B-mode score ($R^2 = 0.123$, $\beta = 0.923$, 95% CI 0.424–0.1422, p < 0.001) and BMI with PT B-mode score ($\beta = 0.043$, 95% CI 0.005–0.081, p = 0.027); and PsA with QT B-mode score ($R^2 = 0.045$, $\beta = 0.864$, 95% CI 0.233–1.495, p = 0.007).

Frequency of the US lesions found at the examined enthesal sites with B-mode and PD-mode US are reported in Table 3 and Table 4, respectively.

The most common abnormalities were enthesophytes and enthesal hypoechogenicity, which were found in 20.1% and 17.4% of patients with PsA, in 18.5% and 10.9% with psoriasis, and in 8% and 6.7% with FMS, respectively. Enthesal thickening, enthesal hypoechogenicity, periarticular hypoechogenicity, and bursal enlargement were all more frequent in the entheses of patients with PsA than in those with FMS. Most of the B-mode lesions were more frequent in patients with psoriasis than in patients with FMS, especially enthesal thickening, enthesal hypoechogenicity, periarticular hypoechogenicity, and enthesophytes.

### Table 1. Patient demographics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>PsA, n = 140</th>
<th>Psoriasis, n = 51</th>
<th>FMS, n = 51</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex, M/F</td>
<td>70/70</td>
<td>23/28</td>
<td>4/47</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, yrs</td>
<td>48 (40–58)</td>
<td>51 (44–57)</td>
<td>50 (42–57)</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease duration, yrs*</td>
<td>5.6 (1–8)</td>
<td>12 (6.0–23)</td>
<td>3.5 (2–10)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m²</td>
<td>25.6 (22.0–29.1)</td>
<td>27.1 (24.3–30.4)</td>
<td>23.4 (21.5–24.7)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comorbidities, n (%)†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular</td>
<td>30 (21.43)</td>
<td>15 (29.41)</td>
<td>11 (21.57)</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabolic, nutrition</td>
<td>18 (12.86)</td>
<td>7 (13.75)</td>
<td>5 (9.8)</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endocrine</td>
<td>14 (10)</td>
<td>4 (7.84)</td>
<td>8 (15.69)</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAS28</td>
<td>3.05 (2.29–4.06)</td>
<td>2.30 (1.67–2.84)</td>
<td>2.49 (2.07–4.02)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESR, mm/h</td>
<td>12.0 (6.0–22.0)</td>
<td>10.0 (6.0–14.0)</td>
<td>7.0 (5.0–14.2)</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP, mg/dl</td>
<td>0.30 (0.10–0.90)</td>
<td>0.30 (0.15–0.59)</td>
<td>0.20 (0.10–0.30)</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking, n (%)</td>
<td>32 (23)</td>
<td>14 (27)</td>
<td>17 (33)</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol, n (%)</td>
<td>63 (45)</td>
<td>15 (29)</td>
<td>19 (37)</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of pain medications, n (%)</td>
<td>22 (15.71)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6 (11.76)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Continuous variables are reported as median and interquartile range. * Since symptoms onset. † Only comorbidities present in > 3% of patients are reported. BMI: body mass index; CRP: C-reactive protein; DAS28: 28-joint count Disease Activity Score; ESR: erythrocyte sedimentation rate; FMS: fibromyalgia syndrome; ns: not significant; PsA: psoriatic arthritis.

