Cardiomyopathy Caused by Longterm Treatment with Chloroquine: A Rare Disease, or a Rare Diagnosis?

To the Editor:

Chloroquine (CQ) has been used for the treatment and prophylaxis of malaria since World War II. Ten years later, the administration of CQ and hydroxychloroquine (HCQ) was introduced for the longterm therapy of rheumatic diseases. Although no reliable statistical data are available, HCQ, which differs from CQ only in a hydroxyl group at the end of the side chain, but not in pharmacokinetics or metabolism, is described in the literature as less toxic and has been staging a comeback, particularly in the treatment of systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE)

CQ and HCQ induce a dysfunction of the lysosomal enzymes, leading to the impairment of intracellular degradation processes in conjunction with the accumulation of pathological metabolic products (glycogen and phospholipids). These appear histologically as granulovascular cell mutations and ultrastructurally as lamellar inclusion bodies (“myeloid bodies”) and as “curvilinear bodies” in cytoplasm (remnants of poorly digested membranes). Their pharmacokinetics are characterized by a long half-life and a high volume of distribution; they follow a multicompartment model with very slow distribution between plasma and tissue, leading to sustained organ sequestration and sometimes irreversible organ damage.

Severe toxicity in the form of irreversible retinopathy is well known under longterm treatment with these substances. In contrast, neuropathy and especially cardiac damage receive scant mention in the literature. Cardiac complications comprise conduction disturbances (bundle-branch block, atrioventricular (AV) block) and cardiomyopathy — often with hypertrophy, restrictive physiology, and congestive heart failure (literature reviews are found in Nord, et al. and Costedoat-Chalumeau, et al.).

Because the clinical features of cardiotoxicity are unspecific, the followup of potentially affected patients is of utmost importance.

We report a case of a patient with rheumatoid arthritis (RA) and heart disease who was treated with CQ.

A 65-year-old woman was admitted for rehabilitation after having undergone surgery for lumbar spinal stenosis in April 2007. Electrocardiography revealed an atypical bundle-branch block. She reported mild dyspnea and angina pectoris (New York Heart Association Class II) for many years without progression. A diagnosis of hypertensive heart disease was rendered in 2001. In October 2006 she experienced syncope with subsequent resuscitation. The electrocardiogram showed a complete AV block, and a dual-chamber pacemaker was implanted. There were no indications of myocarditis or variation in her medications preceding the syncope. CQ medication was continued; she recovered completely.

Her history revealed that she had been diagnosed with RA 43 years before. It was treated with corticosteroids, nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs, and CQ. Azathioprine, methotrexate, gold compounds, and leflunomide were discontinued because of side effects. CQ had been administered for 35 years (250 mg daily), and the cumulative dose was 3195 g CQ phosphate. Except for a slow, continuous deterioration of her vision, she noted no other side effects.

Her medications upon admission included CQ phosphate 250 mg, naproxen 500 mg (twice daily), prednisolone 15 mg, oxycodone 40 mg, nebivolol 2.5 mg, torsemide 10 mg, and pantoprazole 40 mg.

On examination she appeared to be in good general condition, with blood pressure 140/80 mm Hg and heart rate 84/min. Mild synovitis of the finger joints and severe polyarthropathic deformities due to longstanding RA were noted. There was no evidence of muscular weakness, no impairment of sensation, and no diminished stretch reflexes. Heart and lungs were without pathological findings. There was no distension of the jugular veins, no edema, and vascular status was normal.

Laboratory findings showed elevation of enzyme levels (lactate dehydrogenase 426 U/l, reference –247; creatine kinase 259 U/l, ref. –145; creatine kinase-MB/mass 11.7 µg/l, ref. –3.6; troponin I 10.26 ng/ml, ref. –0.1) and rheumatoid factor (160 IU/ml, ref. –15). The remaining laboratory results were normal.

