

**TITLE**

"Peritenon extensor tendon inflammation in Psoriatic Arthritis is an enthesitis-related lesion "

**AUTHORS**

1. Cristina Macía Villa. Rheumatologist MD. Department of Rheumatology. Hospital Universitario Severo Ochoa. Madrid. Spain.
2. Sandra Falcao. Rheumatologist MD. Department of Rheumatology. Chronic Diseases Study Center (CEDOC), NOVA Medical School, UNL; HEM. Centro Hospitalar de Lisboa Ocidental, EPE, Lisboa, Portugal.
3. Marwin Gutierrez. Rheumatologist MD. Division of Musculoskeletal and Rheumatic Disorders. Instituto Nacional de Rehabilitación. Mexico City, Mexico.
4. Julio Medina. Rheumatologist MD. Department of Rheumatology. Hospital Clínico Universitario. Valladolid. Spain.
5. Hilde Berner Hammer. Rheumatologist MD, PhD. Department of Rheumatology. Diakonhjemmet Hospital, Oslo, Norway.
6. Eugenio De Miguel. Rheumatologist MD. Department of Rheumatology. Hospital Universitario La Paz. Madrid. Spain.

**KEYWORDS**

Psoriatic arthritis, ultrasound, tendon, synovitis, enthesitis.

**CORRESPONDING AUTHOR**

Cristina Macía Villa.

Rheumatologist MD. Department of Rheumatology. Hospital Universitario Severo Ochoa. Av. de Orellana, s/n, 28911 Leganés, Madrid, Spain.

Telephone +91 481 84 50. Fax non available.

ccmacia@gmail.com

**FINANCIAL SUPPORT AND CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**

None

## ABSTRACT

### Objective

To analyse the association between enthesitis, synovitis and peritenon extensor tendon inflammation (PTI) in Psoriatic Arthritis (PsA).

### Methods

PsA patients with swelling of metacarpophalangeal joints were included. Grey scale (GS) and power Doppler (PD) were used for synovitis and PTI ultrasound identification. Madrid Sonographic Enthesis Index (MASEI) was used for enthesitis assessment. PD activity was evaluated using PD item of MASEI and PD OMERACT definition.

### Results

Synovitis had no association with enthesitis. PTI was associated with PD MASEI and PD OMERACT. Only PD OMERACT showed a positive correlation with PTI.

### Conclusions

In PsA, PTI is associated to enthesitis, on contrary to synovitis.

## INTRODUCTION

Enthesitis is a cornerstone of Psoriatic Arthritis (PsA) physiopathology. Synovitis is another landmark of PsA, considered secondary to enthesitis by some authors(1). Metacarpophalangeal joint (MCPj) swelling is a frequent finding in PsA, assumed to be caused by synovitis. However, peritenon extensor tendon inflammation (PTI) has emerged as another cause of MCPj swelling, demonstrating to be a specific feature of PsA with value in the differential diagnosis with other inflammatory diseases(2,3). Some authors consider PTI as an enthesitis-like lesion(3-6) due to the relation between the extensor tendon and the retinaculum pulley structure at MCPj(7), creating a functional enthesis with great similarities to the "*enthesis organ*" concept(5).

Ultrasound (US) is a sensitive, specific and reliable tool to evaluate structural and inflammatory changes both in enthesis(8) and synovium(9), and we have previously shown high reliability on evaluation of PTI(10). In order to improve the pathophysiological understanding of PsA, US could be used to explore the role of PTI.

As far as we know, the connection between PTI and enthesitis in PsA has not been studied. Our objective was to explore the association between both PTI and synovitis in MCPj with enthesitis in PsA patients, using the enthesis US score MASEI (Madrid Sonographic Enthesis Index)(11) as well as power Doppler (PD) MASEI and PD OMERACT (Outcome Measures in Rheumatology) definitions.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

### Study population

This is an post-hoc study of a previous publication from our group(10) where consecutive non selected PsA patients fulfilling CASPAR criteria(12) with clinical swelling of at least one of the 2nd to 5th MCPj were included. In addition to the MCPj assessment, we performed an enthesis US exam using MASEI. Clinical examination was performed by a rheumatologist before US assessment. Patients <18 years and those with other explanations than PsA for MCPj swelling were excluded. Demographic, clinical and laboratory data were collected. The study

was approved by the local ethics committee (Hospital Clínico Valladolid, PI 15-275). Informed consent was obtained from all patients according to the Declaration of Helsinki.

