

GRAPPA 2011: Proceedings from the Ultrasound Imaging Module

GURJIT S. KAELEY, MARIA ANTONIETTA D'AGOSTINO, WALTER GRASSI, MIKKEL ØSTERGAARD, and IGNAZIO OLIVIERI

ABSTRACT. In a plenary session at the 2011 meeting of the Group for Research and Assessment of Psoriasis and Psoriatic Arthritis (GRAPPA) the use of sonography for evaluating enthesitis and dactylitis in psoriasis and psoriatic arthritis (PsA) was reviewed, and initial proposals were presented to develop ultrasound joint indices to assess enthesitis and dactylitis in PsA. Sonography can depict ultrastructural morphopathological features of an enthesis and its adjoining tissue structures, as well as increased vascularity; candidate entheses were proposed for an initial sonographic PsA enthesitis index. Ultrasound also can readily demonstrate soft tissue changes, synovitis, erosions, and osteoproliferation in dactylitis, and these features were proposed for an initial sonographic dactylitis index. A perspective was also presented on a wider proposed index including the skin, nail, joint, and enthesis. (J Rheumatol 2012;39:2211–13; doi:10.3899/jrheum.120826)

Key Indexing Terms:

PSORIATIC ARTHRITIS SONOGRAPHY DOPPLER ENTHESITIS DACTYLITIS

At the 2011 annual meeting of the Group for Research and Assessment of Psoriasis and Psoriatic Arthritis (GRAPPA), an imaging module was chaired by Mikkel Østergaard (Glostrup, Denmark) and Gurjit S. Kaeley (Jacksonville, FL, USA). At the previous GRAPPA meeting in 2010, a comprehensive review was presented on the current use of sonography in psoriatic arthritis (PsA)¹. In 2011, the imaging module focused on developing sonography as a measure to assess enthesitis and dactylitis in PsA. Summarized below are presentations regarding developing sonography as a validated tool in evaluating enthesitis and dactylitis as well as a preliminary composite scoring system in PsA.

Which Peripheral Enteses Should Be Sonographically Examined in Psoriasis and PsA?

Enthesitis is a well recognized feature of PsA. Its clinical

From the Division of Rheumatology, University of Florida College of Medicine, Jacksonville, Florida, USA; AP-HP, Hôpital Ambroise Paré, Service de Rhumatologie, Boulogne-Billancourt, and Université Versailles St-Quentin, Paris, France; Clinica Reumatologica, Università Politecnica delle Marche, Ancona, Italy; Department of Rheumatology, Copenhagen University Hospital at Glostrup, Copenhagen, Denmark; and Rheumatology Department of Lucania, San Carlo Hospital of Potenza and Madonna delle Grazie Hospital of Matera, Potenza and Matera, Italy.

G.S. Kaeley, MBBS, MRCP, Associate Professor of Medicine, Program Director, Director of Musculoskeletal Ultrasound, Division of Rheumatology, University of Florida College of Medicine;

M.A. D'Agostino, MD, PhD, AP-HP, Hôpital Ambroise Paré, Service de Rhumatologie, and Université Versailles St-Quentin; W. Grassi, MD, Clinica Reumatologica, Università Politecnica delle Marche;

M. Østergaard, MD, PhD, DMSc, Professor of Rheumatology, Department of Rheumatology, Copenhagen University Hospital at Glostrup; I. Olivieri, MD, Rheumatology Department of Lucania, San Carlo Hospital of Potenza and Madonna delle Grazie Hospital of Matera.

Address correspondence to Dr. G.S. Kaeley, University of Florida College of Medicine, 653-1 West Eight Street, LRC 2nd Floor L-14, Jacksonville, FL 32209-6561. E-mail: drgurj@gmail.com

detection relies on palpation of entheses². Sonography is more sensitive than clinical examination in detecting enthesitis and reveals detailed morphological information^{3,4}. Maria Antonietta D'Agostino (Paris, France) presented an overview of the definitions of enthesitis as well as the utility of ultrasound in evaluating features of enthesitis.