### Table 2. Scores of enthesitis (clinical and US) among different diagnoses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score Types</th>
<th>PsA, n = 140</th>
<th>Psoriasis, n = 51</th>
<th>FMS, n = 51</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LEI painful</td>
<td>1 (0–2)</td>
<td>0 (0–2)</td>
<td>3 (2–4)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEI swollen</td>
<td>0 (0–0)</td>
<td>0 (0–0)</td>
<td>0 (0–0)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEI painful + swollen</td>
<td>1 (0–3)</td>
<td>0 (0–2)</td>
<td>3 (2–4)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MASES</td>
<td>1 (0–6)</td>
<td>0 (0–4)</td>
<td>7 (3–11)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MASES upper body</td>
<td>0 (0–3)</td>
<td>0 (0–2)</td>
<td>5 (2–9)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MASES legs</td>
<td>0 (0–2)</td>
<td>0 (0–1)</td>
<td>1 (0–3)</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-mode chronic lesions*</td>
<td>2 (0–5)</td>
<td>2 (1–4)</td>
<td>0 (0–1)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-mode acute lesions**</td>
<td>3 (1–6.75)</td>
<td>2 (0–5)</td>
<td>1 (0–3)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-mode chronic + acute lesions</td>
<td>6 (3.25–11)</td>
<td>4 (2–9)</td>
<td>2 (0–5)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD abnormalities</td>
<td>1 (0–5)</td>
<td>0 (0–2)</td>
<td>0 (0–1)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD lesions, n (%)</td>
<td>83 (59)</td>
<td>24 (47)</td>
<td>18 (35)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ (1–3 vessels)</td>
<td>38 (27)</td>
<td>15 (29)</td>
<td>11 (22)</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>++ (4–5 vessels)</td>
<td>25 (18)</td>
<td>6 (12)</td>
<td>4 (8)</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+++ (&gt; 5 vessels)</td>
<td>20 (14)</td>
<td>3 (6)</td>
<td>3 (6)</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Scores are reported as median (25th percentile–75th percentile), except where indicated. * Bony erosions, calcifications, and enthesophytes. ** Enthesal thickening, enthesal hypoechogenicity, periarticular hypoechogenicity, and bursal enlargement. FMS: fibromyalgia syndrome; LEI: Leeds Enthesitis Index; MASES: Maastricht Ankylosing Spondylitis Enthesitis Score; PD: power Doppler; PsA: psoriatic arthritis; US: ultrasonography; ns: not significant.
Table 3. Frequency of the various US B-mode changes at each examined entheseal site in the 3 populations.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enthesal Thickening</th>
<th>Enthesal Hypoechogenicity</th>
<th>Peritendon</th>
<th>Bony Erosions</th>
<th>Enthesophytes</th>
<th>Enlarged Bursa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>PsA</strong></td>
<td>Psoriasis</td>
<td>FMS</td>
<td>PsA</td>
<td>Psoriasis</td>
<td>FMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypoechogenicity</td>
<td>Entheseal site</td>
<td>PsA</td>
<td>Psoriasis</td>
<td>FMS</td>
<td>PsA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>on the epicondyle</td>
<td>21 (15)</td>
<td>6 (11.8)</td>
<td>10 (20)</td>
<td>10 (20)</td>
<td>31 (22.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quadriceps tendon</td>
<td>4 (2.9)</td>
<td>3 (5.9)</td>
<td>4 (2.9)</td>
<td>3 (5.9)</td>
<td>1 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patellar tendon</td>
<td>49 (35)</td>
<td>9 (17.6)</td>
<td>49 (35)</td>
<td>11 (21.6)</td>
<td>4 (2.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medial collateral ligament</td>
<td>9 (6.4)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9 (6.4)</td>
<td>11 (7.9)</td>
<td>2 (1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Achilles tendon</td>
<td>32 (22.9)</td>
<td>10 (19.6)</td>
<td>32 (22.9)</td>
<td>10 (19.6)</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plantar fascia insertion on the calcaneus</td>
<td>30 (21.4)</td>
<td>7 (13.7)</td>
<td>30 (21.4)</td>
<td>7 (13.7)</td>
<td>4 (2.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are shown as no. patients (%) and expressed in terms of affected patients. *PsA: n = 140 patients; psoriasis: n = 51 patients; FMS: n = 51 patients. † Significant difference vs PsA. § Significant difference vs FMS. FMS: fibromyalgia syndrome; PsA: psoriatic arthritis; US: ultrasonography.

DISCUSSION

This study provided a number of interesting findings about enthesial involvement in patients with PsA, psoriasis, and FMS. Using tenderness upon pressure on the enthesial site, enthesitis was more frequent in FMS than in patients with PsA and psoriasis. Given the abnormalities in pain perception typical of patients with FMS, this finding is not surprising and suggests that tenderness upon pressure is a poorly specific indicator of enthesitis. In distinguishing between PsA and FMS, these findings seem to indicate that patients with FMS have more tender entheses than do patients with PsA, especially in the upper body, confirming the results of a previous report. The similar frequency of enthesial involvement recorded in patients with PsA and psoriasis was another interesting finding of this study, confirming that

Regarding abnormalities for individual entheses, changes were found most commonly at the AT and QT: 13% and 10.9% in the PsA group, 9.1% and 9.3% in the psoriasis group, and 4% and 5.5% in the FMS group, respectively. At the PD assessment, the AT in the PsA group (7.1%), the PT in the psoriasis group (4.4%), and the CET insertions in the FMS group (3.2%) were the most common localizations (Supplementary Table 12, available with the online version of this article).

Globally, the presence of intraentheseal PD signal was higher at the cortical/bone insertion in patients with PsA than in patients with psoriasis and FMS. At the preinsertion level, patients with PsA and psoriasis had higher vascular signal than patients with FMS.

The results of the comparison between clinical and US assessment per patient and per enthesis are shown in Table 5. Sensitivity of the US assessment was higher in the per-entheses analysis (ranging from 0.74 for FMS to 0.93 for psoriasis) than in the per-enthesis analysis (from 0.25 for FMS to 0.60 for PsA), while specificity was higher in the per-entheses analysis (from 0.48 for PsA to 0.71 for FMS) than in the per-entheses analysis (from 0.06 for PsA to 0.25 for FMS). Globally, Cohen’s κ values were very low, ranging from −0.05 to 0.06. As for the individual entheses, correlations were low at all sites. The highest Cohen’s κ value (0.243) was found in the AT with the PD evaluation in the per-entheses analysis.

