Sacroiliac Joint Magnetic Resonance Imaging in Asymptomatic Patients with Recurrent Acute Anterior Uveitis: A Proof-of-concept Study

Thauana L. Oliveira, Walter P. Maksymowych, Robert G.W. Lambert, Cristina Muccioli, Artur R.C. Fernandes, and Marcelo M. Pinheiro

ABSTRACT. Objective. Our aim was to quantify bone marrow edema (BME) and/or structural lesions in the sacroiliac joints (SIJ) of patients with recurrent acute anterior uveitis (rAAU) with or without back pain, to evaluate the frequency of axial (axSpA) and peripheral spondyloarthritis (pSpA) and to establish which criterion for magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) positivity best reflected the global assessment of SIJ MRI.

Methods. A total of 50 patients with rAAU without prior rheumatologic diagnosis were included in our cross-sectional study, and these patients were compared to 21 healthy volunteers. SIJ MRI scans were read by 2 rheumatologists according to the Spondyloarthritis Research Consortium of Canada (SPARCC/MORPHO) protocol. Discrepant cases were adjudicated by a radiologist.

Results. Patients with rAAU were diagnosed with axSpA (Group 1, n = 20, 40%) and nonspecific back pain (Group 2, n = 6, 12%), or as being asymptomatic (Group 3, n = 24, 48%). Group 3 results showed 9 patients (37.5%) had SIJ MRI and/or were radiography-positive for axSpA (5 MRI and radiograph, 1 MRI, 3 radiograph). SIJ MRI scans that were compatible with SpA in groups 1 (n = 12) and 3 (n = 6) were similar in acute and structural lesions that were analyzed according to SPARCC/MORPHO. The best sensitivity/specificity criterion for defining a positive global MRI assessment was a BME score \geq 3 (88%/94%).

Conclusion. This is the first study evaluating SIJ MRI in patients with rAAU without back symptoms, showing positive findings for sacroiliitis. Moreover, a BME score \geq 3 had better performance to define an SIJ MRI as positive for axSpA. (First Release November 1 2017; J Rheumatol 2017;44:1833–40; doi:10.3899/jrheum.170036)

Key Indexing Terms: SPONDYLOARTHRITIS MAGNETIC RESONANCE IMAGING SACROILIAC JOINTS

Anterior uveitis is the most common form of uveitis in several parts of the Western world, accounting for 50% to 92% of cases^{1,2}. HLA-B27–associated acute anterior uveitis (AAU) is one of the main causes of anterior uveitis in Western countries, responsible for 18% to 32% of cases^{3,4,5}. While AAU occurs less commonly as an isolated condition, it occurs as part of a systemic disease in 50% to 80% of patients, particularly ankylosing spondylitis (AS)^{6,7,8,9}.

From Division of Rheumatology, Department of Ophthalmology, and Department of Radiology and Diagnostic Imaging, Federal University of São Paulo (UNIFESP/EPM), São Paulo, Brazil; Department of Medicine, and Department of Radiology and Diagnostic Imaging, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada.

T.L. Oliveira, MD, MSc, Division of Rheumatology, UNIFESP/EPM; W.P. Maksymowych, MD, PhD, Department of Medicine, University of Alberta; R.G. Lambert, MD, PhD, Department of Radiology and Diagnostic Imaging, University of Alberta; C. Muccioli, MD, PhD, Department of Ophthalmology, UNIFESP/EPM; A.R. Fernandes, MD, PhD, Department of Radiology and Diagnostic Imaging, UNIFESP/EPM; M.M. Pinheiro, MD, PhD, Division of Rheumatology, UNIFESP/EPM. Address correspondence and requests for reprints to Dr. T.L. Oliveira, Rua

Leandro Dupré, 204, Conjunto 74, Vila Clementino, 04025-010, São Paulo-SP, Brazil. E-mail: thauanareumato@gmail.com Accepted for publication August 31, 2017. Few studies have investigated the prevalence of sacroiliitis in patients with AAU but without musculoskeletal symptoms, and none of these studies used magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) as an imaging method^{10,11,12,13,14,15}.

The aim of our study was to quantify bone marrow edema (BME) and/or structural lesions in the sacroiliac joints (SIJ) of patients with recurrent AAU (rAAU) with or without back pain, and to compare the measurements to those of healthy individuals. In addition, our study investigated the frequency of inflammatory back pain and the occurrence of the HLA-27 allele as well as the diagnostic frequency of axial spondy-loarthritis (axSpA) and peripheral SpA (pSpA). We then assessed fulfillment of the Assessment of Spondyloarthritis international Society (ASAS) classification criteria for axSpA¹⁶, pSpA¹⁷, and modified New York (mNY) criteria for AS¹⁸, and sought to establish which criteria for MRI positivity best reflected the global assessment of SIJ MRI.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A total of 50 patients aged \geq 18 years having a history of at least 2 episodes of AAU were enrolled in this cross-sectional study. All of them had received care at the Uveitis Outpatient Clinic of the Department of Ophthalmology from the Federal University of São Paulo, Brazil. The participants were

consecutively selected from March 2014 to March 2015. Individuals with nonanterior, granulomatous, or infectious uveitis were excluded, as were those previously diagnosed with any rheumatic disease. The control group comprised 21 blood donors who were considered healthy from the musculoskeletal perspective, as assessed by the Nordic questionnaire¹⁹, and had denied any red-eye episode accompanied by blurred vision. These controls were matched by age, sex, and race to the group of patients with AAU. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Federal University of São Paulo (protocol no. 337.090) and all subjects provided signed informed consent forms.

Patients with rAAU and healthy controls were assessed by the same rheumatologist (TLO) for articular and other extraarticular (colitis, psoriasis, and nail dystrophy) manifestations of SpA. Whenever present, back pain was categorized as inflammatory or nonspecific, according to expert opinion and the ASAS definition²⁰. The rAAU patients with musculoskeletal symptoms were classified according to ASAS criteria for axSpA and pSpA, and the mNY criteria for AS. The following instruments were used for clinical assessment of patients classified as axSpA: Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index²¹, Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Functional Index²², Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Metrology Index²³ and Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Score²⁴. Enthesis was assessed by the Maastricht Ankylosing Spondylitis Enthesitis Score (MASES)²⁵.

