Glucosamine Sulfate Reduces Prostaglandin E_2 Production in Osteoarthritic Chondrocytes Through Inhibition of Microsomal PGE Synthase-1

MOHIT KAPOOR, FRANÇOIS MINEAU, HASSAN FAHMI, JEAN-PIERRE PELLETIER, and JOHANNE MARTEL-PELLETIER

ABSTRACT. ***Objective.*** Glucosamine sulfate (GS) has been inferred to have a potential antiinflammatory effect on osteoarthritis (OA). We investigated its effect on prostaglandin E_2 (PGE_2) in human OA chondrocytes, and the level in the PGE_2 pathway at which its effect takes place.

Methods. We investigated the effect of GS treatment (0.05, 0.2, 1.0, and 2.0 mM) in OA chondrocytes in the absence or presence of interleukin 1ß (IL-1ß; 100 pg/ml). We determined the expression levels and protein production/activity of PGE_2, cyclooxygenase-1 (COX-1), COX-2, microsomal PGE synthase-1 (mPGES-1), glutathione, and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-γ (PPARγ), using specific primers, antibodies, and assays.

Results. GS treatment at 1 and 2 mM significantly inhibited ($p \leq 0.03$) production of endogenous and IL-1ß-induced PGE_2. GS in both the absence and presence of IL-1ß did not significantly modulate COX-1 protein production, but GS at 1 and 2 mM demonstrated a decrease in COX-2 glycosylation in that it reduced the molecular mass of COX-2 synthesis. Under IL-1ß stimulation, GS significantly inhibited mPGES-1 messenger RNA expression and synthesis at 1 and 2 mM ($p \leq 0.02$) as well as the activity of glutathione ($p \leq 0.05$) at 2 mM. Finally, in both the absence and presence of IL-1ß, PPARγ was significantly induced by GS at 1 and 2 mM ($p \leq 0.03$).

Conclusion. Our data document the potential mode of action of GS in reducing the catabolism of OA cartilage. GS inhibits PGE_2 synthesis through reduction in the activity of COX-2 and the production and activity of mPGES-1. These findings may, in part, explain the mechanisms by which this drug exerts its positive effect on OA pathophysiology. (First Release Nov 15 2011; J Rheumatol 2012;39:635–44; doi:10.3899/jrheum.110621)

Key Indexing Terms:
GLUCOSAMINE SULFATE   OSTEOARTHRITIS   PROSTAGLANDIN E_2
MICROSOMAL PGE SYNTHASE-1   CYCLOOXYGENASE

Osteoarthritis (OA) is the most common form of arthritis and is characterized by degradation and loss of articular cartilage. The hallmark of the disease is the progressive degeneration of articular cartilage and subsequent joint space narrowing. OA leads to pain, loss of motion, instability, and physical disability, thus impairing quality of life. OA results from a complex system of interacting mechanical, biological, biochemical, molecular, and enzymatic/inflammatory feedback loops. The final common pathway is joint tissue destruction resulting from failure of cells to maintain a homeostatic balance between matrix synthesis and degradation. As the disease advances, the degradative process eventually exceeds the anabolic process, leading to progressive joint tissue lesions.

Prostaglandin E_2 (PGE_2) is implicated in joint inflammation and is among the major catabolic mediators involved in cartilage degradation[1,2,3]. OA cartilage spontaneously releases more PGE_2 than normal cartilage[4] and knockout mice for a PGE_2-specific receptor demonstrated absence of cartilage degradation in a collagen-induced arthritis model[5]. Prostaglandins are biologically active metabolites of arachidonic acid. PGE_2 is a well-characterized mediator of inflammation. It contributes to the pathogenesis of arthritis not only by inducing pain, but also by increasing the production of catabolic molecules including proinflammatory cyto-

M. Kapoor, PhD, Assistant Research Professor, Department of Medicine, CRCHUM, Osteoarthritis Research Unit, Notre-Dame Hospital; F. Mineau, MSc, Research Assistant, CRCHUM, Osteoarthritis Research Unit, Notre-Dame Hospital; H. Fahmi, PhD, Associate Professor of Medicine, Department of Medicine, CRCHUM, Osteoarthritis Research Unit, Notre-Dame Hospital; J-P. Pelletier, MD, Professor of Medicine, Department of Pharmacology Accredited, University of Montreal, Head, Arthritis Division and Director, Osteoarthritis Research Unit, CRCHUM, Notre-Dame Hospital; J. Martel-Pelletier, PhD, Professor of Medicine, Department of Pharmacology Accredited, University of Montreal, Director, Osteoarthritis Research Unit, CRCHUM, Notre-Dame Hospital.

Address correspondence to Dr. J. Martel-Pelletier, Osteoarthritis Research Unit, University of Montreal Hospital Research Centre (CRCHUM), Notre-Dame Hospital, 1560 Sherbrooke Street East, Montreal, Quebec H2L 4M1, Canada. E-mail: jm@martelpelletier.ca

Accepted for publication September 15, 2011.

kines, matrix metalloproteinases (MMP), and reactive oxygen species, which in turn contribute to articular tissue alterations. It is believed that several of the effects of interleukin-1ß (IL-1ß) are associated with stimulation of production of PGE_2. In addition to exerting inflammatory actions of its own, PGE_2 can potentiate the effects of other mediators of inflammation.

