Fibromyalgia Is Common and Adversely Affects Pain and Fatigue Perception in North Indian Patients with Rheumatoid Arthritis
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ABSTRACT. Objectives. Fibromyalgia (FM) has been shown to be common in patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA), but studies on Asian patients are lacking. It remains unclear whether FM has an adverse influence on pain, fatigue, quality of life, and mood in these patients, and what its relationship is with disease activity. We studied prevalence and effects of FM in North Indian patients with RA and associations of RA with disease activity.

Methods. This cross-sectional study included 200 RA patients and an equal number of controls. Presence of FM was defined using the American College of Rheumatology 1990 criteria. Pain and fatigue scores were assessed using a 10 cm visual analog scale. Quality of life and presence of depression/anxiety were determined using validated questionnaires. Disease activity and functional disability in RA patients was assessed using the Disease Activity Score 28-3 and Health Assessment Questionnaire, respectively.

Results. FM was present in 15% of patients with RA compared to 2.5% of controls in the North Indian population. RA patients with FM did not differ from those without FM in terms of age, gender, current disease-modifying agents, or steroid use. RA patients with FM had higher disease activity and worse functional disability. The number of tender and swollen joints was higher in patients with FM, but correlated poorly with each other. RA patients with FM had higher pain and fatigue scores but were not different in the quality of life or mood.

Conclusion. FM is more common in North Indian patients with RA compared to controls. It adversely affects the pain and fatigue felt by RA patients. Disease activity and FM influence each other. (First Release Oct 15 2009; J Rheumatol 2009;36:2443–8; doi:10.3899/jrheum.090157)

Key Indexing Terms:
RHEUMATOID ARTHRITIS FIBROMYALGIA QUALITY OF LIFE CHRONIC WIDESPREAD PAIN TENDER POINTS
The presence of FM was ascertained using the American College of Rheumatology (ACR) 1990 criteria for FM. Severity of FM or allodynia was assessed using a manual tender point survey. Briefly, in addition to rating the 18 FM points as tender or not, subjects also rated the tenderness on a scale of 0–10. Three control points (center of forehead, left thumb, and right mid-forearm) were similarly scored. Individual point scores were averaged to obtain the FM and control intensity scores. All points were assessed by either of 2 examiners (VD or AL) using the dominant hand thumb pad with a force steadily increased to reach 4 kg pressure.

**Fatigue, pain, and disturbed sleep.** All subjects marked a 10 cm visual analog scale (with centimeter markings) for pain and fatigue felt in the preceding week. Subjects were asked whether they had difficulty in sleep (yes/no).

**Quality of life.** This was assessed using the World Health Organization quality of life BREF (WHO Qol BREF). We used the WHO Qol BREF UK English version and a validated Hindi version. This consists of 26 questions with 5 possible responses; final scores consist of mental, physical, psychological, and environmental domain scores (transformed to 0–100), a higher score reflecting better quality of life.

**Depression and anxiety.** Presence of anxiety and depression was determined using the English and Hindi versions of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale. This is a self-administered questionnaire consisting of 14 questions, 7 each for anxiety and depression. In addition, the Brief Patient Health Questionnaire and its Hindi version consisting of 9 questions were used for assessing depression.

**Data analysis.** Statistical analysis was done using the SPSS software version 10.0 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Means of normally distributed continuous variables were compared using Student’s t test, whereas Wilcoxon sign-rank test was used for nonparametric variables (number of tender points and tender point intensity). Categorical variables were compared by chi-square test. Correlation was performed using Pearson’s coefficient of correlation. For multiple comparisons, Bonferroni correction was applied and the corrected p value was calculated.

**RESULTS**

Our study included 200 patients with RA and an equal number of controls. There was no difference between the groups in the gender ratio (female: male 5.7:1 vs 4.7:1; p = not significant, NS); however, patients were older (46.8 ± 10.7 vs 38.7 ± 8.8 years; p < 0.05) and less educated than controls (school completed 71.7% vs 97%; p < 0.05).

**FM in RA patients and controls.** FM was present in 30 patients with RA (15%) compared to 5 controls (2.5%). RA patients had a higher number of FM tender points (4.5 ± 5.3, 0.7 ± 2.2; p < 0.001) and control tender points (0.2 ± 0.6, 0.02 ± 0.3; p < 0.001) than controls. In addition, they had higher tender point intensity scores, both at the FM and control points (1.0 ± 1.4 vs 0.1 ± 0.5, p < 0.01; 0.2 ± 0.7 vs 0, p < 0.01). The number of FM tender points as well as the tender point intensity score in RA patients correlated with the DAS28-3 and with the swollen joint count and erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR; Figure 1).

**Characteristics of RA patients with and without FM.** RA patients with FM were similar in age, gender, and current treatment compared to those without FM, except for higher use of hydroxychloroquine and lower numbers finishing school (Table 1). They had higher disease activity, including higher tender and swollen joint counts, and worse functional disability (Table 1). However, patients without FM had good associations between tender and swollen joint counts (r = 0.64, p < 0.05), whereas patients with FM had no such association (r = 0.31, p = NS) and comparatively had more tender than swollen joints (Figure 2).

**Effects of FM in patients with RA.** RA patients with FM had more pain and greater fatigue, with a higher proportion having disturbed sleep (Table 2). On a linear regression model, both number of FM tender points and DAS28-3 were independent predictors of pain and fatigue in RA patients (combined r = 0.51, p < 0.001, and combined r = 0.50, p < 0.001, respectively). However, there was no significant difference in the prevalence of depression, anxiety, or quality of life among patients with RA with and without FM (Table 2).