All patients with rAAU were evaluated by an ophthalmologist; active anterior uveitis was defined as the presence of at least 1 cell per field (grade 0.5+) on biomicroscopy²⁶. At the time of imaging method assessment, patients with a cell grade of 0.5 or higher and/or using topical and/or systemic steroids were considered as exhibiting recently active rAAU.

In addition to the HLA-B27 investigation, the erythrocyte sedimentation rate and C-reactive protein were measured for patients with rAAU.

SIJ radiographs were performed in patients with rAAU. These were independently assessed by 2 rheumatologists (TLO and MMP) who were blinded to patient data, using the online Spondyloarthritis Radiography (SPAR) SIJ Scoring Module²⁷. When no consensus for meeting mNY criteria was reached, a third reading was conducted by a blinded radiologist specializing in musculoskeletal imaging.

SIJ MRI images were acquired through 1.5T or 3T devices (Siemens Medical Solutions). Semicoronal T1-weighted (T1W) and short-tau inversion recovery (STIR) sequences were obtained from all patients with rAAU and healthy controls as recommended by ASAS²⁸. SIJ MRI images were evaluated according to the MORPHO adaptation of the SPARCC SIJ method, which scores both BME and structural lesions through the cartilaginous portion of the SIJ²⁷. The evaluated structural lesions were erosion, fat metaplasia, subchondral sclerosis, ankylosis, and backfill. Assessment of MRI scans was conducted on a Web-based interface with online recording of MRI findings. Each scan was first assessed by simultaneous global evaluation of T1W and STIR scans. Readers first had to determine whether they considered the scans as compatible with SpA according to the ASAS criteria for a positive MRI²⁸ by viewing the STIR sequence, and then through global assessment by viewing T1W and STIR sequences simultaneously. The confidence in these classifications was evaluated on a scale ranging from 0 to 10. Detailed evaluation of specific lesions was then conducted on the subsequent Web page.

MRI readings were performed independently by 2 rheumatologists who were blinded to patient data (TLO and WPM). Adjudication was conducted by a radiologist (RGL) for cases with a difference > 5 for BME, > 3 for any structural lesion, or a disagreement either in the classification as a positive MRI according to the ASAS criteria or in the global assessment between the 2 readers. These cutoffs are based on the estimation of the smallest detectable difference from 2 studies^{29,30}. Reader 1's calibration was performed in Edmonton, Alberta, Canada, using online SPARCC SIJ and SPARCC SIJ Structural Score modules^{27,31}. STIR images from 40 patients with axSpA were analyzed for calibration of BME readings. The intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC; 3,1) between readers 1 and 2 for BME was 0.88 (95% CI 0.81–0.92). Initially, T1W images from 30 patients with axSpA were analyzed for calibration of structural lesions, followed by discussion of the discrepancies, then assessment of 73 axSpA cases with < 5 years of disease. ICC(3,1) was 0.84 (95% CI 0.79–0.88) for fat metaplasia; 0.66 (95% CI 0.56–0.74) for erosion; 0.77 (95% CI 0.69–0.83) for backfill; and 0.93 (95% CI 0.90–0.95) for ankylosis. Included in the descriptive analysis as group 5 were 30 patients with AS whose T1W and STIR sequences were read during this calibration.

Statistical analysis. Differences in demographic and clinical characteristics between rAAU patients and controls were assessed by chi-square test or Fisher's exact test for nominal, and Kolmogorov-Smirnov, Student t test, ANOVA, or the nonparametric Mann-Whitney and Kruskal-Wallis tests when appropriate for continuous variables. Mean ICC was calculated to assess reproducibility among MRI readers, ĸ coefficient for radiograph readers, and radiograph and MRI SIJ agreement for detection of sacroiliitis. For that purpose, an ICC(3,1), 2-way mixed model with absolute agreement and individual measures, was used. ICC and κ values > 0.4, > 0.6, > 0.8, and > 0.9 were regarded as representing moderate, good, very good, and excellent reproducibility, respectively. The frequency of MRI lesions at the group level was analyzed descriptively as the mean [median; interquartile range (IQR)] for each lesion for both MRI readers. When adjudication was necessary, the average among the third reading and the closest value from TLO or WPM was considered for analysis. In addition, the mean values of 2 readers for sensitivity, specificity, and positive/negative likelihood ratios were calculated for the following: ASAS definition of a positive MRI, and derived additional candidate lesion-based criteria for global positive MRI based on the combination of a number of SIJ quadrants with BME and/or structural lesions. The gold standard was global assessment of the MRI images that were compatible with SpA, and a level of confidence being ≥ 7 according to both readers. In addition, the area under the receiver-operating characteristic (ROC) curve was calculated for each criterion.

Pearson correlation was calculated between the sum of mNY sacroiliitis grade of both SIJ for each patient (score 0 to 8) and the mean number of quadrants for each MRI lesion.

The statistical analysis was performed with the statistical software SPSS 20.0 and STATA 12.0. P values < 0.05 were considered significant.

RESULTS

Following clinical, laboratory, and imaging evaluation, the 50 patients with rAAU were divided into 3 groups according to expert opinion diagnosis: group 1 (axSpA, n = 20, 40%), group 2 [nonspecific back pain (NSBP), n = 6, 12%], and group 3 (asymptomatic, n = 24, 48%). Healthy controls were in group 4 (n = 21) and AS patients were in group 5 (n = 30).

Among the 20 patients diagnosed with axSpA according to expert opinion, 11 (55%) were classified as AS. The remainder met only the ASAS criteria for axSpA, 5 (25%) based on the presence of sacroiliitis (imaging arm) and 4 on HLA-B27 positivity associated with at least 1 other clinical manifestation besides rAAU (clinical arm). Among the first subgroup (i.e., the one comprising 5 patients), only 1 (20%) was classified as nonradiographic axSpA. The other 4 patients were not classified as AS because they did not have inflammatory back pain, reduced Schober test, or chest expansion, despite having radiographic sacroiliitis. Comparison of demographic, clinical, and laboratory characteristics among these subgroups did not detect any statistically significant differences, and these groups were therefore analyzed together. None of the rAAU patients had taken any synthetic or biological disease-modifying drugs. The demographic data, eye disease, back pain duration, and laboratory characteristics are described in Table 1.