Traditionally, an enthesis has been defined as the junction of bone and ligament or tendon. Sonography can detect extraosseous structural changes and inspect vascularization of the tissue. The ultrasound working group of Outcome Measures in Rheumatology (OMERACT) published a sonographic definition of enthesopathy, which included alterations at the enthesis proper manifested by cortical changes as well as proximal ligamentous and tendinous alterations⁵. In view of the abnormalities of tissues adjoining the enthesis (e.g., bursae, fat pads, tendons) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) evidence of enthesitis abutting joints in spondyloarthropathies, an alternative view of a synovial enthesis organ has been advanced by Benjamin and McGonagle⁶. Thus, when considering which structures to study, the enthesis proper, tendon or ligament, bursa, and cortical bone may be studied with B mode sonography. Elementary changes that may be detected include tendon thickening, hypoechogenicity, calcification, underlying bone cortical erosions, and bursal distension^{1,7,8}.

The blood supply to the structures may be evaluated by using power Doppler. However, neovascularization can be observed in degenerative tendinopathy in the tendon proximal to the enthesis. The aforementioned structural changes may also be seen in noninflammatory tendinopathy. The specificity of Doppler examination may be improved by the examination of the proper enthesis, i.e., the cortical bone insertion of the ligament or tendon. D'Agostino, *et al* first

reported the specificity of the presence of power Doppler signal at the entheseal junction to bone in patients with spondyloarthritis⁹, and power Doppler at the entheses may assist in confirming the diagnosis of early spondyloarthritis¹⁰.

GRAPPA members discussed avoiding pitfalls in the Doppler examination. Since superficial structures are being studied, the sensitivity of the power Doppler signal can depend on the quality of machine used, machine settings, and probe pressure. The sonographer needs to elicit slow vascular flow and to be able to distinguish it from artifacts such as flash artifacts. The sensitivity of the Doppler signal may be compromised if the limb posture results in a tense tendon¹¹. In addition, sonographers must be aware of the normal vascular signal from nutrient vessels.

When choosing which entheses to study, a balance should be struck between feasibility and reliability. For example, the clinical evaluation of enthesitis using the Mander Index has been described as too time-consuming, and a distillation of fewer entheses has been proposed. As summarized by Gladman, *et al*, the newer indices include the Achilles enthesis but do not agree on which other entheses to study². Similarly, ultrasound composite measures all include the Achilles tendon but vary on what other entheseal sites to study¹. Sites such as the costosternal junctions, spinous insertion at L5, and the pubis enthesis may not be reliable or feasible.

GRAPPA members agreed that sonography not only is more sensitive than clinical examination in detecting enthesitis, but also can demonstrate various abnormalities at the entheses of patients with PsA¹². It has the additional advantage of detection of neovascularity at the enthesis proper and surrounding structures.

Defining Sonographic Elements of Dactylitis

Dactylitis is a common characteristic clinical feature of PsA and is defined as uniform diffuse swelling of the soft tissues of a digit such that actual joint swelling can no longer be independently recognized¹³. Dr. Kaeley and Ignazio Olivieri (Potenza and Matera, Italy) presented the current state of ultrasound imaging of dactylitis. Initially, dactylitis was believed to be predominantly due to flexor tendon tenosynovitis at the hand or foot^{14,15,16}. In 1998, McGonagle, *et al* proposed an alternative hypothesis that dactylitis may be a manifestation of enthesitis. They proposed that the numerous ligamentous and capsular attachments to bone may be regarded as “functional entheses,”¹⁷ and cited the fact that patients with rheumatoid arthritis have flexor tendon tenosynovitis, yet do not sustain dactylitis. Further, the presence of periostitis and extrasynovial new bone formation may be explained by this model. Subsequent MRI studies of dactylitic fingers demonstrated diffuse digital edema with or without flexor tendon tenosynovitis^{18,19,20}. In an ultrasound study, Fournie, *et al* demonstrated analogous changes of soft

tissue thickening as well as new bone formation in the capsule and juxtaarticular periosteal spaces; they also reported the presence of synovitis and profundus flexor tendon insertional changes²¹. Although MRI has the advantage of detecting intraosseous changes, it is not as sensitive as sonography at detecting osteoproliferation or subtle new bone formation.

In designing imaging measures for dactylitis, consideration should be given to evaluating the various ultrastructural changes. Thus, the measures should include not only quantitative measures of soft tissue thickening, flexor tendon thickening and tenosynovitis, and extensor tendon thickening²², but also assessment of joint pathology, osseous changes, and intra- and extraarticular erosions.

