Anakinra for Rheumatoid Arthritis: A Systematic Review
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ABSTRACT. Objective. To perform a systematic review of clinical effectiveness and safety of anakinra in rheumatoid arthritis (RA).

Methods. We searched Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), MEDLINE, EMBASE, CINAHL, and the reference lists of included articles for randomized controlled trials comparing anakinra to placebo in adults with RA.

Results. Five trials involving 2846 patients, 781 randomized to placebo and 2065 to anakinra, were included. There was a significant improvement in the number of participants achieving American College of Rheumatology (ACR)20 (38% vs 23%) treated with anakinra 50–150 mg daily versus placebo after 24 weeks. ACR50 (18% vs 7%), ACR70 (7% vs 2%), Health Assessment Questionnaire, visual analog scale for pain, Larsen radiographic scores, and erythrocyte sedimentation rate all demonstrated significant improvement with anakinra versus placebo as well. There were no statistically significant differences noted in the number of withdrawals, deaths, adverse events (total and serious), and infections (total and serious). An increase in incidence of serious infections in anakinra versus the placebo group (1.8% vs 0.6%) was noted that may be clinically significant. Injection site reactions were significantly increased, occurring in 71% of anakinra versus 28% of placebo group.

Conclusion. Anakinra is a relatively safe and modestly efficacious biologic therapy for RA. More studies are needed to evaluate safety and efficacy, especially in comparison to other therapies, and adverse event data for the longterm use of anakinra have yet to be assessed. (J Rheumatol First Release May 15 2009; doi:10.3899/jrheum.090074)
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Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is the most common systemic inflammatory arthritis in adults, affecting 0.5% to 1% of the population worldwide1, leading to significant pain, stiffness, and functional limitation, reduced quality of life, and work disability2. The pathogenesis of RA is largely driven by the activation of T cells3 and macrophages4 and subsequent release of various chemokines, metalloproteinases, and inflammatory cytokines, including tumor necrosis factor (TNF), interleukin 1 (IL-1), and IL-65. These cytokines initiate and maintain the synovial and systemic inflammatory process present in RA.

In the past decade, a novel class of therapies called the biologics, which target specific inflammatory cytokines such as TNF-α and IL-1, have greatly improved and expanded treatment for RA. Anakinra is an IL-1 receptor antagonist (IL-1ra) that is currently approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for moderate-severe RA that has been unresponsive to initial disease modifying antirheumatic drug (DMARD) therapy. Animal models that develop an overproduction of IL-1 have demonstrated cartilage and joint histopathologic changes that are very similar to RA6. IL-1 has also been demonstrated to stimulate osteoclast precursor differentiation and osteoclast activity, likely indicating a further role in the osteopenia and joint destruction seen with RA7. Blockade of IL-1 was associated with prevention of bone and cartilage destruction in a murine collagen arthritis model8 and other inflammatory effects of IL-1 were blocked by IL-1 antagonist9.
Anakinra (tradename: Kineret) is the recombinant form of a human IL-1ra, and is the first biologic agent designed specifically to modify the biological immune response of IL-1. It has been found in a number of studies to significantly improve clinical markers of RA and was approved by the FDA in 2001 for patients with moderate-severe RA who failed at least 1 DMARD therapy\textsuperscript{10}. It is administered as a daily subcutaneous injection and adverse effects primarily include injection-site reactions, although infections and a possible risk of malignancy are also of concern\textsuperscript{10,11}. Our systematic review summarizes the previous randomized control trials (RCT) evaluating the clinical effectiveness and safety of anakinra for the treatment of RA.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The following were the criteria for including studies for our review.

**Study design.** RCT comparing anakinra alone or in combination with other DMARD/biologics to placebo or other DMARD/biologics.

**Types of participants.** Adults 18 years of age and older meeting the American College of Rheumatology (ACR) 1987 revised criteria for RA\textsuperscript{12}.

**Interventions.** Anakinra alone or in combination with other drugs.

**Outcome measures.** Primary efficacy outcomes for our review include the number achieving an ACR20 response and improvement in Disease Activity Score (DAS)/DAS28. An ACR20 response is defined by a 20% improvement in tender and swollen joint counts and the same level of improvement in 3 of the 5 following variables: patient/physician global assessments, pain scores, Health Assessment Questionnaire (HAQ) score, and laboratory acute-phase reactants\textsuperscript{13}. DAS scores are a composite index that includes the combination of tender and swollen joint counts, patient’s global assessment of disease activity, and erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR)\textsuperscript{14}. A DAS28 score is used when a 28-joint count is used as the index\textsuperscript{15}.