Personal non-commercial use only. The Journal of Rheumatology Copyright © 2017. All rights reserved.

The Journal of Rheumatology 2017;44:12; doi:10.3899/jrheum.170036

| Table 1. Clinical and laboratory characteristics of patients with recurrent AAU and healthy controls. Values are n (%) unless otherwise specified |
|---|
|---|

| Variables | Group 1, AxSpA, n = 20 | Group 2, NSBP, n = 6 | Group 3, Asymptomatic, n = 24 | Group 4, Controls, n = 21 | Group 5, AS, n = 30 | р |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|
| Female | 13 (75) | 0 (0) | 12 (50) | 14 (66.7) | 6 (20) | 0.921* |
| White | 11 (55) | 3 (50) | 14 (58.3) | 12 (57.1) | _ | 1.000* |
| Age, yrs, mean \pm SD | 44.8 ± 14.3 | 44.5 ± 11.2 | 42.3 ± 11.8 | 47.1 ± 12 | 40.40 ± 11 | 0.378^{Δ} |
| Age at onset of uveitis, yrs, | | | | | | |
| mean ± SD | 38.9 ± 14 | 35.5 ± 14.8 | 36.8 ± 12.8 | NA | NA | 0.817^{Δ} |
| Eye disease duration, yr, | | | | | | |
| mean ± SD | 6.2 ± 6.1 | 7.2 ± 6.2 | 5.5 ± 6.4 | NA | NA | 0.491 [¶] |
| Active uveitis | 7 (35) | 2 (33.3) | 14 (58.3) | NA | NA | 0.252* |
| Age at onset of back pain, yr | s, | | | | | |
| mean ± SD | 31.2 ± 10 | 30.5 ± 11.3 | NA | NA | NA | 0.893€ |
| Back pain duration, yrs, | | | | | | |
| mean ± SD | 13.8 ± 10.5 | 14.8 ± 14.3 | NA | NA | 17 ± 11.52 | 0.629^{Δ} |
| Inflammatory back pain (exp | pert opinion/ | | | | | |
| ASAS definition) | 9 (45) | NA | NA | NA | 30 (100) | |
| Assessment instruments, | BASDAI 4.2 ± 2.3 | NA | NA | NA | BASDAI 4.9 ± 2.6 , | 0.356€ |
| mean ± SD | BASFI 2.9 ± 2.7 | | | | BASFI 3.7 ± 2.8 | 0.296€ |
| | BASMI 3.0 ± 1.2 | | | | _ | |
| А | SDAS-ESR 3.1 ± 1.1 | | | | _ | 0.059€ |
| А | SDAS-CRP 3.1 ± 1.1 | | | | ASDAS-CRP 3.8 ± 1.3 | |
| Enthesitis score (MASES) ≥ | 2 6 (30) | 1 (16.7) | 1 (4.17) | 0 (0) | _ | 0.007* |
| ESR, mm/1st h, mean \pm SD | 23.7 ± 18 | 9.5 ± 6.2 | 26.4 ± 22.4 | NA | _ | 0.176^{Δ} |
| CRP, mg/l, mean ± SD | 7.7 ± 9.2 | 2.5 ± 2.3 | 4.3 ± 4.7 | NA | 10.9 ± 10.6 | 0.014 [¶] |
| HLA-B27+ | 12 (60) | 0 (0) | 12 (50) | NA | 26 (87) | 0.025* |

*Fisher's exact test; [©]Student t test; ^AANOVA; [¶]Kruskal-Wallis test; NA: not applicable; —: data not available. AAU: acute anterior uveitis; axSpA: axial spondyloarthritis; NSBP: nonspecific back pain; AS: ankylosing spondylitis; ASAS: Assessment of Spondyloarthritis international Society; MASES: Maastricht Ankylosing Spondylitis Enthesitis Score; BASDAI: Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index; BASFI: Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Metrology Index; ESR: erythrocyte sedimentation rate; ASDAS-ESR: Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Score-ESR; CRP: C-reactive protein.

The number of patients with MASES ≥ 2 on clinical examination was larger in group 1 compared to the other groups. Only 1 patient in group 3 (4.17%) had a MASES score ≥ 2 and it was because he had bilateral Haglund's deformity (Table 1).

Regarding non-AAU extraarticular involvement, 1 female patient from group 1 (HLA-B27–negative) reported chronic diarrhea, but no evidence of macroscopic colitis was observed on colonoscopy. A male patient from group 1 (HLA-B27–positive) had nail pitting and only 1 patient (group 3 and HLA-B27–negative) had plaque psoriasis confirmed by skin biopsy. Another patient from group 3 (HLA-B27–positive) reported a previous circinate balanitis.

The individual readings performed by readers 1 and 2 are presented in a descriptive manner (Table 2). Adjudication was necessary in 18 (39%) cases: BME (n = 7), erosion (n = 6), subchondral sclerosis (n = 7), backfill (n = 1), SpA presence according to ASAS (n = 16), and SpA presence according to global assessment (n = 9). The ICC (3,1) between readers 1 and 2 was 0.54 (95% CI 0.31-0.71) for BME; 0.68 (95% CI 0.53-0.79) for erosion; 0.73 (95% CI 0.53-0.84) for subchondral sclerosis; 0.72 (95% CI 0.59-0.82) for fat metaplasia; 0.70 (95% CI 0.56-0.80) for backfill; and 0.62 (95% CI 0.44-0.75) for ankylosis.

The κ coefficient for assessment of radiographic sacroiliitis according to mNY criteria between the 2 rheumatologists was 0.49. A discrepancy occurred in 12 cases (24%), which were submitted to a third reading. The final SIJ scores were right SIJ, grade 0 (n = 17), grade 1 (n = 10), grade 2 (n = 10), grade 3 (n = 13), and grade 4 (n = 0), and left SIJ grade 0 (n = 10), grade 1 (n = 12), grade 2 (n = 7), grade 3 (n = 20), and grade 4 (n = 1).

