Spinal Research — A Field in Need of Standardization

To the Editor:

The 2014 *Lancet* series “Research: Increasing Value, Reducing Waste” proposed a number of drivers of research inefficiency, a problem estimated to prevent 85% of biomedical research from offering actual or potential clinical benefit¹. These included heterogeneous data collection and reporting, which prevents comprehensive synthesis and data comparison.

The development of standardized datasets is an effective response to this problem. The nomenclature for them is inconsistent, but these sets can be broadly separated as core outcome sets (COS) if they include only outcomes or core data elements (CDE) if they include additional data points^{2,3}. Integral to these processes is the involvement of everyone involved, including those who have the condition². Pioneered by organizations such as Outcome Measures in Rheumatology (OMERACT), and supported more recently by organizations such as Core Outcome Measures in Effectiveness Trials (COMET), such datasets are serving many medical fields, including rheumatology.

The Journal of Rheumatology serves as an exemplar for disseminating COS/CDE research, publishing many articles yearly about the methods, findings, and importance of COS/CDE sets in rheumatology. Indeed, the October 2019 issue of *The Journal* showcased 14 articles by the OMERACT group, highlighting results from the 2018 OMERACT International Consensus Conference.

Indeed, a 2018 review found 366 COS published in the (medical) literature so far, with the numbers increasing yearly⁴. The OMERACT Website currently lists 52 working groups — each covering an important area in rheumatology and COS/CDE development. These COS/CDE are effective, as shown by a review of 143 completed clinical therapeutic trials in rheumatoid arthritis (RA), 81% of which used the respective RA COS, with this proportion increasing yearly since its publication⁵.

However, the response within spinal disease is more limited. A search of the COMET registry revealed 20 entries related to the field of spinal research (18× COS, 2× COS + CDE). They were distributed among the COMET health areas of “orthopaedics & trauma,” “neurology,” and “rheumatology.” The OMERACT registry listed only 1 spinal dataset currently in process and no dedicated OMERACT working group assigned to the spinal research field.

A search of spinal journals for published COS/CDE in the last 10 years (Search strategy, Appendix 1) returned 77 articles. Of these results, 11 were related to COS/CDE (Table 1), 7 of which were completed datasets and 4 of which were in process. Of note, only 8 of these 11 datasets were registered in the COMET/OMERACT registries. Table 1 indicates study demographics and characteristics — importantly, only 2 (29% of completed datasets) have been used and referenced by subsequent literature.

This limited activity starkly contrasts with the significance and prevalence of spinal disease. Data from the Global Burden of Disease project indicates that spinal disease is a leading cause of global disability and is on the rise⁶. For example, neck and back pain are leading causes of years lived with disability, and their treatment second only to diabetes in healthcare spending growth in the United States⁷.

Efficient spinal research is therefore clearly desirable, and the wider development and uptake of COS/CDE would support this. One of the challenges, however, is the involvement of multiple specialties in spinal care, including orthopedics, physiotherapy, pain medicine, rehabilitation, chiropractic, rheumatology, neurology, and neurosurgery. Any COS or CDE requires representation from all these groups to ensure relevance and utility.

This is reflected in our own and current experience, inspired by our rheumatology colleagues: Research Objectives and Common Data Elements for Degenerative Cervical Myelopathy (RECODE-DCM: recode-dcm.com)⁸. The study is supported by AOSpine and works closely with a charity partner Myelopathy.org (myelopathy.org). Its aims include (1) establishing a standardized definition for degenerative cervical myelopathy (DCM) by consensus, (2) creating a list of unanswered DCM research questions, ranked according to priority, and (3) creating a standardized COS + CDE for DCM research⁸. The study is using initial online Delphi surveys to inform final face-to-face consensus meetings. DCM arises when arthritic changes in the cervical spine cause a progressive compression injury in the cervical spinal cord. DCM is estimated to affect up to 2% of adults⁹. Its clinical care involves numerous specialties¹⁰. Consequently, the sampling aims of RECODE-DCM were to reach 50% patients, 25% spinal surgeons, and 25% other healthcare professionals. However, opinions from nonsurgical healthcare professionals are currently underrepresented.

