Use of Thermographic Criteria to Identify Raynaud's Phenomenon in a Population Setting

LYNN F. CHERKAS, LIISA CARTER, TIM D. SPECTOR, KEVIN J. HOWELL, CAROL M. BLACK, and ALEX J. MacGREGOR

ABSTRACT. Objective. To assess the value of thermographic measurements of digital skin temperature after cold challenge in classifying Raynaud's phenomenon (RP) in a healthy population.

> Methods. One hundred seventy-five patients with RP and 404 controls were subjected to a 15°C, 60 s cold challenge test. All participants were women. Digital temperature measurements were taken at baseline, immediately postimmersion, and 10 min after immersion using a portable radiometer.

> Results. Baseline skin temperature was a significant predictor of RP; however, the fall in temperature on immersion and the subsequent rewarming rate provided no additional information.

> Conclusion. Baseline skin temperature can help to predict the occurrence of RP in patients drawn from the general population, but has relatively low discriminatory power. The cold challenge test itself is of limited additional value for classification. Although objective temperature measurements show little power overall to discriminate between RP and non-RP patients, detecting low baseline digital temperature may be a useful adjunct to clinical history in classifying the disease. (J Rheumatol 2003;30:720-2)

Key Indexing Terms: **THERMOGRAPHY** COLD CHALLENGE TEST

Problems of disease definition present an obstacle for objective studies of Raynaud's phenomenon (RP). It is rare to assess patients during an attack; classification is almost always reliant on the patient's recall and is prone to bias. While physiological measurements (including thermography, laser-Doppler flowmetry, and finger systolic blood pressure, alone and in combination with a provocative test

objective assessment of RP1-4, all have been assessed in the clinic setting, using patients with either secondary RP or severe and established disease. The use of objective tests has not been examined in a population setting.

such as cold challenge) have shown promise in providing an

We used portable radiometry^{5,6} to assess the cold challenge test as an objective measure of RP in a sample from the healthy population.

From the Twin Research and Genetic Epidemiology Unit, St. Thomas' Hospital, and the Department of Rheumatology, Royal Free Hospital, London, England.

Supported by the Arthritis Research Campaign (UK). A.J. MacGregor is an ARC Senior Fellow.

L.F. Cherkas, DPhil, Genetic Analyst; L. Carter, RN, Research Nurse; A.J. MacGregor, MD, ARC Senior Fellow, Twin Research and Genetic Epidemiology Unit, St. Thomas' Hospital; T.D. Spector, MD, Consultant Rheumatologist; K.J. Howell, MSc, Clinical Scientist; C.M. Black, MD, Professor of Rheumatology, Department of Rheumatology, Royal Free

Address reprint requests to Dr. A.J. MacGregor, Twin Research and Genetic Epidemiology Unit, St. Thomas' Hospital, Lambeth Palace Road, London, SE1 7EH, UK.

Submitted March 7, 2002; revision accepted September 19, 2002.

RAYNAUD'S PHENOMENON CLASSIFICATION

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study participants. These comprised 175 patients classified with RP and 404 controls identified by a questionnaire survey of 3652 women as part of a twin study investigating genetic influence on RP.

Classification of RP. Participants responded to a series of established screening questions: (a) Are your fingers unusually sensitive to the cold? (b) Do your fingers sometimes show unusual color changes? If yes, do they become white, blue, purple, or red?7. RP was classified as present if patients reported a history of 2 or more color changes including white, based on accepted criteria8.

Thermographic assessment. All participants underwent a cold challenge test, which followed a standard protocol^{2,6,9}. Hot or caffeinated drinks were avoided on the study day. Participants were lightly clothed with arms bare from the shoulder. Prior to the cold challenge they were exposed to an ambient temperature of 23°C for 15 min. After the equilibration phase, a single trained operator took sequential measurements of all 8 fingertips excluding thumbs, aiming to measure the temperature at the center of the whorl visible on the palmar aspect of the fingertips. This established the baseline skin temperature (B).

