The Endothelial-mesenchymal Transition in Systemic Sclerosis Is Induced by Endothelin-1 and Transforming Growth Factor-β and May Be Blocked by Macitentan, a Dual Endothelin-1 Receptor Antagonist
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ABSTRACT. Objective. High endothelin-1 (ET-1) and transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β) levels may induce in healthy endothelial cells (EC) an endothelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EndMT). The same cytokines are associated with fibrosis development in systemic sclerosis (SSc). Although EndMT has not been definitively shown in SSc, this process, potentially induced by a stimulatory loop involving these 2 cytokines, overexpressed in this disease might contribute to fibroblast accumulation in affected tissues. Macitentan (MAC), an ET-1 receptor antagonist interfering with this loop, might prevent EndMT and fibroblast accumulation.

Methods. EC, isolated from healthy controls (HC) and patients with SSc, were treated with ET-1 and TGF-β and successively analyzed for gene and protein expressions of endothelial and mesenchymal markers, and for Sma- and Mad-related (SMAD) phosphorylation. Further, in the supernatants, we evaluated ET-1 and TGF-β production by ELISA assay. In each assay we evaluated the ability of MAC to inhibit both the TGF-β and ET-1 effects.

Results. We showed that both TGF-β and ET-1 treatments induced an activation of the EndMT process in SSc-EC as reported in HC cells. The ELISA assays showed a mutual TGF-β and ET-1 induction in both SSc-EC and HC-EC. A statistically significant increase of SMAD phosphorylation after treatment was observed in SSc-EC. In each assay, MAC inhibited both TGF-β and ET-1 effects.

Conclusion. Our work is the first demonstration in literature that SSc-EC, under the synergistic effect of TGF-β and ET-1, may transdifferentiate toward myofibroblasts, thus contributing to fibroblast accumulation. MAC, interfering with this process in vitro, may offer a new potential therapeutic strategy against fibrosis. (First Release August 15 2015; J Rheumatol 2015;42:1808–16; doi:10.3899/jrheum.150088)
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observed in scleroderma fibroblasts in the context of skin and regulating vascular tone through its interaction with 2 specific lung fibrosis. Several reports showed that ET-1 promotes myofibroblast switch in fibroblasts, including the SSc fibroblasts as shown during the evolution of chronic kidney fibrotic diseases. Several reports showed that myofibroblasts may also originate through the mesenchymal transition of endothelial cells (EC), the so-called endothelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EndMT), first observed in studies on cardiac development, and to date, emerging as a possible pathogenetic mechanism in different diseases, including diabetic nephropathy, cardiac fibrosis, intestinal fibrosis, pulmonary hypertension, and SSC.

During EndMT, resident EC delaminate from the polarized cell layer and invade the underlying tissue. This EC “mesenchymal” phenotype is characterized by the loss of cell-cell junctions and EC markers, such as Von Willebrand factor (vWF), CD31, and vascular endothelial-cadherin (VE-cadherin), as well as the acquisition of invasive properties associated with the gain of mesenchymal markers, such as α-smooth muscle actin (α-SMA), smooth muscle 22 (Sm22), and collagen1 (Col1A1). Despite evidence suggesting that EndMT is involved not only in pathological but also in physiological conditions, the underlying molecular mechanisms involved in this process are largely unknown. Evidence has shown the crucial role of TGF-β signaling in the initiation of EndMT. Of note, the same molecule is also considered a pivotal player in many fibrotic diseases, including SSC.

A better understanding of the mechanisms responsible for EndMT may be of primary importance in recognizing clinically useful biomarkers, predicting fibrotic remodeling, and/or developing effective antifibrotic therapies in different fibrotic conditions. Considering that EndMT may induce a profibrotic phenotype and contribute significantly to the vessel’s instability and capillary rarefaction during SSc, we investigated the ability of ET-1 in inducing EndMT in SSc-EC and the possible role of macitentan (MAC) in blocking this process. MAC is a novel ETRA/ETRB antagonist, showing in a long-term event-driven Phase III trial (SERAPHIN) the ability to reduce the risk of morbidity and mortality in patients with pulmonary arterial hypertension.