### **Ultrasound settings**

All US examinations were performed by an expert rheumatologist blinded to clinical data. A MyLab 70 XVG machine (Esaote S.p.A., Genoa, Italy) with a 13 MHz linear transducer was used. PD settings were: pulse repetition frequency 750 Hz, wall filter 3, persistence 4 and Doppler frequency 7.1 MHz. Colour gain was set just below the level of noise. 3-5 seconds videos were recorded both for MCPj and enthesis for posterior reliability assessments.

### **Metacarpophalangeal joint ultrasound assessment**

As previously reported(10), the dorsal aspect of 2nd to 5th MCPj of both hands were examined by US with the patient seated in front of the sonographer, with hands lying in prone position on a table. Both longitudinal and transverse scans were performed moving the transducer from proximal to distal and from radial to ulnar sides of MCPj dorsal surface. Plenty of gel was used to avoid compression. PTI was defined as a hypoechoic image surrounding the extensor digitorum tendon with or without PD signal(2,3,13), and synovitis based on the OMERACT definition(14). At least 16 videos per patient were obtained, and in each joint presence or absence of PTI and synovitis in grey scale (GS) and PD modes was scored. As previously described, reliability assessment was performed among five readers, and consensus of at least three was defined as the true result(10).

### **Enthesis ultrasound assessment**

The six entheses included in MASEI index (bilateral triceps, quadriceps, proximal and distal patellar and Achilles tendons and proximal insertion of plantar aponeurosis) and the elementary lesions included (structure, thickening, erosion, enthesophytes, PD and bursa) were evaluated in longitudinal and transverse views obtaining at least 24 videos per patient. In addition to the MASEI PD item (defined as PD signal in cortical bone profile, intratendon or bursa on the entheses insertion area), OMERACT definition for PD in entheses (PD

Downloaded on April 16, 2024 from [www.jrheum.org](http://www.jrheum.org)

signal at enthesis  $\leq 2$  mm to the cortical bone profile insertion)(15) was also evaluated as present or absent. Reliability assessment was performed among three readers, and consensus of at least two was defined as the true result.

### **Statistical analysis**

Quantitative variables are given as mean (SD). Intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) with 95% confident intervals was calculated for the reliability analysis of MASEI based on a mean-rating ( $k=3$ ), absolute-agreement, two-way mixed effect model. In order to take into account the observed low prevalence of the PD subtypes, a prevalence and bias adjusted kappa (PABAK) was used for evaluating its reliability. PTI and synovitis reliability was calculated by Cohen's Kappa test. Student's T-test for independent samples was used to compare continuous variables and  $X^2$  for qualitative variables. Correlations were calculated with Spearman's Rho test. SPSS statistical package version 20 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL) for statistical analysis and STATA version 12 (Stata, College Station, Texas, USA) for PABAK analysis were used.

## **RESULTS**

### **Patient characteristics**

Twenty-seven PsA patients were included. Clinical characteristics are summarized in Table 1.

### **Metacarpophalangeal joint ultrasound assessment**

As previously described(10), a total of 216 MCPj were evaluated clinically and by US. PTI PD was found in 18 patients (66,7%) and 38 joints (17,6%) and PTI GS in 19 patients (70,3%) and 41 joints (18,9%). Synovitis PD was found in 18 patients (66,7%) and 41 joints (18,9%) and synovitis GS in 23 patients (85,1%) and 63 joints (29,16%). Both PTI and synovitis US achieved good reliability(10).

### **Enthesis ultrasound assessment**

A total of 324 entheses were scanned by US. Mean (SD) MASEI score was 30,62 (13,89). PD MASEI was found in 59/324(18,2%) entheses and PD OMERACT in 33/324(10,2%). The inter-reader reliability achieved for MASEI was excellent

with ICC 0,918 (0,846-0,960). The inter-reader reliability of the PD subtypes was also excellent with PABAK 0,860 (0,756-0,960) for PD MASEI and 0,864 (0,759-0,962) for PD OMERACT.

### **Association and correlation between PTI, synovitis, MASEI and PD subtypes**

Results are shown in Tables 2 and 3. PD and GS synovitis were not associated with MASEI, any of its items or with PD OMERACT. However, both PD and GS PTI demonstrated significant association with PD MASEI and PD OMERACT. PTI GS also showed significant association with erosions. PD OMERACT showed a positive correlation with PTI PD and GS. PTI, both PD and GS, showed a significant association with synovitis PD (p 0.009 and p 0.037, respectively). GS synovitis had no association with PD or GS PTI (p 0.055 and p 0.334, respectively).