**DISCUSSION**

We found the prevalence of FM in North Indian patients with RA to be 15% compared to 2.5% in controls. There are no studies on FM in RA from Asia; however, our results are similar to studies from other areas. Naranjo, et al found FM to be present in 14.8% of 386 Spanish patients with RA, while Wolfe, et al found a prevalence of 13.6% and 17.1% in 280 and 11,866 North American patients, respectively. This similarity in results exists despite differences in the definition of FM. The current study and that by Naranjo, et al used the ACR 1990 criteria, whereas the earlier smaller study by Wolfe, et al required the presence of 7 tender points and skin-rolling tenderness, whereas the recent larger study used survey criteria based on the regional pain score and fatigue score.

In contrast to our study in RA showing a similar prevalence of FM, an Indian study in SLE found a much lower prevalence of FM (8.2%) compared to studies in North America, Hong Kong, and Australia (17%–25%). However, one North American study on a multiethnic SLE cohort showed a low prevalence of FM as well (5%). The prevalence of FM in healthy nurses in our study is similar to Japanese hospital workers and general population surveys from Pakistan, the United States, and Europe. There are no prior population surveys of FM in India. Interestingly, a systematic review from China found FM to be rare in the general population. There is a need for more population surveys in India and in the Asian population to further assess the role of ethnicity in this disease.

We found no difference in age, gender, or current treatment of RA patients with FM in our study. However, patients had higher disease activity and worse functional disability. The number of tender joints and swollen joints was increased, implying higher disease activity in RA patients with FM. This is at variance with a recent study that
found only higher tender joint count and patient assessment in RA patients with FM. The investigators suggested the DAS28 was falsely elevated due to generalized tenderness. As expected, we also found a disproportionate rise in tender joints compared to swollen joints in RA patients with FM (Figure 2). But, differing from that study, we also found higher swollen joint counts and a correlation between FM tender points (and intensity score) with swollen joint counts and ESR (Figure 1). This suggests a more active disease is associated with FM in RA patients and may have a role in its development.

The presence of FM in RA was associated with higher pain and fatigue in our study. On a linear regression model, both were independently predicted by both number of tender points and DAS28-3, suggesting an independent (of disease activity) effect due to FM. Wolfe, et al also found higher fatigue and pain scores in these patients. Surprisingly, we did not find any additive worsening in the quality of life or mood due to the presence of FM in RA, although a negative impact is well established for both diseases separately. Also, Wolfe, et al had found worse mental and physical domains using the Medical Outcome Study Short Form-36 and poorer quality of life by Euro-Qol in RA patients with FM. On a closer look at our data, patients with FM did indeed have poorer scores in most domains of quality of life and mood, although these were not statistically significant. The smaller number of patients (especially with FM) in our study may have led to a type 2 error.

Figure 1. Comparison of FM tender point number and tender point intensity in relation to Disease Activity Score 28 joints with 3 variables (DAS28-3), swollen joint count, and erythrocyte sedimentation rate in patients with RA.
On the basis of our results, we speculate that a bidirectional relationship exists between FM and disease activity, with FM developing due to higher disease activity but subsequently leading to an erroneously higher estimation of tender joint count and hence disease activity. FM has an adverse effect on pain and fatigue in RA patients. We suggest that future interventional trials in RA should incorporate FM assessment to avoid erroneous overestimation of disease activity, as well as control for its effect on outcome. Further, a reassessment of FM in these patients after effective control of RA disease activity will lead to a better understanding of its pathogenesis and significance in RA.

Among the strengths of our study are that it is the first from an Asian RA population. A major limitation is the lack of data on disease damage as a disease severity measure. Another drawback is the use of staff nurses as controls in our study, and not the general population.

To conclude, North Indian patients with RA have a higher prevalence of FM than healthy controls, and this is bidirectionally associated with disease activity. RA patients with FM had more pain and fatigue.
Table 2. Effect of fibromyalgia on patients with rheumatoid arthritis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>With FM, n = 30</th>
<th>Without FM, n = 170</th>
<th>p (uncorrected)</th>
<th>p (corrected)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pain VAS, 0–10, mean ± SD</td>
<td>5.4 ± 2.2</td>
<td>4.2 ± 2.3</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatigue VAS, 0–10, mean ± SD</td>
<td>3.9 ± 2.7</td>
<td>1.4 ± 2.3</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety*, n (%)</td>
<td>8 (26.7)</td>
<td>42 (24.7)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression BPHQ**, n (%)</td>
<td>7 (23.3)</td>
<td>24 (14.1)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disturbed sleep, n (%)</td>
<td>16 (53.3)</td>
<td>49 (28.8)</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical score††, 0–100, mean ± SD</td>
<td>47.6 ± 11.4</td>
<td>51.9 ± 12.4</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological score, 0–100, mean ± SD</td>
<td>52.0 ± 12.7</td>
<td>52.9 ± 15.4</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social score, 0–100, mean ± SD</td>
<td>66.5 ± 16.2</td>
<td>69.4 ± 20.5</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental score, 0–100, mean ± SD</td>
<td>59.6 ± 16.8</td>
<td>61.5 ± 18.0</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*† Anxiety and depression assessed by Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale. ** Depression assessed by Brief Patient Health Questionnaire. †† Domain scores of quality of life (WHOQOL-BREF). V AS: visual analog scale.
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