To our knowledge, ours is the first report showing that SSc-EC may undergo EndMT and that ET-1 strongly modulates this process. Further, EndMT may be partially blocked by MAC. Our data suggest that this new ET-1 antagonist, interfering in vivo with this process, might offer a new therapeutic opportunity for fibrosis in SSc, a condition still lacking an appropriate therapy.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**EC isolation and culture.** After obtaining approval from the San Salvatore University Hospital ethics committee and written informed consent from patients, microvascular EC were acquired from 10 patients with SSc with the diffuse cutaneous form of recent onset (disease duration < 3 yrs calculated since the first non-Raynaud symptom of SSc) calculated but also in physiological conditions, the underlying molecular mechanisms involved in this process are largely unknown. Evidence has shown the crucial role of TGF-β signaling in the initiation of EndMT. Of note, the same molecule is also considered a pivotal player in many fibrotic diseases, including SSC.

**EC treatment with TGF-β, ET-1, and MAC.** To establish the optimal concentrations of TGF-β (R&D), ET-1 (Sigma-Aldrich), and MAC in our system, a dose/response curve was performed on α-SMA expression (data not shown) using P3 EC obtained from both 1 control and 1 patient.

Each experiment was performed in triplicate and the optimal stimulation dose for TGF-β was assessed to be 10 ng/ml, for ET-1, it was assessed to be 200 nM, and for MAC, it was 1 μM.

For EndMT gene and protein expressions, EC were treated in the following conditions: (1) untreated (UT) EC, (2) EC + TGF-β (10 ng/ml), (3) EC pretreated (1 h) with MAC (1 μM) before being treated with TGF-β, (4) EC + ET-1 (200 nM), and (5) EC pretreated (1 h) with MAC (1 μM).
before being treated with ET-1. EC were cultured for 6 days in accordance with published studies.19,32

To assess SMAD 1/5 and SMAD 2/3 phosphorylation, EC were treated in the following conditions: (1) UT EC, (2) EC + TGF-β (10 ng/ml), (3) EC pretreated (1 h) with MAC (1 µm) before being treated with TGF-β, (4) EC + ET-1 (200 nM), and (5) EC pretreated (1 h) with MAC (1 µm) before being treated with ET-1. The experimental conditions were applied for 24 h.

Quantitative real-time (qRT)-PCR analysis. Total RNA was extracted from EC using NucleoSpin RNXAS (Macherey Nagel) according to manufacturer’s instructions and reverse transcribed into cDNA with the ThermoScript reverse transcription–PCR system (Invitrogen, Life Technologies).

The qRT-PCR was performed using SYBR green kits (Applied Biosystems). Results were analyzed after 45 cycles of amplification using the ABI 7500 Fast Real Time PCR System. Primers were designed on the basis of the reported sequences [Primer bank National Center for Biotechnology Information: β-actin: 5'-CCT GCC ACC CAG CAC AAT-3' (forward) and 5'-AGT ACT CCG TGT GGT GTC-3' (reverse); α-SMA: 5'-CGG TGC TGT CTC TCT ATG G-3' (forward) and 5'-CGC TCA GTC AGG ATC TTCA-3' (reverse); VE-cadherin: 5'-GTT CAC GCA TCG GTT GTT CAA-3' (forward) and 5'-CGC TTC CAC GAT CGT CTC-3' (reverse); α-SMA: 5'-CGG TGC TGT CTC TCT ATG G-3' (forward) and 5'-CGC TTC CAC GAT CGT CTC-3' (reverse); Sm22: 5'-CCT GGC ACC CAG CAC AAT-3' (forward) and 5'-CGC TCA GTC AGG ATC TTCA-3' (reverse); CD31: 5'-CCA AGG TGG GAT CGT G-3' (forward) and 5'-CGC TTC CAC GAT CGT CTC-3' (reverse); CD31: 5'-CCA AGG TGG GAT CGT G-3' (forward) and 5'-CGC TTC CAC GAT CGT CTC-3' (reverse); VE-cadherin: 5'-GTT CAC GCA TCG GTT GTT CAA-3' (forward) and 5'-CGC TTC CAC GAT CGT CTC-3' (reverse); Sm22: 5'-CCT GGC ACC CAG CAC AAT-3' (forward) and 5'-CGC TTC CAC GAT CGT CTC-3' (reverse); α-SMA: 5'-CGG TGC TGT CTC TCT ATG G-3' (forward) and 5'-CGC TTC CAC GAT CGT CTC-3' (reverse); Sm22: 5'-CCT GGC ACC CAG CAC AAT-3' (forward) and 5'-CGC TTC CAC GAT CGT CTC-3' (reverse); α-SMA: 5'-CGG TGC TGT CTC TCT ATG G-3' (forward) and 5'-CGC TTC CAC GAT CGT CTC-3' (reverse); Sm22: 5'-CCT GGC ACC CAG CAC AAT-3' (forward) and 5'-CGC TTC CAC GAT CGT CTC-3' (reverse); α-SMA: 5'-CGG TGC TGT CTC TCT ATG G-3' (forward) and 5'-CGC TTC CAC GAT CGT CTC-3' (reverse); Sm22: 5'-CCT GGC ACC CAG CAC AAT-3' (forward) and 5'-CGC TTC CAC GAT CGT CTC-3' (reverse); α-SMA: 5'-CGG TGC TGT CTC TCT ATG G-3' (forward) and 5'-CGC TTC CAC GAT CGT CTC-3' (reverse); Sm22: 5'-CCT GGC ACC CAG CAC AAT-3' (forward) and 5'-CGC TTC CAC GAT CGT CTC-3' (reverse); α-SMA: 5'-CGG TGC TGT CTC TCT ATG G-3' (forward) and 5'-CGC TTC CAC GAT CGT CTC-3' (reverse).]