The comparison between PsA/psoriasis patients with or without enthesal clinical involvement indicated that patients with clinical enthesitis were more often female (F/M = 70/42), had higher ESR values (mean ESR, 15 vs 11 mm/h), and higher DAS28 values (3.42 vs 2.83). Considering the US changes, the presence of lesions was more frequent in female patients (F/M = 23.1 vs 25.9). In the PsA group, DAS28 values were higher in patients with MASES scores > 1 (median, 3.42 vs 2.83); however, this was not the case for the entheseal B-mode or PD-mode scores > 1.
patients with psoriasis may have enthesitis without having symptoms of PsA\textsuperscript{12}. However, because about 16\% of patients with PsA were taking pain medications, clinical signs of enthesitis in this group of patients might have been slightly underestimated. Swelling at the entheseal site, which was observed only in patients with PsA, was present in just 9\% of these patients.

Assessment by US showed a higher prevalence of signs of entheseal involvement in patients with PsA and psoriasis than in patients with FMS. Most of these US changes were seen by B-mode evaluation. PD-mode findings were much less frequent in all study populations, but they were still more frequent in patients with PsA, followed by psoriasis and FMS. The differences in sex, age, BMI, and disease duration among the 3 study populations might have biased the US findings. However, the linear regression models showed that PsA was the only factor independently associated with all of the US global scores. This disease was also associated with the B-mode lesions in the AT, PT, and QT. In contrast, no association was found for psoriasis and FMS. BMI and age showed a significant influence on the global B-mode score, indicating that these 2 factors have a role in the occurrence of entheseal lesions. At the level of the weight-bearing entheses, an association with the BMI was only found in the PT. These data indicate that, in our study populations, entheses, an association with the BMI was only found in the level of entheseal inflammation might be the pathogenetic mechanism underlying the switch from psoriasis to PsA\textsuperscript{26}.

Another interesting finding of the US evaluation was that

\begin{table}
\centering
\begin{tabular}{l|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c}
\hline
\textbf{Enthesal site} & \textbf{PsA} & \textbf{Psoriasis} & \textbf{FMS} & \textbf{PsA} & \textbf{Psoriasis} & \textbf{FMS} & \textbf{PsA} & \textbf{Psoriasis} & \textbf{FMS} & \textbf{PsA} & \textbf{Psoriasis} & \textbf{FMS} \\
\hline
\textbf{Common extensor insertion} & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\textbf{on the epicondyle} & 15 (10.7) & 4 (7.8) & 3 (5.9) & 18 (12.9) & 5 (9.8) & 3 (5.9) & 21 (15) & 3 (5.9) & 5 (9.8) & 0 & 1 (2) & 1 (2) \\
\textbf{Quadriceps tendon} & 10 (7.1) & 5 (9.8) & 1 (2) & 8 (5.7) & 3 (5.9) & 2 (3.9) & 7 (5) & 2 (3.9) & 0 & 5 (3.6) & 0 & 1 (2) \\
\textbf{Patellar tendon} & 13 (9.3) & 6 (11.8) & 1 (2) & 24 (17.1) & 8 (15.7) & 3 (5.9) & 7 (5) & 1 (2) & 1 (2) & 2 (1.4) & 1 (2) & 0 \\
\textbf{Medial collateral ligament} & 18 (12.9) & 2 (3.9) & 1 (2) & 8 (5.7) & 1 (2) & 1 (2) & 12 (8.6) & 0 & 1 (2) & 1 (2) & 0 & 0 \\
\textbf{Achilles tendon} & 18 (12.9) & 2 (3.9) & 3 (5.9) & 18 (12.9) & 2 (3.9) & 1 (2) & 14 (10) & 4 (7.8) & 2 (3.9) & 11 (7.9) & 3 (5.9) & 1 (2) \\
\textbf{Common flexor insertion} & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\textbf{on the calcaneus} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 (0.7) & 1 (2) & 0 & 1 (0.7) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\textbf{Plantar fascia insertion} & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\textbf{fibromyalgia syndrome; PsA: psoriatic arthritis; PD-US: power Doppler ultrasonography.}
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

Data are shown as no. patients (%) and expressed in terms of affected patients. * PsA: n = 140 patients; psoriasis: n = 51 patients; FMS: n = 51 patients. FMS: fibromyalgia syndrome; PsA: psoriatic arthritis; PD-US: power Doppler ultrasonography.

