





Figure 1. A. T2-weighted sagittal MRI shows mild expansion of the spinal cord and multiple hyperintense lesions at the medulla oblongata and cervical and upper thoracic spinal cord. B. T2-weighted sagittal image 18 months after immunoablative CYC treatment shows marked decrease in size of the lesions without evidence of cord atrophy. The spinal cord is no longer expanded.

Table 1. Clinical course and outcome of each phase of treatment in our patient.

Date	Treatment	Clinical Response and Outcome	MRI Findings
Oct 2004	IVMP, IVIG, Pred, MMF	Partial response with mild residual LL weakness (muscle power 4/5) and urge incontinence. Left eye remained blind. Relapse of myelitis 4 mo later	Multiple T2 hyperintense signal of spinal cord (Figure 1A). Brain MRI normal except optic neuritis of left eye
Feb 2005	IVMP, monthly IV pulse CYC	Partial response with residual LL weakness (power 3/5). Required intermittent bladder catheterization. Relapse of myelitis and right eye optic neuritis 5 mo later	New multiple T2 hyperintense signals of spinal cord (cervical, mid-thoracic to caudal)
July 2005	IVMP, IVIG x 2 courses, tacrolimus, daily oral CYC, rituximab	No response. Patient became chair-bound and catheter-dependent. Right eye could perceive light only	Increasing T2 hyperintense signals of the spinal cord with necrotizing changes (cervical and thoracic level)
Oct 2005	Immunoablative CYC with G-CSF rescue (without stem cell infusion)	Sphincter function and LL power gradually improved. Right eye visual acuity showed mild improvement. No further neurological deterioration or relapses in the subsequent 18 mo despite discontinuation of all immunosuppression	Repeat MRI of the spinal cord 18 mo after immunoablative CYC showed marked reduction in abnormal T2 signals without spinal cord atrophy (Figure 1B)

MP: methylprednisolone; IVIG: intravenous immunoglobulins; Pred: prednisolone; MMF: mycophenolate mofetil; LL: lower limb; CYC: cyclophosphamide; G-CSF: granulocyte-colony stimulating factor.

Personal non-commercial use only. The Journal of Rheumatology Copyright © 2008. All rights reserved.

ment and immune complex deposition, necrosis, and often cavitation in both the spinal cord and the brain, with and without acute demyelinating changes<sup>3</sup>. Recently, a specific biomarker of the disease, NMO-IgG, that targets the water channel aquaporin-4 located in the foot processes of the astrocytes was discovered<sup>5</sup>. Binding of NMO-IgG to aquaporin-4 through a breach in the blood-brain barrier leads to disruption of the cellular water transport mechanisms and to inflammatory necrosis of the neural tissues induced by activation of the complements. More recently, another anti-aquaporin-4 antibody assay was established that may prove to be more sensitive and specific than NMO-IgG<sup>6</sup>.

A set of revised diagnostic criteria for NMO has recently been proposed to enhance the specificity and differentiating power from other demyelinating diseases such as multiple sclerosis<sup>7</sup>. The proposed criteria require the presence of optic neuritis and acute myelitis together with at least 2 out of 3 supportive criteria: (1) presence of a contiguous spinal cord MRI lesion spanning 3 vertebral levels; (2) absence of brain MRI findings of multiple sclerosis; and (3) a positive NMO-IgG antibody. Although the status of NMO-IgG and anti-aquaporin-4 in our patient was unknown at presentation, she indeed fulfilled the criteria for NMO. At the same time, she also met the American College of Rheumatology criteria for the classification of SLE, which has a specificity of 96%<sup>8</sup>.

The mainstay of treatment of NMO is immunosuppression. IV pulse methylprednisolone is often used for acute attacks of NMO<sup>9</sup>. Plasmapheresis has been employed in patients who do not respond to corticosteroids. The evidence is based on a randomized controlled crossover trial that showed superiority of plasmapheresis to a sham procedure in 36 patients with various inflammatory demyelinating diseases who did not respond to initial corticosteroid therapy<sup>10</sup>. Another retrospective series has also reported efficacy of plasma exchange in 6 patients with NMO<sup>11</sup>. Maintenance immunosuppression is indicated in NMO to prevent disease relapses, especially in those patients seropositive for NMO-IgG<sup>3</sup>. Small uncontrolled studies have demonstrated benefits of various agents including azathioprine, mitoxantrone, MMF, and rituximab in reducing relapses in patients with NMO<sup>12,13</sup>. Except for plasmapheresis, our patient was refractory to IVIG and most of the immunosuppressive agents mentioned above. She appeared to respond to immunoablative CYC treatment, which had induced a remission for at least 18 months.

Autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplant (HSCT) has been employed in autoimmune diseases, with the aim to overcome treatment resistance by intensifying the dosage of CYC, eradicating autoreactive cells and dysregulated immune circuits. In patients with refractory SLE, HSCT led to durable clinical remission in two-thirds of cases<sup>14</sup>. The most com-

monly used mobilization regimen is a combination of CYC and granulocyte-colony stimulating factor (G-CSF), and the commonest conditioning regimen is high-dose CYC with anti-thymocyte globulin. A less intensive immunoablative CYC regimen (50 mg/kg/day for 4 consecutive days) followed by G-CSF rescue alone has also been used with success in SLE with a lower incidence of adverse events<sup>15</sup>. The adoption and success of this regimen in our patient indicates that it may be considered in patients with refractory neuropsychiatric lupus including NMO, but further controlled data are needed to confirm its efficacy.

## REFERENCES

1. Gibbs AN, Moroney J, Foley-Nolan D, O'Connell PG. Neuromyelitis optica (Devic's syndrome) in systemic lupus erythematosus: a case report. *Rheumatology Oxford* 2002;41:470-1.
2. Jacobi C, Stingle K, Kretz R, et al. Neuromyelitis optica (Devic's syndrome) as first manifestation of systemic lupus erythematosus. *Lupus* 2006;15:107-9.
3. Matiello M, Jacob A, Wingerchuk DM, Weinshenker BG. Neuromyelitis optica. *Curr Opin Neurol* 2007;20:255-60.
4. Ghezzi A, Bergamaschi R, Martinelli V, et al; Italian Devic's Study Group (IDESG). Clinical characteristics, course and prognosis of relapsing Devic's neuromyelitis optica. *J Neurol* 2004;251:47-52.
5. Lennon VA, Kryzer TJ, Pittock SJ, Verkman AS, Hinson SR. IgG marker of optic-spinal multiple sclerosis binds to the aquaporin-4 water channel. *J Exp Med* 2005;202:473-7.
6. Takahashi T, Fujihara K, Nakashima I, et al. Anti-aquaporin-4 antibody is involved in the pathogenesis of NMO: a study on antibody titre. *Brain* 2007;130:1235-43.
7. Wingerchuk DM, Lennon VA, Pittock SJ, Lucchinetti CF, Weinshenker BG. Revised diagnostic criteria for neuromyelitis optica. *Neurology* 2006;66:1485-9.
8. Tan EM, Cohen AS, Fries JF, et al. The 1982 revised criteria for the classification of systemic lupus erythematosus. *Arthritis Rheum* 1982;25:1271-7.
9. Wingerchuk DM. Diagnosis and treatment of neuromyelitis optica. *Neurologist* 2007;13:2-11.
10. Weinshenker BG, O'Brien PC, Peterson TM, et al. A randomized trial of plasma exchange in acute central nervous system inflammatory demyelinating disease. *Ann Neurol* 1999;46:878-86.
11. Keegan M, Pineda AA, McClelland RL, Darby CH, Rodriguez M, Weinshenker BG. Plasma exchange for severe attacks of CNS demyelination: predictors of response. *Neurology* 2002;58:143-6.
12. Weinstock-Guttman B, Ramanathan M, Lincoff N, et al. Study of mitoxantrone for the treatment of recurrent neuromyelitis optica (Devic disease). *Arch Neurol* 2006;63:957-63.
13. Cree BA, Lamb S, Morgan K, Chen A, Waubant E, Genain C. An open label study of the effects of rituximab in neuromyelitis optica. *Neurology* 2005;64:1270-2.
14. Pavletic SZ, Illei GG. The role of immune ablation and stem cell transplantation in severe SLE. *Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol* 2005;19:839-58.
15. Petri M, Jones RJ, Brodsky RA. High-dose cyclophosphamide without stem cell transplantation in systemic lupus erythematosus. *Arthritis Rheum* 2003;48:166-73.