Each gene was normalized to those for β-actin.

Western blot. To perform Western blot assays, EC cells were pelleted, washed twice with PBS, and lysed in sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) sample buffer. The protein concentration was calculated by Bradford protein assay (Amersham Pharmacia Biotechnology). All the results were normalized to the levels of proteins of UT HC-EC and normalized to the actin signal (Sigma-Aldrich). Immunoreactive bands were quantified with densitometry using ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health).

ELISA. The concentrations of TGF-β and ET-1 released in EC supernatants were determined by ELISA using Quantikine Human Immunoassay kits (all by R&D Systems) according to the manufacturer’s protocol.

Statistical analysis. GraphPad Prism 5.0 software was used for statistical analyses. Results are expressed as median (range). Because of the nonparametric distribution of our data, the Mann-Whitney U test was used as appropriate for analyses. Statistical significance was expressed by a p value ≤ 0.05.

RESULTS

Effect of MAC on endothelial marker expression in SSc-EC. As shown in Figure 1 (B, C, D), after TGF-β or ET-1 treatment, the mRNA expression of endothelial markers markedly decreased in both HC-EC and SSc-EC when compared with UT cells. Of note, MAC significantly reverted both the TGF-β and the ET-1 effects on HC-EC and SSc-EC. As shown in Figure 1E, these results were confirmed at the protein level by Western blotting analyses.

Effect of MAC on mesenchymal marker expression in SSc-EC. In both HC-EC and SSc-EC, TGF-β or ET-1 treatment induced a significant increase of mRNA expression of the myofibroblast markers when compared with UT cells (Figure 2A, B, C). MAC reverted both the TGF-β and the ET-1 effects on HC-EC and SSc-EC, mirroring the results obtained in the endothelial markers analyses. The Western blot in Figure 2D showed that at protein levels, the results mirror the changes observed in gene expression levels.

