

Charlson Comorbidity Index Is Related to Organ Damage in Systemic Lupus Erythematosus: Data from KOREan lupus Network (KORNET) Registry

Seong-Kyu Kim, Jung-Yoon Choe, and Shin-Seok Lee

ABSTRACT. Objective. The aim of this study was to identify whether comorbidity status is associated with organ damage in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE).

Methods. A total of 502 patients with SLE enrolled in the KOREan lupus Network were consecutively recruited. Data included demographics, age-adjusted Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCIa), disease activity indexes, the Systemic Lupus International Collaborating Clinics/American College of Rheumatology Damage Index (SDI), the Medical Outcomes Study Short Form-36 health survey (SF-36) score, and the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) score.

Results. Of the total patients, 21.1% (n = 106) experienced organ damage (SDI \geq 1). Univariate correlation analysis revealed that SDI was not statistically correlated with any clinical variables (correlation coefficient $r < 0.3$ of all). There were significant differences in the BDI, mental component score of the SF-36, Systemic Lupus Erythematosus Disease Activity Index (SLEDAI), CCIa, C-reactive protein, and mean dose of corticosteroid between non-damage (SDI = 0) and damage (SDI \geq 1) groups. The presence of damage to at least 1 organ in patients with SLE was found to be closely related with higher CCIa, higher SLEDAI, and mean dose of corticosteroid (OR 1.884, 95% CI 1.372–2.586, $p < 0.001$; OR 1.114, 95% CI 1.041–1.192, $p = 0.002$; OR 1.036, 95% CI 1.004–1.068, $p = 0.026$; respectively) in binary logistic regression analysis.

Conclusion. This study suggests that organ damage as assessed by the SDI in Korean patients with SLE is related to comorbidities together with disease activity and corticosteroid exposure. (First Release March 15 2017; J Rheumatol 2017;44:452–8; doi:10.3899/jrheum.160900)

Key Indexing Words:

SYSTEMIC LUPUS ERYTHEMATOSUS
SLICC/ACR DAMAGE INDEX

COMORBIDITY

SLEDAI
CORTICOSTEROID

Systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) is a multisystem autoimmune disease with a variable spectrum of clinical manifestations and is characterized by fluctuating disease activity and irreversible organ damage¹. The mortality of patients with SLE has been reduced substantially over the last decades with recent advances in new therapeutic agents and strategies^{2,3}. Irreversible damage to affected organs and

tissues resulting from both disease activity and therapeutics has been noted to markedly affect health status and mortality in patients with SLE^{4,5,6}. The organ damage in patients with SLE gradually accrues during the first 5 years after diagnosis, even with decreasing disease activity⁷.

The Systemic Lupus International Collaborating Clinics (SLICC)/American College of Rheumatology (ACR) Damage Index (SDI) is a validated outcome measure for assessing irreversible damage that has developed during the disease process in patients with SLE^{8,9}. The SDI has also been recognized as a comprehensive instrument for evaluating the prognosis and longterm effect of SLE. The damage index as assessed by the SDI increases over time and reflects future mortality in patients with early and established SLE^{6,10,11}. In addition, several clinical studies examining factors related to the SDI have demonstrated that higher SDI was associated with late onset at the time of diagnosis¹², longer disease duration^{13,14}, ethnicity^{15,16,17}, corticosteroid therapy^{14,16,18}, a higher baseline SLE Disease Activity Index (SLEDAI)^{16,19}, and comorbidities²⁰.

To our knowledge, there are no sufficient data on comorbidities related to organ damage in Asian patients with SLE. Here, we identified the relationship between comorbidities

From the Division of Rheumatology, Department of Internal Medicine, Arthritis and Autoimmunity Research Center, Catholic University of Daegu School of Medicine, Daegu; Department of Rheumatology, Chonnam National University Medical School, Gwangju, Republic of Korea.

Supported by the Research Program funded by the Korea Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (grant number 2014-E63002-00).

S.K. Kim, MD, PhD, Division of Rheumatology, Department of Internal Medicine, Arthritis and Autoimmunity Research Center, Catholic University of Daegu School of Medicine; J.Y. Choe, MD, PhD, Division of Rheumatology, Department of Internal Medicine, Arthritis and Autoimmunity Research Center, Catholic University of Daegu School of Medicine; S.S. Lee, MD, PhD, Department of Rheumatology, Chonnam National University Medical School.