Under Holter monitoring no arrhythmia was detected; regular function of the pacemaker was noted. Transthoracic echocardiography showed normal-size atria and ventricles, borderline hypertrophy (1.2 cm), hypokinesis of the posterior and lateral wall, mitral insufficiency II°, diastolic dysfunction II°, and no signs of restrictive physiology.

Chest radiography found the ventricular pacemaker lead in the coronary sinus, while the heart and lungs were normal. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) showed normal-size atria and ventricles, borderline hypertrophy (1.2 cm), and hypokinesis of the apical anterior and the basal inferolateral wall. The delayed gadolinium enhancement sequence (Figure 1) showed decreased viability in a nonvascular pattern.

Pathological examination (Figure 2) showed extensive cytoplasmic...
vacuolization of the myocytes, mild interstitial fibrosis, no evidence of inflammation or vasculitis (including immunohistology), no amyloid deposition, and no cell necrosis. Transmission electron microscopy (Figure 3) revealed accumulation of numerous lamellar and curvilinear inclusion bodies in the myocytes. Molecular pathology (nested polymerase chain reaction) disclosed no infections of the myocardium with enteroviruses, adenoviruses, parvovirus B19, human herpesvirus 6, Epstein-Barr virus, or *Borrelia burgdorferi*.

The diagnosis of Fabry disease was excluded by molecular genetic testing. The serum CQ level was 0.27 mg/l, which is in the therapeutic range. Ophthalmologic examination revealed signs of chronic CQ intoxication (bull’s-eye maculopathy of the left eye).

CQ medication was discontinued. Followup 14 months later showed persistence of the complete AV block. The serum enzyme levels had decreased slightly. From a clinical point of view, there was no indication of congestive heart failure, but there was progression of retinopathy and visual loss.