The synergic ET-1/TGF-β production in SSc-EC. ET-1 production was assessed using a specific ELISA assay before and after cells treatment with TGF-β for 24 h. The results showed that ET-1 was significantly increased in UT SSc-EC when compared with UT HC-EC [UT HC-EC: 5.35 pg/ml (4.00–6.40) vs UT SSc-EC: 11.20 pg/ml (9.60–12.70), p = 0.0002]. Further, TGF-β significantly induced ET-1
Figure 1. Decrease of endothelial marker in SSc-EC. (A) Expression of EC markers CD31, CD34, and CD144 in both HC-EC and SSc-EC by flow cytometric analysis. The histograms in each graph show the increase in fluorescence for each EC marker (green line) compared with the expression of an isotype-matched irrelevant mAb used as control (white line). (B–D) Quantification by qRT-PCR of vWF (B), CD31 (C), and VE-cadherin (D) mRNA levels in HC-EC and SSc-EC. In both HC-EC and SSc-EC, the treatment with TGF-β and ET-1 induced a significant reduction of endothelial markers when compared with UT cells. MAC administration inhibited the TGF-β and ET-1 effects on endothelial markers expression in both HC-EC and SSc-EC. Any single dot in the figures represents the median of triplicate experiments for each patient. (E) Western blot analyses confirmed the results observed by qRT-PCR analyses. Pictures are representative of all experiments. Protein bands were quantified by densitometry and the values were expressed as protein relative quantification/β-actin relative quantification. * p = 0.02, ** p = 0.0002, *** p = 0.0001. SSc: systemic sclerosis; EC: endothelial cells; HC: healthy controls; qRT-PCR: quantitative real-time PCR; vWF: Von Willebrand factor; VE-cadherin: vascular endothelial-cadherin; TGF-β: transforming growth factor-β; ET-1: endothelin-1; UT: untreated; MAC: macitentan; mAb: monoclonal antibody.
Figure 2. Increase of mesenchymal markers in SSc-EC. (A–C) Quantification by qRT-PCR of Sm22 (A), α-SMA (B), and Col1A1 (C) mRNA levels in HC-EC and SSc-EC. In both HC-EC and SSc-EC, the treatment with TGF-β and ET-1 induced a significant increase in all the mesenchymal markers when compared with UT cells. MAC administration inhibited the TGF-β and ET-1 effects on mesenchymal markers expression in both HC-EC and SSc-EC. Any single dot in the figures represents the median of triplicate experiments for each patient. (D) Western blot analyses confirmed the results observed by qRT-PCR analyses. Pictures are representative of all experiments. Protein bands were quantified by densitometry and the values were expressed as protein relative quantification/β actin relative quantification. ** p = 0.0002. *** p = 0.0001. SSc: systemic sclerosis; EC: endothelial cells; qRT-PCR: quantitative real-time PCR; Sm22: smooth muscle 22; α-SMA: α-smooth muscle actin; Col1A1: collagen1; HC: healthy controls; TGF-β: transforming growth factor-β; ET-1: endothelin-1; UT: untreated; MAC: macitentan.
production with significantly higher levels in SSc-EC when compared with HC-EC [HC-EC + TGF-β: 10.90 pg/ml (9.50–12.40) vs SSc-EC + TGF-β: 21.65 pg/ml (19.70–23.50), p = 0.0002; Figure 3A].

We further evaluated the TGF-β production after ET-1 stimulation. Already in UT condition, SSc-EC produced significantly higher levels of TGF-β when compared with HC cells [UT HC-EC: 30.90 pg/ml (28.20–35.20) vs UT SSc-EC: 62.85 pg/ml (60.90–66.10), p = 0.0002]. ET-1 significantly induced TGF-β production, and this increase was significantly higher in SSc-EC when compared with HC-EC [HC-EC + ET-1: 60.10 pg/ml (57.90–63.90) vs SSc-EC + ET-1: 92.75 pg/ml (88.90–95.70), p = 0.0002; Figure 3A].

**SMAD phosphorylation is inhibited by MAC treatment in SSc-EC.** The Western blot in Figure 3C shows that in UT SSc-EC, the levels of SMAD phosphorylation were higher than in HC-EC. After TGF-β treatment, we observed a significant increase of SMAD phosphorylation in both HC-EC and SSc-EC, the latter significantly higher than HC-EC. After ET-1 treatment, in both HC-EC and SSc-EC, the SMAD phosphorylation was significantly increased when compared with UT EC. Further, after ET-1 treatment, the levels of SMAD phosphorylation in SSc-EC were higher than in HC-EC. MAC significantly blocked the ET-1 effect on SMAD activation in both HC-EC and SSc-EC. Further, we observed that MAC significantly inhibited the SMAD phosphorylation induced by TGF-β.

**DISCUSSION**

To our knowledge, our work is the first to demonstrate that ET-1 induces EndMT in SSc-EC and that this pathologic process may be inhibited by MAC, a new ET-1 dual receptors blocker. It is well known that ET-1 is strongly upregulated in patients with SSc and an endothelial dysfunction is involved in SSc pathogenesis. In addition to its well-known vasoconstrictive action, ET-1 displays a wide range of biological effects such as proliferation, fibrosis, and inflammation, and involving different cell types. ET-1 induces a profibrotic phenotype in fibroblasts through the increased expression of extracellular matrix proteins, such as Type I and Type III collagen and fibronectin, as well as decreasing the expression of matrix metalloproteinase 133.34. On the other hand, much evidence supports the hypothesis that ET-1 may induce the α-SMA expression in human EC, as well as an increased collagen production, thus modulating their transdifferentiation toward myofibroblasts8,9,10. The recruitment of myofibroblasts in affected tissues, associated with the persistence of their elevated biosynthetic functions, may be considered as pivotal determinants for the extent and the progression rate of the fibrosis in SSc10.