An antiinflammatory potential has been inferred from the effect of glucosamine sulfate (GS) through PGE_2, which can explain, at least in part, the significant effect of GS in several short- and longterm clinical trials in OA, some even showing structure-modifying effects in patients with knee OA[7,8,9,10,11]. This contrasts with another recent report that glucosamine hydrochloride (G.HCl) did not reduce pain effectively in patients with OA[12]. Although G.HCl is not considered effective in contrast to GS[13], when combined with chondroitin sulfate in the latter study[12], it provided statistically significant pain relief compared with placebo in a subset of patients with moderate to severe knee pain. A possible explanation for this could be that patients with more severe OA experience increased inflammation, which permits different glucosamine preparations to exhibit their effectiveness.

The effect of GS on chondrocytes and cartilage has been investigated primarily in animal studies, with very few studies investigating its effect on human OA cells. In brief, GS was shown to prevent cartilage degradation in animals by acting directly on chondrocytes. It prevented proteoglycan degradation[14,15], an effect that is likely secondary to the upregulation of aggrecan gene expression and downregulation of aggrecanase[16,17,18]. It also downregulates members of the metalloproteinase family[16,17,19] and exerts antiinflammatory activities by diminishing nitric oxide and PGE_2 levels[20,21]. The latter effects could be due to inhibition of nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB) activation by GS[22], which is suggested to be a major mechanism of action of GS in OA[23].

To date, the clinical efficacy of drugs targeting inhibition of PGE_2 has been limited to the inhibition of activities of cyclooxygenase (COX)-1 and COX-2 (nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs; NSAID) or COX-2 selective inhibitors (COXIB). Although COXIB were associated with reduced incidence of the serious gastrointestinal side effects observed with conventional NSAID, these drugs demonstrated increased risk of cardiovascular events associated with inhibition of COX-2. For this reason, rofecoxib was withdrawn worldwide in late 2004, followed by valdecoxib in 2005. This withdrawal has raised several serious questions regarding the balance of safety and efficacy of this class of drug and as a result, alternative methods for PGE_2 inhibition with no serious side effects are crucially needed; GS is potentially one such compound.

We investigated, in OA chondrocytes, the effect of GS treatment on the level of PGE_2 as well as on precursor enzymes responsible for its production.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Specimen selection. OA specimens were obtained from the femoral condyles and tibial plateaus of patients undergoing total knee arthroplasty (13 women and 8 men; mean age 71 ± SD 10 yrs). All patients were evaluated as having OA according to the American College of Rheumatology clinical criteria[24]. The Institutional Ethics Committee of Notre-Dame Hospital approved the use of the human articular tissues, and patients provided signed informed consent.

Chondrocyte culture and treatment. Chondrocytes were released from full-thickness strips of cartilage followed by sequential enzymatic digestion at 37°C, as described[25]. Cells were seeded at high density (10^5 cells/cm²) in tissue culture flasks, and cultured to confluence in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM; Wisent, Saint-Bruno, QC, Canada) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS; PAA Laboratories, Etobicoke, ON, Canada) and an antibiotics mixture (100 units/ml penicillin base and 100 μg/ml streptomycin base; Wisent) at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO_2/95% air. To ensure phenotype, we used only first-passage cultured chondrocytes in our study.

At confluence, chondrocytes were treated with GS (Rottapharm, Monza, Italy) at the indicated concentrations for 20 h [for messenger RNA (mRNA) determination] or 72 h (for protein determination) in DMEM containing 0.5% FBS in the presence or absence of IL-1ß 100 pg/ml.

RNA extraction, reverse transcription, and real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR). Total cellular RNA from OA chondrocytes was extracted with TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Burlington, ON, Canada) according to the manufacturer's specifications and treated with the DNA-free DNase treatment and removal kit (Ambion, Austin, TX, USA) to ensure complete removal of chromosomal DNA. RNA was quantitated using the RiboGreen RNA quantitation kit (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR, USA). Reverse transcriptase reactions were primed with random hexamers and real-time quantitation of mRNA was performed as described[26] in the Rotor-Gene RG-3000A device (Corbett Research, Mortlake, Australia) with 2X Quantitect SYBRGreen PCR Master Mix (Qiagen, Mississauga, ON, Canada) according to the manufacturer's specifications. The primer sequences were 5'-GAG TAC TGG AAG CCG AGC AC (sense), 5'-AGG GAC AGG TCT TGG TGT TG (antisense; COX-1); 5'-TGT GTT GAC ATC CAG ATC AC (sense), 5'-ACA TCA TGT TTG AGC CCT GG (antisense; COX-2); 5'-GAA GAA GGC CTT TGC CAA C (sense), 5'-GAA AGG AGT AGA CGA AGC C (antisense; mPGES-1); 5'-TTC TAA AGA GCC TGC GAA AG (sense), 5'-GCA AAC AGC TGT GAG GAC TC (antisense; PPARγ); and 5'-GCA CCA CGT CCA ATG ACA T (sense) and 5'-GTG CGG CTG CTT CCA TAA (antisense). RNA polymerase II (RPII) was used as housekeeping gene.