Address correspondence to Dr. S.K. Kim, Division of Rheumatology, Department of Internal Medicine, Arthritis and Autoimmunity Research Center, Catholic University of Daegu School of Medicine, 33, Duryugongwon-ro 17-gil, Nam-gu, Daegu 705-718, Republic of Korea. E-mail: kimsk714@cu.ac.kr

Accepted for publication January 12, 2017.

and organ damage assessed by the SDI in patients registered in a Korean SLE cohort.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients. The KOREan lupus Network registry is a prospective, multicenter cohort of patients with SLE recruited from 4 university-based medical centers in 3 metropolitan cities (Daegu, Gwangju, and Seoul) in Korea. The patients in this cohort fulfilled the ACR classification criteria for SLE (1982 revised and 1997 updated) and were recruited between January 2014 and December 2015^{21,22}. Patients provided written informed consent at the time of enrollment. The protocol of this study was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of the Daegu Catholic Medical Center (IRB No. CR-14-123-L) and the Chonnam National University Hospital (IRB No. CNUH-2015-250).

Data collection. The baseline demographic characteristics included age, sex, disease duration, education duration, smoking status, alcohol consumption, family history of other autoimmune rheumatic diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis (RA) and primary Sjögren syndrome (pSS), marital status, and employment status. These data were obtained by individual interviews with each patient. In addition, at the time of enrollment, these characteristics were measured: height, body weight, waist and hip circumferences, systolic blood pressure, and diastolic blood pressure. Laboratory data were collected at the time of enrollment, such as erythrocyte sediment rate (ESR), C-reactive protein (CRP), anti-dsDNA antibody, complement factor 3, complement factor 4, and CH50.

We assessed whether the patients were treated with steroids such as methylprednisolone and triamcinolone and immunosuppressants including methotrexate, hydroxychloroquine, tacrolimus, azathioprine, and mycophenolate mofetil. All steroids such as methylprednisolone and triamcinolone were reported as the equivalent dose of oral prednisone per day. For the corticosteroids, the mean dose of prednisone per day was described.

For the evaluation of health status, the Becker Depression Inventory (BDI) and the Medical Outcomes Study Short Form-36 health survey (SF-36) were used. Disease activity and damage were measured by a well-trained rheumatologist at each medical center using the SLEDAI score²³ and the SDI^{8,9}, respectively. We classified the patients into non-damage (SDI = 0) and damage (SDI ≥ 1) groups. The Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI) score is the sum of the comorbidity scores for each morbidity item and was determined by including the age component (CCIa)²⁴. The CCI score can be transformed into a survival/mortality percentage with the following formula:

$$\text{Charlson probability (10-yr survival)} = 0.983^{e(\text{CCI} \times 0.9)}$$

Electronic development of the case report form and data management for our study were performed using iCReaT (internet-based Clinical Research and Trial management system; icreat.nih.go.kr/cdc/webapps/com/hismainweb/jsp/cdc_n2.live), a data management system established by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Ministry of Health and Welfare, Republic of Korea (iCReaT Study No. C140018).

Statistical analysis. The data are described as median with interquartile range (IQR) for continuous variables or number (%) for categorical variables. Both Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Shapiro-Wilk analyses were used for the assessment of the normality of the data distribution, which revealed a non-normal distribution. Univariate correlation analysis was first performed to identify the correlation of the SDI with demographic and clinical variables through Spearman correlation method for quantitative variables. The differences in demographic and clinical variables, laboratory variables, SLEDAI, comorbidity index, and corticosteroid between the non-damage (SDI = 0) and damage (SDI ≥ 1) groups were evaluated by the Mann-Whitney U test. Logistic regression analysis was applied to identify the risk of damage (SDI ≥ 1). The result was described as the OR and 95% CI. For the evaluation of statistical significance, a 2-sided significance level of 0.05 was applied. All statistical analyses were performed by IBM SPSS Statistics 19.0 (IBM Corp.).

RESULTS

Characteristics of the study population. The baseline demographic and clinical characteristics of a total of 502 patients with SLE are shown in Table 1. The median age of

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of enrolled patients. Values are median (interquartile range) unless otherwise specified.