As seen in our case, there are no clinical signs that indicate unambiguously that cardiotoxicity was induced by CQ. Further, there is no correlation between the different organ toxicities (cardiomyopathy, retinopathy, neuromyopathy), which would permit analogous diagnostic conclusions, and the determination of blood levels is not accurate for detecting CQ toxicity (serum level was in the therapeutic range), due to the complex pharmacokinetics and the large interindividual fluctuation range in the metabo-
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Age/Sex</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Years</th>
<th>CD, g</th>
<th>R/NM</th>
<th>cAVB</th>
<th>Cardiac Diagnostics</th>
<th>Histology</th>
<th>EM</th>
<th>CLB</th>
<th>Symptoms</th>
<th>Followup</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hughes (10)</td>
<td>62 F</td>
<td>RA</td>
<td>CQ</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>AHF</td>
<td>† (4 mo)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motan (2)</td>
<td>68 F</td>
<td>RA</td>
<td>CQ</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td></td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Godreau (1)</td>
<td>36 F</td>
<td>SLE</td>
<td>CQ</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>EMB</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>† (1 yr)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratliff (13)</td>
<td>58 F</td>
<td>DLE</td>
<td>HCQ</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>730</td>
<td>NMR</td>
<td>Hyper trophy, rCMP</td>
<td>EMB</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>CHF</td>
<td>† (7 mo post &gt;)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>59 M</td>
<td>SLE</td>
<td>HCQ</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>290</td>
<td></td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>EMB</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>CHF</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>53 F</td>
<td>SLE</td>
<td>CQ</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>Hypertrophy</td>
<td>EMB</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>CHF</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>73 F</td>
<td>SLE</td>
<td>CQ</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>730</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hypertrophy</td>
<td>EMB</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>CHF</td>
<td>†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>56 F</td>
<td>SLE</td>
<td>CQ</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1170</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>Echo: normal</td>
<td>EMB</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Syn</td>
<td>cAVB→</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>59 F</td>
<td>DLE</td>
<td>CQ</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2280</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Hyper trophy, rCMP</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>CHF</td>
<td>†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>81 F</td>
<td>RA</td>
<td>CQ</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Hyper trophy</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>CHF</td>
<td>†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60 F</td>
<td>RA</td>
<td>CQ</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>912</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>Hyper trophy, systolic dysfunction</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>CHF</td>
<td>†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>58 F</td>
<td>SLE</td>
<td>HCQ</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>4380</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>Hypertrophy, rCMP</td>
<td>EMB</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>CHF</td>
<td>† (8 mo post &gt;)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>58 F</td>
<td>RA</td>
<td>CQ</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>820</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Echo: normal</td>
<td>EMB</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Syn</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50 F</td>
<td>RA</td>
<td>CQ</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hyper trophy, rCMP EMB + Expl.</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>CHF</td>
<td>TX (3 mo post &gt;)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>31 F</td>
<td>SLE</td>
<td>HCQ</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1750</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>Dilated CMP (1)</td>
<td>A/A/EMB</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>CHF</td>
<td>†</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>61 F</td>
<td>SLE</td>
<td>CQ</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>~2300</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Echo: normal</td>
<td>EMB</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Syn</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>39 F</td>
<td>SLE</td>
<td>HCQ</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>580</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hyper trophy, systolic dysfunction</td>
<td>EMB</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Syn</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>67 F</td>
<td>RA</td>
<td>CQ</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1825</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hyper trophy, diast. dysf., MRI:DE</td>
<td>EMB</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>CHF</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>59 F</td>
<td>DLE</td>
<td>CQ</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>680</td>
<td>NMR</td>
<td>Hyper trophy, rCMP</td>
<td>EMB</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>CHF</td>
<td>† (7/16 mo post &gt;)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51 F</td>
<td>JRA + SLE</td>
<td>HCQ</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>~3400</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Hyper trophy, rCMP</td>
<td>EMB</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>CHF</td>
<td>† (2 yrs post &gt;)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>56 F</td>
<td>RA</td>
<td>CQ</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>550</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hyper trophy, rCMP</td>
<td>EMB</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>CHF</td>
<td>† (3 mo post &gt;)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>55 F</td>
<td>SLE</td>
<td>HCQ</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1460</td>
<td></td>
<td>Systolic dysfunction</td>
<td>EMB</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>CHF</td>
<td>† (3 mo post &gt;)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>65 F</td>
<td>SSc + SS</td>
<td>HCQ</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>3650</td>
<td></td>
<td>Diastolic dysfunction</td>
<td>EMB</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>CHF</td>
<td>† (9 mo post &gt;)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>64 F</td>
<td>SLE</td>
<td>HCQ</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hyper trophy, syst. + diast. dysf.</td>
<td>EMB</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>CHF</td>
<td>† (9 mo post &gt;)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30 F</td>
<td>LE</td>
<td>CQ</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Echo: normal</td>
<td>EMB</td>
<td>Syn</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>74 M</td>
<td>Malaria</td>
<td>CQ</td>
<td>(10)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>Systolic dysfunction</td>
<td>EMB</td>
<td>CHF</td>
<td>† (9 mo post &gt;)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>52 F</td>
<td>RA</td>
<td>HCQ</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1898</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hyper trophy, rCMP, MRI:DE</td>
<td>EMB</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>CHF</td>
<td>† (4 mo post &gt;)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>64 F</td>
<td>MCTD</td>
<td>CQ</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1095</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hyper trophy, rCMP</td>
<td>EMB</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>CHF</td>
<td>† (2 mo post &gt;)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>66 F</td>
<td>SLE</td>
<td>HCQ</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1460</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hyper trophy, rCMP</td>
<td>EMB</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>CHF</td>
<td>† (echo + CHF→</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>47 M</td>
<td>SLE</td>
<td>HCQ</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2190</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hyper trophy, rCMP</td>
<td>EMB</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>CHF</td>
<td>† (1 yr post &gt;)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>75 F</td>
<td>RA</td>
<td>HCQ</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hyper trophy, syst. + diast. dysf.</td>
<td>EMB</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>AHF</td>
<td>† (6 mo post &gt;)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>65 F</td>
<td>RA</td>
<td>CQ</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3195</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Hyper trophy, syst. diast. dysf., MRI:DE</td>
<td>EMB</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>CHF</td>
<td>† (14 mo post &gt;)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1): Case 1. (2) Case 2. † Improvement. → Unchanged. † Death. >: withdrawal of CQ/HCQ; A: autopsy; AHF: acute heart failure; ARF: acute renal failure;

