Look Beyond the Disease Activity Score of 28 Joints (DAS28): Tender Points Influence the DAS28 in Patients with Rheumatoid Arthritis
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ABSTRACT. Objective. To explore the influence of tender points (TP) on the Disease Activity Score assessing 28 joints (DAS28) in patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA).

Methods. In 200 consecutive patients with RA from the outpatient clinic, DAS28 and its components, tender and swollen joint counts (TJC, SJC, respectively), visual analog scale (VAS) for patient’s general health (GH), and erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), along with a tender point count (TPC) were assessed. Patients were categorized according to 4 TPC classes: zero, 1–5, 6–10, and ≥11 TP. The influence of TPC classes on DAS28 and its individual components was determined with Kruskal-Wallis tests and correlations between TP and DAS28 and its components were calculated.

Results. In 196 eligible patients, 70% were female, mean age was 59 years, and median disease duration was 3.9 years; median DAS28 was 3.1; and 49% had active disease, defined as DAS28 ≥3.2. In 15% of patients, the TPC was ≥11, in 12% 6–10, in 30% 1–5, and in 43% zero. TPC significantly influenced the DAS28 and its less objective components TJC and VAS-GH (i.e., based on patient’s report), but not the more objective DAS28 components SJC and ESR (i.e., observer- and laboratory-based).

Conclusion. DAS28 is influenced by tender points, even in the non-fibromyalgia range, falsely suggesting higher disease activity and decreasing the sensitivity of the DAS28 criterion of low disease activity or remission. When applying DAS28-guided “tight control” or “treat-to-target” treatment strategies in RA, evaluation of not only the DAS28, but also its individual components along with a full joint and physical evaluation including assessment of TP is required to reliably estimate the individual’s disease activity, which guides therapeutic decisions. (First Release Oct 15 2011; J Rheumatol 2012;39:22–7; doi:10.3899/jrheum.110072)
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In rheumatoid arthritis (RA), treatment strategies tailored to the individual patient to achieve a predefined level of low disease activity or remission are less objective. In RA, tender points (TP) influence the DAS28, the individual components of the DAS28, and other disease variables in patients with RA.
RESULTS

Of the 200 patients studied, 4 were excluded from analyses because of missing data, leaving 196 eligible for evaluation. Patient characteristics are summarized in Table 1. According to published criteria³, 49% of the patients had active RA defined as high (DAS28 > 5.1, 8% of patients) or moderate (DAS28 > 3.2 and ≤ 5.1, 41% of patients) disease activity; 14% had low disease activity (DAS28 ≥ 2.6 and ≤ 3.2); and 37% were in remission (DAS28 < 2.6). Among all patients, 43% had no TP, 30% had a TPC of 1–5, 12% (15% of women vs 3% of men) had TP of 6–10, and 15% (17% of women vs 8% of men) had TPC ≥ 11 (Table 1). Overall, women had significantly more TP than men: median 2 versus 0, respectively (p < 0.005). The distribution of TP and joint counts according to 4 regions of the body — upper and lower and left and right extremities — is illustrated in Figure 2.

Figure 3 shows that in the TPC classes, with increasing TPC, the median DAS28, TJC, and VAS-GH increased significantly; in contrast, the ESR and SJC were not statistically significantly different among the 4 groups (Table 1). Similarly, the DAS28, TJC, and VAS-GH correlated significantly with TPC, in contrast to SJC and ESR; the TPC also correlated significantly with early morning stiffness, VAS pain, and the HAQ results (Table 2).

Among patients with active RA according to DAS28 > 3.2, 13% had no swollen joint of the 28 joints assessed, indicating misclassification.

DISCUSSION

The DAS28 is a widely used instrument for assessing disease activity in patients with RA. Reliability of DAS28 in the individual patient can be questioned, especially if there is concomitant FM, as shown in other studies⁷,⁸, or if there are tender points, even in the non-FM range, as shown in our study. Although the increase in the median DAS28 of 2.6 in the group without TP to 3.3 in the group with 1–5 TP (Table 2) might not seem impressive on first consideration, it was statistically significant, and one should keep in mind that DAS28 2.6 is the cutoff for remission and DAS 3.2 that for moderate disease activity. In the group with 1–5 TP compared to the group with 6–10 TP, the median DAS28 scores were 3.3 and 3.4, but mean DAS28 3.3 and 3.6, respectively (Table 1). With increasing TPC, the less objective DAS28 components (i.e., based on patient report: the VAS for general well-being and TJC) showed increasingly higher scores, but the more objective DAS28 components (i.e., observer- and laboratory-based SJC and ESR) did not. This is in accord with a previous study in which patients with FM — without RA and with normal ESR values and no swollen joints — had high scores on the DAS28¹⁰. Similarly to our study, in another study in patients with both RA and FM, statistically significant associations were found between TPC and DAS28 but not between TPC and ESR; in contrast to our findings, there was also a significant correlation between TPC and SJC¹².
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