Regarding the images, 4 patients from group 1 and 3 from group 3 had positive SIJ radiographs according to the mNY criteria, but the MRI scans were considered negative for SpA. Only 1 patient from group 1 and another from group 3 had positive SIJ MRI but normal radiographs. The κ coefficient value between SIJ radiograph reading and global assessment of MRI for diagnosis of SpA was 0.60. Pearson correlation between the sum of mNY sacroiliitis grade for each patient (0 to 8) and the mean number of quadrants for each MRI lesion was r = 0.382 (BME), r = 0.377 (erosion), r = 0.217 (subchondral sclerosis), r = 0.358 (fat metaplasia), r = 0.371 (backfill), and r = 0.463 (ankylosis).

The frequency of a positive MRI compatible with axSpA was highest in group 1; this result was expected because MRI was used to diagnose and then classify these patients. Of more interest is that 9 patients (37.5%) from group 3 had

Table 2. SIJ quadrants of each type of lesion on SIJ MRI of patients with recurrent AAU and healthy controls. Values are mean ± SD (median; interquartile range).

| Variables | Group 1, AxSpA, n = 20 | Group 2, NSBP, n = 6 | Group 3, Asymptomatic, n = 24 | Group 4, Controls, n = 21 | Group 5, AS |
|--------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|
| BME | | | | | |
| Reader 1 | $6.8 \pm 6.6 (5; 10)$ | $1.3 \pm 1.2 (1.5; 2)$ | $2.5 \pm 5.5 (0; 3)$ | $1.5 \pm 3 (0; 1)$ | $4.8 \pm 6.64 (2; 8)$ |
| Reader 2 | $2.9 \pm 4.0 (1; 6)$ | $1.1 \pm 1 (1.5; 2)$ | $0.9 \pm 1.8 (0; 1)$ | $0.9 \pm 2.0 \ (0; 1)$ | 5.10 ± 9.35 (2; 6.5) |
| Mean | $5.8 \pm 6.4 (3.3; 8.5)$ | $1.1 \pm 1 (1.3; 1.8)$ | $2.1 \pm 4.8 \ (0; 1.9)$ | $1.2 \pm 2.5 (0; 1)$ | 4.9 ± 7.5 (2.5; 6.5) |
| Erosion | | | | | |
| Reader 1 | $2 \pm 2.6 (1; 3)$ | 0 | $1 \pm 2.6 (0; 1)$ | $0.5 \pm 1.4 \ (0; 0)$ | 8.9 ± 6.6 (10; 12.5) |
| Reader 2 | $2.5 \pm 4.5 (0; 2)$ | 0 | $0.6 \pm 1.4 (0; 0)$ | $0.1 \pm 0.4 (0; 0)$ | $3.3 \pm 4.4 (2; 5)$ |
| Mean | $2.5 \pm 3.8 (0.8; 3)$ | 0 | $0.6 \pm 1.3 \ (0; 0.9)$ | $0.2 \pm 0.4 (0; 0)$ | $6.1 \pm 4.7 (5; 7)$ |
| Subchondral sclere | osis | | | | |
| Reader 1 | $1.8 \pm 3.9 (0; 2)$ | $1 \pm 1.1 (1; 2)$ | $2.2 \pm 3.9 \ (0.5; 4)$ | $0.9 \pm 2.3 (0; 1)$ | _ |
| Reader 2 | $1.1 \pm 3.6 (0; 0)$ | 0 | $0.7 \pm 2.1 \ (0; 0)$ | $0.3 \pm 1.1 (0; 0)$ | _ |
| Mean | $1.5 \pm 3.6 (0.5; 1.4)$ | $0.5 \pm 0.5 (0.5; 1)$ | $1.3 \pm 3 (0; 0.5)$ | $0.7 \pm 2 (0; 0.5)$ | _ |
| Fat metaplasia | | | | | |
| Reader 1 | $1.7 \pm 3.5 (0; 2)$ | 0 | $0.5 \pm 1.4 (0; 0)$ | $0.1 \pm 0.3 (0; 0)$ | 9.6 ± 8.6 (6; 15) |
| Reader 2 | $2.5 \pm 6.7 (0; 1)$ | 0 | $0.7 \pm 2 \ (0; 0)$ | 0 | $3.3 \pm 4.6 (2; 5)$ |
| Mean | $1.7 \pm 3.7 (0; 0.9)$ | 0 | $0.6 \pm 1.8 (0; 0)$ | $0 \pm 0.2 (0; 0)$ | $6.4 \pm 6.1(4.5; 10.5)$ |
| Ankylosis | | | | | |
| Reader 1 | $1.7 \pm 3.3 (0; 3)$ | 0 | $1 \pm 2.5 (0; 0)$ | 0 | $1.3 \pm 3.7 (0; 0.5)$ |
| Reader 2 | $0.2 \pm 0.9 (0; 0)$ | 0 | $0.5 \pm 1.9 (0; 0)$ | 0 | $1.7 \pm 3.8 (0; 1.5)$ |
| Mean | $0.9 \pm 2.7 (0; 0.5)$ | 0 | $0.6 \pm 2.1 \ (0; 0)$ | 0 | $1.5 \pm 3.7 (0; 0.75)$ |
| Backfill | | | | | |
| Reader 1 | $0.9 \pm 1.3 (0; 1)$ | 0 | $0.7 \pm 2.6 (0; 0)$ | $0.1 \pm 0.4 (0; 0)$ | $4.9 \pm 7 (1; 9)$ |
| Reader 2 | $1.2 \pm 3.8 (0; 0)$ | 0 | $0.7 \pm 2.5 (0; 0)$ | 0 (0; 0) | $4.6 \pm 4.9 (3; 6.5)$ |
| Mean | $0.7 \pm 1.2 (0; 0.9)$ | 0 | $0.7 \pm 2.6 (0; 0)$ | $0 \pm 0.2 (0; 0)$ | 4.7 ± 5.47 (2.5; 6.5) |

