Editorial

Bisphosphonates for Arthritis — A Confusing Rationale



Bisphosphonates are among the most successful group of therapeutics introduced for diseases that afflict humanity. Their ability to regulate bone turnover through suppression of osteoclast activity together with their selective localization in bone has inspired their widespread use in a variety of disorders of bone metabolism, namely, osteoporosis, Paget's disease, and skeletal metastases, as well as less familiar disorders such as fibrous dysplasia, sympathetic dystrophy, and Charcot's arthropathy¹⁻³. Disordered bone metabolism, both systemic and local, is clearly a major feature of many rheumatic conditions as exemplified by rheumatoid arthritis (RA), which is associated with both generalized as well as periarticular osteoporosis. In addition, there is now compelling evidence that joint erosion is crucially dependent on osteoclast activity that is in turn regulated by proinflammatory cytokines such as tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF- α) and interleukin 17 (IL-17) as well as the major activator of osteoclast function, osteoprotegerin ligand^{4,5}.

It has hardly been a gigantic leap of faith, therefore, to propose that bisphosphonates might be effective, not only for the management of systemic osteoporosis, but also as a useful adjunct in preventing structural damage locally. Indeed, evaluation of these agents in immunological functional assays *in vitro* and in animal models of arthritis reported several decades ago also suggested that these compounds might possess useful antiinflammatory properties^{6,7}. Despite this apparently sound rationale, one could reasonably argue, based on studies performed to date, that bisphosphonates have yet to live up to their promise in the treatment of inflammatory and erosive arthritis.

The 2 year randomized trial of etidronate in RA by Valleala and colleagues published in this issue of *The Journal* is in many ways typical of studies to date evaluating bisphosphonates in RA⁸. Forty patients with RA of less than 5 years' disease duration were randomly allocated to either antirheumatic therapy plus intermittent cyclical oral

etidronate or antirheumatic therapy alone. There was no matching placebo, and vitamin D status of study patients was not available. Changes to concomitant disease modifying antirheumatic therapy and/or oral steroids were then permitted as considered appropriate by the treating physician, although apart from stipulating numbers of disease modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARD) used at baseline and end of the study, no information is provided regarding specific DMARD usage during the trial within each treatment group. Etidronate treated patients showed a decline in mean prednisone dose over the duration of the trial, while the control group showed a slight increase. Clearly, this study was not sufficiently powered to detect statistically significant differences. No significant differences in measures of disease activity [Disease Activity Score 28 (DAS), C-reactive protein (CRP), erythrocyte sedimentation rate] or structural damage (erosion score, joint space narrowing score) were evident. A significant decline in some bone markers was evident (serum amino-terminal propeptide of Type I procollagen and serum carboxy terminal telopeptide of Type I collagen), but not in one other marker measured [serum amino-terminal telopeptide of Type I collagen (NTx)]. However, baseline levels of these markers were well within the reference range, and study inclusion criteria required only 4 swollen joints out of 66 and elevated acute phase reactants either at baseline or within the previous 12 months. Mean DAS 28 score at baseline indicated moderately active disease that did not change a great deal over the 2-year course of the study despite ad libitum use of DMARD, although notable reductions in CRP were evident. Correlation analysis showed that the best marker for predicting change in radiographic scores was the serum NTx, although none of the markers correlated with variables of disease activity. The authors suggest that the effects of etidronate therapy on serum NTx were minor because this agent is incapable of preventing the collagen breakdown

See Two year RCT of etidronate in RA: changes in serum aminoterminal telopeptides correlate with radiographic progression of disease, page 468

associated with inflammatory and erosive joint diseases. They, however, justify the use of etidronate for this particular trial rather than more potent bisphosphonates, such as alendronate or risedronate, on the basis that non-aminobisphosphonates such as clodronate and etidronate appear to be more effective in the collagen induced model of arthritis. In addition, it is stated that previous RA trials with the aminobisphosphate pamidronate had shown that it was unable to prevent focal bone erosions despite a reduction in systemic bone loss.

Aminobisphosphonates such as pamidronate, alendronate, and risedronate are considerably more potent than non-aminobisphosphonates in suppressing bone markers such as NTx and in *in vitro* assays of osteoclastic activity^{9,10}. The apparent lack of efficacy of pamidronate in RA seems, therefore, disappointing, and together with the data provided by Valleala and colleagues casts serious doubts on the merits of bisphosphonate therapy as a therapeutic approach for inflammatory and erosive arthritis. However, this nihilistic view is unwarranted. The rationale for the choice of bisphosphonate and the setting(s) for their optimal use warrant further reexamination.