The primer efficiency for the test gene was the same as for the RPII gene. The data were given as a threshold cycle (C_T) and fold changes in gene expression were calculated as $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT} C_T$ over the housekeeping gene RPII. Data are expressed as arbitrary units over control, which was assigned a value of 1.

Western blot examination. Total proteins were extracted with RIPA buffer (Tris-HCl 50 mM, pH 7.4, NP-40 1%; Na-deoxycholate 0.25%, NaCl 150 mM, EDTA 1 mM, and Na-orthovanadate 1 mM) supplemented with protease inhibitors[27]. The protein level was determined using the bicinchoninic acid protein assay, and 10 μg of the protein was electrophoresed onto a NuPAGE Novex 4-12% Bis-Tris gel. The proteins were transferred electrophoretically onto a nitrocellulose membrane for 1 h at 4°C. The efficiency of transfer was controlled by a brief staining of the membrane with Ponceau Red and destaining in water and TTBS 1× (Tris 20 mM, NaCl 150 mM, pH 7.5, and 0.1% Tween 20) before immunoblotting.

The membranes were incubated overnight at 4°C with 5% skim milk in SuperBlock blocking buffer-blotting in Tris-buffered saline. The membranes were then washed once with TTBS for 10 min and incubated in TTBS 1× with 0.5% skim milk supplemented with the following antibodies: a mouse monoclonal anti-human COX-1 (1:5000), a mouse monoclonal anti-human COX-2 (1:5000), a rabbit polyclonal anti-human mPGES-1

(1:2000; all from Cayman Chemical, Ann Arbor, MI, USA), and a rabbit anti-human GAPDH (1:50,000; Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA) overnight at 4°C. The membranes were washed with TTBS 1× and incubated 1 h at room temperature with the second antibody (COX-1 and COX-2, 1:20,000 anti-mouse; mPGES-1, 1:10,000 anti-rabbit; GAPDH, 1:50,000 horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG; Pierce Chemical, Rockford, IL, USA) and washed again with TTBS 1×. Detection was performed by chemiluminescence using the Super Signal West Dura extended duration substrate (Pierce) and exposure to Kodak Biomax photographic film. Band intensity was measured by densitometry using TotalLab TL 100 software and data were expressed as arbitrary units of the ratio of the target protein/GAPDH relative to the control, which was assigned the value of 1.

Other determinations. The level of PGE_2 was determined in the culture medium and quantified by a specific enzyme immunoassay and that of the glutathione was determined in the cell lysate and quantified by an assay kit (both from Cayman Chemical). All determinations were performed in duplicate for each cell culture.

Statistical analysis. Data are expressed as the mean ± SEM of independent specimens. Statistical significance was assessed by Student's unpaired or paired t test when appropriate.

RESULTS

Effect of GS on levels of PGE_2 production in OA chondrocytes. To determine whether GS plays a role in the PGE_2 production in cartilage during the OA process, we investigated the effect of GS on this factor, and further, at which level it acted in the PGE_2 pathway. Data showed that in OA chondrocytes, the basal PGE_2 production was low and that cells stimulated with IL-1ß exhibited a significant increase ($p \leq 0.0001$). Interestingly, in both the absence and presence of IL-1ß, GS dose-dependently inhibited PGE_2 production, and significance was reached at 0.2 mM for the endogenous and at 1 mM for IL-1ß-induced production of PGE_2 (Figure 1).

Effect of GS on expression of COX-1, COX-2, and mPGES-1 in OA chondrocytes. Since COX-1, COX-2, and mPGES-1 are the major enzymes involved in production of PGE_2, we next examined at which level the effect of GS was attributable. We investigated the effect of GS on the expression pattern of COX-1, COX-2, mPGES-1, and related factors in OA chondrocytes.

Data first revealed that COX-1 mRNA expression was decreased by about 67% ($p \leq 0.0001$) by IL-1ß in OA chondrocytes. GS had no effect on COX-1 mRNA expression in either the absence or presence of IL-1ß (Figure 2A, 2B). At the protein level, although significance was not reached, a numerical decrease in the level of COX-1 production under both endogenous and IL-1ß-stimulated cell conditions was observed with GS at a concentration of 2 mM (Figure 2C, 2D).

As expected, the level of COX-2 expression was significantly stimulated by IL-1ß (15-fold; $p \leq 0.05$), and GS did not significantly affect its mRNA level (Figure 3A, 3B). As for protein production, data showed that at lower concentrations the molecular mass of COX-2 is 72–74 kDa. However, at 2 mM in the absence of IL-1ß and at 1 and 2 mM in the presence of IL-1ß, the apparent molecular mass decreased to 66–70 kDa, and in the presence of IL-1ß at 1 and 2 mM, there was a strong accumulation of COX-2 (Figure 3C, 3D). These data are in accord with findings reported for G.HCl in other human cell types[28], and indicate that in human OA chondrocytes, GS prevents COX-2 cotranslational N-glycosylation.