Characteristics	Values
Age, yrs	38.0 (31.0–47.0)
Disease onset age, yrs	29.1 (20.4–38.1)
Female, n (%)	469 (93.4)
Disease duration, yrs	7.9 (3.7–14.0)
Education duration, yrs	14.0 (12.0–16.0)
SBP, mmHg	117.0 (110.0–127.0)
DBP, mmHg	71.0 (65.0–79.0)
Height, cm	160.0 (156.0–164.0)
Weight, kg	55 (50.0–60.2)
BMI, kg/m ²	21.4 (19.5–23.6)
Waist circumference, cm	73.0 (67.0–81.0)
Hip circumference, cm	90.0 (85.0–96.0)
Marital status, n (%)	
Single	149 (29.7)
Married	314 (62.5)
Divorced	26 (5.2)
Bereaved	13 (2.6)
Employment, n (%)	235 (46.8)
Family history of rheumatic diseases, n (%)	53 (10.6)
Alcohol consumption, n (%)	142 (28.3)
Smoking status, n (%)	
Never	447 (89.0)
Current	36 (7.2)
Ex-smoker	19 (3.8)
ESR, mm/h	19.5 (10.0–32.0)
CRP, mg/dl	0.09 (0.06–0.30)
Anti-dsDNA positivity, n (%)	269 (53.7)
Complement 3, mg/dl	79.2 (67.0–95.5)
Complement 4, mg/dl	15.3 (10.4–20.4)
CH50, U/ml	47.7 (37.0–56.7)
BDI	7.0 (3.8–13.0)
SF-36 health survey	
PCS	48.7 (42.6–53.8)
MCS	49.6 (40.6–55.9)
PGA	1.0 (0.5–1.0)
SLEDAI	4.0 (2.0–6.0)
CCIa	1.0 (1.0–2.0)
Charlson probability, 10-yr survival	95.9 (90.1–95.9)
SDI ≥ 1, n (%)	106 (21.1)
Medications, n (%)	
Hydroxychloroquine	490 (97.6)
Methotrexate	58 (11.6)
Corticosteroid	480 (95.6)
Azathioprine	154 (30.7)
Mycophenolate mofetil	111 (22.1)
Tacrolimus	49 (9.8)

SBP: systolic blood pressure; DBP: diastolic blood pressure; BMI: body mass index; ESR: erythrocyte sedimentation rate; CRP: C-reactive protein; BDI: Becker Depression Inventory; SF-36: Medical Outcomes Study Short Form-36; PCS: physical component score; MCS: mental component score; PGA: physician's global assessment; SLEDAI: The Systemic Lupus Erythematosus Disease Activity Index; CCIa: age-adjusted Charlson Comorbidity Index; SDI: Systemic Lupus International Collaborating Clinics/American College of Rheumatology Damage Index.

the patients was 38.0 years (IQR 31.0–47.0), and women were 93.4% (n = 469) of the patient population. The median onset age and disease duration were 29.1 years (IQR 20.4–38.1) and 7.9 years (IQR 3.7–14.0), respectively. The median values of the ESR and CRP were 19.5 mm/h (IQR 10.0–32.0) and 0.09 mg/dl (IQR 0.06–0.30), respectively. At the time of enrollment, the BDI score and the SLEDAI score were 7.0 (IQR 3.8–13.0) and 4.0 (IQR 2.0–6.0), respectively. There were 106 patients (21.1%) who were categorized into the damage group (SDI ≥ 1); 76 patients (15.1%) had an SDI score of 1 point, 18 patients (3.6%) had an SDI score of 2 points, 4 patients (1.2%) had an SDI score of 3 points, and 6 patients (1.2%) had an SDI score of 4 points. The median CCIa score was 1.0 (IQR 1.0–2.0). Current medications at the time of enrollment were also described.

Distribution of comorbid conditions. Table 2 shows the distribution of each comorbid condition in the CCI. With the exception of the presence of connective tissue disease, solid tumor without metastasis was the most frequently identified condition (n = 15, 3%), followed by cerebrovascular disease (n = 10, 2%) and diabetes mellitus (DM) without end-organ damage (n = 9, 1.8%).

Correlation of SDI with clinical variables, disease activity, and comorbidities. Univariate correlation analysis revealed that the SDI score was found to be associated with disease duration (r = -0.092, p = 0.040), education duration (r = -0.097, p = 0.030), body mass index (r = 0.106, p = 0.017), CRP (r = 0.092, p = 0.049), BDI score (r = 0.168, p < 0.001), physical and mental component scores of the SF-36 (r = -0.119, p = 0.007 and r = -0.141, p = 0.002, respectively),

Table 2. Distribution of Carlson Comorbidity Index scores in enrolled subjects. Values are n (%).

Weighted Score	Conditions	Values
1	Myocardial infarction	3 (0.6)
	Congestive heart failure	1 (0.2)
	Peripheral vascular disease	4 (0.8)
	Cerebrovascular disease	10 (2.0)
	Dementia	0 (0.0)
	Chronic pulmonary disease	0 (0.0)
	Connective tissue disease	502 (100.0)
	Peptic ulcer disease	7 (1.4)
	Mild liver disease, without portal hypertension	6 (1.2)
	Diabetes mellitus without end-organ damage	9 (1.8)
2	Hemiplegia	3 (0.6)
	Moderate or severe renal disease	6 (1.2)
	Diabetes mellitus with end-organ damage	1 (0.2)
	Solid tumor without metastasis, exclude if > 5 yrs from diagnosis	15 (3.0)
3	Leukemia	2 (0.4)
	Lymphoma	0 (0.0)
3	Moderate or severe liver disease	5 (1.0)
6	Metastatic solid tumor	0 (0.0)
	AIDS	0 (0.0)

SLEDAI score (r = 0.123, p = 0.006), CCIa score (r = 0.273, p < 0.001), and the dose of corticosteroid (r = 0.115, p = 0.012; Table 3). Correlation coefficients of those variables were < 0.3, which indicated statistically weak correlation.