Showed mean results after adjudication for groups 1 to 4. Mean results for group 5 are not adjudicated (cases read during calibration). Because subchondral sclerosis is not a lesion read in SPARCC SIJ Structural Score (SSS), data are not available. SIJ: sacroiliac joint; MRI: magnetic resonance imaging; AAU: acute anterior uveitis; BME: bone marrow edema; axSpA: axial spondyloarthritis; NSBP: nonspecific back pain; AS: ankylosing spondylitis.

images compatible with SpA, with 6 (25%) being detected on SIJ MRI and 8 (33.3%) on SIJ radiographs. Also, 2 controls (9.5%) had MRI images compatible with SpA, confirming that MRI findings can occur independently of back pain. However, the difference between groups 2 and 3, or group 3 and healthy controls, was not statistically significant, likely because of the small sample size of each group. Also, a higher number of patients in group 1 had a positive MRI according to global assessment as compared to the ASAS definition. In contrast, the number of controls with a positive MRI was lower when considering global assessment (Table 3). The mean (median; IQR) number of quadrants for all lesions did not differ between groups 1 and 3 when considering those patients who had positive global assessment MRI by both readers (Table 4). The 2 patients from the control group with an MRI compatible with SpA exhibited BME, subchondral sclerosis, and fat metaplasia, but no erosion.

To establish which criterion for positive SIJ MRI might best reflect the global assessment for SpA, several cutoffs for numbers of quadrants with lesions were tested. The global assessment of SIJ MRI with a level of confidence being \geq 7 according to readers 1 and 2 (or 1 of these readers and reader 3 in cases of discrepancy) was used as the gold standard for

| Table 3. Diagnosis of SIJ radiographs an | 1 MRI scans of patients with AAU and | healthy controls. Values are n (%). |
|--|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | | |

| Variables | Group 1, AxSpA, n = 20 | Group 2, NSBP, n = 6 | Group 3, Asymptomatic, n = 24 | Group 4, Controls, n = 21 | р |
|---|------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|---------|
| MRI+ (ASAS definition) | 8 (40) | 1 (16.7) | 3 (12.5) | 3 (14.3) | 0.13 |
| MRI+ (global assessment) | 12 (60) ^β | 0 | 6 (25) | 2 (9.5) | 0.001 |
| Radiograph (mNY) Imaging+ (global positive M | 15 (75) ^β /IRI | 0 | 8 (33.3) | NA | 0.001 |
| and/or radiograph) | 16 (80) ^β | 0 | 9 (37.5) | NA | < 0.001 |

^β Different from the other groups (Fisher's exact test). SIJ: sacroiliac joint; AAU: acute anterior uveitis; axSpA: axial spondyloarthritis; NSBP: nonspecific back pain; MRI: magnetic resonance imaging; ASAS: Assessment of Spondyloarthritis international Society; mNY: modified New York; NA: not applicable.

Personal non-commercial use only. The Journal of Rheumatology Copyright © 2017. All rights reserved.

1836

The Journal of Rheumatology 2017;44:12; doi:10.3899/jrheum.170036

Table 4. Comparison of lesions between patients with MRI that were compatible with SpA from groups 1 and 3. Values are mean \pm SD (median; interquartile range).

| | Group 1, AxSpA with Positive Global MRI Assessment, n = 12 | Group 3, Asymptomatic with Positive Global MRI Assessment, n = 6 | p& |
|-----------------------|--|--|-------|
| BME | 8.91 ± 6.59 (8; 9.75) | 6.83 ± 8.22 (3; 13.75) | 0.384 |
| Erosion | $4.04 \pm 4.26 (2.25; 7.88)$ | 2.33 ± 1.63 (2.5; 3) | 0.750 |
| Subchondral sclerosis | 2.20 ± 4.62 (1; 1.88) | 4.08 ± 5.23 (2; 7.88) | 0.437 |
| Fat metaplasia | $2.7 \pm 4.58 (0.25; 4.75)$ | $2.5 \pm 3.09 (1.25; 5.63)$ | 0.750 |
| Ankylosis | $1.54 \pm 3.41 \ (0.25; 1.38)$ | $2.58 \pm 3.95 (0.75; 5.5)$ | 0.682 |
| Backfill | $1.12 \pm 1.5 (0.5; 1.88)$ | $2.83 \pm 4.78 (0; 7)$ | 0.892 |

[&]Mann-Whitney U test. SpA: spondyloarthritis; BME: bone marrow edema; axSpA: axial spondyloarthritis; MRI: magnetic resonance imaging.

the estimation of sensitivity, specificity, and positive/negative likelihood ratios. The images that were considered compatible with SpA on global assessment (20 cases) were compared to those considered noncompatible with SpA (51 cases, Table 5). BME \geq 3 was the criterion with the best sensitivity and specificity for the definition of positive MRI, followed by the ASAS definition.

DISCUSSION

This is the first study that evaluated sacroiliitis on SIJ MRI in patients with rAAU but no axial and peripheral symptoms for SpA. Our results showed that 9 asymptomatic patients (37.5%) had radiograph and/or MRI images that were compatible with axSpA, confirming a close relationship between uveal and axial involvement, independent of the presence of back pain. In addition, when these patients were compared to those from group 1, no statistically significant difference was observed regarding the average number of quadrants exhibiting any of the investigated acute or structural lesions on SIJ MRI. These findings demonstrated that the intensity of the abnormalities, when present, was indistinguishable from those exhibited by the patients classified as axSpA.