cAVB: complete AV block; CD: cumulative dose; CHF: congestive heart failure; CLB: curvilinear bodies; CMP: cardiomyopathy; CQ: chloroquine; DE: delayed enhancement; diast.: diastolic; DLE: discoid lupus erythematosus; dysf.: dysfunction; echo: echocardiography; EM: electron microscopy; EMB: endomyocardial biopsy; Expl.: explanted heart; HCQ: hydroxychloroquine; histol.: histology; MCTD: mixed connective tissue disease; MRI: magnetic resonance imaging; NA: not available; NM: neuromyopathy; R: retinopathy; (J)RA: (juvenile) rheumatoid arthritis; rCMP: restrictive cardiomyopathy; SSc: systemic sclerosis; (S)LE: (systemic) lupus erythematosus; SS: Sjögren’s syndrome; Syn: syncope; syst.: systolic; TX: heart transplant.
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Inflammation Viral myocarditis

Storage diseases Fabry disease

Conneetive tissue diseases Systemic lupus erythematosus
Rheumatoid arthritis
Dermatomyositis
Polymyositis

Varia Steroid myopathy

Other causes for conduction disturbances
Hypertensive heart disease
Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy sui generis

Storage diseases Fabry disease
Nieman-Pick disease
Amiodarone-induced cardiomyopathy
Other lysosomal storage diseases
Amyloidosis

Inflammation Viral myocarditis
Lupus myocarditis
Vasculitis

Table 2. Differential diagnoses of chloroquine/hydroxychloroquine cardiomyopathy.
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4. Tett SE. Clinical pharmacokinetics of slow-acting antirheumatic particular, Fabry disease, which is also accompanied by myocardial hypertrophy, can make the differential diagnosis difficult. The range of differential diagnoses in the case of vacuolar myopathy revealed under light microscopy is considerably larger and includes the connective tissue diseases and steroid myopathy (Table 2). Because rheumatic diseases often involve the cardiovascular system, and cortisone preparations are frequently prescribed, overlaps can occur and the symptoms of toxic damage are often ascribed to the underlying rheumatic disease and its complications.

To avoid the sampling error of blind biopsies (because of a patchy distribution of the pathological process in the myocardium) we took MR-guided biopsies from the left ventricle, following the delayed enhancement pattern in MRI. Both the vacuolar myopathy (Figure 2) as well as the curvilinear bodies (Figure 3) were unequivocally documented in the myocardial specimen, so that the diagnosis of CQ cardiotoxicity was definitive. Further, the following diseases were excluded by means of differential diagnosis and testing: coronary artery disease, myocarditis and vasculitis (because of the underlying rheumatic disease), viral myocarditis, amyloidosis, steroid myopathy, and Fabry disease.

Based on strict histological (ultrastructural) criteria, the diagnosis of CQ/HQC-induced cardiomyopathy has been confirmed pathologically in only 24 patients to date (Table 1).

The prognosis for patients with this cardiomyopathy appears to be mixed: heart transplants had to be performed in 2 patients with refractory heart failure. Thirteen patients died (most of them soon after the diagnosis was established). The course of the illness was not clear for 8 patients, and an improvement was described in only 10 cases (Table 1).

It must be noted that cardiotoxicity is difficult to diagnose under long-term treatment with CQ/HQC and is presumably often overlooked in everyday clinical practice. Early detection is of utmost importance, as no therapy is available, the reversibility of organ damage is questionable, and severe courses of illness have been described. In case of long-term treatment and high cumulative doses, we propose at least annual followup examinations (including electrocardiography). If myocardial damage is suspected, further diagnostic measures including ultrastructural examination of myocardial tissue are required.

