



joints, newly referred to the outpatient Early Arthritis Clinic (EAC) in Amsterdam from 1995 to 1998. The median duration of complaints was 3 months, with a maximum duration of 3 years. Excluded from this EAC group were patients with other rheumatic diseases such as spondyloarthritis, crystal induced arthropathy, systemic lupus erythematosus, Sjögren's syndrome, and osteoarthritis<sup>10</sup>. The diagnosis of RA was made according to the clinical assessment of an experienced rheumatologist in 258 patients (70% female, median age 57 yrs, range 18–86). The remaining 121 non-RA patients were denoted uPA (65% female, median age 53 yrs, range 17–84); 73 of the patients with uPA had polyarthritis and 48 had oligoarthritis.

At the first visit, IgG anti-CCP antibodies were measured using the Immunoscan RA ELISA kit (Euro-Diagnostika, Arnhem, The Netherlands). IgM-RF and IgA-RF were measured on an ES300 immunochemistry analyser (Roche Diagnostics). Seven hundred microliters of biotinylated rabbit IgG (20 µg/ml phosphate buffered saline, PBS) were pipetted into streptavidin coated tubes together with 20 µl serum diluted 1:50 in PBS containing 0.05% Tween-20 and 2% bovine serum albumin. The tubes were developed with 500 µl diluted F(ab)<sub>2</sub> anti-human IgM or IgA, conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (Dako Diagnostics). For IgM-RF the standards were calibrated against the RELARES reference serum containing 200 IU/ml.

## RESULTS

Optimal cutoff values to differentiate RA patients from uPA patients were deduced from receiver operating characteristics (ROC) curves. These were 30 IU/ml for IgM-RF, 10 arbitrary units (AU)/ml for IgA-RF, and 50 AU/ml for anti-CCP with specificities of 93.4%, 94.2%, and 97.5%, respectively, and sensitivities of 50.4%, 52.7%, and 42.6% (Table 1).

The next task was to find a combination of tests with the highest possible sensitivity and specificity for detecting RA. Because the specificity of anti-CCP was higher than that of IgM-RF, we first tried to enhance the specificity of the RF determination. This was done in 2 ways. When both IgM-RF > 30 and IgA-RF > 10 were combined into one cutoff criterion, the specificity increased to 96.7%, which is nearly as high as the specificity of anti-CCP (Table 1). However, exactly the same specificity and sensitivity were obtained by increasing the cutoff value for IgM-RF to 40 IU/ml (Table 1). Thus the IgA-RF determination to enhance the specificity of the RF determination has no additional value in this group of patients.

*Table 1.* Sensitivities and specificities of tests and combinations of tests for diagnosis of RA. Tests were done at baseline in a group of patients with early arthritis comprising 258 early RA patients and 121 undifferentiated polyarthritis (uPA) patients. They are given for several cutoff values denoted IgM-RF > 30 or > 40 IU/ml, IgA-RF > 10 AU/ml, and anti-CCP > 50 AU/ml, respectively.

Criterion	Sensitivity, %	Specificity, %
IgM-RF > 30	50.4	93.4
IgA-RF > 10	52.7	94.2
Anti-CCP > 50	42.6	97.5
IgM-RF > 40	46.5	96.7
IgM-RF > 30 and IgA-RF > 10	46.5	96.7
IgM-RF > 40 or anti-CCP > 50	55.4	96.7
IgM-RF > 40 and anti-CCP > 50	33.3	97.5

Both the IgM-RF > 40 and anti-CCP > 50 criteria had a high specificity, but the sensitivities were low. Therefore, the second step was to enhance the sensitivity by combining these 2 assays. It appeared that in one-quarter of the RA patients, IgM-RF and anti-CCP positivity were independent from each other: 24 RA patients (9%) were negative for the IgM-RF > 40 criterion, but positive for the anti-CCP > 50 criterion, whereas 33 RA patients (13%) were positive for the IgM-RF > 40 criterion but negative for anti-CCP > 50. In the group of uPA patients, none was negative for the IgM-RF > 40 criterion but positive for anti-CCP > 50, and one patient was positive for IgM-RF > 40 but negative for the anti-CCP > 50 criterion. This indicated that the 2 tests measured different entities and might be combined into a logical "or" criterion (either one test positive or the other or both positive). The best test criterion proved to be IgM-RF > 40 or anti-CCP > 50, with a sensitivity of 55.4% and specificity of 96.7% (Table 1). When this was compared with our routine assay for RF (the IgM-RF determination with a cutoff value of 30 IU/ml), the sensitivity increased from 50.4% to 55.4% and the specificity increased from 93.4% to 96.7%. When the combination criterion IgM-RF > 40 or anti-CCP > 50 was applied, 4 of the 121 uPA patients were positive, instead of 8 of the 121 uPA patients with the IgM-RF > 30 criterion.