Identification of determinants for the presence of organ damage. Table 4 shows a comparison of the clinical variables, disease activity, and organ damage between the non-damage group (SDI = 0) and the damage group (SDI ≥ 1). Values of BDI score, SLEDAI score, CCIa, CRP, and corticosteroid therapy were higher in the damage group than in the non-damage group (p = 0.004, p = 0.002, p = 0.012, p = 0.002, and p < 0.001, respectively). In addition, the mental component score of the SF-36 was significantly different between the 2 groups (p = 0.041).

To identify factors associated with damage (SDI ≥ 1), logistic regression analysis revealed that the presence of organ damage was associated with higher CCIa score (OR 1.884, 95% CI 1.372–2.586, p < 0.001), higher SLEDAI score (OR 1.114, 95% CI 1.041–1.192, p = 0.002), and increasing mean dose of corticosteroid (OR 1.036, 95% CI 1.004–1.068, p = 0.026; Table 5).

DISCUSSION

The crucial finding of our study is that comorbidities, disease activity, and corticosteroid therapy were significantly associated with the SDI, a validated instrument developed by

Table 3. Correlation of SDI with clinical variables by univariate correlation analysis.

Characteristics	Univariate Correlation Coefficient	p
Age, yrs	0.071	0.112
Disease onset age, yrs	0.016	0.713
Disease duration, yrs	-0.092	0.040
Education duration, yrs	-0.097	0.030
BMI, kg/m ²	0.106	0.017
ESR, mm/h	0.038	0.417
CRP, mg/dl	0.092	0.049
Complement 3, mg/dl	-0.007	0.871
Complement 4, mg/dl	0.000	0.992
CH50, U/ml	0.008	0.872
BDI	0.168	< 0.001
SF-36 health survey		
PCS	-0.119	0.007
MCS	-0.141	0.002
PGA	0.069	0.120
SLEDAI	0.123	0.006
CCIa	0.273	< 0.001
Corticosteroid, mg/day	0.115	0.012

SDI: Systemic Lupus International Collaborating Clinics/American College of Rheumatology Damage Index; BMI: body mass index; ESR: erythrocyte sedimentation rate; CRP: C-reactive protein; BDI: Becker Depression Inventory; SF-36: Medical Outcomes Study Short Form-36; PCS: physical component score; MCS: mental component score; PGA: physician's global assessment; SLEDAI: Systemic Lupus Erythematosus Disease Activity Index; CCIa, age-adjusted Charlson Comorbidity Index.

Table 4. Comparison of clinical variables according to SDI scores. Values are median (interquartile range) unless otherwise specified.