The cardiomyopathy is predominantly of the restrictive type (with diastolic dysfunction), but mainly systolic impairment has also been reported (Table 1). The most common pathological result in cardiac imaging is myocardial hypertrophy. Congestive heart failure is the prevailing clinical symptom. However, some patients have very few, discrete symptoms, or none at all (as in our case, in which we found no indication of restrictive cardiomyopathy). The histological examination plays an extremely important role. As a rule, the morphology of the tissue damage caused by CQ/HQC is identical in all organs involved. Light microscopic study reveals a vacuolar myopathy. Ultrastructurally, lamellar and so-called “curvilinear” inclusion bodies can be demonstrated. The curvilinear bodies are comma-shaped structures which in human pathology occur only in cases of CQ damage and in neuronal ceroid lipofuscinosis, a group of hereditary neurodegenerative disorders in children.

While curvilinear bodies definitively document the pathogenesis of CQ toxicity, the presence of lamellar inclusion bodies is also described after the administration of amiodarone and in other storage diseases (Table 2). In particular, Fabry disease, which is also accompanied by myocardial hypertrophy, can make the differential diagnosis difficult.

The range of differential diagnoses in the case of vacuolar myopathy revealed under light microscopy is considerably larger and includes the connective tissue diseases and steroid myopathy. Because rheumatic diseases often involve the cardiovascular system, and cortisone preparations are frequently prescribed, overlaps can occur and the symptoms of toxic damage are often ascribed to the underlying rheumatic disease and its complications.

To avoid the sampling error of blind biopsies (because of a patchy distribution of the pathological process in the myocardium) we took MR-guided biopsies from the left ventricle, following the delayed enhancement pattern in MRI. Both the vacuolar myopathy (Figure 2) as well as the curvilinear bodies (Figure 3) were unequivocally documented in the myocardial specimen, so that the diagnosis of CQ cardiotoxicity was definitive. Further, the following diseases were excluded by means of differential diagnosis and testing: coronary artery disease, myocarditis and vasculitis (because of the underlying rheumatic disease), viral myocarditis, amyloidosis, steroid myopathy, and Fabry disease.

Based on strict histological (ultrastructural) criteria, the diagnosis of CQ/HQC-induced cardiomyopathy has been confirmed pathologically in only 24 patients to date (Table 1).

The prognosis for patients with this cardiomyopathy appears to be mixed: heart transplants had to be performed in 2 patients with refractory heart failure. Thirteen patients died (most of them soon after the diagnosis was established). The course of the illness was not clear for 8 patients, and an improvement was described in only 10 cases (Table 1).

It must be noted that cardiotoxicity is difficult to diagnose under long-term treatment with CQ/HQC and is presumably often overlooked in everyday clinical practice. Early detection is of utmost importance, as no therapy is available, the reversibility of organ damage is questionable, and severe courses of illness have been described. In case of long-term treatment and high cumulative doses, we propose at least annual followup examinations (including electrocardiography). If myocardial damage is suspected, further diagnostic measures including ultrastructural examination of myocardial tissue are required.
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Correction

Cardiomyopathy Caused by Longterm Treatment with Chloroquine: A Rare Disease or a Rare Diagnosis?

Cardiomyopathy caused by longterm treatment with chloroquine: A rare disease or a rare diagnosis? J Rheumatol 2012;39:1099-1104. In Table 1 of the online version of this report, for the authors Roos, Freihage, and Nord, listed in Column 1, the entries intended for Column 10 under “Histology” are misaligned. The entries should read: “A/A/EMB” for Roos, “EMB” for Freihage, and “A” for Nord. The author listing in Column 1 “Jimenez” should read “Hernandez-Jimenez”. A corrected pdf of this report is available from the online table of contents. To view/download the corrected version visit jrheum.org and follow the prompts to Volume 39, No. 5.

doi:10.3899/jrheum.110959.C1