Available literature suggests that myofibroblasts may derive from several sources, including the expansion of tissue resident fibroblasts, the migration of bone marrow-derived circulating fibrocytes, pericytes, and the epithelial cells that underwent epithelial-mesenchymal transition5,7. A more recent study showed that EC, under specific stimuli, can also be considered a source of myofibroblasts undergoing EndMT, and this phenotypic transition could mainly be of interest in SSc pathogenesis by providing a cellular link between vasculopathy and fibrosis10.

We showed that SSc-EC acquired a myofibroblast-like phenotype after treatment with ET-1, as previously observed for normal EC after treatment with ET-1 and TGF-β19,27. Further, to assess the ability of SSc-EC to mirror the behavior of normal EC, we stimulated these cells with TGF-β, also showing that this cytokine may modulate EndMT in SSc-EC. In fact, SSc-EC displayed a reduction of endothelial markers (CD31, vWF), as well as an increase of profibrotic markers, such as stress fibers (Sma2, α-SMA) and collagen, after ET-1 and TGF-β stimulation. It has been shown that the endothelial damage in SSc skin is characterized by a progressive loss of the endothelial-specific marker VE-cadherin35, a strictly endothelial-specific adhesion molecule located at junctions between EC36. It has been recently shown that during EndMT, VE-cadherin expression is reduced in EC undergoing transdifferentiation37. Of note, in our experiments, we showed that after ET-1 and TGF-β stimulation, SSc-EC displayed a significant decrease of VE-cadherin expression, suggesting the induction of the EndMT program in our cells. This evidence allows us to speculate that this profibrotic switch may be considered a normal response of EC in any condition characterized by an overexpression of TGF-β and ET-1, such as the physiologic wound healing or the pathologic response to an unknown trigger, as occurs during SSc.

We recently reported the pathologic role that perivascular mesenchymal cells may play in the fibrotic evolution during SSc38,39,40 and the results of our present work suggest that in the process leading to SSc fibrosis, not only perivascular mesenchymal cells but also EC may contribute to myofibroblast generation through the EndMT program.

In this setting, considering the role of ET-1 in triggering EndMT41, ET-1 blockade may represent an important and still unexplored target to preserve EC integrity, thus decreasing the fibroblast accumulation in SSc.

In our experimental model, MAC treatment significantly reverted the ET-1 effect, as expected, but interestingly MAC was also able to inhibit the TGF-β-mediated EndMT on both HC-EC and SSc-EC. The inhibitory effect of MAC on the EndMT induced by TGF-β suggests that ET-1 may represent the ultimate mediator of the TGF-β actions. In line with this evidence, it has been reported42,43 that in both EC and fibroblast, TGF-β induces the ET-1 gene promoter activity by SMAD activation. To clarify this aspect, we further assessed the ET-1 production after TGF-β stimulation by ELISA assays. Our results confirmed that TGF-β stimulation increased the ET-1 production by EC. Of note, SSc-EC displayed higher ET-1 production, already at basal levels, when compared with HC-EC. Further, we observed that ET-1
Figure 3. ET-1 and TGF-β production and pSMAD expression in SSc-EC. (A) ET-1 and (B) TGF-β ELISA assays. ET-1 and TGF-β were quantified in the supernatants of both HC-EC and SSc-EC cultures. (A) The release of ET-1 in UT cells was significantly increased in SSc-EC. The production of TGF-β after ET-1 treatment was significantly higher in SSc-EC when compared with HC-EC. (B) Spontaneous TGF-β production after ET-1 stimulus was significantly increased in SSc-EC. Any single dot in the figures represents the median of triplicate experiments for each patient. (C) Western blot showed that pSMAD 1/5 and pSMAD 2/3 were significantly increased in the UT SSc-EC when compared with HC-EC. TGF-β and ET-1 induced a significant increase of both SMAD 1/5 and SMAD 2/3 phosphorylation in both HC-EC and SSc-EC, but the levels of phosphorylated proteins were always significantly increased in SSc-EC when compared with HC-EC. MAC inhibited the TGF-β and ET-1 effects. Pictures are representative of all experiments. Protein bands were quantified by densitometry and the values were expressed as protein relative quantification/β-actin relative quantification. * p = 0.02. ** p = 0.0002. *** p = 0.0001. ET-1: endothelin-1; TGF-β: transforming growth factor-β; pSMAD: phospho Sma and Mad; SSc: systemic sclerosis; EC: endothelial cells; HC: healthy controls; UT: untreated; SMAD: Sma- and Mad-related; MAC: macitentan.
stimulation was able to increase the TGF-β production in both HC-EC and SSC-EC, the latter showing significantly higher values of TGF-β before treatment. Under our experimental conditions, UT HC-EC and UT SSC-EC did not show any change in their phenotypic features, although SSC-EC constitutively produced higher levels of ET-1 and TGF-β than HC-EC. We showed that HC-EC and SSC-EC in vitro begin their morphological changes after 6 days of strong stimulation by ET-1 and TGF-β, a setting mirroring the cytokines milieu in which these cells may be exposed in vivo, considering the number of these molecules produced by activated fibroblasts and inflammatory-recruited cells.