Preliminary Evaluation of a Composite Scoring System in PsA

Psoriasis is a heterogeneous condition with multiple integumentary and musculoskeletal manifestations. Inflammation may affect contiguous structures such as the nail bed, enthesis, and adjoining joint²³. Walter Grassi (Ancona, Italy) presented a perspective on the use of sonography in evaluating multiple targets in PsA. A preliminary sonographic scoring system to evaluate joint, tendon, enthesis, nail bed, and psoriatic plaque has been proposed by Gutierrez, *et al*^{24,25}. The power Doppler signal can be evaluated at each of these sites and summed as a composite score. The utility of ultrasound to screen for early PsA in the dermatology clinic would be useful, but will require training and development of a screening protocol.

Ultrasound machine technology is rapidly advancing, with the result that power Doppler is becoming very sensitive. Dr. Grassi discussed the presence of Doppler signal in normal joints using advanced equipment and noted the importance of interpreting Doppler signal in conjunction with B mode findings.

Sonography can demonstrate dermal and epidermal skin thickening, subepidermal hypoechogenicity of the psoriatic plaque, and increased vascularity of the plaque^{26,27}. Similarly, morphological nail changes as well as an increase in power Doppler in the nail matrix and nail bed may be observed in patients with PsA. Thus, a patient with PsA can be evaluated in a multidimensional manner with sonography and followed longitudinally in response to therapy²⁵. Future refinements in ultrasound technologies such as 3D and 4D scanning may improve the ease and reliability of assessing various sites with ultrasound.

GRAPPA Ultrasound Project

At the 2010 GRAPPA meeting, members identified a need to develop a reliable sonographic index to examine features of PsA. At the 2011 meeting, Dr. Kaeley presented an outline of a pilot sonographic project with an initial focus on enthesitis and dactylitis.

Developing a Sonographic PsA Enthesal Index

Based on feasibility and access, sites chosen for the enthesitis index included the Achilles tendon, plantar fascia, patellar and quadriceps knee entheses, greater trochanter entheses, lateral deltoid origin, and the medial and lateral epicondyle and triceps insertion at the elbow. Exploratory areas proposed included the medial and lateral compartments of the ankle — specifically to detect presence or absence of tenosynovitis and peroneus brevis entheses. Although the OMERACT ultrasound task force achieved consensus on entheses elements to be studied, GRAPPA proposes to study additional elements, such as tendon morphological changes. The areas to be examined by Doppler signal include the entheses insertion, the adjoining bursa and tendon, and the peritendon area of tendon or ligament close to entheses, all of which will be evaluated and reported separately from the traditional definition of enthesitis.

Developing a Sonographic PsA Dactylitis Index

With regard to which dactylitis features to examine sonographically, the initial proposal is to include soft tissue, joint, and extraarticular osseous changes. Soft tissue thickening and tenosynovitis may be measured quantitatively using the method of Olivieri, *et al*²², and the other features may be scored dichotomously.

