

Nail Disease in Psoriatic Arthritis: Distal Phalangeal Bone Edema Detected by Magnetic Resonance Imaging Predicts Development of Onycholysis and Hyperkeratosis

NICOLA DALBETH, KAREN PUI, MARIA LOBO, ANTHONY DOYLE, PETER B. JONES, WILLIAM J. TAYLOR, and FIONA M. McQUEEN

ABSTRACT. Objective. To examine the association between magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) features of distal phalanx (DP) disease and the progression of nail pathology in psoriatic arthritis (PsA).

Methods. Clinical nail assessment and hand MRI scans were done on 34 patients with PsA. Twenty patients had repeat nail assessments after 1 year.

Results. Nails with onycholysis and hyperkeratosis at baseline were more likely to have corresponding DP bone erosion and proliferation on MRI. DP bone edema on baseline MRI was associated with development of onycholysis and hyperkeratosis in corresponding nails.

Conclusion. Our data suggest that DP inflammation is central in the development of psoriatic nail disease. (First Release Feb 15 2012; J Rheumatol 2012;39:841–3; doi:10.3899/jrheum.111118)

Key Indexing Terms:

PSORIATIC ARTHRITIS
ONYCHOLYSIS

NAIL

MAGNETIC RESONANCE IMAGING
HYPERKERATOSIS

Nail disease is a common feature of psoriatic arthritis (PsA)¹. Cross-sectional magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) studies have shown that nail involvement detected by MRI is present in most patients with PsA, and that MRI nail disease is strongly associated with abnormalities in the corresponding distal phalanx (DP) and associated structures^{2,3}.

From the Department of Medicine, University of Auckland, Auckland; Department of Rheumatology, Auckland District Health Board, Auckland; Department of Anatomy with Radiology, University of Auckland, Auckland; Department of Medicine, University of Otago, Wellington; and Department of Molecular Medicine, University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand.

Supported by the Auckland Medical Research Foundation, the Auckland Regional Rheumatology Research Trust, and the QE Hospital Community Trust; and by an investigator-initiated grant from Novartis.

N. Dalbeth, MD, FRACP, Rheumatologist, Department of Rheumatology, Auckland District Health Board, Associate Professor, Department of Medicine, University of Auckland; K. Pui, MBChB, Rheumatology Research Fellow; M. Lobo, RN, PhD, Rheumatology Nurse Specialist, Department of Rheumatology, Auckland District Health Board; A. Doyle, FRANZCR, Radiologist, Associate Professor, Department of Anatomy with Radiology, University of Auckland; P.B. Jones, PhD, FRACP, Rheumatologist, Associate Professor, Department of Medicine, University of Auckland; W.J. Taylor, PhD, FRACP, Rheumatologist, Associate Professor, Department of Medicine, University of Otago; F.M. McQueen, MD, FRACP, Rheumatologist, Department of Rheumatology, Auckland District Health Board, Professor, Department of Molecular Medicine, University of Auckland.

Address correspondence to Dr. N. Dalbeth, Bone Research Group, Department of Medicine, Faculty of Medical and Health Sciences, University of Auckland, 85 Park Road, Grafton, Auckland, New Zealand. E-mail: n.dalbeth@auckland.ac.nz

Accepted for publication November 29, 2011.

Our aim was to compare the clinical subtypes of psoriatic nail disease with MRI scanning of the DP, and to determine the role of DP disease in development of psoriatic nail disease.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

With ethical approval and informed consent, 34 patients with PsA were recruited from rheumatology clinics in the Auckland, Rotorua, and Wellington regions of New Zealand. All patients met the Classification for Psoriatic Arthritis criteria for PsA⁴. At baseline, all patients had a comprehensive clinical assessment including the psoriatic nail severity score (PNSS), which records the presence of nail pitting, onycholysis, hyperkeratosis, and dystrophy at each nail⁵. Twenty patients returned for repeat nail assessment after 12 months. All nail assessments were completed by a single investigator (KP) who was blinded to the MRI results.

MRI scans of the dominant wrist and fingers were obtained in all patients at baseline using a Siemens Magnetom Avanto 1.5-Tesla (T) scanner, with dedicated wrist coil. Sequences and acquisitions have been reported⁶. The presence of bone marrow edema (BME), bone erosion, and proliferation at the second to fifth DP was recorded by a musculoskeletal radiologist (AD) who was blinded to the clinical nail assessment scores.