The molecular pharmacology of bisphosphonates has been carefully examined and it is now well established that the non-aminobisphosphonates, such as etidronate and clodronate, exert at least some of their effects following metabolism by macrophage and osteoclast cell lines to nonhydrolyzable methylene-containing analogs of ATP, which are potent inhibitors of numerous ATP-dependent enzymes¹¹. Aminobisphosphonates, on the other hand, inhibit one or more enzymes in the mevalonate pathway, leading to decreased generation of 2 isoprenoid lipids, farnesyl diphosphate and its metabolite, geranylgeranyl diphosphate, which are necessary for the lipid modification (prenylation) of GTP-binding proteins¹². This is necessary for the translocation of such proteins from the cytosol to the membrane fraction where they act as a molecular switch transducing a wide array of extracellular growth and differentiation signals from cell surface receptors to the nucleus.

Early experiments performed *in vitro* compared the effects of clodronate and pamidronate on cultured cells of the monocyte/macrophage lineage. Pamidronate was shown to be more potent than clodronate in suppressing cell proliferation, cell growth, cell migration, costimulatory activity for T cells, and inhibition of lipopolysaccharide (LPS) stimulated secretion of proinflammatory cytokines IL-1ß and TNF- $\alpha^{7,13-15}$. These effects were generally observed at concentrations > 5 × 10⁻⁵ M. However, absorption of all bisphosphonates through the gastrointestinal tract is very poor, resulting in very low serum concentrations, casting doubt on the relevance of these observations to administration of drug *in vivo*. On the other hand, these agents do have a strong affinity for hydroxyapatite in bone, where it has been estimated that drug concentrations may approach 10^{-3}

M¹⁶. The presence of bone particles enhances the cytotoxicity of clodronate for macrophages by a factor of roughly 240 by concentrating drug at the bone surface¹⁷. The presence of subchondral bone marrow inflammation and high rates of bone turnover have now been recognized in both RA and ankylosing spondylitis (AS); it likely facilitates concentration of drug within subchondral bone to levels that could impair macrophage function^{18,19}.

An alternative experimental approach has been to compare the potency of these compounds when encapsulated in liposomes, which allows increased delivery of drug into cells, particularly endocytic cells such as macrophages. In contrast to the observations with free drug, in vivo studies with liposomal formulations showed that clodronate was more toxic than pamidronate for splenic macrophages²⁰. Further, additional studies using liposome encapsulated compounds showed that non-aminobisphosphonates inhibited, in a dose-dependent fashion, both proinflammatory cytokine and nitric oxide secretion from LPS activated macrophages, while an aminobisphosphonate, ibandronate, which is about 50 times as potent as pamidronate in assays of osteoclastic bone resorption, enhanced LPS induced secretion of IL-1β and IL-6, but did not affect TNF-α or nitric oxide secretion²¹. Intravenous administration of aminobisphosphonates to patients for the treatment of Paget's disease is associated with an acute phase response characterized by transient pyrexia, lymphopenia, elevated CRP, and an increase in circulating IL-6 and TNF- α^{22} . In contrast, this has not been observed with either etidronate or clodronate. These observations have led some to propose that bisphosphonates can generally be subdivided into proinflammatory aminobisphosphates and antiinflammatory non-aminobisphosphonates²³.

These conclusions are almost certainly an oversimplification. The acute phase response seen in vivo is primarily observed following the first intravenous infusion of aminobisphosphonate and is usually not observed following subsequent infusions, even when rechallenged several months later²⁴. There is also little data documenting the effects of chronic bisphosphonate administration on immune function in vivo. One study examined 32 patients who received 40 mg of alendronate or placebo orally for 90 days²⁵. A significant decrease of IL-1β, IL-6, and TNF-α was observed after 30 days and persisted after 90 days, accompanied by significant reductions in ESR, CRP, and swollen joint count. As for animal models of arthritis, several studies have established the antiinflammatory efficacy of both amino and non-aminobisphosphonates in the treatment of adjuvant arthritis²⁶⁻²⁸. There have been no studies directly comparing amino and non-aminobisphosphonates. With respect to collagen induced arthritis, pamidronate lacked efficacy in one study²⁹, while clodronate given in doses 5-fold greater than pamidronate modestly decreased clinical and histological signs of arthritis in another study³⁰. In one comparative study, it was shown that alendronate lacked efficacy as compared to clodronate given in substantially higher doses (> 20-fold)³¹. Even then, despite a significant decrease in the incidence and severity of the arthritis, clodronate appeared to be no different from the saline control or aminobisphosphonate treatment groups with respect to the severity of bone destruction within joints. Several reports have examined liposomal clodronate administered systemically and demonstrated amelioration of established adjuvant antigen and collagen induced arthritis^{32,33}. There have been no reports evaluating liposomal aminobisphosphonates in these animal models, although intraarticular administration of ibandronate in one study exacerbated antigen induced arthritis³⁴. On the other hand, one study showed that pamidronate prevents joint erosions in TNF-α transgenic mice and is synergistic with osteoprotegerin³⁵. One may, therefore, reasonably conclude that although there is evidence in support of their efficacy in arthritis, there is little evidence to support selection of a specific bisphosphonate(s) for longterm administration.

