ABSTRACT. Objective. To propose multidisciplinary, consensus-based, standardization of operational terminology and method of assessment for temporomandibular joint (TMJ) involvement in juvenile idiopathic arthritis (JIA).

Methods. Using a sequential expert group–defined terminology and methods-of-assessment approach by (1) establishment of task force, (2) item generation, (3) working group consensus, (4) external expert content validity testing, and (5) multidisciplinary group of experts final Delphi survey consensus.

Results. Seven standardized operational terms were defined: TMJ arthritis, TMJ involvement, TMJ arthritis management, dentofacial deformity, TMJ deformity, TMJ symptoms, and TMJ dysfunction.

Conclusion. Definition of 7 operational standardized terms provides an optimal platform for communication across healthcare providers involved in JIA-TMJ arthritis management. (First Release January 15 2019; J Rheumatol 2019;46:518–22; doi:10.3899/jrheum.180785)
Temporomandibular joint (TMJ) arthritis is common in juvenile idiopathic arthritis (JIA)\(^1,2\). TMJ arthritis may impair joint mobility and masticatory function, cause TMJ degeneration, lead to reduced dentofacial growth, create orofacial pain, and affect general quality of life\(^3,4,5,6,7,8\). Treatment is complex and multidisciplinary, involving pediatric rheumatologists, maxillofacial surgeons, orthodontists, radiologists, pediatric dentists, occupational and physiotherapists, and orofacial pain specialists\(^9\).

Research in TMJ arthritis has increased exponentially over the last decade. As this field has grown, so has confusion over terminology. Recent systematic reviews have highlighted the need for a standardized set of JIA-associated TMJ arthritis definitions\(^6,7,8\). Standardization is critical to enhance research comparability and care provider communication.

The objectives of our study were to use a consensus-based approach to propose the following: (1) a standardized terminology for JIA-associated TMJ arthritis; and (2) methods of assessment of TMJ arthritis in patients with JIA.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This study was conducted using a series of sequential iterations including the following: (1) establishment of task force and item generation; (2) working group consensus meeting and drafting of provisional recommendations; (3) content validity testing of provisional recommendations by external experts; and (4) Delphi survey to reach final consensus (Figure 1).

**Task force: item generation**

**TMJaw members (n=48, 46%\(^*\)):**

Online questionnaire, item generation

**Working group consensus meeting, Rostock, Germany (n=18):**

Provisional terms & definitions

**External experts (n=16, 100%\(^*\)):**

Test of face validity

**Adjustment to provisional terms & definitions**

**Delphi round 1. Working group & external experts (n=30, 88%\(^*\)):**

Adjustment to definitions & method-of-assessment

**Delphi round 2. Working group & external experts (n=30, 88%\(^*\)):**

Consensus

---

Figure 1. Flowchart of consensus process. \(^*\) Response rate. TMJaw: Temporomandibular joint Juvenile Arthritis Working group.

---
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participate in an online questionnaire. The participants were asked to report their understanding of these terms and the role of different specialties involved in TMJ arthritis management.

Based on the results of the online questionnaire, the terminology task force generated 6 provisional terms for discussion at the TMJaw meeting in Rostock, Germany, in March 2017. The provisional terms were adjusted and definitions for each term were established based on consensus from the group.

Test of face validity. In April 2017, 16 external experts were invited to assess the validity of the provisional terms and definitions generated at the Rostock consensus meeting. The external experts were identified based on TMJ arthritis clinical expertise and scientific merit. The external experts were asked to assess validity, suggest improvements, and address redundancies for the provisional terms. The task force then adjusted the provisional terms and definitions accordingly.

Delphi survey. In September 2017, participants from the Rostock consensus meeting (n = 18) and external experts (n = 16) were invited to participate in an online Delphi survey to assess agreement with the provisional terms. Participants were asked to respond to each term and definition with “agree,” “agree with minor changes,” or “do not agree.” Participants were also asked to suggest improvements to the terms and definitions, and to define methods of assessment for each term from the following options: (1) contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging (MRI); (2) MRI without contrast; (3) 3-D imaging [e.g., computed tomography (CT) or cone-beam CT]; (4) conventional radiology (e.g., cephalograms and panoramic radiographs); (5) ultrasonography; (6) clinical examination; (7) patient-reported outcomes; and (8) other (e.g., 3-D photographs and scintigraphy). Participants could select multiple options. The provisional terms and definitions were adjusted based on the results of this Delphi survey. These results were provided to participants before initiation of the next iteration in December 2017. In this final Delphi round, participants could “agree” or “disagree” with each term and definition. Only terms and definitions that received “agreement” by > 80% of participants were included in the final recommendations. The results were summarized, and final consensus was reached in February 2018.