Characteristics	SDI = 0, n = 396	SDI ≥ 1, n = 106	p
Age, yrs	38.5 (31.0–46.8)	40.5 (33.0–48.0)	0.127
Disease onset age, yrs	29.2 (20.4–38.1)	28.7 (19.5–37.9)	0.739
Female, n (%)	374 (94.4)	95 (89.6)	0.075
Disease duration, yrs	7.5 (3.5–13.4)	9.7 (4.6–14.6)	0.072
Education duration, yrs	14.0 (12.0–16.0)	12.0 (12.0–16.0)	0.054
Employed, n (%)	188 (47.5)	47 (44.3)	0.566
Family history of rheumatic diseases, n (%)	43 (10.9)	10 (9.4)	0.672
Alcohol consumption, n (%)	112 (28.3)	30 (28.3)	0.997
Smoking status, n (%)			0.182
Never smoker	357 (90.2)	90 (84.9)	
Current smoker	27 (6.8)	9 (8.5)	
Ex-smoker	12 (3.0)	7 (6.6)	
Height, cm	160.0 (156.0–164.0)	160.0 (155.0–164.0)	0.424
Weight, kg	55.0 (50.0–60.0)	55.0 (50.0–64.0)	0.332
BMI, kg/m ²	21.2 (19.4–23.5)	22.0 (19.7–24.6)	0.084
Waist circumference, cm	73.0 (66.0–80.0)	74.5 (68.0–84.0)	0.072
Hip circumference, cm	90.5 (85.0–96.0)	90.0 (85.0–96.0)	0.910
SBP, mmHg	117.0 (109.0–126.0)	120.0 (110.0–129.5)	0.091
DBP, mmHg	70.0 (65.0–79.0)	72.0 (65.5–80.0)	0.542
BDI	7.0 (3.0–12.0)	9.0 (5.0–16.0)	0.004
SF-36 health survey			
PCS	49.1 (42.9–53.8)	47.2 (39.7–53.9)	0.116
MCS	50.4 (41.5–56.1)	47.9 (37.6–55.2)	0.041
PGA	1.0 (0.5–1.0)	1.0 (0.5–1.2)	0.093
SLEDAI	2.0 (1.0–4.8)	4.0 (2.0–8.0)	0.002
CCIIa	1.0 (1.0–1.8)	1.0 (1.0–3.0)	0.012
ESR, mm/h	20.0 (10.0–31.0)	19.0 (9.8–36.0)	0.507
CRP, mg/dl	0.08 (0.06–0.30)	0.18 (0.06–0.50)	0.002
Anti-dsDNA positivity, n (%)	208 (52.5)	61 (58.1)	0.309
Complement 3, mg/dl	79.3 (67.0–95.1)	78.2 (65.4–97.6)	0.746
Complement 4, mg/dl	15.4 (10.4–20.3)	14.1 (10.1–21.8)	0.726
CH50, U/ml	47.6 (36.9–56.7)	48.8 (38.2–56.7)	0.921
Corticosteroid, mg/day	5.8 (5.0–8.8)	8.1 (5.0–10.2)	<0.001

SDI: Systemic Lupus International Collaborating Clinics/American College of Rheumatology Damage Index; BMI: body mass index; SBP: systolic blood pressure; DBP: diastolic blood pressure; BDI: Becker Depression Inventory; SF-36: Medical Outcomes Study Short Form-36; PCS: physical component score; MCS: mental component score; PGA: physician's global assessment; SLEDAI: The Systemic Lupus Erythematosus Disease Activity Index; CCIa: age-adjusted Charlson Comorbidity Index; ESR: erythrocyte sedimentation rate; CRP: C-reactive protein.

Table 5. Identification of determinants for the presence of disease damage (SDI ≥ 1) by binary logistic regression analysis.

Characteristics	OR 95%	CI	p
Age, yrs	0.989	0.962–1.017	0.454
Male sex	0.754	0.294–1.929	0.555
Disease duration, yrs	1.034	0.996–1.073	0.081
CRP, mg/dl	1.020	0.852–1.220	0.831
BDI	1.019	0.976–1.064	0.398
MCS	0.990	0.956–1.025	0.562
CCIIa	1.884	1.372–2.586	<0.001
SLEDAI	1.114	1.041–1.192	0.002
Corticosteroid, mg/day	1.036	1.004–1.068	0.026

SDI: Systemic Lupus International Collaborating Clinics/American College of Rheumatology Damage Index; CRP: C-reactive protein; BDI: Becker Depression Inventory; MCS: mental component score; CCIa: age-adjusted Charlson Comorbidity Index; SLEDAI: The Systemic Lupus Erythematosus Disease Activity Index.

consensus by an international group of rheumatology experts^{8,9}, in a multicenter SLE cohort in Korea. Organ damage in patients with SLE might be caused by disease progression, therapeutics, or underlying comorbid conditions. Especially, data from cohorts with longterm followup periods have shown that comorbidities at the time of diagnosis were associated with decreased survival in patients with SLE²⁰.

Over the last several decades, earlier diagnosis, improved disease recognition, and emerging new therapeutics have significantly benefited mortality and progression in SLE^{1,2,3}. However, the mortality rates of patients with SLE still remain more than 3× greater than those of the general population, which is likely because of the irreversible organ damage^{4,25}. The need to predict disease damage or mortality has increased in the clinical field of SLE. The SDI is currently used for the assessment of permanent and irreversible organ damage in patients with SLE^{8,9}. In the Lupus in Minority:

Nature vs Nurture (LUMINA) cohort, a higher SDI score at the time of enrollment was associated with early mortality within 5 years of diagnosis, suggesting that organ damage was already present in some patients¹⁶. In addition, the SDI has been shown to increase over time in patients with SLE, although this is not always the case¹⁴. Overall, the SDI effectively reflects current and future organ damage in SLE.