What conclusions can be drawn from studies in human arthritis? There have been 6 double-blind, placebocontrolled trials of bisphosphonates in RA — 4 with pamidronate, one with alendronate, and one with clodronate. In the first study with pamidronate, 40 patients were randomized to either 30 mg of pamidronate by monthly intravenous infusion or placebo for 48 weeks³⁶. No significant effects on disease activity or radiological progression were evident despite significantly reduced markers of bone resorption, although all patients had already been stabilized on a disease modifying agent, penicillamine, and this was not discontinued prior to study entry. In contrast, a second study examined the effects of a single intravenous infusion of placebo or 20 or 40 mg of pamidronate in 30 patients with active RA³⁷. At 21 days after the infusion, there was a significant clinical improvement with both doses of pamidronate and improvement in the ESR and CRP after the 40 mg dose. This same group then examined 105 RA patients randomized to either 300 mg oral pamidronate daily or placebo for 3 years³⁸. No significant treatment group difference in disease activity or radiological scores was evident. Interpretation of these data is complicated by the fact that the study was not designed to evaluate antiinflammatory efficacy but rather changes in bone mineral density; disease activity was low at baseline and improved significantly in both treatment groups. Despite this, a change in concomitant DMARD therapy was required significantly more commonly in placebo patients than in those receiving pamidronate. Maccagno, et al examined oral pamidronate 1000 mg per day in 27 patients in a one-year placebo-controlled trial³⁹. Despite more severe disease at baseline, pamidronate treated patients experienced significant improvement in disease activity and

erosion score. A small double-blinded study of 36 patients with RA, randomized to either placebo or 1600 mg of clodronate, demonstrated a significant fall in the CRP by one month and a trend towards improvement in the articular index at 24 weeks in patients who received clodronate⁴¹. In summary, different patient selection criteria and clinical trial designs, differences in therapeutic regimes, and small patient numbers do not allow any firm conclusions to be drawn, although there appears to be marginal evidence for efficacy when higher doses of pamidronate have been examined.

More intensive therapy with intravenously administered pamidronate has been examined in patients with AS refractory to nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drug therapy. A controlled, dose-response evaluation comparing 60 mg versus 10 mg given monthly for 6 months demonstrated a delayed onset of clinical efficacy that was primarily evident in those patients with axial inflammation⁴². Improvement in acute phase reactants and peripheral joint pain was not evident, although numbers were small. These findings were consistent with a previous open label study using contrast enhanced dynamic magnetic resonance imaging to evaluate inflammation within periarticular bone marrow and synovium⁴³. Amelioration of inflammation was more evident within bone marrow than in synovium. This is hardly surprising given that the half-life of bisphosphonate in peripheral blood is only about 1 hour⁴⁴. Administration on a monthly basis is, therefore, highly unlikely to ameliorate synovial inflammation. The more impressive effects observed in AS compared to RA likely reflect the higher cumulative dose of drug administered, together with the preeminence of osteitis versus synovitis in AS.

What can we conclude from the clinical studies performed to date and what does the future hold for the concept of bisphosphonates in arthritis?

- 1. Serum concentrations achieved with currently available bisphosphonates using doses typical for osteoporosis are unlikely to be associated with any clinically meaningful immune modifying effects. To expect amelioration of synovitis with orally administered drug is, therefore, not realistic.
- 2. Significant antiinflammatory effects may be observed within bone marrow, since it is likely that selective localization of drug at the sites of high bone turnover typically associated with inflammation will lead to sufficiently high concentrations capable of inducing alterations in macrophage function and/or cytotoxicity. Consequently, inflammatory arthritides where osteitis is a prominent component are more likely to be responsive to bisphosphonate therapy. This may also be worth examining in certain categories of patients with osteoarthritis⁴⁴.
- 3. No conclusions can yet be drawn regarding the potential efficacy of bisphosphonates in ameliorating structural damage in RA. The most potent aminobisphosphonates

currently available that are associated with the most profound reductions in bone markers, such as NTx, have yet to be examined in sufficiently powered controlled trials.

