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ABSTRACT. Objective. Psoriatic arthritis (PsA) is an inflammatory arthropathy, associated with skin and/or nail psoriasis. As suggested in 2012 by the Group for Research and Assessment of Psoriasis and Psoriatic Arthritis (GRAPPA), studies devoted to assess cancer in the PsA population are still limited and need to be increased. Therefore, the aim of this study was to determine the incidence of malignancies in patients with PsA who are taking conventional and biologic therapies.

Methods. A cohort of patients with PsA was followed prospectively. At first visit, as well as at each 3-4 month followup visit, according to standardized clinical practice, medical history, and physical and laboratory findings were recorded. Information on the presence of comorbidities, as well as malignancies, was collected. At each visit, data were recorded on radiography and pathology, confirming malignancy diagnosis, when present.

Results. A total of 618 patients with PsA were included in the study. In particular, 296 were taking anti-tumor necrosis factor-α (anti-TNF) agents and 322 were taking disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARD). During the observation period, in the total group, 44 patients (7.1%) had a diagnosis of malignancy. Of them, 14 (4.7%; 95% CI 2.8–7.8; 0.52/100 patient-yrs) received anti-TNF therapy and 30 (9.3%; 95% CI 6.6–13.0; 1.03/100 patient-yrs) received traditional DMARD (p = 0.019). However, after adjusting for major demographic and clinical characteristics, the difference between the 2 treatments was no longer significant (p = 0.480), and the only predictor of malignancy occurrence was age (HR 1.04, 95% CI 1.009–1.073, p = 0.012).

Conclusion. Data from this study confirm that biological therapies do not lead to any increased risk for cancer development, when adequately administered and with proper followup. (First Release September 15 2016; J Rheumatol 2016;43:2149–54; doi:10.3899/jrheum.160542)
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Psoriatic arthritis (PsA) is an inflammatory arthropathy, associated with skin and/or nail psoriasis and other possible systemic features, including uveitis and inflammatory bowel disease. Several classification criteria have been used and among them, the CASPAR (ClASsification for Psoriatic ARthritis) Criteria have the wider consensus, because of high specificity and sensitivity.

Prior to the introduction of biological agents, the treatment of PsA included different therapies from initial treatment with nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs to one or more synthetic disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARD) for the suppression of inflammation in patients with peripheral joint involvement. In clinical practice, the most widely used synthetic DMARD are methotrexate (MTX), sulfasalazine (SSZ), leflunomide (LEF), and cyclosporine (CSA). Improved understanding of the molecular mechanisms
involved in PsA has opened up new and interesting therapeutic scenarios\textsuperscript{10,11,12,13,14}. The use of the tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-\(\alpha\) blockers infliximab (IFX), etanercept (ETN), adalimumab (ADA), golimumab (GOL), and certolizumab has improved PsA outcomes\textsuperscript{15}

To date, data on safety both of traditional DMARD and anti-TNF therapy in patients with PsA have been derived mainly from randomized clinical trials, but these do not provide sufficient information on longer-term incidence of possible comorbidities, mainly of an oncologic nature, and do not fully reflect real-world practice\textsuperscript{16}.

On the other hand, studies in the clinical setting show many difficulties in establishing definitely whether oncologic comorbidities are connected to immune-inflammatory psoriatic mechanisms or can be influenced by the effect of immunosuppressive and biologic therapies\textsuperscript{17}.

Some studies have suggested that the survival of patients with psoriasis and PsA, independent of use of DMARD or TNF blockers, was not significantly different from the general population\textsuperscript{18,19,20}. In 2008, Rohekar, \textit{et al} assessed malignancy rates in over 660 patients with PsA, showing that the incidence of malignancy in that large PsA cohort did not differ from that in the general population\textsuperscript{19}. Importantly, not many patients were receiving anti-TNF agents at the time.

On the other hand, some studies have shown that patients with psoriatic disease and those with PsA had an increased risk of malignancies, in particular lung, lymphoproliferative, and skin malignancies\textsuperscript{21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28}. The risk of oncologic diseases, especially the occurrence of skin cancer, described in patients with psoriatic diseases who were taking DMARD (MTX and CSA), has been in part considered related to psoralen ultraviolet A (PUVA) exposure\textsuperscript{26,28,29}.