Further experiments were performed downstream of the COX, and we investigated the effect of GS treatment on the expression of mPGES-1, the key terminal synthase responsi-


Figure 1. Effect of glucosamine sulfate (GS) on human OA chondrocyte production of prostaglandin E_2 (PGE_2) in (A) the absence (n = 6) and (B) presence (n = 7–10) of interleukin 1ß (IL-1ß; 100 ng/ml). Cells were treated for 72 h in the absence [control (C)] or presence of GS at 0.05, 0.2, 1, and 2 mM. At the end of the incubation period, the culture medium was removed and processed for PGE_2 determination. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM and statistical significance was assessed by Student's t test; p values are versus the control group. Of note, statistical significance was achieved ($p \leq 0.0001$) between the control in the absence of IL-1ß and the control in the presence of IL-1ß.

mRNA

Protein

Figure 2. Effect of glucosamine sulfate (GS) on human osteoarthritis chondrocyte (A, B) gene expression of COX-1 (n = 6) and (C, D) protein level (n = 5) as assessed by Western blot in the absence (A, C) and presence (B, D) of IL-1ß (100 ng/ml). Cells were treated for (A, B) 18 h or (C, D) 72 h in the absence [control (C)] or presence of GS at 0.05, 0.2, 1, and 2 mM. At the end of the incubation period (A, B) total RNA was extracted and processed for real-time polymerase chain reaction or (C, D) cells were released and processed for Western blot. Data were calculated over the housekeeping gene RNA polymerase II or protein GAPDH and expressed as mean ± SEM of arbitrary unit over the control, which was attributed a value of 1. Statistical significance was assessed by Student's t test. mRNA: messenger RNA; IL: interleukin.

ble for the biosynthesis of the inducible PGE_2. As with PGE_2, the endogenous expression and production of mPGES-1 were low, and IL-1ß elicited a 5- and 2.3-fold increase ($p \leq 0.05$) in levels of mRNA and protein, respectively. GS significantly inhibited the levels of both mPGES-1 mRNA and protein at 2 mM in the absence of IL-1ß and at 1 and 2 mM under IL-1ß stimulation (Figure 4).

Effect of GS on glutathione production in OA chondrocytes. It is well established that mPGES-1 requires glutathione as an essential cofactor for its activity. Additional experiments were carried out to verify if the GS-mediated decrease in mPGES-1 production was related to alterations in glutathione production in OA chondrocytes. Data showed that IL-1ß did not modulate glutathione production, but it was modestly yet significantly reduced ($p \leq 0.05$) by GS at 2 mM concentration (Figure 5A, 5B).

Effect of GS on PPARγ expression in OA chondrocytes. It is

also well known that PPARγ activation can inhibit the IL-1ß-mediated induction of mPGES-1[29]. We therefore investigated whether the GS-induced inhibition of mPGES-1 correlated with an increase in the levels of PPARγ. IL-1ß markedly decreased ($p \leq 0.003$) PPARγ mRNA expression. As with mPGES-1, in both the absence and presence of IL-1ß, GS induced a significant increase in PPARγ expression in a dose-dependent fashion in OA chondrocytes (Figure 6A, 6B).

DISCUSSION

Increased production of PGE_2 is a key event associated with the pathogenesis of OA, and inhibitors of PGE_2 biosynthesis are increasingly used for the treatment of this disease and other conditions associated with elevated levels of PGE_2. The induced synthesis of PGE_2 requires 2 rate-limiting enzymes within the arachidonic acid metabolic pathway:

Figure 3. Effect of glucosamine sulfate (GS) on human osteoarthritis chondrocyte (A, B) gene expression of COX-2 (n = 6) and (C, D) protein level (n = 6) as assessed by Western blot in the absence (A, C) and presence (B, D) of interleukin 1ß (IL-1ß; 100 ng/ml). Cells were treated for 18 h (A, B) or 72 h (C, D) in the absence [control (C)] or presence of GS at 0.05, 0.2, 1, and 2 mM. At the end of the incubation period (A, B), total RNA was extracted and processed for real-time polymerase chain reaction or (C, D) cells were released and processed for Western blot. Data (A, B) were calculated over the housekeeping gene RNA polymerase II and expressed as mean ± SEM of arbitrary unit over the control, which was attributed a value of 1. Statistical significance was assessed by Student's t test. mRNA: messenger RNA; COX-2: cyclooxygenase-2.

COX-2 and mPGES-1. In our study, GS suppressed IL-1ß-induced PGE_2 production that correlates with an inactivation of COX-2 as well as a suppression of the IL-1ß-induced production of mPGES-1 and the activity of its cofactor, glutathione. In addition, GS enhanced the expression of PPARγ, a potent antiinflammatory factor known to inhibit the IL-1ß-mediated induction of mPGES-1.

Glucosamine is a naturally occurring amino monosaccharide that has been shown to exhibit protective properties in OA joint tissues and in other arthritic diseases in humans and animals[30]. However, the mechanism of action of GS remains unclear.

We observed that GS dose-dependently inhibited IL-1ß-induced PGE_2 production but that it was not related to COX-2 mRNA levels. This is in agreement with the findings of Jang, *et al*[28], who demonstrated that GS has no effect on the steady state of COX-2 mRNA or the newly synthesizing COX-2 mRNA in IL-1ß-treated A549 cells. However, this is in contrast to other studies showing that GS suppressed

COX-2 expression at the transcriptional level. For instance, Rafi, *et al*[31] reported that G.HCl transcriptionally prevented lipopolysaccharide-induced COX-2 expression by inhibiting NF-κB in murine macrophages. Moreover, Largo, *et al* showed that treatment with GS suppressed IL-1ß-induced COX-2 expression through transcriptional downregulation and suppression of NF-κB DNA binding activity in human chondrocytes[22], and as well, decreased COX-2 expression on peripheral blood mononuclear cells in rabbits with atherosclerosis aggravated by chronic arthritis[32]. The reasons for these discrepancies are unclear but may relate to differences in the experimental conditions, cell species, and the glucosamine preparation. In this context, Rafi, *et al*[31] used G.HCl, the effect of which may differ from that of GS.