Regarding demographic data, we noted a higher prevalence of females among patients with rAAU, particularly among those who were HLA-B27–negative. Few studies have evaluated the relationship between sex and recurrence of AAU, as well as modulation of HLA-B27 and age, but some of them found that women needed longer treatment for the acute episode³² and that the recurrence of uveitis episodes was higher³³. Although the HLA-B27 prevalence in group 1 was lower than expected (60%), it was similar to findings in the Brazilian AS population (66%).³⁴

Our results showed that in 4 patients (20%) from group 1 and 3 (12.5%) from group 3, the SIJ MRI was negative for SpA, while all of them met the mNY criteria. One patient from group 1 and another from group 3 exhibited the opposite situation (positive SIJ MRI according to the ASAS criteria but normal radiograph). Poddubnyy, *et al* compared conventional radiography and MRI for the detection of chronic sacroiliitis and found that the latter had 84% and 64% sensitivity and specificity, respectively, and a moderate κ coeffi-

| Variables | Sens. | Sp. | LR+ | LR- | ROC Area |
|---|-------|------|-------|--------|----------|
| ASAS definition | 0.71 | 0.94 | 12.71 | 0.31 | 0.83 |
| $BME \ge 2$ | 0.94 | 0.83 | 5.65 | 0.07 | 0.89 |
| $BME \ge 3$ | 0.88 | 0.94 | 15.88 | 0.12 | 0.91 |
| Erosion ≥ 2 | 0.47 | 0.96 | 12.71 | 0.55 | 0.72 |
| Erosion ≥ 3 | 0.41 | 0.98 | 22.24 | 0.60 | 0.70 |
| Fat metaplasia ≥ 2 | 0.35 | 0.98 | 19.06 | 0.66 | 0.67 |
| Fat metaplasia ≥ 3 | 0.23 | 0.98 | 12.71 | 0.78 | 0.61 |
| Backfill ≥ 2 | 0.29 | 1 | NC | 0.71 | 0.65 |
| Backfill ≥ 3 | 0.24 | 1 | NC | 0.76 | 0.62 |
| BME ≥ 2 and/or erosion ≥ 2 | 1 | 0.80 | 4.91 | < 0.01 | 0.90 |
| BME ≥ 2 and/or fat metaplasia ≥ 2 | 1 | 0.82 | 5.40 | < 0.01 | 0.91 |
| BME ≥ 2 and/or backfill ≥ 2 | 1 | 0.83 | 6 | < 0.01 | 0.92 |

NC: not calculable (specificity = 1); BME: bone marrow edema; MRI: magnetic resonance imaging; ASAS: Assessment of Spondyloarthritis international Society; Sens.: sensitivity; Sp.: specificity; LR: likelihood ratio; ROC: receiver-operating characteristic.

cient ($\kappa = 0.447$). Notably, this was also the case in our study ($\kappa = 0.595$)³⁵. Also in keeping with the literature, the agreement between radiograph readers was moderate ($\kappa = 0.490$) in our study, similar to the agreement reported by van den Berg, *et al* ($\kappa = 0.540$)³⁶. As is known, interrater reliability between MRI readers for the evaluation of all types of structural lesions is better compared to conventional radiographs^{37,38}, although the interrater reliability between experienced readers for structural lesions is lower compared to BME³⁹. Also, the correlation between mNY sacroiliitis grade and the number of quadrants for each MRI lesion was poor.

There were differences among groups 2, 3, and 4 regarding the frequency of individuals whose SIJ MRI were positive for SpA as per ASAS criteria or global assessment. However, the difference between the asymptomatic patients with rAAU (group 3) and healthy controls (group 4) was not statistically significant, possibly because of the small sample size in each group. Also, it reinforces the concept that MRI findings are not always connected with back pain. Studies with larger sample sizes are warranted to address this issue. In addition, SIJ MRI scans and radiographs were used to classify the patients in groups 1 and 2. Nevertheless, it should be noted that no MRI image of patients with NSBP and healthy controls exhibited significant amounts (i.e., more than 2 affected quadrants) of erosion, fat metaplasia, backfill, or ankylosis.

In addition, the frequency of individuals with NSBP and healthy controls who met the ASAS criteria and had positive MRI for SpA as per the global assessment (16.7%/14.3% and 0%/9.5%, respectively) was similar to previous studies⁴⁰. This topic is of considerable interest and has been the subject of several studies seeking to improve the definition of SIJ MRI positivity. Such an advance would allow for early diagnosis of the disease but with greater specificity. A previous study assessed several criteria based on the combination of various quadrants exhibiting BME and erosion. The results showed that the criteria that considered both types of lesions had the best performance compared to global assessment of MRI⁴¹. Moreover, a recent study found that the combination of ≥ 5 fat metaplasia lesions and/or erosions on SIJ MRI had high specificity for axSpA, even in patients with back pain for less than 2 years, but low sensitivity⁴². Regarding the criteria based on the combination of lesions that best reflect the global assessment of SIJ MRI positivity, BME \geq 3 exhibited the highest sensitivity and specificity (88%/94%) with an excellent area under the ROC curve. Similarly, the criteria combining BME ≥ 2 and/or erosions, fat metaplasia, or backfill ≥ 2 exhibited area under the ROC curve values of at least 0.9. Our results showed that among the proposed criteria for positive SIJ MRI, BME \geq 3 and those criteria that included structural lesions might be adequate, exhibiting greater specificity than the ASAS criteria. Although our study is too small to draw any definitive conclusions, our data are consistent with the performance of these cutoffs in a larger cohort study⁴¹.

Another topic that should be considered is the classification of patients with rAAU and sacroiliitis but without back pain. Because these patients did not exhibit back pain, arthritis, or enthesitis, they cannot be classified based on any of the existing criteria⁴³. However, in addition to having AAU, which is the most frequent extraarticular manifestation of SpA, these patients did exhibit sacroiliitis on imaging tests, with activity and structural changes similar to those of symptomatic patients (group 1). The prospective assessment of this subgroup of patients is crucial to identify the rate of progression of inflammation and bone neoformation, as well as the prognosis and treatment needs of these patients.

Also of note is that despite the high prevalence (40%) of patients with rAAU and musculoskeletal symptoms, these patients had not been previously assessed by a rheumatologist. The relevance of investigation of musculoskeletal symptoms by ophthalmologists was recently supported by a study conducted on 798 patients with AAU. This previous study found that 50.2% of these patients had axSpA, 15.5% had pSpA, and most were HLA-B27- positive⁴⁴. The same phenomenon was shown by Haroon, et al who, in addition to finding SpA in exactly 40% of the patients with AAU, also developed an algorithm for referral to rheumatology called the Dublin Uveitis Evaluation Tool (DUET). In this study, the onset of back pain before the age of 45 years and lasting over 3 months, being HLA-27-positive, and having psoriasis were the variables that best improved the performance of the DUET⁴⁵. However, it is worth noting that only half of our rAAU patients were HLA-B27-positive and that the prevalence of psoriasis was low in our study. Therefore, if DUET were used only for referring patients to rheumatology, many cases would be missed.