RECODE-DCM aims to promote research into a common disabling disease and is meant to inspire further similar initiatives in the field of spinal research. We intend to emulate the effect and success that OMERACT and *The Journal of Rheumatology* have had in the development of standardized datasets. We welcome any support and wider involvement from the rheumatology community.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Research by author MRK is supported by a core support grant from the Wellcome Trust and Medical Research Council (MRC) to the Wellcome Trust–MRC Cambridge Stem Cell Institute. MRK is also supported by a UK National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Clinician Scientist Award, and this report is independent research arising from that award (CS-2015-15-023). The views expressed in this publication are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the UK National Health Service, the

Table 1. Summary of published studies of COS/CDE processes in spinal journals in the last 10 years.

PubMed ID	Year	Country of Origin	Journal	Condition	COS/CDE	COMET/OMERACT	Status	Use in Further Studies
31157148	2019	UK	<i>Global Spine Journal</i>	DCM	COS/CDE	COMET	In progress	NA
31004195	2019	Netherlands	<i>Eur Spine Journal</i>	Low back pain	COS	COMET	Complete	No
29432394	2018	UK	<i>Spine</i>	Cauda equina syndrome	COS	COMET	In progress	NA
28914116	2017	Netherlands	<i>Acta Orthopaedica</i>	Spinal deformity	COS	COMET	Complete	No
25841358	2015	Netherlands	<i>Eur Spine Journal</i>	Low back pain	COS	COMET	Complete	Yes, 10 studies
28434926	2017	USA	<i>Spine Journal</i>	Lumbar spinal stenosis	CDE	No	Complete	No
28322240	2017	USA	<i>Spinal Cord</i>	Spinal cord injury	CDE	No	Complete	No
28244501	2017	USA	<i>Spinal Cord</i>	Spinal cord injury	CDE	COMET	In progress	NA
27845358	2017	Int'l	<i>Spinal Cord</i>	Spinal cord injury	CDE	No	Complete	No
25665542	2015	Int'l	<i>Spinal Cord</i>	Spinal cord injury	CDE	COMET	Complete	Yes, 7 studies
20733589	2011	Denmark	<i>Spinal Cord</i>	Spinal cord injury	CDE	COMET	In progress	NA

COS/CDE: core outcome sets/core data elements; COMET: Core Outcome Measures in Effectiveness Trials; OMERACT: Outcome Measures in Rheumatology; DCM: degenerative cervical myelopathy; NA: not applicable; Eur: European.

NIHR, or the UK Department of Health. RECODE-DCM is an international consensus project supported by AO Spine.

DANYAL Z. KHAN , MB, BCh, BAO, MRCSI, Academic Neurosurgery Department, University of Cambridge and Cambridge University Hospitals Trust; BENJAMIN M. DAVIES, MSc, MRCS, Academic Neurosurgery Department, University of Cambridge and Cambridge University Hospitals Trust; MARK R.N. KOTTER, PhD, FRCS, Academic Neurosurgery Department, University of Cambridge and Cambridge University Hospitals Trust, Cambridge, UK. Address correspondence to D.Z. Khan, Academic Neurosurgery Department, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK. E-mail: Dzkhan94@gmail.com