An alternative hypothesis to explain the inhibitory effect of MAC on TGF-β-induced EndMT could be the cooperation between TGF-β receptors and ETR in inducing this program. It has been shown that G-protein–coupled receptors such as ET-1 receptors may cross over and interact with the pathways of serine/threonine kinase receptors, such as the TGF-β Type I receptor (TβRI). Therefore, agonists of ETR may also activate TβRI signaling and their downstream products, such as phospho Sma and Mad (pSMAD). In this setting, the effects of ET-1 may be due to crosstalk between these 2 receptors induced by the hijack of TβRI signaling machinery by ETR. Our results showed that, similarly to TGF-β, ET-1 induced the phosphorylation of SMAD in HC-EC and SSC-EC, confirming the hypothesis of a possible TGF-β/ET-1 receptor cooperation. Of note, in UT SSC-EC, the levels of pSMAD were significantly increased when compared with UT HC-EC. We may speculate that in our experiments at basal condition, the TGF-β signaling pathway was already activated as a result of the overexpression of TGF-β and ET-1 during SSC, thus conditioning the higher levels of pSMAD observed in SSC-EC. In this scenario, MAC, interacting with the ETR, might also inhibit the TβRI transactivation and consequent SMAD phosphorylation induced after both TGF-β and ET-1 stimulation. A further speculative and unexplored aspect may be the block of TβRI. However, to date, unlike ET-1, we still do not have any licensed drug able to interfere with TβRI activation, and from a clinical point of view, ET-1 receptor antagonist now represents the only possibility we have to modulate this functional complex.

We showed for the first time, to our knowledge, the finding that ET-1 induces a phenotypic switch toward mesenchymal cells in SSC-EC, characterized by a downregulation of endothelial markers and an increased expression of profibrotic genes and proteins. Taken together, our results point out the possible contribution of damaged endothelia in the generation of profibrotic cells, and support the hypothesis of a pathologic link between vascular and fibrotic alterations in the pathogenesis of SSC.

Focusing on the involvement of TGF-β and ET-1 as early mediators of both vascular and fibrotic components of SSC, we suggest that these molecules may be considered key therapeutic targets in the early phases of the disease. MAC, the new dual ETR antagonist (as shown in our in vitro study), in inhibiting the EndMT, may offer new potential therapeutic strategies that target the TGF-β/ET-1 loop, and may prevent the early pathways leading to fibrosis in SSC.

Further studies are needed to translate these preclinical findings into the clinical setting. In fact, although many in vitro studies suggested the antifibrotic effect of another ET-1 receptor antagonist, bosentan, no clinical benefits were observed when this drug was used in patients with SSC with lung fibrosis. It must be taken into account that the failure of the clinical trials evaluating its efficacy on interstitial lung disease may be biased by the choice of a non-sensitive primary endpoint, as well as the heterogeneity of the enrolled patients and the lack of histological classifications. On this basis, any further study of the ET-1 receptor antagonist in patients with fibrosis might need more stringent inclusion criteria and perhaps primary and secondary endpoints that might be more responsive to change.
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