For this explorative study, 200 consecutive outpatients with RA seen in one university hospital by 3 rheumatologists and in 4 general hospitals by 6 rheumatologists, collaborating in the Utrecht Rheumatoid Arthritis Cohort study group, were included. All patients had established RA according to the 1987 classification criteria¹³ and all patients had given informed consent. They received antirheumatic medication according to treatment protocols used in all participating hospitals; 65% currently used methotrexate as single disease-modifying antirheumatic drug (DMARD), 7% used hydroxychloroquine, 3% intramuscular gold, 2% azathioprine, 1% sulfasalazine, 0.5% cyclosporine, 0.5% leflunomide, and 0.5% oral gold; 10% used a combination of these DMARD and 10% currently used no DMARD. Two patients used anti-tumor necrosis factor-α drugs. During an outpatient clinic visit, ESR, TJC, SJC, and VAS-GH (0–100; 0 = no pain, 100 = worst pain) were assessed and the DAS28 was calculated¹. In addition, tender point assessment according to the 1990 American College of Rheumatology (ACR) criteria for FM was performed¹⁴. Data for duration of early morning stiffness (0–180 minutes), VAS for pain (0–100 mm; 0 = no pain, 100 = worst score), TJC, SJC, and ESR values were given with 10th-90th percentile values. The assessed 5-point scale for TP (0 = no pain; 1 = presence of TP) was modified into a dichotomous score (0 = absence, 1 = presence of TP). The sum of the dichotomous scores was the tender point count (TPC), range 0–28. Patients were categorized according to the TPC into 1 of 4 TPC classes: 0, 1–5, 6–10, ≥ 11. Overall, women had significantly more TP than men: median 2 versus 0, respectively (p < 0.005). The distribution of TP and joint counts according to 4 regions of the body — upper and lower and left and right extremities — is illustrated in Figure 2.

Figure 1. Contribution to the total 28-joint Disease Activity Score (DAS28) of the DAS28 individual components. Y-axis shows units of the DAS28; x-axis: 0 to the maximum range of the plotted variables. TJC: tender joint count (0–28); SJC: swollen joint count (0–28); ESR: erythrocyte sedimentation rate (0–140 mm/h); VAS-GH: visual analog scale for general health (0–100; 100 = worst score). DAS28 = 0.56 √(TJC) + 0.28 √(SJC) + 0.70 ln(ESR) + 0.014 VAS-GH.
These findings reduce the sensitivity of DAS28 to assess low disease activity or remission in individuals (more false-negative cases). We did not investigate this, but other causes of a raised ESR than disease activity (low serum albumin, anemia, or a paraprotein) would have the same effect. In the DAS28, the absence of joints of the feet, which are frequently involved in RA,17 reduces the specificity of the DAS28 for this aim (more false-positive cases)6.

To improve the specificity of assessing remission in patients using individual DAS28-guided “tight control” and “treat-to-target” strategies, one could add to the DAS28 criterion of remission the criterion of absence of any swollen joint. This would mean assessment of all joints frequently involved in RA. If the presence or absence of arthritis cannot be assessed reliably, ultrasonography could be applied18. To improve the sensitivity of the DAS28 to assess low disease activity or remission in individuals, other influences falsely increasing the DAS28 should be taken into account, such as TP and elevations of the ESR not specific for RA. This would mean looking not only at the DAS28, but also at its individual components.

In contrast to the prevalence of FM, the prevalence of TP in the non-FM range in patients with RA using antirheumatic medication (including nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs and analgesics) is not known. A substantial part of our population had no TP. Our study comprised a population-based RA cohort visiting academic and general rheumatology outpatient clinics on a regular basis. In The Netherlands, virtually all patients with RA are treated by rheumatologists, not by general practitioners. Thus our sample reflects a common RA population.

One could speculate on the pathophysiological mechanisms of the origin of TP in RA. Our findings that ESR and SJC are not associated with TP refute a direct causative relationship of joint inflammation and TP. It is possible that physical deconditioning induced by less physical activity in the past because of signs and symptoms of RA plays an indirect role. Also, other mechanisms could influence pain and tenderness in a patient with RA, e.g., joint destruction, central amplification mechanisms, and sleep disorders.

The prevalence of concomitant FM in our study population was not known, but the number of patients meeting the cutoff of 11 TP according to the 1990 criteria for FM was 15% (Table 2), and this is consistent with the published prevalence of secondary FM of 12%–17% among patients with RA.9,10,11,12 We chose to assess TP but not to apply the ACR 1990 criteria for FM, as the FM 1990 criterion of chronic generalized pain is difficult to interpret in patients with RA. As the ACR criteria for FM are intended for classifying groups, especially for research, their relevance in clinical practice for individuals has been questioned. It has been suggested that in clinical practice for individuals, a TP ≥ 6 might discriminate better between patients with FM and those without FM15. In our study, this TP would also influence the reliability of the DAS28. New criteria sets have been developed not only for FM and RA, but also for RA remission19,20,21; the new Boolean criteria of remission permit only 1 swollen joint to be present. In our view this is a real improvement compared to DAS28 remission, because in RA patients with DAS28 remission it is not infrequently the case that 5–10 swollen joints are present6.
25 Ton, et al: Tender points increase DAS28 components VAS-GH and TJC. When applying DAS28 for "treat-to-target" treatment strategies, evaluation of not only the DAS28 but also its individual components along with a full physical evaluation, according to good clinical practice, including assessment of all joints frequently involved in RA (and also ankles and feet) and of TP is required for adequate estimation of the individual’s disease activity, and for making appropriate therapeutic decisions.
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