Data on oncologic comorbidities in patients taking anti-TNF agents have been investigated mainly in extended metaanalyses that confirm the safety of these therapies\textsuperscript{30,31}. In 2013, Haynes \textit{et al} compared the incidence of cancer in patients taking TNF inhibitor therapy to that of patients taking commonly used alternative therapies in the context of different multiple immune-mediated diseases, including psoriasis and PsA\textsuperscript{20}. The study showed that in patients taking anti-TNF therapy, the short-term cancer risk was not elevated. In particular, in more than 1300 patients with psoriatic disease (371 person-ys), and 2500 patients with PsA (618 person-ys), the incidence of any solid cancer was not elevated during biologic therapy as compared to disease-specific alternative treatment.

However, as suggested in 2012 by the Group for Research and Assessment of Psoriasis and Psoriatic Arthritis (GRAPPA), studies devoted to assess cancer in the PsA population are still limited and need to be increased\textsuperscript{17}.

Therefore, the aim of our study was to determine the incidence of malignancies in patients with PsA taking conventional and biologic therapies.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

A cohort of 618 patients with PsA was followed prospectively from 2001 to 2014 using standard clinical protocols in the context of daily practice\textsuperscript{15} at the Rheumatology Unit of University Federico II of Naples.

All patients were classified on the basis of CASPAR criteria, applied either at the time of diagnosis (if observed after 2006) or retrospectively (if observed before 2006 and then obtaining first diagnosis using Moll and Wright criteria)\textsuperscript{7,8}.

Our observational study was conducted in a routine clinical setting, where DMARD and anti-TNF agents were prescribed on the basis of current recommendations, as part of the usual standard of care\textsuperscript{15}. The exclusion criterion was a personal history of malignancy.

At first visit, as well as at each 3-4 month followup visit, according to standardized clinical practice, medical history and physical and laboratory findings were recorded. Information on the presence of comorbidities, as well as malignancies, was collected. At each visit, eventual data were recorded on laboratory, radiography, and pathology tests, confirming malignancy diagnosis, when present. In addition, date of diagnosis, and type and site of malignancy (coded according to the International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision) were recorded. Other data assessed at each visit were smoking habits and family history of malignancies.

With an expected difference in the risk of cancer of at least 40% between the 2 treatments groups, the sample size was calculated in a minimum of 200 patients for each arm.

The study was approved by the Local Ethics Committee “C. Romano,” with number 910.

**Statistical analysis.** Statistical analysis was performed with the SPSS 17 system (SPSS Inc.). Continuous data were expressed as mean ± SD; categorical variables were expressed as percentages. The Student \(t\) test was performed to compare continuous variables; the chi-square test was done to analyze categorical data. When the minimum expected value was < 5, the Fisher’s exact test was used.

A Kaplan-Meier survival model (with the log-rank test) was adopted to evaluate the cumulative incidence of malignancy according to the type of antirheumatic treatment (traditional therapy vs biologic therapy). To adjust for all the other clinical and demographic variables and to evaluate the incidence of malignancy, a Cox regression analysis (stepwise method) was adopted. Occurrence of malignancy was used as the dependent variable. All potential confounding factors were included as follows: age, sex, smoking habits, duration of observation, family history of malignancy, and type of antirheumatic treatment, as independent variables. Multicollinearity effect in multivariable regression models was excluded by a stepwise approach with each variable included for \(p < 0.05\) and excluded for \(p > 0.1\). All the results are presented as 2-tailed values with statistical significance if \(p\) values were < 0.05.

**RESULTS**

A total of 618 patients with PsA (241 men and 377 women; mean age ± SD: 52.1 ± 12.8 yrs) with a median followup of 9 years (range: 2-14 yrs; 5562 patient-ys) were included in the study. Demographic and clinical characteristics of 2 groups of patients are reported in Table 1.

At baseline, in patients taking anti-TNF and DMARD, the most prevalent comorbidities were, respectively, hypertension (55\% vs 49.57\%), metabolic syndrome (41\% vs 39.07\%), obesity (38\% vs 41.36\%), and diabetes (11\% vs 16.74\%); and thyroid (12\% vs 13.84\%), upper gastrointestinal (9\% vs 12.51\%), and nephropathy (1.4\% vs 2.3\%) disorders.

Of the 322 patients treated with DMARD, 159 (49.3\%) were taking MTX, 80 (24.8\%) SSZ, 25 (7.7\%) CSA, 21
The different incidence of crude data between patients taking anti-TNF agents and DMARD therapy was confirmed by the Kaplan-Meier survival curve (log-rank test 4.45, \( p = 0.035 \)). However, after adjusting for major clinical and demographic characteristics (age, sex, smoking habits, duration of observation, family history of malignancy, and therapy), the difference between the 2 treatments was no longer significant (\( p = 0.480 \)) and the only predictor of malignancy occurrence was age (HR 1.04, 95% CI 1.009–1.073, \( p = 0.012 \)).

