Methotrexate Has No Antifibrotic Effect in Bleomycin-induced Experimental Scleroderma

To the Editor:

Scleroderma is a chronic inflammatory disease that is characterized by widespread microvascular damage and excessive deposition of collagen in the skin and internal organs, although its pathogenesis is not fully understood. Opinions regarding the current treatment of scleroderma are based on recently developed European League Against Rheumatism/EULAR Scleroderma Trials and Research Group recommendations. However, no disease-modifying drugs are currently available that can modify the course of the disease. In systemic sclerosis, methotrexate (MTX) has been studied in controlled trials, with controversial outcomes1,2. We evaluated the possible effectiveness of MTX in a bleomycin (BLM)-induced experimental scleroderma model3,4.

Our study included 3 groups of mice (n = 10 Balb/c mice in each group). Control group mice were only administered 100 µl of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), while mice in the other 2 groups were subcutaneously administered BLM (100 µg/day, dissolved in 100 µl PBS) for 4 weeks. In addition to BLM, the mice in the third group were administered MTX intraperitoneally (1 mg/kg/week).

At the end of the fourth week, all mice were sacrificed and blood and tissue samples were harvested. Interleukin 2 (IL-2), IL-4, and transforming growth factor β1 serum levels, tissue hydroxyproline contents, dermal thicknesses, and the number of α-smooth muscle actin-positive (α-SMA+) cells were determined. The Kruskal-Wallis 1-way analysis of variance and Mann-Whitney U tests were used.

Histological evaluation revealed that subcutaneous BLM administration markedly increased dermal thickness (p < 0.001), subcutaneous eosinophilic infiltration (p < 0.05), and expression of α-SMA (p < 0.001), and the hydroxyproline content of the skin showed an increase of up to about 3-fold following BLM administration compared with the PBS-treated mice (p < 0.001). MTX treatment did not change the tissue hydroxyproline content, dermal thickness (Figure 1), inflammatory cell infiltration, or number of α-SMA+ cells (Table 1).

Controversial results of MTX treatment have been reported in patients with scleroderma1,2. MTX is reported to be more effective than placebo according to predefined response criteria, although it does not significantly improve total skin score, extension indexes, grip strengths, oral opening, visual analog scale of patient’s general well-being, and organ involvement1. Moreover, a subsequent study2 demonstrated the ineffectiveness of MTX. Our study also supports the ineffectiveness of MTX.

A number of antiinflammatory effects exerted by MTX seem to be related to endogenous adenosine increase5. However, stimulation of the adenosine A2A receptor dramatically increases collagen production from dermal fibroblasts, and suppresses the expression and activity of matrix metalloproteinases6. Moreover, blockade of the adenosine A2A receptor is reported to prevent BLM-induced dermal fibrosis6. In addition, MTX is reported to increase synthesis of glycosaminoglycans in scleroderma and normal fibroblast cultures7. Therefore, it could not be expected that MTX may exert antifibrotic effects.

Increased levels of Th2-type cytokines, which stimulate the synthesis of collagen by fibroblasts8, have been reported in scleroderma9. However, MTX modulates the immune status toward Th2 dominance10. The possible effect of MTX on Th2 cytokines may have led to its lack of antifibrotic effect in our study.

The results of our study demonstrate that MTX had no antifibrotic effect in the experimentally induced dermal fibrosis/sclerosis model.
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Figure 1. Histopathological evaluation of skin sections of Balb/c mice (H&E stain, original magnification x100). A. The histopathological appearances of mice administered phosphate-buffered saline were normal. B. Mice treated with bleomycin demonstrated definite dermal sclerosis with thickened collagen bundles in the thickened dermis. C. Mice treated with bleomycin plus methotrexate did not show any significant improvements.
Table 1. Serum cytokines, tissue hydroxyproline levels, and histopathological findings in Balb/c mice groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Bleomycin</th>
<th>Bleomycin + Methotrexate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IL-2, pg/ml</td>
<td>7.53 ± 0.45</td>
<td>8.44 ± 2.82</td>
<td>8.66 ± 2.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-4, pg/ml</td>
<td>7.04 ± 0.13</td>
<td>8.43 ± 2.90</td>
<td>8.13 ± 2.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGF-β1, pg/ml</td>
<td>1098.4 ± 486.2</td>
<td>1363.6 ± 487.2</td>
<td>1257.8 ± 421.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tissue hydroxyproline, mg/g dry tissue</td>
<td>0.52 ± 0.19</td>
<td>1.71 ± 0.58*</td>
<td>1.51 ± 0.65*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dermal thickness, μm</td>
<td>192.8 ± 53.5</td>
<td>435.5 ± 77.8*</td>
<td>466.6 ± 192.2*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dermal tissue, eosinophils/HPF</td>
<td>9.9 ± 9.4</td>
<td>9.80 ± 5.1</td>
<td>13.6 ± 7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcutaneous tissue, eosinophils/HPF</td>
<td>9.0 ± 9.3</td>
<td>19.4 ± 15.5**</td>
<td>19.3 ± 8.9**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-SMA, cells/HPF</td>
<td>1.02 ± 0.4</td>
<td>2.4 ± 1.1*</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.9*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

α-SMA: α-smooth muscle actin; HPF: high-power field (×400); IL: interleukin; TGF: transforming growth factor. ** p < 0.05, * p < 0.001 compared to the control group.
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