4. There is emerging evidence that bisphosphonates may be chondroprotective. One study has shown that bisphosphonates prevent chondrocyte apoptosis following culture with dexamethasone⁴⁵, while alendronate has been shown to inhibit active collagenase-3 (matrix metalloproteinase 13) at concentrations attainable *in vivo*⁴⁶. Clinical studies have demonstrated reduced breakdown of Type II collagen in postmenopausal women treated with either ibandronate or alendronate using a novel assay measuring urinary C-telopeptide of Type II collagen^{47,48}. We have demonstrated reductions in surrogate markers of articular cartilage degradation, such as metalloproteinases 1 and 3, in AS patients treated with pamidronate⁴⁹.

In the latter context, it should be recognized that bisphosphonates exert distinct effects on different cell types, promoting apoptosis in osteoclasts but preventing apoptosis in chondrocytes and osteoblasts⁴⁵. It may therefore be a mistake to assume that the relative antiresorptive potencies of the different agents necessarily reflect their additional biological properties on other cell types. Finally, it may also be a mistake to assume that the effects observed *in vitro* or with short term administration *in vivo* necessarily predict what might be observed during chronic administration *in vivo*.

WALTER P. MAKSYMOWYCH, MB, CHB, FRCP(UK), FRCPC, FACP,

Professor of Medicine,

Division of Rheumatology,

University of Alberta,

562 Heritage Medical Research Centre,

Edmonton, Alberta T6G 2S2, Canada.

E-mail: walter.maksymowych@ualberta.ca

Dr. Maksymowych is a Senior Scholar of the Alberta Heritage Foundation for Medical Research.

Address reprint requests to Dr. Maksymowych.

REFERENCES

Maksymowych: Editorial

- Chapurlat RD, Delmas PD, Liens D, Meunier PJ. Long-term effects of intravenous pamidronate in fibrous dysplasia of bone. J Bone Miner Res 1997;12:1746-52.
- Guis S, Pellissier JF, Arniaud D, et al. Healing of Charcot's joint by pamidronate infusion. J Rheumatol 1999;26:1843-5.
- Varenna M, Zucchi F, Ghiringhelli D, et al. Intravenous clodronate in the treatment of reflex sympathetic dystrophy syndrome. A randomized, double blind, placebo controlled study. J Rheumatol 2000:27:1477-83.
- Kong YY, Feige U, Sarosi I, et al. Activated T cells regulate bone loss and joint destruction in adjuvant arthritis through osteoprotegerin ligand. Nature 1999;402:304-9.
- Kobayashi K, Takahashi N, Jimi E, et al. Tumor necrosis factoralpha stimulates osteoclast differentiation by a mechanism independent of the ODF/RANKL-RANK interaction. J Exp Med 2000;191:275-86.
- Francis MB, Flora L, King WR. The effects of disodium ethane-1hydroxy-1, 1-diphosphonate in adjuvant induced arthritis in rats.