Data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA). Descriptive data are presented as number (percentage) or median (range). Data comparing nail disease in the dominant hand index, middle, ring, and little fingers and MRI pathologies at the dominant hand second to fifth DP were analyzed on a site-by-site basis using chi-squared analysis.

RESULTS

In the total group, 21/34 (62%) were male, 28 (82%) were of European ancestry, and 24 (71%) were taking traditional oral disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARD). All

patients had a history of psoriasis. The median age was 50 years (range 26–68), arthritis duration 10 years (range 0.5–35), psoriasis duration 18 years (range 0.5–50), Psoriasis Area and Severity Index 1.7 (range 0–12), and 28-joint Disease Activity Score with C-reactive protein 3.98 (range 1.42–6.57). There were no differences in clinical characteristics between the baseline group and those who returned for followup visits. No patient received biologic DMARD during the study period.

At baseline, 129 digits were assessed. Seven DP could not be assessed by MRI because of signal dropout, metal artefact, or severe deformity. There were 21 (16.3%) nails with pits, 24 (18.6%) nails with onycholysis, 9 (7.0%) nails with hyperkeratosis, and 2 (1.6%) with dystrophy. There were 40 nails (31.0%) with at least 1 of these 4 abnormalities. As nail dystrophy was so infrequent, this feature was not included in further analysis. On MRI at baseline, there were 18 DP (14.0%) with BME, 23 DP (17.8%) with bone erosion, and 17 DP (13.1%) with bone proliferation. At baseline, nail pitting was not associated with MRI changes in the DP. However, nails affected by onycholysis and hyperkeratosis were more likely to have corresponding DP bone erosion and proliferation on MRI (Table 1, Figure 1).

Followup assessment of 80 fingernails at 1 year showed 13 (16%) with pits, 20 (25%) with onycholysis, 8 (10%) with hyperkeratosis, and 2 (3%) with dystrophy. There were 29 nails (36%) with at least 1 abnormality. Of the 67 nails without pits at baseline, 10 (15%) developed pits; of the 61 nails without onycholysis at baseline, 4 (7%) developed onycholysis; and of the 72 nails without hyperkeratosis at baseline, 4 (6%) developed hyperkeratosis. There was no relationship between development of nail pitting and corresponding DP disease on baseline MRI. However, nails that developed onycholysis were more likely to have corresponding DP BME on baseline MRI, compared with nails that did not develop onycholysis (50% vs 11%; $p = 0.03$). Similarly, nails that developed hyperkeratosis were more likely to have corresponding DP BME and erosion on baseline MRI compared with nails that did not develop hyperkeratosis (for BME 75% vs 10%; $p = 0.0003$; and for erosion 75% vs 18%; $p = 0.006$).

DISCUSSION

Consistent with previous cross-sectional studies^{2,3}, our study demonstrated a relationship between DP bone disease

and psoriatic nail disease in patients with PsA. Further, certain features of psoriatic nail disease, onycholysis and hyperkeratosis, were strongly associated with DP disease in both cross-sectional and longitudinal analysis. The contrasting lack of relationship between nail pitting and DP disease may reflect the pathogenesis of nail pitting, which arises due to defects in the uppermost part of the nail plate⁷. Although DP BME was not associated with nail disease in the baseline cross-sectional analysis, this feature was associated with development of hyperkeratosis and onycholysis in the corresponding nail. BME is strongly associated with bone erosion in patients with erosive PsA⁶, and biologic therapies that target BME and prevent erosion in PsA also prevent nail disease^{8,9,10}. Intervention studies specifically addressing the relationship between response to these therapies at the DP and corresponding nail may provide further evidence supporting this relationship.

We acknowledge the limitations of our study. Despite the lack of clinical differences, it is possible that the changes observed over time in the followup group may have been different than those in the group who did not return. Patients had longstanding disease, and findings may have been different in an early disease cohort. The frequency of nail disease was low compared to other studies^{1,11}; it is likely that not all nail disease was identified as only certain features are assessed using the PNSS. Common features such as discoloration and ridging are not recorded using this scoring system. However, this system allowed us to standardize the measurement using a single observer, who was blinded to the MRI scores.