REFERENCES

1. Kaeley GS, Bakewell CJ. The ultrasound imaging module: A report from the GRAPPA 2010 annual meeting. *J Rheumatol* 2012;39:404-7.
2. Gladman DD, Inman RD, Cook RJ, Maksymowych WP, Braun J, Davis JC, et al. International spondyloarthritis interobserver reliability exercise — The INSPIRE study: II. Assessment of peripheral joints, enthesitis, and dactylitis. *J Rheumatol* 2007;34:1740-5.
3. Gutierrez M, Filippucci E, De Angelis R, Salaffi F, Filosa G, Ruta S, et al. Subclinical enthesal involvement in patients with psoriasis: An ultrasound study. *Semin Arthritis Rheum* 2011;40:407-12.
4. D'Agostino MA. Ultrasound imaging in spondyloarthropathies. *Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol* 2010;24:693-700.
5. Wakefield RJ, Balint PV, Szkudlarek M, Filippucci E, Backhaus M, D'Agostino MA, et al. Musculoskeletal ultrasound including definitions for ultrasonographic pathology. *J Rheumatol* 2005;32:2485-7.
6. Benjamin M, McGonagle D. The anatomical basis for disease localisation in seronegative spondyloarthropathy at entheses and related sites. *J Anat* 2001;199(Pt 5):503-26.
7. Kaeley GS. Review of the use of ultrasound for the diagnosis and monitoring of enthesitis in psoriatic arthritis. *Curr Rheumatol Rep* 2011;13:338-45.
8. Gandjbakhch F, Terslev L, Joshua F, Wakefield RJ, Naredo E, D'Agostino MA. Ultrasound in the evaluation of enthesitis: status and perspectives. *Arthritis Res Ther* 2011;13:R188.
9. D'Agostino MA, Said-Nahal R, Hacquard-Bouder C, Brasseur JL, Dougados M, Breban M. Assessment of peripheral enthesitis in the spondyloarthropathies by ultrasonography combined with power Doppler: A cross-sectional study. *Arthritis Rheum* 2003;48:523-33.
10. D'Agostino MA, Aegerter P, Bechara K, Salliot C, Judet O, Chimenti MS, et al. How to diagnose spondyloarthritis early? Accuracy of peripheral enthesitis detection by power Doppler ultrasonography. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2011;70:1433-40.
11. Gutierrez M, Filippucci E, Grassi W, Roseff M. Intratendinous power Doppler changes related to patient position in seronegative spondyloarthritis. *J Rheumatol* 2010;37:1057-9.
12. Iagnocco A, Spadaro A, Marchesoni A, Cauli A, De Lucia O, Gabba A, et al. Power Doppler ultrasonographic evaluation of enthesitis in psoriatic arthritis. A multi-center study. *Joint Bone Spine* 2012 May;79:324-5. Epub 2011 Nov 26
13. Helliwell PS. Therapies for dactylitis in psoriatic arthritis. A systematic review. *J Rheumatol* 2006;33:1439-41.
14. Olivieri I, Padula A, Scarano E, Scarpa R. Dactylitis or "sausage-shaped" digit. *J Rheumatol* 2007;34:1217-22.
15. Kane D, Greaney T, Bresnihan B, Gibney R, FitzGerald O. Ultrasonography in the diagnosis and management of psoriatic dactylitis. *J Rheumatol* 1999;26:1746-51.
16. Wakefield RJ, Emery P, Veale D. Ultrasonography and psoriatic arthritis. *J Rheumatol* 2000;27:1564-5.
17. McGonagle D, Gibbon W, Emery P. Classification of inflammatory arthritis by enthesitis. *Lancet* 1998;352:1137-40.
18. Healy PJ, Groves C, Chandramohan M, Helliwell PS. MRI changes in psoriatic dactylitis — extent of pathology, relationship to tenderness and correlation with clinical indices. *Rheumatology* 2008;47:92-5.
19. Olivieri I, Scarano E, Padula A, D'Angelo S, Salvarani C, Cantini F, et al. Fast spin echo-T2-weighted sequences with fat saturation in toe dactylitis of spondyloarthritis. *Clin Rheumatol* 2008;27:1141-5.
20. Jevtic V, Watt I, Rozman B, Kos-Golja M, Demsar F, Jarh O. Distinctive radiological features of small hand joints in rheumatoid arthritis and seronegative spondyloarthritis demonstrated by contrast-enhanced (Gd-DTPA) magnetic resonance imaging. *Skeletal Radiol* 1995;24:351-5.
21. Fournie B, Margarit-Coll N, Champetier de Ribes TL, Zabraniecki L, Jouan A, Vincent V, et al. Extrasynovial ultrasound abnormalities in the psoriatic finger. Prospective comparative power-doppler study versus rheumatoid arthritis. *Joint Bone Spine* 2006;73:527-31.
22. Olivieri I, Barozzi L, Favaro L, Pierro A, de Matteis M, Borghi C, et al. Dactylitis in patients with seronegative spondyloarthropathy. Assessment by ultrasonography and magnetic resonance imaging. *Arthritis Rheum* 1996;39:1524-8.
23. Coates LC, Anderson RR, Fitzgerald O, Gottlieb AB, Kelly SG, Lubrano E, et al. Clues to the pathogenesis of psoriasis and psoriatic arthritis from imaging: A literature review. *J Rheumatol* 2008;35:1438-42.
24. Gutierrez M, Filippucci E, De Angelis R, Filosa G, Kane D, Grassi W. A sonographic spectrum of psoriatic arthritis: "The five targets." *Clin Rheumatol* 2010;29:133-42.
25. Gutierrez M, De Angelis R, Bertolazzi C, Filippucci E, Grassi W, Filosa G. Clinical images: Multi-modality imaging monitoring of anti-tumor necrosis factor alpha treatment at the joint and skin level in psoriatic arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 2010;62:3829.
26. Gutierrez M, Wortsman X, Filippucci E, De Angelis R, Filosa G, Grassi W. High-frequency sonography in the evaluation of psoriasis: Nail and skin involvement. *J Ultrasound Med* 2009;28:1569-74.
27. Filippucci E, De Angelis R, Salaffi F, Grassi W. Ultrasound, skin, and joints in psoriatic arthritis. *J Rheumatol Suppl.* 2009 Aug;83:35-8.