Combination Biologic Treatment of Refractory Psoriasis and Psoriatic Arthritis

To the Editor:

The pathophysiology of psoriasis and psoriatic arthritis (PsA) is dependent on a multistep process that leads to chronic or recurrent inflammation. Blockade of cytokines, receptors, and coreceptors represents one approach to treating these diseases, but a significant number of patients have a recurrent course or a persistent disease process. A persistent process appears to suggest that blockade of individual inflammatory mediators is not enough to control the disease. On the other hand, among the patients who do respond to disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARD), the doses needed to control the disease sometimes are too high and may lead to severe adverse reactions. A different or complementary approach to conventional therapy is to use combination therapy that simultaneously targets different pathogenic mechanisms of the disease pathophysiology. The rationale of using a combination of biologic agents is based upon the complex pathogenic mechanisms involved in the disease process, in which Th1 and Th17 cells play major roles. It has been shown that keratinocytes and dendritic cells in psoriatic skin overproduce interleukin 23 (IL-23), which is one of the cytokines that regulates the Th17 cells, and IL-22 induces keratinocyte proliferation. In addition, clinical data show that tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) inhibitors reduce epidermal hyperplasia as well as synovitis/enthesitis by decreasing Th1 and Th17 cell responses.

The literature on combination biologic therapy for patients with autoimmune diseases is sparse, probably because the majority of cases are well controlled with 1 biologic agent alone or in combination with classic DMARD, and safety issues and adverse events are major concerns. We describe a patient with psoriasis and PsA refractory to standard treatment with DMARD alone or in combination with biological therapy. The patient responded to the combination of 2 biologic agents given concomitantly.

A 38-year-old white man, HLA-B27-positive, with a 20-year history of psoriasis and PsA involving peripheral and axial joints, was initially treated with topical steroids and phototherapy, with minimal skin response. He developed synovitis of small and large joints, as well as enthesitis and prolonged morning stiffness. Over the next 10 years he experienced multiple treatment regimens including nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs, DMARD such as methotrexate (MTX) and sulfasalazine alone or in combination with a biologic, and TNF-α inhibitors such as infliximab, adalimumab, and etanercept, with marginal improvement.

Because of the severity of skin involvement [body surface area 95%; Psoriasis Area and Severity Index (PASI) 92%], and nail, joint, and enthesal involvement, he became wheelchair-bound and unable to perform activities of daily living. Abatacept was given once a month for 18 months. At 3 months a slight beneficial effect in arthritis and skin was noted, but he was still unable to walk because of persistent synovitis and enthesitis of the right ankle. At that point etanercept was added once a week, resulting in significant joint and enthesal improvement, but still only a partial skin response. Because of the refractory skin and joint involvement, the decision was made to start ustekinumab, and the abatacept/etanercept combination was discontinued (Figure 1). This was followed within 2 to 4 weeks by significant skin and nail improvement (Figure 2). By the 4-week followup, however, the right ankle and knee had flared. Etanercept was reinitiated once a week, bringing the synovitis under control. The patient has been on this regimen for 11 months with significant improvement in the composite psoriatic disease activity index. No adverse events have occurred. He has gained 25 lb and is able to perform most activities of daily living including hunting and fishing. Laboratory results remained within normal limits (Tables 1 and 2).

Autoimmune and malignant diseases are complex disorders of unknown etiology whose therapy remains a challenge. They may eventually require novel therapeutic approaches that combine multiple agents, each with different modes of action. Advantages of such a strategy include the ability to enhance or synergize a therapeutic response and minimize toxicities. This approach is beginning to be explored in animal models and human disorders including rheumatoid arthritis (RA).

The combination of 2 biologic agents given simultaneously was initially conceived in the mid-2000s for patients with RA. The rationale was that the additive and/or synergistic effects in more than 1 biological pathway may translate into clinical improvement in patients unresponsive to the traditional therapeutic regimens.

Genovese and colleagues conducted a double-blind multicenter study...
of 244 patients who had active RA despite MTX treatment. Patients were assigned to 3 groups: etanercept 25 mg twice weekly alone, etanercept 25 mg once weekly plus anakinra 100 mg daily, and etanercept 25 mg twice weekly plus anakinra 100 mg daily. Patients were followed for 24 weeks.

The hypothesis of synergistic effects was not proved and no added efficacy was demonstrated by combination therapy. Etanercept alone achieved better American College of Rheumatology (ACR) 20, 50, and 70 responses, and there were few adverse events. In the combination group there was an increased rate of infections and reactions at the injection site.

Weinblatt and colleagues conducted a pilot phase IIb trial to evaluate the safety and clinical efficacy of abatacept 2 mg/kg plus etanercept 25 mg twice weekly in 121 patients with active RA despite continued etanercept
treatment. Overall results showed no significant differences between the treatment group and placebo at 6 months and at 1 year in ACR 20, 50, and 70 response rates. There were no differences regarding safety at 6 months, but after 1 year there was a significant increase in the prevalence and frequency of serious adverse events, and combination therapy was stopped. Both Weinblatt, et al\textsuperscript{13} and Genovese, et al\textsuperscript{10} showed consistent results of no significant added efficacy on the primary measure (ACR response rates). Even the anakinra/etanercept trial showed a much lower efficacy.

Figures 2A-2D. (A, upper left) Significant clinical improvement following combination therapy. Patient is in a standing position and has experienced significant weight gain. (B, above) Facial view following combination therapy. (C, below left) Marked improvement of psoriatic skin involvement of hands. (D, below) Disappearance of anterior chest skin involvement following combination therapy.
when compared to etanercept alone, and there was a significant increase in safety issues, mainly infections.