\begin{table}
\centering
\begin{tabular}{l|l|l|l|l|l}
\hline
\textbf{Variables} & \textbf{PsA} & \textbf{Psoriasis} & \textbf{FMS} & \textbf{PsA} & \textbf{Psoriasis} & \textbf{FMS} \\
\hline
\textbf{Per patient} & 0.91 (0.86–0.97) & 0.06 (0.00–0.13) & 0.66 (0.58–0.74) & 0.27 (0.01–0.54) & 0.03 (–0.14 to 0.08) \\
\textbf{Per enthesis} & 0.60 (0.53–0.66) & 0.48 (0.44–0.52) & 0.28 (0.24–0.33) & 0.78 (0.74–0.82) & 0.06 (0.00–0.12) \\
\textbf{Psoriasis} & 0.93 (0.84–1.00) & 0.10 (0.00–0.22) & 0.60 (0.46–0.74) & 0.30 (0.01–0.99) & 0.03 (–0.14 to 0.21) \\
\textbf{Per patient} & 0.43 (0.30–0.56) & 0.59 (0.53–0.65) & 0.20 (0.13–0.27) & 0.82 (0.76–0.87) & 0.02 (–0.08 to 0.11) \\
\textbf{Per enthesis} & 0.74 (0.62–0.87) & 0.25 (0.00–0.67) & 0.92 (0.84–1.00) & 0.08 (0.00–0.22) & 0.00 (–0.22 to 0.22) \\
\textbf{FMS} & 0.25 (0.17–0.32) & 0.71 (0.64–0.77) & 0.36 (0.25–0.46) & 0.59 (0.52–0.65) & 0.05 (–0.16 to 0.06) \\
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

The findings are reported as mean values (lower–upper limit) of all of the entheses assessed both clinically and by US. Data were computed considering clinical assessment as the gold standard. FMS: fibromyalgia syndrome; NPV: negative predictive value; PPV: positive predictive value; PsA: psoriatic arthritis; US: ultrasonography.
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signs of enthesitis were also found in patients with FMS, a disorder usually considered noninflammatory in nature. Because there was no control group of healthy subjects, the relevance of this result is unknown. US signs of enthesopathy, however, do not seem to be uncommon in healthy subjects, either27. Thus, in terms of diagnostic distinction between PsA and FMS, the presence of an individual US-positive enthesis is not helpful. In contrast, a high number of US-involved entheses makes PsA a more likely diagnosis; this finding is concordant with a previous study28. In addition, because the number of virtually all US changes was significantly higher in PsA than in FMS patients, an elevated entheseal US score points toward a diagnosis of PsA, as well as the presence of PD signal. This is especially true if the PD signal is grade > 1 and localized at the cortical/bone insertion. The difference in US lesions between PsA and FMS was more evident in AT, and to a lesser extent, in QT and PT. AT and QT showed the highest number of US abnormalities. This finding seems to support the theory that biomechanical stress may have a role in the pathogenesis of PsA enthesitis29.

A secondary objective of our study was to compare US and clinical assessments. The results indicated that the concordance between the 2 assessments was very low, not only for chronic lesions, which may be asymptomatic, but also for B-mode acute abnormalities and PD-mode changes. This result confirms the already-reported poor correlation between clinical and US assessment of enthesitis in patients with PsA and SpA17,30,31,32.

Another secondary objective of our study was to examine the correlation between disease activity and entheseal involvement in patients with PsA. An association was found between clinical enthesitis and DAS28, which may be explained by the pain element shared by these 2 evaluations. In contrast, no correlation was seen between US enthesitis and DAS28, probably owing to low concordance between clinical and US findings of enthesal involvement. Another interesting result of our study was that females had more clinical and US entheseal involvement than men, a finding that might indicate a possible role of sex in the development of PsA phenotypes.

Our study had some limitations. First, the 3 groups were not matched for BMI, disease duration, and sex. Second, because patients receiving DMARD were excluded, the PsA and psoriasis populations consisted of patients with relatively mild disease. Third, no control group of healthy subjects was evaluated. Finally, because data on skin and nail involvement were not collected, a possible influence of the cutaneous condition on enthesitis could not be examined.

The ULISSE study showed that enthesitis is a common feature in patients with PsA and psoriasis. The main difference between these 2 conditions was that in patients with psoriasis, the US findings were less pronounced than in those with PsA. Enthesitis defined by tenderness upon pressure was very common in patients with FMS, a clear indication of the poor specificity of this definition of enthesitis. Regarding differential diagnosis, various clinical and US findings may help distinguish PsA from FSM. Enthesal sites exposed to a greater biomechanical stress were more likely to have US signs of enthesopathy, a finding with interesting pathogenetic implications. US assessment of the entheses is more objective than clinical evaluation, but a combination of clinical and US findings might be the best approach for a more reliable definition of enthesitis.
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