One hundred fifteen patients were clinically diagnosed as RA without the presence of either IgM-RF > 40 or anti-CCP > 50 antibodies at baseline. In 84 of these patients, serum was available at 1 year followup. Only 2 patients became positive at 1 year, one with IgM-RF of 58 IU/ml and the other with anti-CCP of 101 AU/ml.

## DISCUSSION

It is noteworthy that in a similar study<sup>7</sup> a different conclusion was reached as to how IgM-RF and anti-CCP are to be combined into one discrimination criterion. The authors tried to discriminate RA from non-RA patients in the EAC of Leiden, The Netherlands. The sensitivity and specificity of their IgM-RF tests were 54% and 91%, respectively, and for anti-CCP measurements 48% and 96%. The main difference with the present study was the low specificity of their IgM-RF assay of 91%. When either IgM-RF positive or anti-CCP positive were combined into one criterion the sensitivity became high (63%) but the specificity decreased to 88%. Therefore they suggested combining IgM-RF and anti-CCP in such a way that both tests must be positive. In this case their specificity increased to 98% but their sensitivity decreased to 39%. When this criterion IgM-RF > 40 and anti-CCP > 50 was applied to our group of patients, only one-third remained positive (Table 1), too low for practical use.

We conclude that in our group of patients with early arthritis the criterion IgM-RF > 40 or anti-CCP > 50 is able to predict which patients with early arthritis will receive a

clinical diagnosis of RA with a sensitivity of 55.4% and a specificity of 96.7%.

Thus, anti-CCP testing combined with IgM-RF testing has additional value over IgM-RF testing alone in patients with early undifferentiated oligo and polyarthritis.

## REFERENCES

1. Smolen JS. Autoantibodies in rheumatoid arthritis. In: Venrooij WJ, Maini RN, editors. *Manual of biological markers of disease*. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers; 1996.
2. Jonsson T, Steinsson K, Jonsson H, Geirsson AJ, Thorsteinsson J, Valdimarsson H. Combined elevation of IgM and IgA rheumatoid factors has very high diagnostic specificity for rheumatoid arthritis. *Rheumatol Int* 1998;18:119-22.
3. Swedler W, Wallman J, Froelich CJ, Teodorescu M. Routine measurement of IgM, IgG and IgA rheumatoid factors: High sensitivity, specificity and predictive value for rheumatoid arthritis. *J Rheumatol* 1997;24:1037-44.
4. van Jaarsveld CHM, ter Borg EJ, Jacobs JWG, et al. The prognostic value of the antiperinuclear factor, anti-citrullinated peptide antibodies and rheumatoid factor in early rheumatoid arthritis. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 1999;17:689-97.
5. Schellekens GA, de Jong BAW, van den Hoogen FHI, van de Putte LBA. Citrulline is an essential constituent of antigenic determinants recognised by rheumatoid arthritis-specific autoantibodies. *J Clin Invest* 1998;101:273-81.
6. Kroot EJA, de Jong BAW, van Leeuwen MA, et al. The prognostic value of anti-cyclic citrullinated peptide antibody in patients with recent-onset rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 2000;43:1831-5.
7. Schellekens GA, Visser H, de Jong BAW, et al. The diagnostic properties of rheumatoid arthritis antibodies recognising cyclic citrullinated peptide. *Arthritis Rheum* 2000;43:155-63.
8. Arnett FC, Edworthy SM, Bloch DA, et al. The American Rheumatism Association 1987 revised criteria for the classification of rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 1988;31:315-24.
9. van der Horst-Bruinsma IE, Speyer I, Visser H, Breedveld FC, Hazes JM. Diagnosis and course of early-onset arthritis: results of a special early arthritis clinic compared to routine patient care. *Br J Rheumatol* 1998;37:1084-8.
10. Jansen LMA, van Schaardenburg D, van der Horst-Bruinsma IE, Bezemer PD, Dijkmans BAC. Predictors of functional status in patients with early rheumatoid arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2000;59:223-6.