Prevalence and Clinical Significance of Anti-DFS70 in Antinuclear Antibody (ANA)–positive Patients Undergoing Routine ANA Testing in a New Zealand Public Hospital

To the Editor:

The term antinuclear antibodies (ANA) originally referred to autoantibodies directed against nuclear antigens and antigens in the cell cytoplasm or membrane. The presence of elevated ANA is considered as the hallmark diagnostic test for systemic autoimmune rheumatic diseases (SARD). In most New Zealand (NZ) laboratories, ANA are detected by indirect immunofluorescence test (IIF) on HeLa cell line. However, fluorescent patterns are sometimes difficult to interpret. Recent advances in autoimmune technologies have emerged for ANA testing, and laboratories in NZ are moving into acquiring the required knowledge and skills. In our laboratory, ANA screening slides are interpreted by a NOVA View automated IIF slide reader (INOVA Diagnostics Inc.), which incorporates a digital analysis image system, pattern recognition algorithms, and preset cutoff values. Problems still exist for the laboratory community to determine whether this system efficiently identifies antigens of clinical significance and whether the different automated systems have an appropriate level of pattern recognition agreement.

The extractable nuclear antigen (ENA) panel is a test performed as a second stage testing for any positive ANA screen, which includes antibodies to dsDNA, Ro (SSA), La (SSB), Jo-1, Scl-70, Sm, and RNP. ENA testing possesses limitations where the sensitivity and specificity vary depending on the underlying autoimmune disease.

The presence of anti-dense fine speckled (DFS) 70 could be used as a differential marker for SARD. When present without any other specific ANA, they could be used to exclude a SARD diagnosis or at least infer that it is highly unlikely. The aim of our study was to determine the prevalence of anti-DFS70 in ANA-positive patients either suspected of having SARD or having known SARD, and the possibility of implementing an algorithm incorporating anti-DFS70 as a differential marker for ANA-positive patients. Ethical approval by the New Zealand Health and Disability Ethics Committee, the Waitemata District Health Board (WDHB) Ethics Committee, and the WDHB Maori Ethics Committee was granted on July 15, 2015 (reference number 15/CEN/103).

All serum samples were tested for the presence of ANA by an IIF assay (INOVA Diagnostics) and an ELISA assay (Bio-Rad). There were 211 ANA-positive serum samples selected based on either the automated ANA HeLa-2 IIF or the Enzyme Immunoassay (ELA) ANA Screening Test (Bio-Rad) technique. Determination of positive or negative was defined by a preset cutoff of light intensity units at 48 for the HeLa-2 slides. The qualitative ELA ANA screening was performed on a fully automated EVOLISTM System (Bio-Rad) and a calculated optical density ≥ 1.0 was considered positive.

All positive samples were then further tested for the presence of anti-DFS70 antibodies by QUANTA Flash DFS70 CIA (INOVA Diagnostics). A value of ≥ 20 chemiluminescent units was considered positive. Samples with positive ANA screen were subjected to ENA panel screening. The detection of specific ENA was performed using the Autoimmune ENA ELISA Profile Test (Bio-Rad). Data were statistically evaluated using SAS software (Version 9.4).

Among the 211 positive ANA samples, 102 were known patients with SARD and 109 were patients without SARD. Anti-DFS70 antibodies were detected in 8 samples, 7/109 (7%) of the non-SARD ANA-positive patients and 1/102 (0.98%) of the SARD-positive patients. The prevalence of anti-DFS70 (Table 1) was significantly higher in patients without SARD compared to patients with SARD (p = 0.0401).

The 7 positive cases of anti-DFS70 antibodies were distributed between the speckled (1/88, 5%), centromere (2/11, 18%), and homogeneous patterns (4/82, 5%). Anti-DFS70 was also present in 1 sample showing a mixed homogeneous/centromere IIF pattern. Anti-DFS70 was the sole ANA present in 5/8 (62.5%) samples. Other specific ANA detected were antibodies to SSA and Scl-70, as well as anticentromere antibodies; however, none of these patients presented with a SARD condition. The patients with SARD had no specific ANA present, and did not have a history of specific ANA.

According to our results, the presence of anti-DFS70 in ANA-positive patients makes a SARD diagnosis highly unlikely, particularly when no ENA are also present. This suggests that anti-DFS70 assays can be incorporated into the ANA test algorithm. We propose 2 algorithms that could be used for cost effectiveness and diagnosis of SARD. The first algorithm is based on the topographic distribution of the IIF pattern, titer, and ENA results (Figure 1A). These patients would require monitoring and followup testing to determine if their ENA status changes.

In the second algorithm, anti-DFS70 should be tested on all ANA-positive samples. Then again, if the anti-DFS70 result adds no value to the diagnostic interpretation of ENA-positive samples, then there is no need to test for it in patients with a positive ENA (Figure 1B). Further studies are required to determine which algorithm is most appropriate for the NZ population.

Table 1. Test results and clinical details of anti-DFS70–positive patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>ANA-2 IIF Pattern Operator Interpretation LIU</th>
<th>ANA ELISA/ANA (Cutoff = 48)</th>
<th>Anti-DFS70 CIA/CU (Cutoff = 20)</th>
<th>Clinical Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Centromere/homogenous (232)</td>
<td>Positive (5.21)</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Non-SARD. Lung cancer, pulmonary sarcoidosis. History of anticentromere antibodies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Speckled (438)</td>
<td>Positive (2.75)</td>
<td>&gt; 450</td>
<td>Non-SARD. Recurrent blistering. Previous ANA-positive (homogeneous) and anti-Scl-70.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Homogenous (179)</td>
<td>Positive (2.54)</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>Non-SARD. Ulcerative colitis. No ANA test history.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Centromere (305)</td>
<td>Positive (2.91)</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>Non-SARD. Sudden loss of sensation, facial nerve distribution. No ANA test history.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Unrecognised/negative (24)</td>
<td>Positive (1.06)</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>Non-SARD. Primary Raynaud, Previous ANA-positive (homogeneous).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Homogenous (215)</td>
<td>Positive (3.5)</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>Non-SARD. Epilepsy, 2× miscarriages. Positive anticardiolipin, positive lupus anticoagulant. Previous ANA-positive (speckled), ENA-negative.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>DFS (146)</td>
<td>Positive (1.01)</td>
<td>414</td>
<td>Non-SARD. No ANA test history.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Homogenous (107)</td>
<td>Positive (1.98)</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>SARD. SLE monitoring. Previous ANA-positive (homogeneous).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DFS: dense fine speckled; ANA: antinuclear antibodies; LIU: light intensity units; CIA: chemiluminescent immunoassay; CU: chemiluminescent units; SARD: systemic autoimmune rheumatic diseases; ENA: extractable nuclear antigen; SLE: systemic lupus erythematosus.
with a larger sample size and inclusive of other laboratories should be done to validate and confirm our findings, and to standardize interlaboratory protocols.
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