Primary safety outcomes included in our review are the number of withdrawals, adverse events, injection site reactions, serious adverse events, infections (total and serious), and deaths.

Secondary efficacy outcomes include the following: (1) ACR50 and ACR70 responses; (2) number achieving a good European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR) response\textsuperscript{16} as defined by a decrease in the DAS or DAS28 of > 1.2 from baseline with a final DAS < 2.4 (or DAS28 < 3.2)\textsuperscript{16,17}; (3) number achieving low disease activity as defined by DAS < 2.4 or DAS < 3.2\textsuperscript{16,17}; (4) number achieving disease remission as defined by DAS < 1.6 or DAS < 2.6\textsuperscript{17,18}; (5) radiographic progression — as measured by the Sharp\textsuperscript{19}, modified Sharp\textsuperscript{20}, or Larsen scores\textsuperscript{15}; (6) change in health related quality of life as measured by change in mental and physical component scores (MCS and PCS) of the Medical Outcomes Study Short Form-36 (SF-36), proportion achieving minimal clinical important difference (MCID) in MCS/PCS scores (defined as change of 2.5–5 units)\textsuperscript{21–23}; (7) functional change as measured by changes in Stanford HAQ or modified HAQ24,25 scores, proportion achieving MCID (defined as $Δ$ ≥ 0.22)\textsuperscript{26} or population norm for HAQ (score = 0.25)\textsuperscript{27}; (8) change in visual analog scale (VAS) scores for pain; and (9) change in inflammatory markers — ESR and C-reactive protein (CRP).

**Search methods for identification of studies.** The following electronic bibliographic databases were searched up to February 2008: Cochrane Library and the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), MEDLINE, CINAHL, and EMBASE. The reference lists of identified publications, including previous metaanalyses, were reviewed to identify any additional studies and/or citations. No language restrictions were applied and translations were obtained when necessary. Where information was missing, further information was sought from the authors or industry. Data from studies with multiple publications were extracted and reported as the original study.
doses: 0.04 mg/kg, 0.1 mg/kg, 0.4 mg/kg, 1.0 mg/kg, and 2.0 mg/kg daily, which was computed to approximate doses of 3 mg, 7.5 mg, 30 mg, 75 mg, and 150 mg for our review given average adult weight of 75 kg. Due to the large variability in doses, 2 groups were created to help with data analysis based on the current standard dose of anakinra 100 mg daily being used in clinical practice: doses of < 50 mg/day of anakinra and doses of 50–150 mg/day of anakinra. For continuous data, data from the same study were analyzed separately to avoid double-counting of the placebo groups. The Cohen 2002 study did not report standard deviations or errors when describing its continuous data results. Therefore, we were unable to use these data.

With inclusion of all 5 studies, there was noted significant heterogeneity for ACR20 (the primary outcome) with an $I^2$ statistic of 80.7%. This was thought to be secondary to the Genovese 2004 study, since it compared anakinra to placebo in the setting of etanercept, a significant variation from the other studies and from standard clinical practice. After removal of the Genovese 2004 study, heterogeneity was noted to be 0% for the primary outcome and < 50% for the majority of secondary efficacy outcomes. Significant heterogeneity (> 50%) was present for HAQ scores, total infections, and total adverse events.

Subgroup analysis was performed between studies that compared anakinra to placebo and studies comparing anakinra + MTX to placebo + MTX.

Due to the small number of studies for the primary outcome, ACR20, a funnel plot for evaluation of publication bias was not interpretable.

**Efficacy results.** Primary outcomes: A significantly greater proportion of patients receiving anakinra 50–150 mg daily versus those receiving placebo achieved ACR20, 38% versus 23%, respectively [relative risk (RR) 1.61 (95% CI 1.32
to 1.98)]. This resulted in an absolute treatment benefit of 15% with NNT of 6.7 after 24 weeks. The Genovese 2004 study demonstrated no benefit with addition of anakinra to etanercept therapy compared to placebo for the proportion of patients achieving ACR20 at 24 weeks.

None of the included studies evaluated DAS or DAS28 as outcome data.

