

Drs. Bessette and Kinch reply

To the Editor:


We thank Dr. Tchetina for their interest in our article,¹ and we appreciate the opportunity to respond to their Letter to the Editor.² In the letter and their recent publication, Tchetina et al describe the utility of gene expression analyses using peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) from patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA) to predict clinical response to tofacitinib (TOF).^{2,3} Specifically, the authors propose that sensitivity and resistance to treatment with TOF may be associated with changes in energy metabolism in RA T cells.³ T cells in patients with RA appear to be prematurely aged compared with those in aged-matched healthy individuals,⁴ and this premature aging is coupled with alterations in cellular metabolism.^{2,4} Therefore, they hypothesized that differential expression of the genes pyruvate kinase M2 and succinate dehydrogenase subunit B, which are involved in the energy-generating metabolic pathways, glycolysis and oxidative phosphorylation, respectively, may provide insight into which patients with RA are more likely to achieve treatment targets following TOF treatment.^{2,3}

In our study,¹ we report results from a post hoc analysis that evaluated the effect of treatments in phase III and phase IIIb/IV TOF RA randomized controlled trials (ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT00847613, NCT00856544, NCT00853385, NCT02187055) on the proportions of patients achieving $\geq 20/50/70\%$ improvement from baseline in the components of the American College of Rheumatology (ACR) response criteria, as well as mean percent improvement from baseline in the ACR components, the Simplified Disease Activity Index (SDAI) score, and the Clinical Disease Activity Index (CDAI) score in patients achieving an ACR20/50/70 response (ACR20/50/70 responders), and the proportions of ACR20/50/70 responders achieving SDAI or CDAI low disease activity and remission.¹ The purpose of these analyses was to assist clinicians in interpreting clinical study results and to define expected responses to advanced therapies, thereby facilitating tangible treatment target settings using measures routinely collected in the clinic.¹ We agree with the suggestion from Dr. Tchetina that it would be beneficial to “add some mechanistic characteristics for standard composite disease activity status measures to monitor treatment response involving gene expression analyses in the PBMCs of patients with RA prior to TOF treatment.”² Indeed, teams of researchers worldwide have been searching for unbiased markers of gene expression at baseline that could serve as predictors of response to TOF treatment for many years. Unfortunately, most of these efforts have been unsuccessful on account of failure to identify biomarkers that could be implemented for clinical use. Previous studies in the literature have reported on the use of genetics and genomics to predict response to tumor necrosis factor inhibitors⁵⁻⁸ or methotrexate^{9,10} in patients with RA. Although some

of these individual studies have identified predictive markers, very few have been successfully replicated in other studies.^{11,12} Although it makes sense, conceptually, to add the additional molecular characterization of patients participating in clinical trials at baseline, this is challenging due to the absence of validated biomarkers. Further, exploratory biomarkers, such as those described by Dr. Tchetina, are generally assessed ad hoc and after primary outcomes from the trial have been reported, or they are evaluated prospectively in small cohorts.

Notwithstanding, we concur that there are limitations to the use of individual and composite measures in clinical and research settings to assess disease activity and response to treatment in RA. There remains an unmet need for immunological and genetic markers predictive of a clinical response that would enable a personalized therapeutic approach in rheumatic diseases. Once identified, predictive genetic markers (and other omics approaches), together with clinical evaluation and patient-reported outcomes, all of which we consider important and necessary, will converge to support both ideal clinical evaluation and overall patient management. We commend Dr. Tchetina and colleagues for their provocative exploratory work within this space,³ and we look forward to the future integration of validated biomarkers of response into clinical practice.

Louis Bessette¹ , MD, MSc

Cassandra D. Kinch² , PhD

¹L. Bessette, MD, MSc, Associate Professor, Department of Medicine, Laval University, Quebec City;

²C.D. Kinch, PhD, Pfizer Canada ULC, Kirkland, Quebec, Canada.

This study was sponsored by Pfizer Inc.