Our study has some limitations that primarily derive from its small sample size, as well as from the long duration of eye disease and back pain among the symptomatic patients. Another limitation is that SIJ MRI images were acquired through 1.5T and 3T devices, and the latter could have better sensitivity to detect lesions.

Our study in patients with rAAU showed that even patients without any musculoskeletal symptoms might have radiographic and/or MRI sacroiliitis. Moreover, it showed that the lesion-based cutoff criterion that optimally reflected the global assessment for a positive SIJ MRI was BME in \geq 3 SIJ quadrants. Further studies are necessary to establish the best strategy for early diagnosis of patients with AAU and to determine whether a positive SIJ MRI in the absence of axial symptoms might be used as a marker of high risk for development of spondyloarthritis.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors thank the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES).

Personal non-commercial use only. The Journal of Rheumatology Copyright © 2017. All rights reserved.

The Journal of Rheumatology 2017;44:12; doi:10.3899/jrheum.170036

REFERENCES

- 1. Dunn JP. Uveitis. Prim Care 2015;42:305–23.
- Chang JH, Wakefield D. Uveitis: a global perspective. Ocul Immunol Inflamm 2002;10:263-79.
- Merrill PT, Kim J, Cox TA, Betor CC, McCallum RM, Jaffe GJ. Uveitis in the southeastern United States. Curr Eye Res 1997;16:865-74.
- Smit RL, Baarsma GS, de Vries J. Classification of 750 consecutive uveitis patients in the Rotterdam Eye Hospital. Int Ophthalmol 1993;17:71–6.
- Wakefield D, Dunlop I, McCluskey PJ, Penny R. Uveitis: aetiology and disease associations in an Australian population. Aust N Z J Ophthalmol 1986;14:181–7.
- Pato E, Bañares A, Jover JA, Fernández-Gutiérrez B, Godoy F, Morado C, et al. Undiagnosed spondyloarthropathy in patients presenting with anterior uveitis. J Rheumatol 2000;27:2198–202.
- Monnet D, Breban M, Hudry C, Dougados M, Brézin AP. Ophthalmic findings and frequency of extraocular manifestations in patients with HLA-B27 uveitis: a study of 175 cases. Ophthalmology 2004;111:802–9.
- Zheng MQ, Wang YQ, Lu XY, Wang YL, Mao LP, Gu YF, et al. Clinical analysis of 240 patients with HLA-B27 associated acute anterior uveitis. Eye Sci 2012;27:169–72.
- 9. Muñoz-Fernandez S, Martin-Mola E. Uveitis. Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol 2006;20:487–505.
- Russell AS, Lentle BC, Percy JS, Jackson FI. Scintigraphy of sacroiliac joints in acute anterior uveitis: a study of thirty patients. Ann Intern Med 1976;85:606–8.
- Møller P, Vinje O, Olsen EG. HLA B27, sacro-iliitis and peripheral arthropathy in acute anterior uveitis. Scand J Rheumatol 1980;9:234–6.
- Vinje O, Dale K, Møller P. Radiographic changes, HLA B27 and back pain in patients with psoriasis or acute anterior uveitis. Scand J Rheumatol 1983;12:219–24.
- Beckingsale AB, Davies J, Gibson JM, Rosenthal AR. Acute anterior uveitis, ankylosing spondylitis, back pain, and HLA-B27. Br J Ophthalmol 1984;68:741–5.
- 14. Szanto E, Granfors K, Wretlind B. Acute anterior uveitis, arthritides and enteric antigens. Clin Rheumatol 1991;10:345–400.
- Carvalho MA, Campos WR, Araújo CA, Rogério R, Oréfice F. [Non-granulomatous anterior uveitis, spondyloarthropathies, and HLA-B27]. [Article in Portuguese] Rev Bras Reumatol 1999;39:195–200.
- Rudwaleit M, van der Heijde D, Landewé R, Listing J, Akkoc N, Brandt J, et al. The development of Assessment of Spondyloarthritis international Society classification criteria for axial spondyloarthritis (part II): validation and final selection. Ann Rheum Dis 2009;68:777–83.
- Rudwaleit M, van der Heijde D, Landewé R, Akkoc N, Brandt J, Chou CT, et al. The development of Assessment of Spondyloarthritis international Society classification criteria for peripheral spondyloarthritis and for spondyloarthritis in general. Ann Rheum Dis 2011;70:25–31.
- van der Linden S, Valkenburg HA, Cats A. Evaluation of diagnostic criteria for ankylosing spondylitis: a proposal for modification of the New York criteria. Arthritis Rheum 1984;27:361–8.
- Kuorinka I, Jonsson B, Kilbom A, Vinterberg H, Biering-Sørensen F, Andersson G, et al. Standardised Nordic questionnaires for the analysis of musculoskeletal symptoms. Appl Ergon 1987; 18:233–7.
- 20. Sieper J, van der Heijde D, Landewé R, Brandt J, Burgos-Vargas R, Collantes-Estevez E, et al. New criteria for inflammatory back pain in patients with chronic back pain: a real patient exercise by experts from the Assessment of SpondyloArthritis International Society (ASAS). Ann Rheum Dis 2009;68:784–8.