Secondary outcomes: There was significant improvement noted in the proportion achieving ACR50 and ACR70 outcomes with anakinra doses 50–150 mg/day compared to placebo after 24 weeks as well. For ACR50, 18% of anakinra versus 7% placebo-treated patients achieved this outcome after 24 weeks [RR 2.51 (95% CI 1.56, 4.03)], with an absolute treatment benefit of 11%; the NNT was 9.1 (Table 2). For ACR70, 7% of anakinra versus 2% of placebo-treated patients achieved this outcome after 24 weeks [RR 3.71 (95% CI 1.44, 9.77)], with an absolute treatment benefit of 5% with NNT of 20. The Genovese 2004 study demonstrated no benefit with anakinra in addition to etanercept compared to placebo for ACR50 or ACR70 outcomes.

Table 1. Studies included in this systematic review.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>No. of Participants</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Interventions</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Methodological Quality*</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bresnihan 1998</td>
<td>472</td>
<td>24 wks</td>
<td>Placebo (n = 119), 30 mg anakinra (n = 119), 75 mg anakinra (n = 115), 150 mg</td>
<td>ACR 20, HAQ, VAS (pain), ESR, CRP, Larsen scores, withdrawals, injection site reactions</td>
<td>Silver; no intention-to-treat analysis; method of randomization was not reported; 26.7% withdrawal rate</td>
<td>Excluded patient data from analysis if outcome data was missing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohen 2002</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>24 wks</td>
<td>Placebo (n = 48), 0.04 mg/kg (n = 63), 0.1 mg/kg (n = 46), 0.4 mg/kg (n = 55),</td>
<td>ACR20/50/70, withdrawals, injection site reactions (continuous data not included as no SD/SE data provided)</td>
<td>Silver; method of randomization was not reported; blinding was only described for the patients; 21% withdrawal rate at 24 weeks</td>
<td>Originally designed as a 12 wk trial, expanded to 24 wks; only 3 patients reconsented to the 24 wk study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohen 2004</td>
<td>506</td>
<td>24 wks</td>
<td>Placebo (n = 251), anakinra 100 mg daily (n = 250)</td>
<td>ACR20/50/70, HAQ, ESR, injection site reactions (total, serious), infections (total, serious), withdrawals, injection site reactions, deaths, adverse events (total, serious), infections (total, serious)</td>
<td>Silver; no intention-to-treat analysis; method of randomization was not reported</td>
<td>Number of total withdrawals was not reported in this study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fleischman 2003</td>
<td>1414</td>
<td>6 mo</td>
<td>Placebo (n = 283), anakinra 100 mg daily (n = 1116)</td>
<td>ACR20/50/70, injection site reactions, adverse events (total, serious), infections (total, serious)</td>
<td>Silver; no intention-to-treat analysis; method of randomization was not reported; 21.9% withdrawal rate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genovese 2004</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>24 wks</td>
<td>Placebo + etanercept 25 mg biweekly (n = 80), anakinra 100 mg daily + etanercept 25 mg weekly (n = 81), anakinra 100 mg daily + etanercept 25 mg biweekly (n = 81)</td>
<td>ACR20/50/70, withdrawals, injection site reactions, adverse events (total, serious), infections (total, serious)</td>
<td>Silver; though full blinding was only reported for the patients; no intention-to-treat analysis; method of randomization was not reported; 17.4% withdrawal rate</td>
<td>The anakinra+ etanercept 25 mg weekly group did not have a placebo-controlled comparison</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Methodological quality was defined using the system described by Tugwell, et al as follows: Platinum: A published systematic review that has at least 2 individual controlled trials each satisfying the following: Sample size of at least 50 per group — if these do not find a statistically significant difference, they are adequately powered for a 20% relative difference in the relevant outcome. Blinding of patients and assessors for outcomes. Handling of withdrawals > 80% followup [imputations based on methods such as last observation carried forward (LOCF) are acceptable]. Concealment of treatment allocation. Gold: At least 1 randomized clinical trial meeting all the following criteria for the major outcome(s) as reported: Sample sizes of at least 50 per group — if these do not find a statistically significant difference, they are adequately powered for a 20% relative difference in the relevant outcome. Blinding of patients and assessors for outcomes. Handling of withdrawals > 80% followup (imputations based on methods such as LOCF are acceptable). Concealment of treatment allocation. Silver: A randomized trial that does not meet the above criteria. Silver ranking would also include evidence from at least 1 study of non-randomized cohorts that did and did not receive the therapy, or evidence from at least one high quality case-control study. A randomized trial with a “head-to-head” comparison of agents would be considered silver level ranking unless a reference were provided to a comparison of one of the agents to placebo showing at least a 20% relative difference. Bronze: The bronze ranking is given to evidence if a least 1 high quality case series without controls (including simple before/after studies in which patients act as their own control) or if the conclusion is derived from expert opinion based on clinical experience without reference to any of the foregoing (for example, argument from physiology, bench research, or first principles). ACR: American College of Rheumatology; HAQ: Health Assessment Questionnaire; VAS: visual analog scale; ESR: erythrocyte sedimentation rate; CRP: C-reactive protein; SD/SE: standard deviation/error.
There were significant improvements with both pain VAS and HAQ scores with anakinra doses 50–150 mg/day at 24 weeks of treatment (Table 3). Only 1 study used a standard radiographic scale — Larsen scores — for outcome data, and it found significant improvement with anakinra 50–150 mg/day doses after 24 weeks when compared to placebo. There were also significant improvements noted in change in ESR with anakinra doses 50–150 mg/day compared to placebo after 24 weeks of treatment. No significant difference was noted with change in CRP between anakinra and placebo.