The participants' gloved hands were then immersed in a bowl of water at 15°C for 60 s. Immediately after the hands were taken out of the water, the gloves were removed and measurements of the fingertips were taken (Tpost) and again at 10 min postimmersion (T_{10}).

Portable radiometer. Digital temperature measurements were made using a validated⁶ Cyclops 330S portable radiometer (Land Instruments, Dronfield, UK).

Statistical analysis. The analysis investigated the discriminatory value of 3 variables in classifying RP: (1) baseline temperature (B); (2) fall (F) (B -Tpost); and (3) rewarm (R) (T₁₀ - Tpost). These measurements were derived from the average temperature of all 8 digits at each time point. Logistic regression was used to fit models to the data in which the clinical classification of RP was included as the outcome variable and the temperature measurements (i.e., B, F, and R) as the predictor variables. Age was included as a confounder. The full set of 3 variable, 2 variable, and 1 variable models was examined and their fit compared. All analyses were carried out using Stata¹⁰.

Personal, non-commercial use only. The Journal of Rheumatology Copyright © 2003. All rights reserved.

RESULTS

Response characteristics of participants with and without RP. The mean age and the age range of the participants in both groups were similar (Table 1). RP patients had significantly lower baseline temperature and showed a significantly slower rewarming rate compared to non-RP participants.

Logistic regression models (Table 2). The set of models incorporating the baseline temperature variable (i.e., B, BF, and BR models) all showed no significant difference in fit compared to the full 3 variable model (BFR). Conversely, the set of models that did not include the baseline variable (B) (i.e., FR, R, and F models) all showed a significantly worse fit than for the full model. The importance of B is seen in the area under the curve (AUC) values, where there is little difference between the AUC of the B model and the AUC of the full model (BFR). Allowing for the possible confounding effect of age did not affect the results.

Subjects with very low baseline temperatures ($\leq 24^{\circ}$ C) were nearly 3 times more likely to be RP positive than RP negative (likelihood ratio = 2.89) (Table 3). The cutoff

Table 1. Characteristics of subjects.

	Raynaud's, $n = 175$		Non-Raynaud's, $n = 404$		
	Mean	Range	Mean	Range	
Age, yrs	48	32-61	49	32–62	
Baseline temp, °C	28.30*	20.28-34.99	29.97*	22.04-35.31	
Fall, °C	6.63	2.29-12.75	6.92	0.33-11.41	
Rewarm, °C	4.56**	-1.14-12.53	5.29**	-1.59-11.95	

^{*}p < 0.01, **p < 0.05.

Table 2. Results of model fitting.

Model	Log Likelihood (LL)	– 2 diff (LL Model–Full BFR Model)	AUC
BFR	-336.41		0.6484
BF	-336.84	0.86	0.6476
BR	-337.96	3.10	0.6441
В	-339.13	5.44	0.6407
FR	-352.18	31.53	0.5671
R	-352.28	31.73	0.5586
F	-353.41	31.99	0.5535

B: baseline variable; F: fall variable; R: rewarm variable.

Table 3. Characteristics of baseline (B) model.

resulted in high specificity (96.0%) but low sensitivity (11.4%) as many patients reporting symptoms of RP did not have particularly cold hands.

DISCUSSION

Our results showed that baseline digital temperature can help predict the presence of RP in a population sample, but information derived from the cold challenge procedure is of little additional value. It is most informative at the lower end of the temperature range, where only 4% of controls were found to have baseline hand temperatures below 24°C. All participants with these low finger temperatures had a 3-fold increased likelihood of being classified with RP.

The majority of our patients reporting symptoms of RP did not have particularly cold hands. On its own, therefore, the baseline measure is not a good overall discriminator of RP in population studies. The most valuable contribution of an objective measure of baseline digital temperature for the purposes of classification of RP in a population setting might be to supplement existing clinical criteria.