- Garrett S, Jenkinson T, Kennedy LG, Whitelock H, Gaisford P, Calin A. A new approach to defining disease status in ankylosing spondylitis: the Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index. J Rheumatol 1994;21:2286–91.
- 22. Calin A, Garrett SL, Whitelock H, Kennedy LG, O'Hea J, Mallorie P, et al. A new approach to defining functional ability in ankylosing spondylitis: the development of the Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Functional Index. J Rheumatol 1994;21:2281–5.
- Jenkinson TR, Mallorie PA, Whitelock HC, Kennedy LG, Garrett SL, Calin A. Defining spinal mobility in ankylosing spondylitis (AS): the Bath AS metrology Index. J Rheumatol 1994;21:1694–8.
- Lukas C, Landewé R, Sieper J, Dougados M, Davis J, Braun J, et al. Development of an ASAS-endorsed disease activity score (ASDAS) in patients with ankylosing spondylitis. Ann Rheum Dis 2009;68:18–24.
- Heuft-Dorenbosch L, Spoorenberg A, van Tubergen A, Landewé R, van der Tempel H, Mielants H, et al. Assessment of enthesitis in ankylosing spondylitis. Ann Rheum Dis 2003;62:127–32.
- Jabs DA, Nussenblatt RB, Rosenbaum JT, Standardization of Uveitis Nomenclature (SUN) Working Group. Standardization of uveitis nomenclature for reporting clinical data: results of the first international workshop. Am J Ophthalmol 2005;140:509–16.
- CaRE Arthritis. MRI scoring modules. [Internet. Accessed September 21, 2017.] Available from: www.carearthritis.com/MRI_scoring_modules.php
- Lambert RG, Bakker PA, van der Heijde D, Weber U, Rudwaleit M, Hermann KG, et al. Defining active sacroiliitis on MRI for classification of axial spondyloarthritis: update by the ASAS MRI working group. Ann Rheum Dis 2016;75:1958–63.
- Maksymowych WP, Lambert RG, Brown LS, Pangan AL. Defining the minimally important change for the SpondyloArthritis Research Consortium of Canada Spine and Sacroiliac Joint Magnetic Resonance Imaging Indices for Ankylosing Spondylitis. J Rheumatol 2012;39:1666–74.
- Maksymowych WP, Wichuk S, Chiowchanwisawakit P, Lambert RG, Pedersen SJ. Development and preliminary validation of the spondyloarthritis research consortium of Canada magnetic resonance imaging sacroiliac joint structural score. J Rheumatol 2015;42:79–86.
- 31. Maksymowych WP, Dhillon SS, Chiowchanwisawakit P, Pedersen SJ, Martinez B, Østergaard M, et al. Development and validation of web-based training modules for systematic evaluation of active inflammatory lesions in the spine and sacroiliac joints in spondyloarthritis. J Rheumatol Suppl. 2009 Dec;84:48–57.
- Braakenburg AMD, de Valk HW, de Boer J, Rothova A. Human leukocyte antigen-B27-associated uveitis: long-term follow-up and gender differences. Am J Ophthalmol 2008;145:472–9.
- Chung YM, Liao HT, Lin KC, Lin YC, Chou CT, Chen CH, et al. Prevalence of spondyloarthritis in 504 Chinese patients with HLA-B27-associated acute anterior uveitis. Scand J Rheumatol 2009;38:84–90.
- 34. Machado NP, Nogueira E, Oseki K, Ebbing PC, Origassa CS, Mohovic T, et al. Clinical characteristics and frequency of TLR4 polymorphisms in Brazilian patients with ankylosing spondylitis. Rev Bras Rheumatol 2016;56:432–40.
- 35. Poddubnyy D, Gaydukova I, Hermann KG, Song IH, Haibel H, Braun J, et al. Magnetic resonance imaging compared to conventional radiographs for detection of chronic structural changes in sacroiliac joints in axial spondyloarthritis. J Rheumatol 2013;40:1557–65.
- 36. van den Berg R, Lenczner G, Feydy A, van der Heijde D, Reijnierse M, Saraux A, et al. Agreement between clinical practice and trained central reading in reading of sacroiliac joints on plain pelvic radiographs: results from the DESIR cohort. Arthritis Rheumatol 2014;66:2403–11.

Personal non-commercial use only. The Journal of Rheumatology Copyright © 2017. All rights reserved.

Oliveira, et al: Sacroiliitis and anterior uveitis

- 37. van Tubergen A, Heuft-Dorenbosch L, Schulpen G, Landewé R, Wijers R, van der Heijde D, et al. Radiographic assessment of sacroiliitis by radiologists and rheumatologists: does training improve quality? Ann Rheum Dis 2003;62:519–25.
- Poddubnyy D, Rudwaleit M, Haibel H, Listing J, Märker-Hermann E, Zeidler H, et al. Rates and predictors of radiographic sacroiliitis progression over 2 years in patients with axial spondyloarthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 2011;70:1369–74.
- 39. Weber U, Pedersen SJ, Østergaard M, Rufibach K, Lambert RG, Maksymowych WP. Can erosions on MRI of the sacroiliac joints be reliably detected in patients with ankylosing spondylitis? A cross-sectional study. Arthritis Res Ther 2012;14:R124.
- Weber U, Lambert RG, Østergaard M, Hodler J, Pedersen SJ, Maksymowych WP. The diagnostic utility of magnetic resonance imaging in spondylarthritis: an international multicenter evaluation of one hundred eighty-seven subjects. Arthritis Rheum 2010;62:3048–58.
- Weber U, Østergaard M, Lambert RG, Pedersen SJ, Chan SM, Zubler V, et al. Candidate lesion-based criteria for defining a positive sacroiliac joint MRI in two cohorts of patients with axial spondyloarthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 2015;74:1976–82.

- 42. de Hooge M, van den Berg R, Navarro-Compán V, Reijnierse M, van Gaalen F, Fagerli K, et al. Patients with chronic back pain of short duration from the SPACE cohort: which MRI structural lesions in the sacroiliac joints and inflammatory and structural lesions in the spine are most specific for axial spondyloarthritis? Ann Rheum Dis 2016;75:1308–14.
- 43. Sieper J, Rudwaleit M, Baraliakos X, Brandt J, Braun J, Burgos-Vargas R, et al. The Assessment of Spondyloarthritis international Society (ASAS) handbook: a guide to assess spondyloarthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 2009;68 Suppl 2:ii1–44.
- 44. Juanola X, Loza Santamaría E, Cordero-Coma M, SENTINEL Working Group. Description and prevalence of spondyloarthritis in patients with anterior uveitis: the SENTINEL interdisciplinary collaborative project. Ophthalmology 2016;123:1632–6.
- 45. Haroon M, O'Rourke M, Ramasamy P, Murphy CC, FitzGerald O. A novel evidence-based detection of undiagnosed spondyloarthritis in patients presenting with acute anterior uveitis: the DUET (Dublin Uveitis Evaluation Tool). Ann Rheum Dis 2015;74:1990–5.