RESULTS

Thirty experts participated in the final Delphi survey (Figure 1). The following specialties were represented: pediatric rheumatology (n = 10), maxillofacial surgery (n = 5), orthodontics (n = 8), pediatric dentistry (n = 3), radiology (n = 3), and orofacial pain (n = 1). Based on results of the first round of the Delphi survey, the number of terms was expanded from 6 to 7; the term TMJ disability was divided into TMJ symptoms and TMJ dysfunction. All 7 provisional terms received a Delphi survey agreement score > 80% (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Terms</th>
<th>Definitions</th>
<th>Agreement with Definition, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TMJ arthritis</td>
<td>Active inflammation in the TMJ</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMJ involvement</td>
<td>Abnormalities presumed to be the result of TMJ arthritis</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMJ arthritis management</td>
<td>Diagnosis, treatment, and monitoring of TMJ arthritis and involvement</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dentofacial deformity</td>
<td>Abnormality in growth, development, structure, and/or alignment of the facial bones and dentition</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMJ deformity</td>
<td>Abnormality in growth, development, or structure of the osseous and/or soft-tissue components of the TMJ</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMJ symptoms</td>
<td>Patient- or parent-reported conditions related to TMJ arthritis or involvement</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMJ dysfunction</td>
<td>Physically-reported functional examination abnormalities related to TMJ arthritis or involvement</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Recommended standardized operational terminology, definitions, and agreement with definitions in patients with JIA.

The terminology applies to subjects with a diagnosis of JIA. JIA: juvenile idiopathic arthritis; TMJ: temporomandibular joint.
Table 2. Standardized terminology and method of assessment in patients with juvenile idiopathic arthritis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Terms</th>
<th>MRI with Contrast</th>
<th>MRI without Contrast</th>
<th>3-D Scans</th>
<th>Methods of Assessment, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Conventional Radiology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ultrasound Examination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clinical Outcome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Others</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMJ arthritis</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMJ involvement</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMJ arthritis management</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dentofacial deformity</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMJ deformity</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMJ symptoms</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMJ dysfunction</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values in bold face represent the method of assessment receiving and agreement score ≥ 80%. Specific methods of assessment are recommended when expert assessment ≥ 80%. Methods of assessment with a recommendation score < 80% should not be discounted because they may still be important in special situations. * “Others” represents 3-D photography and clinical photos. ** Scintigraphy was included as an option to assess TMJ deformity in the second Delphi round and was recommended by 28% of the participants. TMJ: temporomandibular joint; MRI: magnetic resonance imaging.

Dentofacial deformity and TMJ deformity. Dentofacial deformity refers to growth deviation that occurs as a result of TMJ arthritis in patients with JIA. Growth deviation may affect the morphology and position of the mandible, maxilla, and/or dental occlusion. The recommended assessment of dentofacial deformity includes 3-D imaging, conventional radiography, clinical examination, and photographs. Recent recommendations are available.

TMJ deformity indicates arthritis-related alteration of the anatomy of the TMJ. This term does not cover signs and symptoms (which are considered in another term, TMJ dysfunction), but rather is limited to anatomic deformities. Conventional radiographs and 3-D imaging are used to assess osseous TMJ deformities, with the caveat that soft tissue changes, which are often present in TMJ deformities, will not be visible with these imaging techniques but would require MRI evaluation.

TMJ symptoms and TMJ dysfunction. TMJ symptoms refers to patient/parent-reported measures. In contrast, the term TMJ dysfunction addresses clinical examination signs of abnormal mandibular function believed to be related to TMJ involvement. Recent recommendations for clinical orofacial examination in JIA are available. The final recommendations for standardized terminology and definitions are presented in Table 1. The final recommendations for methods of assessment are shown in Table 2.

DISCUSSION

In our study, the TMJ group has described and defined the most common terms used in TMJ arthritis research and is uniquely positioned to provide these recommendations for standardization of terminology representing multiple specialties and many North American and European TMJ arthritis research centers. Consensus-based standardization of terminology provides an optimal platform for communication across healthcare providers involved in research and management of TMJ arthritis in JIA. An important qualifier is that these terms apply only to patients with JIA. It must be noted that TMJ symptoms and/or TMJ dysfunction may not be directly attributable to JIA, as in a patient with myofascial pain disorder. To date, no reliable diagnostic method exists to distinguish between JIA-related orofacial symptoms/dysfunction and similar findings due to other etiologies. This project did not intend to define terms applicable to other temporomandibular dysfunction; further studies are required.

Additionally, the methods of assessment that received recommendation scores > 80% represent the most frequent diagnostic measures used to assess each term and serve as a guide. Methods of assessment with a recommendation score < 80% should not be discounted, however, because they may still be useful in special clinical scenarios.

The unequal numbers of participating experts representing different specialties may be a limitation to our study; however, we are convinced that it strengthens the recommendations that so many different experts agree on the proposed standardized terminology.

We encourage investigators and clinicians to use the recommended terms and definitions in future publications as a reference standard. We suggest including the following text: “terminology adheres to JIA-TMJaw consensus-based standardized terminology.”
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