Many investigators have tried to identify factors related to the development or progression of damage based on the SDI. Some studies have focused on ethnic variations as a crucial determinant of organ damage in SLE. The LUMINA study assessed differences in damage accrual among 3 ethnic groups and found that Hispanics with higher SDI score at the last visit were more susceptible to organ damage compared with African Americans and whites¹⁶. Another study reported that Chinese patients with SLE were more likely to develop major organ involvement over the course of the disease than were whites, ultimately resulting in increased mortality¹⁷. However, in an analysis of factors associated with the development of new damage (transition from SDI 0 to ≥ 1) and progression of damage (transition from SDI ≥ 1 to a higher score) in 1722 patients with SLE from the SLICC Inception Cohort, Bruce, *et al* demonstrated that ethnicity, with the exception of African American ethnicity, did not influence the SDI in patients with SLE⁶. Interestingly, Asian ethnicity, including Korean ethnicity, showed a protective effect on the development of new damage in patients with SLE. In our study, even though the median disease duration was 7.9 years, only 21.1% of patients showed accrual of organ damage (Table 1). This finding is not consistent with data from earlier studies suggesting that about 50% of patients with organ damage develop it within 5 years of diagnosis^{16,26}. There are several reasons why the proportion of patients with organ damage in our study was lower than that reported for other ethnic groups, including whites, African Americans, and Hispanics. First, earlier diagnosis and the emergence of novel therapeutics through more advanced understanding of the pathogenesis of SLE have led to decreases in disease- and treatment-related injuries. Second, it was hypothesized that Asian ethnicity might be protective against the occurrence of organ involvement and mortality in SLE.

Several studies have reported on the effects of therapeutics, including corticosteroids, on SLE-related organ damage. The use of corticosteroids is an important therapy for controlling disease activity in SLE. However, it is also recognized to be closely associated with the development of osteoporosis and DM. In the Hopkins Lupus Cohort study, patients with SLE exposed to cumulative and high-dose prednisone showed an increased risk of morbidity related to permanent organ damage¹⁸. In an inception cohort study, Gladman, *et al* identified that a higher proportion of organ damage could be attributed to corticosteroid exposure during both the early and late followup period¹⁴. In particular, 58% of the damage accrual occurred within 1 year after diagnosis,

including both definite (e.g., cataract) and possible (e.g., diabetes) corticosteroid-related organ system involvement. In the multiethnic LUMINA cohort of patients with SLE with disease duration ≤ 5 years, the maximum dose of corticosteroid at the time of enrollment was an independent predictor of the SDI at the last visit¹⁶. In the logistic regression analysis of our study, higher daily mean dose of corticosteroid therapy significantly increased the risk of organ damage (OR 1.036, 95% CI 1.004–1.068), a finding consistent with data from previous studies^{14,18}. In addition, the effects of different characteristics of corticosteroid exposure, such as maximum dose, cumulative dose, and duration of exposure, on SLE-related damage remain unclear.

The CCI is a well-established and validated tool to evaluate comorbidities and was first developed to predict 1-year patient mortality using clinical comorbidities obtained from hospital medical review²⁴. The comorbidity index has been applied to assess the mortality or burden of illness in a variety of rheumatic diseases such as RA²⁷, pSS²⁸, and SLE²⁰. One study demonstrated that the CCI was closely associated with decreased survival in 2 independent prospective Sweden SLE cohorts, the Montreal (HR 1.57, 95% CI 1.18–2.09) and Lund (HR 1.35, 95% CI 1.13–1.60) cohorts²⁰. However, data on the association between the CCI and disease damage has not been studied in an Asian SLE population. Here, we identified a significant relationship between the CCI and organ damage as assessed by SDI, revealing that the development of organ damage was largely related to the CCI score (OR 1.884 per unit increase in the CCI, 95% CI 1.372–2.586). A correlation coefficient of the CCIa in univariate correlation analysis was shown to be < 0.3 ($r = 0.273$), indicating weak correlation between the SDI and CCIa in patients with SLE. This suggests, in part, an interaction between current comorbidities and organ damage in patients with SLE.

The CCI score of our study is relatively lower than that of the Western population published by Jönsen, *et al*²⁰. This lower comorbidity could be explained by multiple factors. First, in a study for the effect of the CCI on longterm survival outcome in 336 Korean men with prostate cancer after radical prostatectomy, the mean CCI was shown to be 0.28 (0–4), and only 70 patients (20.8%) showed more than 1 point of the CCI²⁹. Another study reported that about 50% of Korean elderly patients with cancer receiving chemotherapy had a CCI score of 0³⁰. The authors excluded corresponding in the assessment of the CCI. These might indicate that the Korean population had a lower comorbidity. Second, the median age of the study population in a previous study²⁰ was older than those in our study. Therefore, their risk of comorbidity was higher than in our study population.