REFERENCES

1. Chalmers I, Glasziou P. Avoidable waste in the production and reporting of research evidence. *Lancet* 2009;374:86-9.
2. Clarke M. Standardising outcomes for clinical trials and systematic reviews. *Trials* 2007;8:39.
3. Winget MD, Baron JA, Spitz MR, Brenner DE, Warzel D, Kincaid H, et al. Development of common data elements: the experience of and recommendations from the early detection research network. *Int J Med Inform* 2003;70:41-8.
4. Gargon E, Gorst SL, Harman NL, Smith V, Matvienko-Sikar K, Williamson PR. Choosing important health outcomes for comparative effectiveness research: 4th annual update to a systematic review of core outcome sets for research. *PLoS One* 2018;13:e0209869.
5. Kirkham JJ, Clarke M, Williamson PR. A methodological approach for assessing the uptake of core outcome sets using ClinicalTrials.gov: findings from a review of randomised controlled trials of rheumatoid arthritis. *BMJ* 2017;357:j2262.
6. James SL, Abate D, Abate KH, Abay SM, Abbafati C, Abbasi N, et al. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 354 diseases and injuries for 195 countries and territories, 1990–2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *Lancet* 2018;392:1789-858.
7. Dieleman JL, Squires E, Bui AL, Campbell M, Chapin A, Hamavid H, et al. Factors associated with increases in US health care spending, 1996–2013. *JAMA* 2017;318:1668-78.
8. Davies BM, Khan DZ, Mowforth OD, McNair AGK, Gronlund T, Kolias AG, et al. RE-CODE DCM (Research Objectives and Common Data Elements for Degenerative Cervical Myelopathy): A consensus process to improve research efficiency in DCM, through establishment of a standardized dataset for clinical research and the definition of the research priorities. *Global Spine J* 2019;9 (1 Suppl):65S-76S.

9. Davies BM, Mowforth OD, Smith EK, Kotter MR. Degenerative cervical myelopathy. *BMJ* 2018;360:k186.
10. Hilton B, Tempest-Mitchell J, Davies B, Kotter M. Route to diagnosis of degenerative cervical myelopathy in a UK healthcare system: a retrospective cohort study. *BMJ Open* 2019;9:e027000.

First Release February 15 2020; *J Rheumatol* 2020;47:4;
doi:10.3899/jrheum.191225

APPENDIX 1. Search strategy.

((((((((((("Spine"[Journal]) OR ("European spine journal : official publication of the European Spine Society, the European Spinal Deformity Society, and the European Section of the Cervical Spine Research Society"[Journal])) OR ("The spine journal : official journal of the North American Spine Society"[Journal]) OR "Journal of neurosurgery. Spine"[Journal]) OR "Joint, bone, spine : revue du rhumatisme"[Journal]) OR "The spine journal : official journal of the North American Spine Society"[Journal]) OR "Spinal cord"[Journal]) OR "The journal of spinal cord medicine"[Journal]) OR "Journal of spinal disorders & techniques"[Journal]) OR "Journal of spinal disorders"[Journal]) OR "SCI nursing : a publication of the American Association of Spinal Cord Injury Nurses"[Journal]) OR ("Spinal cord series and cases"[Journal])) OR "Topics in spinal cord injury rehabilitation"[Journal]) OR ("Scoliosis and spinal disorders"[Journal])) OR "Asian spine journal"[Journal]) OR "Clinical spine surgery"[Journal]) OR "Global spine journal"[Journal]) OR "Spine deformity"[Journal]) OR "Journal of craniovertebral junction & spine"[Journal]) OR "International journal of spine surgery"[Journal]) OR "Journal of spine surgery (Hong Kong)"[Journal]) OR "Korean Journal of Spine"[Journal]) OR ("JSM neurosurgery and spine"[Journal])) OR "Spine (Philadelphia, Pa. : 1986)"[Journal]) OR "Journal of spine & neurosurgery"[Journal]) OR "Journal of spine"[Journal]) OR "Seminars in spine surgery"[Journal]) OR "JOR spine"[Journal]) OR ("European spine journal : official publication of the European Spine Society, the European Spinal Deformity Society, and the European Section of the Cervical Spine Research Society"[Journal])) OR "The journal of spinal cord medicine"[Journal]) OR "Spinal cord"[Journal]) OR "Evidence-based spine-care journal"[Journal]) OR ("Journal of neurology, neurosurgery and spine"[Journal])) OR "Journal of head, neck & spine surgery"[Journal]) OR "Neurospine"[Journal]) AND (((((((((((("CORE OUTCOME"[Title/Abstract]) OR "COMMON DATA"[Title/Abstract]) OR "CORE OUTCOME SET"[Title/Abstract]) OR "CORE OUTCOMES"[Title/Abstract])))))))