Other secondary outcomes described above in the Methods section were not analyzed as outcome data in the included studies.

Safety outcomes. There was no difference in the number of withdrawals for anakinra 50–150 mg/day compared to placebo after 24 weeks, with both groups having 22% withdrawal rates (Table 4). Only 1 study presented mortality data after 24 weeks and found no significant difference in the anakinra 50–150 mg/day doses versus placebo groups, occurring in 0.3% of each group.

The total number of adverse events was not significantly increased in patients treated with anakinra 50–150 mg/day compared to placebo, occurring in 92% versus 87% of anakinra versus placebo-treated patients, after 24 weeks [RR 1.05 (95% CI 0.94, 1.17)]. However, in the anakinra + MTX subgroup, there was a significant increase in the total adverse events compared to placebo [RR 1.11 (95% CI 1.03, 1.20)] while no significant difference was found in the anakinra-alone subgroup compared to placebo [RR 1.00 (95% CI 0.96, 1.04)]. The majority of adverse events were injection-site reactions, which occurred significantly more often in the anakinra 50–150 mg/day group versus placebo group at rates of 71% versus 28%, respectively. There was

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Anakinra (50–150 mg/kg) vs placebo, ACR 20/50/70 at 24 wks.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Study (Reference)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anakinra vs placebo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bresnihan 199833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fleischman 200334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohen 200235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohen 200436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals (w/o Genovese)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anakinra + Methotrexate vs Placebo + Methotrexate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohen 200436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals (w/Genove)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA: not available.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3. Secondary outcome data for anakinra 50–150 mg compared to placebo†.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mean at Baseline</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Change in HAQ</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Change in VAS (pain)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Change in Larsen scores</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Change in ESR</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Change in CRP</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† Data exclude outcome data from Genovese 200437. * Relative change from baseline = mean difference/mean at baseline. HAQ: Health Assessment Questionnaire; VAS: visual analog scale; ESR: erythrocyte sedimentation rate; CRP: C-reactive protein.
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no significant difference in serious adverse events with anakinra 50–150 mg/day in comparison to placebo, occurring in 7% versus 6% of patients of each group. Malignancy data were reported in the Fleischman 2003 and Cohen 2002 studies; Fleischman34 reported 4 malignancies (0.4%) in the anakinra group versus 4 malignancies (1.8%) in the placebo group, while Cohen35 reported 1 malignancy each in the anakinra (0.8%) and placebo groups (1.4%).

The total rate of infections was not significantly increased in the anakinra 50–150 mg/day group compared to placebo after 24 weeks, occurring in 40% of the anakinra versus 35% of the placebo group [RR 1.08 (95% CI 0.80, 1.45)]. Similarly, no significant difference was noted in the rate of serious infections — 1.8% versus 0.6%, respectively [RR 3.15 (95% CI 0.81, 12.20)]. The specific types of serious infections were only reported in the Fleischman 2003 study34. In this study, of the 24 serious infections reported, 23 occurred in the anakinra group (n = 1116) and 1 occurred in the placebo group (n = 283). The most common infections were pneumonia in 10 patients and cellulitis in 3 patients. Opportunistic infections were not reported in any of the included studies.

The Genovese 2004 study37 reported a significant increase in the total number of withdrawals, serious adverse events, and injection-site reactions in patients treated with anakinra + etanercept compared to placebo + etanercept. No significant differences were seen in the number of infections (total or serious) between these 2 groups.