### **DISCUSSION**

According to the synovio-entheseal model(16), enthesitis is the initial site of inflammation in PsA, positioning synovitis as a secondary lesion. In a previous study we demonstrated that MCPj swelling in PsA has two etiopathological lesions: synovitis and PTI(10). In the present study we tried to clarify a non-previously evaluated topic: if PTI is an enthesitis-related lesion. We found that synovitis at MCPj was not associated with enthesitis. However, PTI showed a significant association with active enthesitis (PD MASEI, PD OMERACT) and erosions. The reason of evaluating PD subtypes is because we still do not have absolute agreement about which zone to restrict US entheses lesions meaning inflammation(15), but there is full agreement about PD as the main indicator of active enthesitis.

The extensor tendon at MCPj level with its stabilizing wrapping-around band system and the local sesamoid fibrocartilage is considered a functional enthesis by some authors(3–6). Our findings are in agreement with this hypothesis and with the concept of an entheseal organ(5), representing pathologic changes that extend from the enthesis to the adjacent soft tissues. Moreover, although our

study does not explore causality, our finding of relation between PTI and synovitis and between PTI and enthesitis, but not between enthesitis and synovitis, could be in agreement with the theory that the origin and epicenter of inflammation in spondyloarthritis is in the enthesis, considering synovitis as a secondary feature to enthesitis(1,17).

As far as we know, there is no evidence of association between MASEI and PTI. This is also the result of our study. A possible explanation is because of the different chronic and active lesions that make up this index, while PTI is an inflammatory lesion. On contrary, when analyzing the association and correlation of PTI with PD, being the last the most characteristic US lesion of active enthesitis(18,19) we found statistical association between them. Our results support the hypothesis of PTI being an enthesitis related lesion, mainly with its inflammatory changes.

Our study has some limitations. Sample size is low. Clinical enthesis data were not available. The reliability exercise was based on videos, not real scanning. However, a high-level machine was used, and the produced videos gave a close real time examination. In addition, the reliability exercise included only sonographers with the highest level of competence (EULAR competency assessment level 2) and long experience in evaluation and investigation in US enthesis lesions.

In conclusion, the present study finds PTI to be associated with active enthesitis as opposed to MCPj synovitis, which may support a functional association between PTI and enthesitis, and reinforces the role of PTI in PsA as an enthesis-related lesion. This possible association should be explored further in larger studies, as well at the implication of PTI on PsA treatment.

**REFERENCES**

1. McGonagle DG, Helliwell P, Veale D. Enthesitis in psoriatic disease. *Dermatology*. 2012;225:100–9.
2. Gutierrez M, Filippucci E, Salaffi F, Di Geso L, Grassi W. Differential diagnosis between rheumatoid arthritis and psoriatic arthritis: the value of ultrasound findings at metacarpophalangeal joints level. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2011;70:1111–4.
3. Zabotti A, Salvin S, Quartuccio L, De Vita S. Differentiation between early rheumatoid and early psoriatic arthritis by the ultrasonographic study of the synovio-entheseal complex of the small joints of the hands. *Clin Exp Rheumatol*. 2016;34:459–65.
4. Tan AL, Fukuba E, Halliday NA, Tanner SF, Emery P, McGonagle D. High-resolution MRI assessment of dactylitis in psoriatic arthritis shows flexor tendon pulley and sheath-related enthesitis. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2015;74:185–9.
5. Benjamin M, McGonagle D. The anatomical basis for disease localisation in seronegative spondyloarthropathy at entheses and related sites. *J Anat*. 2001;199:503–26.
6. Filippou G, Di Sabatino V, Adinolfi A, Bertoldi I, Picerno V, Biasi G, et al. No enthesitis should be overlooked when psoriatic arthritis is suspected: enthesitis of the extensor digitorum tendons. *J Rheumatol*. 2013;40:335.
7. Milz S, Putz R, Ralphs JR, Benjamin M. Fibrocartilage in the extensor tendons of the human metacarpophalangeal joints. *Anat Rec*. 1999;256:139–45.
8. De Miguel E, Muñoz-Fernández S, Castillo C, Cobo-Ibáñez T, Martín-Mola E. Diagnostic accuracy of enthesitis ultrasound in the diagnosis of early spondyloarthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2011;70:434–9.
9. Grassi W, Salaffi F, Filippucci E. Ultrasound in rheumatology. *Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol*. 2005;19:467–85.
10. C. Macía-Villa, S. Falcao, M. Gutierrez, J. Medina, H.B. Hammer, E. De Miguel. What is metacarpophalangeal joint swelling in psoriatic arthritis? Ultrasound findings and reliability assessment. *Clin Exp Rheumatol*. 2018; 14. Epub ahead of print.
11. De Miguel E, Cobo T, Muñoz-Fernández S, Naredo E, Usón J, Acebes JC, et al. Validity of enthesitis ultrasound assessment in spondyloarthropathy. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2009;68:169–74.