- Calc Tissue Res 1972;9:109-21.
- de Vries E, van der Weij JP, van der Veen CJP, et al. In Vitro effect of (3-amino-1-hydroxypropylidene)-1,1-bisphosphonic acid (APD) on the function of mononuclear phagocytes in lymphocyte proliferation. J Immunol 1982;47:157-63.
- Valleala H, Laasonen L, Koivula MK, et al. Two year, randomized, controlled trial of etidronate in RA: changes in serum aminoterminal telopeptides correlate with radiographic progression of disease.
 J Rheumatol 2003;30:468-73.
- Maksymowych WP. Bisphosphonates anti-inflammatory properties. Curr Med Chem Antiinflammatory Anti Allergic Agents 2002:1:15-28.
- Russell RGG, Croucher PI, Rogers MJ. Bisphosphonates: Pharmacology, mechanism of action, and clinical uses. Osteoporosis Int 1999;8 Supp 12:S66-S80.
- Frith JC, Monkkönen J, Russell RGG, Blackburn GM, Watts DJ, Rogers MJ. Clodronate and liposome-encapsulated clodronate are metabolized to a toxic ATP analogue, adenosine 5'-(beta, gammadichloromethylene) triphosphate, by mammalian cells in vitro. J Bone Miner Res 1997;12:1358-67.
- Luckman SP, Hughes DE, Coxon FP, Russell RGG, Rogers MJ. Nitrogen-containing bisphosphonates inhibit the mevalonate pathway and prevent post-translational prenylation of GTP-binding proteins, including Ras. J Bone Miner Res 1998;13:581-6.
- Stevenson PH, Stevenson JR. Cytotoxic and migration inhibitory effects of bisphosphonates on macrophages. Calcif Tissue Int 1986;38:227-33.
- Sansoni P, Passeri G, Fagnoni F, et al. Inhibition of antigenpresenting cell function by alendronate in vitro. J Bone Miner Res 1995;10:1719-25.
- Pennanen N, Lapinjoki S, Urti A, Monkkonen J. Effect of liposomal and free bisphosphonates on the IL-1 beta, IL-6 and TNF alpha secretion from RAW 264 cells in vitro. Pharm Res 1995;12:916-22.
- Sato M, Graser W. Effects of bisphosphonates on isolated rat osteoclasts as examined by reflected light microscopy. J Bone Miner Res 1990;5:31-40.
- Chambers TJ. Diphosphonates inhibit bone resorption by macrophages in vitro. J Pathology 1980;132:255-62.
- Schichikawa K, Tsyimoto M, Nishioka J, Nishibayashi Y, Matsumoto K. Histopathology of early sacroiliitis and enthesitis in ankylosing spondylitis. In: Ziff M, Cohen S, editors. Advances in inflammation research. Vol. 9. The spondyloarthropathies. New York: Raven Press; 1985:15-24.
- McGonagle D, Conaghan PG, O'Connor P, et al. The relationship between synovitis and bone changes in early untreated rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 1999;42:1706-11.
- 20. Van Rooijen N, Kors N. Effects of intracellular diphosphonates on cells of the mononuclear phagocyte system: in vivo effects of liposome-encapsulated diphosphonates on different macrophage subpopulations in the spleen. Calcif Tissue Int 1989;45:153-6.
- Monkkonen J, Simila J, Rogers MJ. Effects of tiludronate and ibandronate on the secretion of proinflammatory cytokines and nitric oxide from macrophages in vitro. Life Sciences 1998;62:95-102.
- Schweitzer DH, Oostendorp-Van De Ruit M, Van Der Pluijm G, Lowik CWGM, Papapoulos SE. Interleukin-6 and the acute phase response during treatment of patients with Paget's disease with the nitrogen-containing bisphosphonate dimethylaminohydroxypropylidene bisphosphonate. J Bone Miner Res 1995;10:956-62.
- Makkonen N, Salminen A, Rogers MJ, et al. Contrasting effects of alendronate and clodronate on RAW 264 macrophages: the role of a bisphosphonate metabolite. Eur J Pharm Sci 1999;8:109-118.
- Adami S, Bhalla AK, Dorizzi R, et al. The acute-phase response after bisphosphonate administration. Calcif Tissue Int 1987; 41:326-31.
- 25. Cantatore FP, Acquista CA, Pipitone V. Evaluation of bone turnover

433

Personal, non-commercial use only. The Journal of Rheumatology Copyright © 2003. All rights reserved.