With regard to COX-2 protein our data revealed that IL-1ß induced a strong expression of COX-2 protein, and treatment with GS caused a decrease in the COX-2 protein molecular mass. Interestingly, the appearance of the COX-2 with the low molecular mass coincides with the reduction in

mRNA

Protein

Figure 4. Effect of glucosamine sulfate (GS) on human osteoarthritis chondrocyte (A, B) gene expression of mPGES-1 (n = 5–8) and (C, D) protein level (n = 5–8) assessed by Western blot in (A, C) the absence and (B, D) presence of interleukin 1ß (IL-1ß; 100 ng/ml). Cells were treated for (A, B) 18 h or (C, D) 72 h in the absence [control (C)] or presence of GS at 0.05, 0.2, 1, and 2 mM. At the end of the incubation period (A, B), total RNA was extracted and processed for real-time polymerase chain reaction or (C, D) cells were released and processed for Western blot. Data were calculated over the housekeeping gene RNA polymerase II or protein GAPDH and expressed as mean ± SEM of arbitrary unit over the control, which was attributed a value of 1. Statistical significance was assessed by Student's t test. mRNA: messenger RNA.

PGE$_2$ synthesis. COX-2 is an N-glycoprotein with 4 glycosylation sites[33], and it has been shown that inhibition of COX-2 N-glycosylation by site-directed mutagenesis or pharmacologically using an N-glycosylation inhibitor leads to the production of a COX-2 protein with reduced molecular mass and activity[34]. Therefore, our data suggest that GS suppresses IL-1ß-induced PGE$_2$ production by inhibiting COX-2 N-glycosylation and this factor's subsequent inactivation. This is supported by recent findings that G.HCl prevented the induction of PGE$_2$ production by IL-1ß, tumor necrosis factor-α, or phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate through inhibition of COX-2 glycosylation in human skin fibroblasts[35], and in the A549 human lung epithelial cells[28].

In addition to COX-2, mPGES-1 is a rate-limiting enzyme downstream of COX enzymes and specifically converts prostaglandin H$_2$ to PGE$_2$. mPGES-1 is coupled with COX-2 and catalyzes the terminal step in the biosynthesis of PGE$_2$. We found that GS suppressed both basal and IL-1ß-induced mPGES-1 expression and protein levels. These data thus suggest that GS can suppress PGE$_2$ biosynthesis not only through modulation of COX-2 activity, but also by suppressing mPGES-1 expression. This concurs with data in which treatment with GS suppresses IL-1ß-induced mPGES-1 expression in bovine articular cartilage explants and in equine chondrocytes and synoviocytes[21,36,37]. Further, our data also showed that GS inhibits the activity of glutathione, an essential cofactor for mPGES-1 activity. Therefore, in addition to its effects on COX-2, GS can also suppress PGE$_2$ biosynthesis by inhibiting mPGES-1 production and its activity.

Several lines of evidence suggest that PPARγ activation may have therapeutic benefits in OA and possibly in other chronic articular diseases. PPARγ activation suppressed the expression of several genes considered essential in the pathogenesis of OA, including IL-1ß, inducible nitric oxide synthase, MMP-1, and MMP-13, and prevented the

Figure 5. Effect of glucosamine sulfate (GS) on human osteoarthritis chondrocyte activity of glutathione in (A) the absence (n = 6) and (B) presence (n = 6) of interleukin 1ß (IL-1ß; 100 ng/ml). Cells were treated for 72 h in the absence [control (C)] or presence of GS at 0.05, 0.2, 1, and 2 mM. At the end of the incubation period, the cells were released and cell lysates processed for determination of glutathione activity. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM and statistical significance was assessed by Student's t test; p values are versus the control group.


Figure 6. Effect of glucosamine sulfate (GS) on human osteoarthritis chondrocyte gene expression of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-γ and in (A) the absence (n = 6) and (B) presence (n = 6) of interleukin 1ß (IL-1ß; 100 ng/ml). Cells were treated for 18 h in the absence [control (C)] or presence of GS at 0.05, 0.2, 1, and 2 mM. At the end of the incubation period the total RNA was extracted and processed for real-time polymerase chain reaction. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM and statistical significance was assessed by Student's t test; p values are versus the control group.

IL-1ß-induced proteoglycan degradation[38,39]. PPARγ activation was also shown to inhibit PGE_2 production by suppressing the expression of COX-2 and mPGES-1[29,40]. Other studies in animal models of OA demonstrated the protective effect of PPARγ on the diseased articular tissues. Therefore, it was logical to speculate that GS-mediated suppression of

PGE_2 production could also be regulated by modulation of expression of PPARγ. In that regard, data from our study showed that GS enhanced PPARγ expression in OA chondrocytes, suggesting that it may suppress PGE_2 biosynthesis indirectly through upregulation of expression of PPARγ.