12. Taylor W, Gladman D, Helliwell P, Marchesoni A, Mease P, Mielants H, et al. Classification criteria for psoriatic arthritis: development of new criteria from a large international study. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2006;54:2665–73.
13. Husic R, Gretler J, Felber A, Graninger WB, Duftner C, Hermann J, et al. Disparity between ultrasound and clinical findings in psoriatic arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 2014;73:1529–36.
14. Wakefield RJ, Balint PV, Szkudlarek M, Filippucci E, Backhaus M, D'Agostino M-A, et al. Musculoskeletal ultrasound including definitions for ultrasonographic pathology. *J Rheumatol.* 2005;32:2485–7.
15. Terslev L, Naredo E, Iagnocco A, Balint PV, Wakefield RJ, Aegerter P, et al. Defining enthesitis in spondyloarthritis by ultrasound: results of a Delphi process and of a reliability reading exercise. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken).* 2014;66:741–8.
16. McGonagle D, Lories RJU, Tan AL, Benjamin M. The concept of a 'synovio-entheseal complex' and its implications for understanding joint inflammation and damage in psoriatic arthritis and beyond. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2007;56:2482–91.
17. Marzo-Ortega H, Tanner SF, Rhodes LA, Tan AL, Conaghan PG, Hensor EMA, et al. Magnetic resonance imaging in the assessment of metacarpophalangeal joint disease in early psoriatic and rheumatoid arthritis. *Scand J Rheumatol.* 2009;38:79–83.
18. D'Agostino MA, Aegerter P, Bechara K, Salliot C, Judet O, Chimenti MS, et al. How to diagnose spondyloarthritis early? Accuracy of peripheral enthesitis detection by power Doppler ultrasonography. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 2011;70:1433–40.
19. Zabotti A, Bandinelli F, Batticciotto A, Scirè CA, Iagnocco A, Sakellariou G, et al. Musculoskeletal ultrasonography for psoriatic arthritis and psoriasis patients: a systematic literature review. *Rheumatology (Oxford).* 2017;56:1518–32.

**Table 1. Clinical features of the patients.** CRP C reactive protein. ESR erythrocyte sedimentation rate. SD standard deviation. CRP, ESR and their respective DAS28 calculations were only available in 18 patients.

<b>Patients</b>	27
Men (%)	17 (63)
Women (%)	10 (37)
<b>Age (years ± SD)</b>	56 ± 11
<b>Disease duration (months ± SD)</b>	109 ± 101
<b>Type of psoriasis</b>	
First degree relative (%)	1 (3,7)
Skin psoriasis (%)	10 (37,1)
Nail psoriasis (%)	1 (3,7)
Skin and nail psoriasis (%)	15 (55,5)
<b>Type of Psoriatic Arthritis</b>	
Peripheral (%)	21 (78)
Axial and peripheral (%)	6 (22)
<b>CRP mg/l (mean ± SD)</b>	8,3 ± 8,2
<b>ESR mm/h (mean ± SD)</b>	21,9 ± 19,3
<b>DAS28 CRP (mean ± SD)</b>	3,6 ± 0,9
<b>DAS28 ESR (mean ± SD)</b>	3,9 ± 1,2

**Table 2. Association between PTI, synovitis, MASEI and PD subtype.**

Results expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation. p value < 0.05 is considered statistically significant and marked with a star.