In Tables 2 and 3, we report the frequency, site, and type of malignancy for each therapy. Some of the more frequently observed malignancies are skin cancer, breast cancer, and meningioma.

### DISCUSSION

Our study focuses on a cohort of 618 patients with PsA observed in an outpatient clinic. Among them, 296 were taking anti-TNF agents and 322 DMARD. The results show a total of 44 patients (7.1%) with a diagnosis of malignancies during a mean follow-up period of 9 years, for a total of 5562 patient-years. In detail, 14 cases (4.7%) were reported among patients taking anti-TNF therapy and 30 (9.3%) among those taking traditional DMARD. When adjusting for major clinical and demographic characteristics, the difference between the 2 treatments was no longer significant and the only predictor of malignancy occurrence was age.
A study limitation was that patients taking anti-TNF agents are often screened for previous malignancies. This may have caused some selection bias for the development of malignancies in this group.

In line with our study results, an observational study from the British Society for Rheumatology Biologics Register showed that anti-TNF therapy in 600 patients with PsA had a safety profile similar to a control group of 1115 seronegative patients with RA who were taking DMARD. In addition, incidence rate ratios for serious adverse events in patients with PsA were not increased (0.9; 95% CI 0.8–1.3), when compared to patients with RA taking DMARD.

Recently, Gross, et al performed a large study to compare the incidence rates of malignancies among 2970 patients with PsA (7133 patient-yrs of followup) and 19,260 patients with rheumatoid arthritis (53,864 patient-yrs of followup) included in the CORRONA (COnsortium of Rheumatology Researchers of North America) registry. The results showed 40 and 307 malignancies, respectively, in patients with PsA and RA, with an overall malignancy incidence per 100

---

Table 3. Frequency, site, and type of malignancies in our PsA cohort taking biological therapy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. Patients Taking Various Anti-TNF Agents</th>
<th>No. Patients Showing Malignancies (%)</th>
<th>Site and Type of Malignancies (no. patients)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>126; ETN</td>
<td>6 (2)</td>
<td>Lung carcinoma (2); ovarian teratoma (1); breast cancer (1); bladder carcinoma (1); squamous cell skin carcinoma (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116; ADA</td>
<td>4 (1.3)</td>
<td>Basal cell carcinomas (2); squamous cell carcinoma of the tongue (1); breast cancer (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35; IFX</td>
<td>4 (1.3)</td>
<td>Renal carcinoma (1); papillary carcinoma of the thyroid (1); meningioma (1); Kaposi sarcoma (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19; GOL</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PsA: psoriatic arthritis; TNF: tumor necrosis factor; ADA: adalimumab; ETN: etanercept; GOL: golimumab; IFX: infliximab.
patient-years similar between patients with PsA and patients with RA [0.56, 95% CI 0.40–0.76; and 0.56, 95% CI 0.50–0.63, respectively]33.

The BIOBADASER registry (a Spanish registry for adverse events from biological therapies in rheumatic disease) reported that the standardized incidence ratio (SIR) of cancer in 730 patients with PsA taking anti-TNF agents (exposure: 2323 patient-yrs) did not differ significantly from the general population. The malignancies with higher SIR among patients with PsA enrolled in this registry were 4.84 (0.59–17.48) for non-Hodgkin lymphoma and 3.13 (0.08–17.43) for kidney cancer34.

In addition, the results of our study showed that the most frequently observed malignancies, regardless of the therapy, are skin cancer, breast cancer, and meningioma. Some studies have shown that patients with psoriatic diseases and those with PsA had an increased risk for malignancies, in particular lung, lymphoproliferative, and skin malignancies21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28. The risk of oncologic diseases, especially the occurrence of skin cancer, described in patients with psoriatic diseases who are taking DMARD (MTX and CSA), has been in part considered related to PUVA exposure26,28,29.

Over the last decade, novel therapies have raised expectations, and rheumatologists have proceeded with the high degree of professionalism needed to offer the best care and to reduce the risk of any adverse event. In daily clinical practice, the appropriate identification of patients eligible for these treatments has depended on taking all aspects of a patient’s history into account, such as previous or ongoing comorbidities and related therapies, malignancies, and chronic infections or recurrence of acute infections9,25,26,27,28,29,35–45.

In the last few years, in addition to enhanced effectiveness due to close monitoring by experienced clinicians, remarkable changes have been obtained in the field of safety. Our study supports that biological therapies, when adequately managed and with proper followup, do not lead to any increased risk for cancer development. Today, in clinical practice, a correct use of both DMARD and anti-TNF agents is essential in the management of PsA and in related therapies.
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