LB has received grants and/or research support from AbbVie, Amgen, BMS, Celgene, Eli Lilly, Gilead Sciences, Janssen, Novartis, Pfizer, Roche, Sanofi, and UCB; and has acted as a consultant or speaker for AbbVie, Celgene, Eli Lilly, Fresenius Kabi, Gilead Sciences, Novartis, Pfizer, and Sandoz. CDK is an employee and stockholder of Pfizer Inc.

Address correspondence to Dr. C.D. Kinch, Pfizer Canada ULC, 17300 Trans-Canada Hwy, Kirkland, QC H9J 2M5, Canada.

Email: Cassandra.Kinch@pfizer.com.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Medical writing support, under the guidance of the authors, was provided by Jennifer Arnold, PhD, CMC Connect, a division of IPG Health Medical Communications, and Sarah Bubeck, PhD, on behalf of CMC Connect, and by Pfizer Inc., New York, NY, USA, in accordance with Good Publication Practice (GPP 2022) guidelines (Ann Intern Med 2022 Aug 30 [Epub ahead of print]. doi:10.7326/M22-1460).

REFERENCES

1. Bessette L, Mysler E, Kinch CD, et al. Impact of tofacitinib on components of the ACR response criteria: post hoc analysis of phase III and phase IIIb/IV trials. *J Rheumatol* 2022;49:566-76.
2. Tchetina EV. Baseline gene expression analysis in the peripheral blood of patients with rheumatoid arthritis as an important supplement to a standard composite measure. *J Rheumatol* 2022;xxxxxxx.
3. Tchetina EV, Satybaldyev AM, Markova GA, Samarkina EY,

- Lila AM. Putative association between low baseline gene expression in the peripheral blood and clinical remission in rheumatoid arthritis patients treated with tofacitinib. *Life* 2021;11:1385.
4. Weyand CM, Wu B, Goronzy JJ. The metabolic signature of T cells in rheumatoid arthritis. *Curr Opin Rheumatol* 2020;32:159-67.
 5. Criswell LA, Lum RF, Turner KN, et al. The influence of genetic variation in the HLA-DRB1 and LTA-TNF regions on the response to treatment of early rheumatoid arthritis with methotrexate or etanercept. *Arthritis Rheum* 2004;50:2750-6.
 6. Cui J, Saevarsdottir S, Thomson B, et al. Rheumatoid arthritis risk allele PTPRC is also associated with response to anti-tumor necrosis factor alpha therapy. *Arthritis Rheum* 2010;62:1849-61.
 7. Plant D, Bowes J, Potter C, et al. Genome-wide association study of genetic predictors of anti-tumor necrosis factor treatment efficacy in rheumatoid arthritis identifies associations with polymorphisms at seven loci. *Arthritis Rheum* 2011;63:645-53.
 8. Liu C, Batliwalla F, Li W, et al. Genome-wide association scan identifies candidate polymorphisms associated with differential response to anti-TNF treatment in rheumatoid arthritis. *Mol Med* 2008;14:575-81.
 9. Wessels JA, Kooloos WM, De Jonge R, et al. Relationship between genetic variants in the adenosine pathway and outcome of methotrexate treatment in patients with recent-onset rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 2006;54:2830-9.
 10. Lima A, Bernardes M, Azevedo R, Seabra V, Medeiros R. Moving toward personalized medicine in rheumatoid arthritis: SNPs in methotrexate intracellular pathways are associated with methotrexate therapeutic outcome. *Pharmacogenomics* 2016;17:1649-74.
 11. Suarez-Gestal M, Perez-Pampin E, Calaza M, Gomez-Reino JJ, Gonzalez A. Lack of replication of genetic predictors for the rheumatoid arthritis response to anti-TNF treatments: a prospective case-only study. *Arthritis Res Ther* 2010;12:R72.
 12. Plant D, Prajapati R, Hyrich KL, et al. Replication of association of the PTPRC gene with response to anti-tumor necrosis factor therapy in a large UK cohort. *Arthritis Rheum* 2012;64:665-70.