In this study, we used a clinical definition of RP. When RP has been defined thermographically on the basis of rewarming in the cold challenge, baseline finger temperature alone may be of less predictive value¹¹. Our results do not preclude a role for the cold challenge test in other clinic settings, for example in assessing patients with more severe disease or monitoring an individual's response to treatment¹². However, even in these circumstances, the precise contribution of serial measurements from a cold challenge test has yet to be determined⁴.

Our findings highlight an individual's baseline temperature as a stable physiological variable that might provide insight into the etiology of RP. From an epidemiological perspective it would be of interest to compare baseline temperatures in a suitably controlled environment across populations where the prevalence of RP differs. Baseline skin temperature may also prove to be an important phenotype in understanding the genetic basis of RP.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We thank the twins who volunteered for this study.

REFERENCES

 O'Reilly D, Taylor L, El-Hadidy K, Jayson MIV. Measurement of cold challenge responses in primary Raynaud's phenomenon and Raynaud's phenomenon associated with systemic sclerosis. Ann Rheum Dis 1992;51:1193-6.

Temperature, °C	Sensitivity, %	Specificity, %	Correctly Classified, %	PPV, %	NPV, %	LR+	LR-
< 24	11.43	96.04	70.47	55.56	71.45	2.89	0.92
< 26	27.43	87.87	69.60	49.48	73.65	2.26	0.83
< 28	49.71	70.30	64.08	42.03	76.34	1.67	0.72
< 30	62.86	55.69	57.86	38.06	77.59	1.42	0.67

PPV: positive predictive value; NPV: negative predictive value; LR+: likelihood ratio for a positive test; LR-: likelihood ratio for a negative test.

Personal, non-commercial use only. The Journal of Rheumatology Copyright @ 2003. All rights reserved.

- Howell KJ, Kennedy LF, Smith RE, Black CM. Temperature of the toes in Raynaud's phenomenon measured using infra-red thermography. Thermology 1997;7:132-7.
- Ring EFJ, Aarts NJM, Black CM, et al. Raynaud's phenomenon: assessment by thermography (EAT Report). Thermology 1988:3:69-73.
- Schuhfried O, Vacariu G, Lang T, Korpan M, Kiener HP, Fialka-Moser V. Thermographic parameters in the diagnosis of secondary Raynaud's phenomenon. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2000;81:495-9.
- Melhuish JM, Krishnamoorthy L, Harding JR, et al. Assessment of Raynaud's phenomenon using a hand held infrared scanner. Thermo Int 2000;10 Suppl 2:100.
- Cherkas LF, Howell K, Carter L, Black CM, MacGregor AJ. The use of portable radiometry to assess Raynaud's phenomenon: a practical alternative to thermal imaging. Rheumatology 2001;40:1384-7.

- O'Keefe ST, Tsapatsaris P, Beetham WP Jr. Colour chart assisted diagnosis of Raynaud's phenomenon in an unselected hospital employee population. J Rheumatol 1992;19 Suppl 9:1415-7.
- Brennan P, Silman A, Black C, et al. Validity and reliability of three methods used in the diagnosis of Raynaud's phenomenon. Br J Rheumatol 1993;32:357-61.
- Ring EFJ. Cold stress test for the hands. In: Ammer K, Ring EFJ, editors. The thermal image in medicine and biology. Vienna: Uhlen-Verlag; 1995:237-40.
- Intercooled Stata for Windows 95, version 5.0. College Station, TX: Stata Corp.; 1997.
- Ammer K. Diagnosis of Raynaud's phenomenon by thermography. Skin Res Technol 1996;2:182-5.
- Kyle MV, Belcher G, Hazleman BL. Placebo controlled study showing therapeutic benefit of iloprost in the treatment of Raynaud's phenomenon. J Rheumatol 1992;19 Suppl 9:1403-6.