**DISCUSSION**

Our systematic review summarizes the data from 5 randomized controlled trials of anakinra totaling 2846 patients with RA. There was a significant improvement in the proportion of patients achieving ACR20 with anakinra 50–150 mg daily compared to placebo after 24 weeks, with an absolute treatment benefit of 15% (38% vs 23%). Similar significantly greater improvements were noted in anakinra 50–150 mg daily compared to placebo for ACR50, ACR70, HAQ scores, and change in ESR and VAS pain scores.

When compared to other systematic reviews of etanercept and adalimumab for RA, the absolute differences in patients achieving ACR20, ACR50, and ACR70 was notably less for anakinra. In a systematic Cochrane review of etanercept 25 mg biweekly, there was a 49%, 39%, and 14% absolute treatment benefit over placebo in patients achieving ACR20, ACR50, and ACR70, respectively, after 6 months31. In a systematic Cochrane review of adalimumab 40 mg every other week, there were ranges of 18% to 53%, 18% to 47%, and 10% to 22% absolute treatment benefits over placebo for ACR20, ACR50, and ACR70, respectively, after 24 weeks (heterogeneity prevented pooling of RCT for adalimumab)32. In comparison, the absolute treatment benefits with anakinra 50–150 mg daily after 6 months were 15%, 11%, and 5% over placebo for ACR20, ACR50, and ACR70, each with a notably lower absolute treatment benefit than etanercept or adalimumab. This implies possible lower efficacy of anakinra versus other biologics. We are unaware of studies directly comparing treatment benefits between these biologic medications. Direct comparison studies of biologic therapies can more definitively answer this question.

There was no statistically significant difference between anakinra and placebo groups for the rate of withdrawals, deaths, adverse events (total and serious), and infections (total and serious). Injection-site reactions were significant.
ly increased in patients treated with anakinra (71%) versus placebo (28%). While serious infection rates between anakinra and placebo — 1.8% versus 0.6% — were not statistically significantly different, the 3-times higher rate for serious infections with anakinra seems clinically significant. A FDA warning related to this increased incidence of serious infections recommends discontinuation of anakinra in patients with active infections and/or if a patient develops serious infections. The FDA also noted that the safety and efficacy of anakinra has not been evaluated in immunosuppressed patients and in those with chronic infections. The risk of serious infections was even higher when anakinra was combined with etanercept without improvement in benefit noted with etanercept alone. Larger registry-based post-marketing studies are needed to assess this potential increased risk and other rare events, such as opportunistic infections and malignancies.

Our review has several limitations. Significant variability in the sample sizes, reported outcomes, different anakinra doses, and lack of reporting of standard deviation in 1 study limited the power of our systematic review. Fleischman 2003 included a much larger patient population than other studies, likely biasing the safety data. This may explain the significant heterogeneity found with the total number of adverse events and total infections following removal of the Genovese 2004 study. In addition, Fleischman 2003 included only safety outcomes, and Bresnihan 1998 did not include ACR50 or ACR70 data. Bresnihan 1998 and Cohen 2002 used varying ranges of doses of anakinra. We adjusted for this variability by pooling data to those utilizing < 50 mg/day and 50–150 mg/day of anakinra to allow for data analysis. Due to the limited number of studies, we were unable to examine for possible differences between different anakinra doses.

Our review is also limited by the same methodological deficits of the studies included. While the studies were RCT, the method of randomization was not reported in any study and a number of studies reported blinding without any details. Only the Cohen 2002 study described a true intention to treat analysis; the other studies excluded patients from analysis if they did not receive at least 1 dose of a study drug (excluding a total of 30 patients). Bresnihan 1998 excluded 129 patients from the analysis for Larsen scores, citing missing data as the reason, introducing significant bias into the study, in particular resulting in the exclusion of 129 patients from their analysis of this outcome.

Anakinra is currently FDA-approved for the treatment of RA unresponsive to initial DMARD therapy. Our systematic review demonstrated significant improvement in the proportion of patients achieving an ACR20 response with anakinra versus placebo, as well as a significant improvement in a number of other efficacy outcomes including ACR50, ACR70, HAQ scores, VAS scores, and ESR. There was a significant increase in the number of injection-site reactions, although no differences in infections (total or serious) or adverse events (total or serious) rates were noted. With the limitations of our review as described, the possible clinically significant increase in serious infections with anakinra, and the only modest absolute improvements in ACR outcomes compared to other biologic medications, the utility of anakinra for the treatment of RA is likely limited. The utility of anakinra may be better addressed through post-marketing surveillance studies to better inform patients and physicians about more rare or long-term safety outcomes, and studies comparing anakinra to other biologics to help clarify the relative efficacies of these agents for treatment of RA.
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