A limitation of our study that is inherent to *in vitro* stud-

ies is that the concentrations used for GS may represent supraphysiological therapeutic levels of the drug. The concentrations were chosen according to the most current literature and are within the range of *in vitro* concentrations used by the majority of investigators. The glucosamine concentration found in plasma and synovial fluid after oral 1500 mg GS in humans is 10 μM. In the literature, such low concentration demonstrated no effect and generally the lowest effective concentration reported was not < 50 μM. However, these experiments were performed on healthy bovine cartilage explants and generally using a long incubation period (14–28 days). Of note, it has been reported that higher concentrations of glucosamine should be used when experiments are performed on OA chondrocytes compared to normal chondrocytes[20]. Another interesting point is that comparison between experiments done between the culture medium containing 10% serum and serum-free revealed that for the latter condition, glucosamine is much less effective[41]. This effect was suggested to be related to the presence of factors (e.g., growth factors) in the serum that could contribute to the response. In our current work, experiments were performed with 0.5% serum, which is a minimal concentration generally used to avoid having a biased effect. In addition, previous studies performed with the radiolabeled molecule have shown that glucosamine tends to concentrate in the cartilage, where concentrations may increase with repeated administrations[42], and may be much higher than those found in plasma and synovial fluid after administration of therapeutic doses[43,44]. Of importance also is that the pharmacokinetics of glucosamine is modulated by the levels of glucose in the culture medium, as it uses glucose transporters to be taken up by the cells[45,46]. Because our cells are grown in a medium with high levels of glucose (DMEM containing 25 mM glucose), it was necessary to use high concentrations of glucosamine in order to appreciate its effect.

In addition, it should be noted that treatment with GS is characterized by a slow onset of action, with a maximal clinical effect being attained after several months, i.e., 3 to 6 months. Hence, in order to reproduce *in vitro* an effect observed *in vivo* obtained after several weeks of treatment, it appears to be necessary to increase the drug concentrations for *in vitro* determination.

Another limitation is that some could argue that in *in vitro* experiments the GS will dissociate in the culture medium and increase the level of free sulfate concentration, which could influence the results. In fact, it has been shown that the serum level of inorganic sulfate increases after the administration of GS[47], and one could hypothesize that it may contribute to the pharmacologic effect of the drug. Such a hypothesis could be supported by recent reports on the *in vitro* comparison between GS and G.HCl[48,49] on cartilage catabolic and anabolic factors showing that both preparations demonstrated similar outcomes, but that GS

was more effective. Moreover, although there has not been a head to head clinical study between these preparations of glucosamine (GS and G.HCl), trials showed that GS[7,8,9,10,11] in contrast to G.HCl[12,50] was effective, in addition to having better pharmacokinetics[23].

We have demonstrated that GS inhibits IL-1ß-induced production of PGE$_2$ in human OA chondrocytes, and that the inhibition appears to occur at multiple levels: induction of a shift in the molecular mass of COX-2, and thus its inactivation; inhibition of mPGES-1 expression and production; and augmentation of expression of PPARγ. These findings could at least in part explain the protective effects of GS in OA and other inflammatory arthritides.

REFERENCES

1. Miwa M, Saura R, Hirata S, Hayashi Y, Mizuno K, Itoh H. Induction of apoptosis in bovine articular chondrocyte by prostaglandin E(2) through cAMP-dependent pathway. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2000;8:17-24.
2. Hardy MM, Seibert K, Manning PT, Currie MG, Woerner BM, Edwards D, et al. Cyclooxygenase 2-dependent prostaglandin E2 modulates cartilage proteoglycan degradation in human osteoarthritis explants. Arthritis Rheum 2002;46:1789-803.
3. Laufer S. Role of eicosanoids in structural degradation in osteoarthritis. Curr Opin Rheumatol 2003;15:623-7.
4. Jacques C, Sautet A, Moldovan M, Thomas B, Humbert L, Berenbaum F. Cyclooxygenase activity in chondrocytes from osteoarthritic and healthy cartilage. Rev Rhum Engl Ed 1999;66:701-4.
5. McCoy JM, Wicks JR, Audoly LP. The role of prostaglandin E2 receptors in the pathogenesis of rheumatoid arthritis. J Clin Invest 2002;110:651-8.
6. McAlindon TE, LaValley MP, Gulin JP, Felson DT. Glucosamine and chondroitin for treatment of osteoarthritis: A systematic quality assessment and meta-analysis. JAMA 2000;283:1469-75.
7. Reginster JY, Deroisy R, Rovati LC, Lee RL, Lejeune E, Bruyere O, et al. Long-term effects of glucosamine sulphate on osteoarthritis progression: A randomised, placebo-controlled clinical trial. Lancet 2001;357:251-6.
8. Pavelka K, Gatterova J, Olejarova M, Machacek S, Giacovelli G, Rovati LC. Glucosamine sulfate use and delay of progression of knee osteoarthritis: A 3-year, randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind study. Arch Intern Med 2002;162:2113-23.
9. Zhang W, Moskowitz RW, Nuki G, Abramson S, Altman RD, Arden N, et al. OARSI recommendations for the management of hip and knee osteoarthritis, Part II: OARSI evidence-based, expert consensus guidelines. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2008;16:137-62.
10. Herrero-Beaumont G, Ivorra JA, Del Carmen Trabado M, Blanco FJ, Benito P, Martin-Mola E, et al. Glucosamine sulfate in the treatment of knee osteoarthritis symptoms: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study using acetaminophen as a side comparator. Arthritis Rheum 2007;56:555-67.
11. Towheed T, Maxwell L, Anastassiades T, Shea B, Houpt J, Welch V, et al. Glucosamine therapy for treating osteoarthritis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2009;2:CD002946 (update code 20094).