- and osteoclastic cytokines in early rheumatoid arthritis treated with alendronate. J Rheumatol 1999;26:2318-23.
- Glatt M, Bray MA, Bisping M, Blattler A. Effects of diphosphonate (APD, EHDP) on inflammation and bone turnover in adjuvant arthritis. Experimentia 1983;39:681-5.
- 27. Francis MD, Hovancik K, Boyce RW. NE-58095: a diphosphonate which prevents bone erosion and preserves joint architecture in experimental arthritis. Int J Tissue React 1989;11:239-52.
- Osterman T, Kippo K, Lauren L, Hannuniemi R, Sellman R. Effect of clodronate on established adjuvant arthritis. Rheumatol Int 1994;14:139-47.
- Markusse HM, Lafeber GJM, Breedveld FC. Bisphosphonates in collagen arthritis. Rheumatol Int 1990;9:281-3.
- Osterman T, Kippo K, Lauren L, Hannuniemi R, Sellman R. Effect of clodronate on established collagen-induced arthritis in rats. Inflamm Res 1995;44:258-63.
- Nakamura M, Ando T, Abe M, Kumagai K, Endo Y. Contrast between effects of aminobisphosphonates and non-aminobisphosphonates on collagen-induced arthritis in mice. Br J Pharmacol 1996;119:205-12.
- 32. Kinne RW, Schmidt-Weber CB, Hoppe R, et al. Long-term amelioration of rat adjuvant arthritis following systemic elimination of macrophages by clodronate-containing liposomes. Arthritis Rheum 1995;38:1777-90.
- 33. Van Lent PLEM, Holthuysen AEM, Van Rooijen N, Van De Putte LBA, Van Den Berg WB. Local removal of phagocytic synovial lining cells by clodronate-liposomes decreases cartilage destruction during collagen type II arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 1998;57:408-13.
- Richards PJ, Amos N, Williams AS, Williams B. Pro-inflammatory effects of the aminobisphosphonate ibandronate in vitro and in vivo. Rheumatology 1999;38:984-91.
- Redlich K, Hayer S, Maier A, et al. Tumor necrosis factor α-mediated joint destruction is inhibited by targeting osteoclasts with osteoprotegerin. Arthritis Rheum 2002;46:785-92.
- Ralston SH, Hacking L, Willocks L, Bruce F, Pitkeathly DA. Clinical, biochemical, and radiographic effects of aminohydroxypropylidene bisphosphonate treatment in rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 1989;48:396-9.
- Eggelmeijer F, Papapoulos SE, van Paassen HC, Dukmans BAC, Breedveld FC. Clinical and biochemical response to single infusion of pamidronate in patients with active rheumatoid arthritis: A double blind placebo controlled study. J Rheumatol 1994;21:2016-20.
- Eggelmeijer F, Papapoulos SE, van Paassen HC, et al. Increased bone mass with pamidronate treatment in rheumatoid arthritis.

- Results of a three-year randomized, double-blind trial. Arthritis Rheum 1996;39:396-402.
- Maccagno A, Di Giorgio E, Roldan EJA, Caballero LE, Lloret AP. Double blind radiological assessment of continuous oral pamidronic acid in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Scand J Rheumatol 1994:23:211-4.
- England AJ, Reid DM, Capell H, Ralston SH. Effects of oral clodronate on disease activity and bone mineral density in rheumatoid arthritis. Br J Rheumatol 1996;35 Suppl 2:21.
- 41. Maksymowych WP, Jhangri GS, Fitzgerald A, et al. A six-month randomized, controlled, double-blinded, dose response comparison of intravenous pamidronate (60 mg versus 10 mg) in the treatment of NSAID-refractory ankylosing spondylitis. Arthritis Rheum 2002;46:766-82.
- Maksymowych WP, Lambert R, Jhangri GS, et al. Clinical and radiological amelioration of refractory peripheral spondyloarthritis by pulse intravenous pamidronate therapy. J Rheumatol 2001;28:144-55.
- Leyvraz S, Hess U, Flesch G, et al. Pharmacokinetics of pamidronate in patients with bone metastases. J Natl Cancer Inst 1992;84:788-92.
- Felson DT, Chiasson CE, Hill CL, et al. The association of bone marrow lesions with pain in knee osteoarthritis. Ann Intern Med 2001;134:541-9.
- Van Offel JF, Schuerwegh AJ, Bridts CH, Stevens WJ, De Clerck LS. Effect of bisphosphonates on viability, proliferation, and dexamethasone-induced apoptosis of articular cartilage chondrocytes. Ann Rheum Dis 2002;61:925-8.
- Konttinen YT, Salo T, Hanemaaijer R, et al. Collagenase-3 (MMP-13) and its activators in rheumatoid arthritis: localization in the pannus-hard tissue junction and inhibition by alendronate. Matrix Biol 1999;18:401-12.
- Lehmann HJ, Mouritzen U, Christgou S, Cloos PAC, Christiansen C. Effect of bisphosphonates on cartilage turnover assessed with a newly developed assay for type II collagen degradation products. Ann Rheum Dis 2002;61:530-3.
- Garnero P, Christgau S, Delmas PD. The bisphosphonate zoledronate decreases type II collagen breakdown in patients with Paget's disease of bone. Bone 2001;28:461-4.
- Maksymowych WP, Beaudet F, Buenviaje H, O'Brien L, Russell AS. Intravenous pamidronate therapy suppresses markers of articular cartilage degradation/turnover in patients with ankylosing spondylitis (AS) [abstract]. Arthritis Rheum 2002;46 Suppl:S432.