Record, et al\textsuperscript{14} reported the first series of cases of combination therapy in patients with systemic juvenile idiopathic arthritis (sJIA), using anakinra and abatacept in 4 steroid-dependent patients with refractory sJIA, which allowed corticosteroid reduction while improving arthritis and systemic features of the disease. The patients have been followed up to 17 months and no infusion reactions or significant infections have been observed.

Recently, rituximab in combination with a TNF inhibitor and MTX was used in a group of patients with RA. There was no clear evidence of an efficacy advantage in patients receiving rituximab in combination with a TNF

\textbf{Figure 2E.} Small patch of psoriasis rash on anterior aspect of right leg following combination therapy.

\textbf{Figure 2F.} Marked improvement of psoriatic skin, joint, and nail involvement. Note that joint deformities persist.

\textbf{Figure 2G.} Normal nail appearance following combination therapy.

\textbf{Figure 2H.} Normal skin appearance following combination therapy.
efalizumab. There was good control of the synovitis but limited improvement in the skin with the TNF inhibitor. In 2009 efalizumab was withdrawn from the US market because of a risk of progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy.

Combination biologic therapy in severe cases of psoriasis and PsA has rarely been described (Table 3). Combination therapy for severe and/or refractory psoriasis and PsA with efalizumab plus etanercept or infliximab has been reported in 3 cases with good skin and poor joint response for efalizumab. There was good control of the synovitis but limited improvement in the skin with the TNF inhibitor. In 2009 efalizumab was withdrawn from the US market because of a risk of progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy.

Hamilton studied 20 patients with psoriasis and PsA who were successfully treated with a combination of efalizumab (1 mg/kg/wk) and etanercept (25 mg or 50 mg/wk) with longstanding unreported serious adverse events, and effective control of both skin disease and arthritis.

There was a modest but transient improvement with the combination of abatacept and etanercept. However, eventually psoriatic skin and joint involvement became refractory to this combination biologic therapy, and ustekinumab was tried. This combination therapy has not been used in PsA, but recent reports indicate that abatacept, although effective in patients with PsA when compared to placebo, has lower response rates than those seen with anti-TNF inhibitor therapy.

Ustekinumab is the latest biologic agent approved by the US Food and Drug Administration for the treatment of moderate to severe plaque psoriasis. It targets the p40 subunit shared by IL-12 and IL-23, preventing its interaction with the receptor and thus blocking subsequent signaling, differentiation, and cytokine production. Both cytokines are produced mainly by activated dendritic cells. IL-12 mainly activates Th1, interferon-γ (IFN-γ), TNF-α, and cells producing IL-2, while IL-23 plays a role in the development of Th17 and IL-22, and cells producing TNF-α. Patients with psoriasis who are treated with ustekinumab show significant improvement in histological measures of psoriasis, with minimal effect on the systemic immune response, including no changes in serum TNF-α concentrations. Psoriasis response rates to ustekinumab have been shown to be the highest among biologics according to PASI response, with up to 90% response rates at Week 40 of treatment compared to an average of 50% response rates with etanercept and other anti-TNF agents. Preliminary data have shown that ustekinumab may also be effective in PsA, but data are not as strong as with TNF inhibitors. Further, patient reports are beginning to be published demonstrating very good skin clinical response associated with lack of articular response in PsA. The combination, however, of ustekinumab with etanercept in our patient proved to be highly beneficial for both skin and joint inflammation. This result suggests that combined biologic agents with different modes of action may have a role in refractory psoriasis and PsA, while maintaining a good safety profile.

Long-term combination therapy of ustekinumab and etanercept in our patient was associated with a good safety profile. Hematological indices of anemia and thrombocytosis, hypoalbuminemia, and acute-phase reactants became normal with combination therapy. Neutropenia and leukopenia were not observed. Some concern, however, should be raised over the changes observed in serum lipid levels and the development of an atherogenic lipid profile (Table 2). Patients with psoriasis have an increased cardiovascular (CV) risk, and recent evidence has shown that IL-17 may have a protective effect in the pathogenesis of the atherosclerotic process. IL-17

Table 1. Laboratory indices and Composite Psoriatic Disease Activity Index (CPDAI) scores.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Combination Therapy</th>
<th>Dose</th>
<th>Reason to Start Combination Therapy</th>
<th>Followup</th>
<th>SAE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PsA</td>
<td>Efalizumab + etanercept</td>
<td>125 mg QW + 400 mg 0.2 QW</td>
<td>Recurrent psoriasis</td>
<td>4 mo</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PsA</td>
<td>Efalizumab + etanercept</td>
<td>1 mg/kg QW + 25/50 mg QW</td>
<td>Ps and PsA (efalizumab for skin, etanercept for joint)</td>
<td>6 wks</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PsA</td>
<td>Efalizumab + etanercept</td>
<td>1 mg/kg QW + 50 mg QW</td>
<td>Recalcitrant Ps and PsA unresponsive to conventional treatment</td>
<td>1 yr</td>
<td>TB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PsA</td>
<td>Ustekinumab + etanercept</td>
<td>90 mg 0.4 Q4W + 50 mg QW</td>
<td>Ps and PsA unresponsive to 1 biologic agent alone</td>
<td>&gt; 1 yr</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

QW: once a week; SAE: serious adverse events; TB: tuberculosis; NA: not available.
neither a detrimental nor a beneficial effect of ustekinumab on serious CV events. The safety profile, however, needs to be closely monitored, particularly concerning CV risk, in view of the observed changes in lipid profile. The mechanism(s) of action of combination therapy remain to be fully explained. There is a need for long-term, prospective studies with larger numbers of patients in order to fully assess efficacy and the safety profile.
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