Becker-Merok and Nossent demonstrated that weighted average SLEDAI > 3 was the only independent predictor of development of severe damage (SDI ≥ 3 ; HR 2.34, 95% CI 1.1–4.9), in addition to age > 40 years at diagnosis¹⁹. Another

study showed significant positive correlations between the SDI at last visit and the mean and maximal SLEDAI scores ($p = 0.05$ and $p < 0.001$, respectively)³¹. Similarly, we also observed that both the SDI score and the presence of damage ($SDI \geq 1$) were significantly related to the SLEDAI score. This suggests that organ damage in the early and late phases of the disease might be partially responsible for the inflammatory responses.

There are some limitations to the interpretation of our findings. First, cross-sectional analysis cannot determine the definite causal relationship between factors such as the SDI, SLEDAI, and CCIa, although the SDI was shown to be related with comorbid conditions, disease activity, and corticosteroid use in our study. Second, our study did not include SLE-specific health-related quality-of-life measures such as the SLE-specific Quality of Life (SLEQOL) questionnaire and SLE Quality of Life questionnaire, although SF-36 has been used at clinical studies assessing the quality of life in patients with SLE. Third, although our cohort included specific laboratory biomarkers of organ damage and disease activity such as anti-Sm antibody and urinalysis, quantitative analysis could not be sufficiently performed because of missing data and different normal ranges for each marker. To overcome these limitations, followup data on the changes in the SDI and biomarkers over time should be assessed in addition to SLEQOL measurements. Fourth, the contents or items of the CCI and SDI may be overlapped, such as renal disease and cerebrovascular/peripheral vascular diseases. Therefore, it is somewhat possible to lead to bias to explain the effect of CCIa on SDI in patients with SLE.

Our study showed that the SDI is closely related to current comorbidities, together with corticosteroid therapy and SLEDAI of disease activity in a Korean SLE cohort, which implicates medical therapeutics, disease activity, and underlying comorbid conditions as contributing factors to the development of organ damage in SLE. The involvement of these factors in predicting organ damage and mortality should be confirmed in future prospective studies.

REFERENCES

- Lisnevskaja L, Murphy G, Isenberg D. Systemic lupus erythematosus. *Lancet* 2014;384:1878-88.
- Urowitz MB, Gladman DD, Abu-Shakra M, Farewell VT. Mortality studies in systemic lupus erythematosus. Results from a single center. III. Improved survival over 24 years. *J Rheumatol* 1997;24:1061-5.
- Murphy G, Lisnevskaja L, Isenberg D. Systemic lupus erythematosus and other autoimmune rheumatic diseases: challenges to treatment. *Lancet* 2013;382:809-18.
- Bernatsky S, Boivin JF, Joseph L, Manzi S, Ginzler E, Gladman DD, et al. Mortality in systemic lupus erythematosus. *Arthritis Rheum* 2006;54:2550-7.
- Cervera R, Khamashta MA, Font J, Sebastiani GD, Gil A, Lavilla P, et al; European Working Party on Systemic Lupus Erythematosus. Morbidity and mortality in systemic lupus erythematosus during a 10-year period: a comparison of early and late manifestations in a cohort of 1,000 patients. *Medicine* 2003;82:299-308.
- Bruce IN, O'Keefe AG, Farewell V, Hanly JG, Manzi S, Su L, et al. Factors associated with damage accrual in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus: results from the Systemic Lupus International Collaborating Clinics (SLICC) Inception Cohort. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2015;74:1706-13.
- Urowitz MB, Gladman DD, Ibañez D, Fortin PR, Bae SC, Gordon C, et al. Evolution of disease burden over five years in a multicenter inception systemic lupus erythematosus cohort. *Arthritis Care Res* 2012;64:132-7.
- Gladman D, Ginzler E, Goldsmith C, Fortin P, Liang M, Urowitz M, et al. Systemic lupus international collaborative clinics: development of a damage index in systemic lupus erythematosus. *J Rheumatol* 1992;19:1820-1.
- Gladman D, Ginzler E, Goldsmith C, Fortin P, Liang M, Urowitz M, et al. The development and initial validation of the Systemic Lupus International Collaborating Clinics/American College of Rheumatology damage index for systemic lupus erythematosus. *Arthritis Rheum* 1996;39:363-9.
- Rahman P, Gladman DD, Urowitz MB, Hallett D, Tam LS. Early damage as measured by the SLICC/ACR damage index is a predictor of mortality in systemic lupus erythematosus. *Lupus* 2001;10:93-6.
- Sutton EJ, Davidson JE, Bruce IN. The systemic lupus international collaborating clinics (SLICC) damage index: a systematic literature review. *Semin Arthritis Rheum* 2013;43:352-61.
- Maddison P, Farewell V, Isenberg D, Aranow C, Bae SC, Barr S, et al; Systemic Lupus International Collaborating Clinics. The rate and pattern of organ damage in late onset systemic lupus erythematosus. *J Rheumatol* 2002;29:913-7.
- Sung YK, Hur NW, Sinskey JL, Park D, Bae SC. Assessment of damage in Korean patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. *J Rheumatol* 2007;34:987-91.
- Gladman DD, Urowitz MB, Rahman P, Ibañez D, Tam LS. Accrual of organ damage over time in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. *J Rheumatol* 2003;30:1955-9.
- Calvo-Alén J, Reveille JD, Rodríguez-Valverde V, McGwin G Jr, Baethge BA, Friedman AW, et al. Clinical, immunogenetic and outcome features of Hispanic systemic lupus erythematosus patients of different ethnic ancestry. *Lupus* 2003;12:377-85.
- Alarcón GS, McGwin G Jr, Bartolucci AA, Roseman J, Lisse J, Fessler BJ, et al; LUMINA Study Group. Lupus in minority populations, nature versus nurture. Systemic lupus erythematosus in three ethnic groups. IX. Differences in damage accrual. *Arthritis Rheum* 2001;44:2797-806.
- Thumboo J, Uramoto K, O'Fallon WM, Fong KY, Boey ML, Feng PH, et al. A comparative study of the clinical manifestations of systemic lupus erythematosus in Caucasians in Rochester, Minnesota, and Chinese in Singapore, from 1980 to 1992. *Arthritis Rheum* 2001;45:494-500.
- Zonana-Nacach A, Barr SG, Magder LS, Petri M. Damage in systemic lupus erythematosus and its association with corticosteroids. *Arthritis Rheum* 2000;43:1801-8.
- Becker-Merok A, Nossent HC. Damage accumulation in systemic lupus erythematosus and its relation to disease activity and mortality. *J Rheumatol* 2006;33:1570-7.
- Jönsen A, Clarke AE, Joseph L, Belisle P, Bernatsky S, Nived O, et al. Association of the Charlson comorbidity index with mortality in systemic lupus erythematosus. *Arthritis Care Res* 2011;63:1233-7.
- Tan EM, Cohen AS, Fries JF, Masi AT, McShane DJ, Rothfield NF, et al. The 1982 revised criteria for the classification of systemic lupus erythematosus. *Arthritis Rheum* 1982;25:1271-7.
- Hochberg MC. Updating the American College of Rheumatology revised criteria for the classification of systemic lupus erythematosus. *Arthritis Rheum* 1997;40:1725.
- Bombardier C, Gladman DD, Urowitz MB, Caron D, Chang CH.