12. Clegg DO, Reda DJ, Harris CL, Klein MA, O'Dell JR, Hooper MM, et al. Glucosamine, chondroitin sulfate, and the two in combination for painful knee osteoarthritis. N Engl J Med 2006;354:795-808.

13. Zhang W, Nuki G, Moskowitz RW, Abramson SB, Altman RD, Arden NK, et al. OARSI recommendations for the management of hip and knee osteoarthritis: Part III: Changes in evidence following systematic cumulative update of research published through January 2009. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2010;18:476-99.

14. Fenton JI, Chlebek-Brown KA, Peters TL, Caron JP, Orth MW. Glucosamine HCl reduces equine articular cartilage degradation in explant culture. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2000;8:258-65.

15. Gouze JN, Gouze E, Palmer GD, Kaneto H, Ghivizzani SC, Grodzinsky AJ, et al. Adenovirus-mediated gene transfer of glutamine: Fructose-6-phosphate amidotransferase antagonizes the effects of interleukin-1-beta on rat chondrocytes. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2004;12:217-24.

16. Chan PS, Caron JP, Orth MW. Effect of glucosamine and chondroitin sulfate on regulation of gene expression of proteolytic enzymes and their inhibitors in interleukin-1 challenged bovine articular cartilage explants. Am J Vet Res 2005;66:1870-6.

17. Neil KM, Orth MW, Coussens PM, Chan PS, Caron JP. Effects of glucosamine and chondroitin sulfate on mediators of osteoarthritis in cultured equine chondrocytes stimulated by use of recombinant equine interleukin-1-beta. Am J Vet Res 2005;66:1861-9.

18. Varghese S, Theprungsirikul P, Sahani S, Hwang N, Yarema KJ, Elisseeff JH. Glucosamine modulates chondrocyte proliferation, matrix synthesis, and gene expression. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2007;15:59-68.

19. Byron CR, Orth MW, Venta PJ, Lloyd JW, Caron JP. Influence of glucosamine on matrix metalloproteinase expression and activity in lipopolysaccharide-stimulated equine chondrocytes. Am J Vet Res 2003;64:666-71.

20. Nakamura H, Shibakawa A, Tanaka M, Kato T, Nishioka K. Effects of glucosamine hydrochloride on the production of prostaglandin E2, nitric oxide and metalloproteases by chondrocytes and synoviocytes in osteoarthritis. Clin Exp Rheumatol 2004;22:293-9.

21. Chan PS, Caron JP, Rosa GJ, Orth MW. Glucosamine and chondroitin sulfate regulate gene expression and synthesis of nitric oxide and prostaglandin E(2) in articular cartilage explants. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2005;13:387-94.

22. Largo R, Alvarez-Soria MA, Diez-Ortego I, Calvo E, Sanchez-Pernaute O, Egido J, et al. Glucosamine inhibits IL-1-beta-induced NF-kappa-B activation in human osteoarthritic chondrocytes. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2003;11:290-8.

23. Altman RD. Glucosamine therapy for knee osteoarthritis: pharmacokinetic considerations. Exp Rev Clin Pharmacol 2009;2:359-71.

24. Altman RD, Asch E, Bloch DA, Bole G, Borenstein D, Brandt KD, et al. Development of criteria for the classification and reporting of osteoarthritis. Classification of osteoarthritis of the knee. Arthritis Rheum 1986;29:1039-49.

25. Boileau C, Pelletier JP, Tardif G, Fahmi H, Laufer S, Lavigne M, et al. The regulation of human MMP-13 by licofelone, an inhibitor of cyclooxygenases and 5-lipoxygenase, in human osteoarthritic chondrocytes is mediated by the inhibition of the p38 MAP kinase signaling pathway. Ann Rheum Dis 2005;64:891-98.

26. Tat SK, Pelletier JP, Vergés J, Montell E, Lajeunesse D, Fahmi H, et al. Chondroitin and glucosamine sulfate in combination decrease the pro-resorptive properties of human osteoarthritis subchondral bone osteoblasts. Arthritis Res Ther 2007;9:R117.

27. Boileau C, Amiable N, Martel-Pelletier J, Fahmi H, Duval N, Pelletier JP. Activation of proteinase-activated receptor 2 in human osteoarthritic cartilage upregulates catabolic and proinflammatory pathways capable of inducing cartilage degradation: A basic science study. Arthritis Res Ther 2007;9:R121.

28. Jang BC, Sung SH, Park JG, Park JW, Bae JH, Shin DH, et al. Glucosamine hydrochloride specifically inhibits COX-2 by preventing COX-2 N-glycosylation and by increasing COX-2 protein turnover in a proteasome-dependent manner. J Biol Chem 2007;282:27622-32.