	PTI power doppler			Synovitis power doppler			PTI grey scale			Synovitis grey scale		
	Present	Absent	p	Present	Absent	p	Present	Absent	p	Present	Absent	p
<b>MASEI</b>	32.44 $\pm$ 15.62	27 $\pm$ 9.33	0.270	32 $\pm$ 16.16	27.89 $\pm$ 7.72	0.380	33.15 $\pm$ 15.49	24.6 $\pm$ 6.43	0.054	30.78 $\pm$ 14.54	29.75 $\pm$ 11.02	0.876
<b>Structure MASEI</b>	7.27 $\pm$ 2.90	7.33 $\pm$ 2.95	0.964	7.33 $\pm$ 3	7.22 $\pm$ 2.72	0.924	7.52 $\pm$ 3.02	6.75 $\pm$ 2.54	0.505	7.26 $\pm$ 2.84	7.5 $\pm$ 3.41	0.902
<b>Thickness MASEI</b>	5.5 $\pm$ 3.34	5.66 $\pm$ 3.42	0.906	5.88 $\pm$ 3.12	4.88 $\pm$ 3.75	0.503	5.84 $\pm$ 3.57	4.87 $\pm$ 2.64	0.447	5.34 $\pm$ 3.25	6.75 $\pm$ 3.86	0.533
<b>Erosion MASEI</b>	2.83 $\pm$ 5.19	0.66 $\pm$ 2	0.133	2.66 $\pm$ 5.23	1 $\pm$ 2.12	0.253	3 $\pm$ 5.09	0 $\pm$ 0	<b>0.019*</b>	2.08 $\pm$ 4.73	2.25 $\pm$ 2.87	0.928
<b>Calcification MASEI</b>	6.44 $\pm$ 2.57	7.33 $\pm$ 3.12	0.473	6.77 $\pm$ 2.81	6.66 $\pm$ 2.73	0.923	6.68 $\pm$ 2.70	6.87 $\pm$ 2.99	0.879	6.69 $\pm$ 2.8	7 $\pm$ 2.7	0.846
<b>Bursa MASEI</b>	0.22 $\pm$ 0.54	0.55 $\pm$ 0.52	0.145	0.22 $\pm$ 0.54	0.55 $\pm$ 0.52	0.145	0.21 $\pm$ 0.53	0.62 $\pm$ 0.51	0.082	0.34 $\pm$ 0.57	0.25 $\pm$ 0.5	0.740
<b>PD MASEI</b>	8 $\pm$ 6	3.67 $\pm$ 3.28	<b>0.023*</b>	6.83 $\pm$ 6.15	6 $\pm$ 4.5	0.694	7.73 $\pm$ 5.94	3.75 $\pm$ 3.49	<b>0.041*</b>	6.91 $\pm$ 5.89	4.5 $\pm$ 3	0.249
<b>PD OMERACT</b>	1.61 $\pm$ 1.33	0.56 $\pm$ 1.01	<b>0.033*</b>	1.39 $\pm$ 1.46	1 $\pm$ 1	0.426	1.57 $\pm$ 1.30	0.5 $\pm$ 1.06	<b>0.040*</b>	1.26 $\pm$ 1.35	1.25 $\pm$ 1.25	0.988

**Table 3. Correlation between PTI, synovitis and MASEI items.** Rho is Rho Spearman value. p value < 0.05 is considered statistically significant and marked with a star.

	PTI power doppler		Synovitis power doppler		PTI grey scale		Synovitis grey scale	
	Rho	p	Rho	p	Rho	p	Rho	p
<b>MASEI</b>	+0.227	0.255	+0.115	0.567	+0.280	0.157	+0.137	0.497
<b>Structure MASEI</b>	+0.044	0.826	+0.052	0.798	+0.138	0.493	-0.032	0.873
<b>Thickness MASEI</b>	+0.095	0.637	+0.247	0.213	+0.179	0.371	+0.202	0.311
<b>Erosion MASEI</b>	+0.147	0.465	+0.094	0.640	+0.220	0.271	-0.045	0.823
<b>Calcification MASEI</b>	-0.079	0.694	+0.204	0.307	-0.006	0.978	+0.176	0.381
<b>Bursa MASEI</b>	-0.467	<b>0.014*</b>	-0.405	<b>0.036*</b>	-0.540	<b>0.040*</b>	-0.042	0.835
<b>PD MASEI</b>	+0.357	0.067	-0.083	0.681	+0.329	0.094	-0.041	0.841
<b>PD OMERACT</b>	+0.441	<b>0.021*</b>	+0.045	0.825	+0.423	<b>0.028*</b>	-0.032	0.874