- Derivation of the SLEDAI. A disease activity index for lupus patients. The Committee on Prognosis Studies in SLE. *Arthritis Rheum* 1992;35:630-40.
24. Charlson ME, Pompei P, Ales KL, MacKenzie CR. A new method of classifying prognostic comorbidity in longitudinal studies: development and validation. *J Chronic Dis* 1987;40:373-83.
 25. Mok CC, Ho CT, Wong RW, Lau CS. Damage accrual in southern Chinese patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. *J Rheumatol* 2003;30:1513-9.
 26. Zonana-Nacach A, Camargo-Coronel A, Yáñez P, de Lourdes Sánchez M, Jiménez-Balderas FJ, Aceves-Avila J, et al. Measurement of damage in 210 Mexican patients with systemic lupus erythematosus: relationship with disease duration. *Lupus* 1998;7:119-23.
 27. Gabriel SE, Crowson CS, O'Fallon WM. Comorbidity in arthritis. *J Rheumatol* 1999;26:2475-9.
 28. Atisha-Fregoso Y, Rivera-Vicencio Y, Baños-Pelaez M, Hernández-Molina G. Main causes and risk factors for hospitalisation in patients with primary Sjögren's syndrome. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2015;33:721-5.
 29. Lee JY, Lee DH, Cho NH, Rha KH, Choi YD, Hong SJ, et al. Charlson comorbidity index is an important prognostic factor for long-term survival outcomes in Korean men with prostate cancer after radical prostatectomy. *Yonsei Med J* 2014;55:316-23.
 30. Kim YJ, Kim JH, Park MS, Lee KW, Kim KI, Bang SM, et al. Comprehensive geriatric assessment in Korean elderly cancer patients receiving chemotherapy. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol* 2011;137:839-47.
 31. Molad Y, Gorshtein A, Wysesbeek AJ, Guedj D, Majadla R, Weinberger A, et al. Protective effect of hydroxychloroquine in systemic lupus erythematosus. Prospective long-term study of an Israeli cohort. *Lupus* 2002;11:356-61.