29. Cheng S, Afif H, Martel-Pelletier J, Pelletier JP, Li X, Farrajota K, et al. Activation of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma inhibits interleukin-1 beta-induced mPGES-1 expression in human synovial fibroblasts by interfering with Egr-1. J Biol Chem 2004;279:22057-65.

30. Block JA, Oegema TR, Sandy JD, Plaas A. The effects of oral glucosamine on joint health: Is a change in research approach needed? Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2010;18:5-11.

31. Rafi MM, Yadav PN, Rossi AO. Glucosamine inhibits LPS-induced COX-2 and iNOS expression in mouse macrophage cells (RAW 264.7) by inhibition of p38-MAP kinase and transcription factor NF-kappa B. Mol Nutr Food Res 2007;51:587-93.

32. Largo R, Martinez-Calatrava MJ, Sanchez-Pernaute O, Marcos ME, Moreno-Rubio J, Aparicio C, et al. Effect of a high dose of glucosamine on systemic and tissue inflammation in an experimental model of atherosclerosis aggravated by chronic arthritis. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol 2009;297:H268-76.

33. Nemeth JF, Hochgesang GP Jr, Marnett LJ, Caprioli RM. Characterization of the glycosylation sites in cyclooxygenase-2 using mass spectrometry. Biochemistry 2001;40:3109-16.

34. Otto JC, DeWitt DL, Smith WL. N-glycosylation of prostaglandin endoperoxide synthases-1 and -2 and their orientations in the endoplasmic reticulum. J Biol Chem 1993;268:18234-42.

35. Hong H, Park YK, Choi MS, Ryu NH, Song DK, Suh SI, et al. Differential down-regulation of COX-2 and MMP-13 in human skin fibroblasts by glucosamine-hydrochloride. J Dermatol Sci 2009;56:43-50.

36. Chan PS, Caron JP, Orth MW. Effects of glucosamine and chondroitin sulfate on bovine cartilage explants under long-term culture conditions. Am J Vet Res 2007;68:709-15.

37. Byron CR, Stewart MC, Stewart AA, Pondenis HC. Effects of clinically relevant concentrations of glucosamine on equine chondrocytes and synoviocytes in vitro. Am J Vet Res 2008;69:1129-34.

38. Bordji K, Grillasca JP, Gouze JN, Magdalou J, Schohn H, Keller JM, et al. Evidence for the presence of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR) alpha and gamma and retinoid Z receptor in cartilage. PPAR gamma activation modulates the effects of interleukin-1 beta on rat chondrocytes. J Biol Chem 2000;275:12243-50.

39. Fahmi H, Martel-Pelletier J, Pelletier JP, Kapoor M. Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma in osteoarthritis. Mod Rheumatol 2011;21:1-9.

40. Farrajota K, Cheng S, Martel-Pelletier J, Afif H, Pelletier JP, Li X, et al. Inhibition of interleukin-1 beta-induced cyclooxygenase 2 expression in human synovial fibroblasts by 15-deoxy-Delta12, 14-prostaglandin J2 through a histone deacetylase-independent mechanism. Arthritis Rheum 2005;52:94-104.

41. Homandberg GA, Guo D, Ray LM, Ding L. Mixtures of glucosamine and chondroitin sulfate reverse fibronectin fragment mediated damage to cartilage more effectively than either agent alone. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2006;14:793-806.

42. Setnikar I, Rovati LC. Absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion of glucosamine sulfate. A review. Arzneimittelforschung 2001;51:699-725.

43. Persiani S, Roda E, Rovati LC, Locatelli M, Giacovelli G, Roda A. Glucosamine oral bioavailability and plasma pharmacokinetics after increasing doses of crystalline glucosamine sulfate in man. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2005;13:1041-9.

44. Persiani S, Rotini R, Trisolino G, Rovati LC, Locatelli M, Paganini D, et al. Synovial and plasma glucosamine concentrations in osteoarthritic patients following oral crystalline glucosamine sulphate at therapeutic dose. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2007; 15:764-72.

45. Uldry M, Ibberson M, Hosokawa M, Thorens B. GLUT2 is a high affinity glucosamine transporter. FEBS Lett 2002;524:199-203.

46. Windhaber RA, Wilkins RJ, Meredith D. Functional characterisation of glucose transport in bovine articular chondrocytes. Pflugers Arch 2003;446:572-7.

47. Hoffer LJ, Kaplan LN, Hamadeh MJ, Grigoriu AC, Baron M. Sulfate could mediate the therapeutic effect of glucosamine sulfate. Metabolism 2001;50:767-70.

48. Uitterlinden EJ, Jahr H, Koevoet JL, Jenniskens YM, Bierma-Zeinstra SM, Degroot J, et al. Glucosamine decreases expression of anabolic and catabolic genes in human osteoarthritic cartilage explants. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2006;14:250-7.

49. Phitak T, Pothacharoen P, Kongtawelert P. Comparison of glucose derivatives effects on cartilage degradation. BMC Musculoskelet Disord 2010;11:162-74.

50. Sawitzke AD, Shi H, Finco MF, Dunlop DD, Bingham CO 3rd, Harris CL, et al. The effect of glucosamine and/or chondroitin sulfate on the progression of knee osteoarthritis: A report from the glucosamine/chondroitin arthritis intervention trial. Arthritis Rheum 2008;58:3183-91.