Our data provide further evidence of the close relationship between adjacent bone and nail disease in PsA, and support the hypothesis that inflammation at the DP is central in the development of psoriatic nail disease.

REFERENCES

1. Scarpa R, Oriente P, Pucino A, Torella M, Vignone L, Riccio A, et al. Psoriatic arthritis in psoriatic patients. *Br J Rheumatol* 1984;23:246-50.
2. Scarpa R, Soscia E, Peluso R, Atteno M, Manguso F, Del Puente A, et al. Nail and distal interphalangeal joint in psoriatic arthritis. *J Rheumatol* 2006;33:1315-9.
3. Tan AL, Benjamin M, Toumi H, Grainger AJ, Tanner SF, Emery P, et al. The relationship between the extensor tendon enthesis and the nail in distal interphalangeal joint disease in psoriatic arthritis — A high-resolution MRI and histological study. *Rheumatology* 2007;46:253-6.

Table 1. Site-by-site analysis of psoriatic nail lesions and distal phalanx disease detected by magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) at baseline (n = 129 nails).

| MRI Features | Pits | | | Nail Features | | | Hyperkeratosis | | |
|-------------------------------------|---------|---------|------|---------------|---------|-------|----------------|---------|------|
| | Absent | Present | p | Absent | Present | p | Absent | Present | p |
| Bone marrow erosions present, n (%) | 13 (13) | 5 (24) | 0.19 | 14 (14) | 4 (17) | 0.74 | 15 (13) | 3 (33) | 0.12 |
| Erosion present, n (%) | 18 (17) | 5 (24) | 0.53 | 15 (14) | 8 (33) | 0.04 | 19 (16) | 4 (44) | 0.05 |
| Proliferation present, n (%) | 15 (14) | 2 (10) | 0.93 | 9 (9) | 8 (32) | 0.005 | 13 (11) | 4 (44) | 0.02 |




Figure 1. A. The nail of the right middle finger in a patient with psoriatic arthritis showing onycholysis (solid arrow) and hyperkeratosis (open arrow). B. Corresponding right middle finger distal phalanx from the same patient on T1-weighted coronal magnetic resonance imaging scan showing bone erosion (asterisks) and proliferation (arrow).

4. Taylor W, Gladman D, Helliwell P, Marchesoni A, Mease P, Mielants H. Classification criteria for psoriatic arthritis: Development of new criteria from a large international study. *Arthritis Rheum* 2006;54:2665-73.
5. Jones SM, Armas JB, Cohen MG, Lovell CR, Evison G, McHugh NJ. Psoriatic arthritis: outcome of disease subsets and relationship of joint disease to nail and skin disease. *Br J Rheumatol* 1994;33:834-9.
6. Tan YM, Ostergaard M, Doyle A, Dalbeth N, Lobo M, Reeves Q, et al. MRI bone oedema scores are higher in the arthritis mutilans form of psoriatic arthritis and correlate with high radiographic scores for joint damage. *Arthritis Res Ther* 2009;11:R2.
7. Jiaravuthisan MM, Sasseville D, Vender RB, Murphy F, Muhn CY. Psoriasis of the nail: anatomy, pathology, clinical presentation, and a review of the literature on therapy. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2007;57:1-27.
8. Marzo-Ortega H, McGonagle D, Rhodes LA, Tan AL, Conaghan PG, O'Connor P, et al. Efficacy of infliximab on MRI-determined bone oedema in psoriatic arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2007;66:778-81.
9. Kavanaugh A, Antoni CE, Gladman D, Wassenberg S, Zhou B, Beutler A, et al. The Infliximab Multinational Psoriatic Arthritis Controlled Trial (IMPACT): Results of radiographic analyses after 1 year. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2006;65:1038-43.
10. Reich K, Nestle FO, Papp K, Ortonne JP, Evans R, Guzzo C, et al. Infliximab induction and maintenance therapy for moderate-to-severe psoriasis: A phase III, multicentre, double-blind trial. *Lancet* 2005;366:1367-74.
11. Williamson L, Dalbeth N, Dockerty JL, Gee BC, Weatherall R, Wordsworth BP. Extended report: Nail disease in psoriatic arthritis — clinically important, potentially treatable and often overlooked. *Rheumatology* 2004;43:790-4.