

Approach to Membranous Lupus Nephritis: A Survey of Pediatric Nephrologists and Pediatric Rheumatologists

Alexis Boneparth, Suhas M. Radhakrishna, Larry A. Greenbaum, Eric Yen, Daryl M. Okamura, Jennifer C. Cooper, Sherene Mason, Deborah M. Levy, Sangeeta D. Sule, Paul T. Jensen, Cagri Yildirim-Toruner, Stacy P. Ardoin, and Scott E. Wenderfer

ABSTRACT. Objective. To describe treatment practices for childhood pure membranous lupus nephritis (MLN).

Methods. Survey study of Childhood Arthritis and Rheumatology Research Alliance and American Society of Pediatric Nephrology members.

Results. There were 117 respondents who completed the survey (60 pediatric nephrologists, 57 pediatric rheumatologists). Steroids and nonsteroid immunosuppression (NSI) were routinely used by the majority for MLN. Mycophenolate mofetil was the favored initial NSI. Nephrologists used steroids (60% vs 93%) and NSI (53% vs 87%) less often than did rheumatologists for MLN without nephrotic syndrome (NS).

Conclusion. Pediatric rheumatologists and nephrologists both recommend steroids and NSI for children with MLN, with or without NS. (J Rheumatol First Release September 15 2017; doi:10.3899/jrheum.170502)

Key Indexing Terms:

LUPUS NEPHRITIS

PEDIATRIC SYSTEMIC LUPUS ERYTHEMATOSUS
PHYSICIAN PRACTICE PATTERNS

Membranous lupus nephritis (MLN), defined as Class V LN in the International Society of Nephrology/Renal Pathology Society classification, is found in 8–30% of patients with pediatric LN^{1,2,3,4,5,6,7}. MLN can manifest in isolation (pure MLN) or in combination with proliferative glomerulonephritis (mixed MLN). In a recent large, multicenter childhood-onset systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) cohort, 56% of patients with MLN had pure MLN (74 patients with pure MLN out of 132 patients with MLN)⁷.

LN treatment guidelines have been issued by the American College of Rheumatology (ACR), Asian Lupus Nephritis Network (ALNN), European League Against Rheumatism and European Renal Association–European Dialysis and Transplant Association (EULAR/ERA-EDTA), Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes Glomerulonephritis Work Group (KDIGO), and Systemic Autoimmune Disease Group of the Spanish Society of Internal Medicine and Spanish Society of Nephrology (GEAS)^{8,9,10,11,12}. These

Columbia University Medical Center, New York, New York; Rady Children's Hospital, University of California San Diego, San Diego; University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles; University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, California; Children's Healthcare of Atlanta, Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia; Seattle Children's Hospital Research Institute, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington; Connecticut Children's Hospital, University of Connecticut, Hartford, Connecticut; Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland; Nationwide Children's Hospital, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio; Texas Children's Hospital, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, Texas, USA; Hospital for Sick Children, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada.

A. Boneparth, MD, Assistant Professor of Pediatrics, Pediatric Rheumatologist, Columbia University Medical Center; S.M. Radhakrishna, MD, Assistant Clinical Professor of Pediatrics, Pediatric Rheumatologist, Rady Children's Hospital, University of California San Diego; L.A. Greenbaum, MD, PhD, Marcus Professor of Pediatrics, Pediatric Nephrologist, Children's Healthcare of Atlanta, Emory University; E. Yen, MD, Pediatric Rheumatology Fellow, University of California Los Angeles; D.M. Okamura, MD, Associate Professor of Pediatrics, Pediatric Nephrologist, Seattle Children's Hospital Research

Institute, University of Washington; J.C. Cooper, MD, PharmD, Pediatric Rheumatology Fellow, University of California San Francisco; S. Mason, MD, MBA, Assistant Professor of Pediatrics, Pediatric Nephrologist, Connecticut Children's Hospital, University of Connecticut; D.M. Levy, MD, MS, FRCPC, Assistant Professor of Pediatrics, Pediatric Rheumatologist, Hospital for Sick Children, University of Toronto; S.D. Sule, MD, Associate Professor of Pediatrics, Pediatric Nephrologist, Johns Hopkins University; P.T. Jensen, MD, Pediatric and Adult Rheumatology Fellow, Nationwide Children's Hospital, The Ohio State University; C. Yildirim-Toruner, MD, Assistant Professor of Pediatrics, Pediatric Rheumatologist, Nationwide Children's Hospital, The Ohio State University; S.P. Ardoin, MD, Associate Professor of Medicine and Pediatrics, Pediatric Rheumatologist, Nationwide Children's Hospital, The Ohio State University; S.E. Wenderfer, MD, PhD, Assistant Professor of Pediatrics, Pediatric Nephrologist, Texas Children's Hospital, Baylor College of Medicine.

Address correspondence to Dr. A. Boneparth, Division of Pediatric Allergy, Immunology, and Rheumatology, Columbia University Medical Center, 622 West 168th St., New York, New York 10032, USA.

E-mail: ab4459@cumc.columbia.edu

Accepted for publication July 14, 2017.

Personal non-commercial use only. The Journal of Rheumatology Copyright © 2017. All rights reserved.

guidelines are not consistent in their recommendations for pure MLN (Table 1)^{8,9,10,11,12}. All but the GEAS guidelines distinguish between patients with nephrotic versus non-nephrotic proteinuria. The ACR and EULAR/ERA-EDTA do not specifically address immunosuppressive treatment of patients with non-nephrotic proteinuria, while the KDIGO guidelines suggest prednisone and/or nonsteroid immunosuppressive (NSI) therapy only for those patients with nephrotic-range proteinuria. Choice of NSI also varies among the guidelines, with the ACR and EULAR/ERA-EDTA preferring mycophenolate (either mycophenolate mofetil or mycophenolic acid), while the ALNN, KDIGO, and GEAS do not express a preference among their recommendations for mycophenolate, azathioprine, cyclophosphamide, or calcineurin inhibitors.

Recent data from childhood-onset SLE patients with pure MLN in a large North American registry revealed a high percentage of patients who were exposed to systemic steroids (96%) and NSI (95%)⁷. This suggests that pediatric rheumatologists and nephrologists may be recommending steroids and additional immunosuppressive medications for the majority of patients with pure MLN, regardless of whether nephrotic-range proteinuria is present. The objective of our study was to describe treatment practices for pediatric rheumatologists and pediatric nephrologists who care for patients with pure MLN. We used physician surveys with hypothetical patient scenarios to assess respondents' approach to immunosuppression and renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system (RAAS) inhibition.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The Childhood Arthritis and Rheumatology Research Alliance (CARRA) and the American Society of Pediatric Nephrology (ASPN). CARRA is an organization composed of pediatric rheumatologists and researchers in North America that conducts collaborative basic science, clinical and translational research to prevent, treat, and cure pediatric rheumatic diseases. The ASPN is a society of pediatric nephrologists that promotes optimal care for children with kidney disease through advocacy, education, and research.

Survey. We sent an online survey to 272 members of CARRA and 507 members of ASPN through SurveyMonkey in November 2015. The survey included questions relating to use of steroids, NSI, and RAAS-blocking medications. Two hypothetical cases were used to frame questions: one case was a patient with pure MLN with nephrotic syndrome (NS), and the other was a patient with pure MLN without NS where proteinuria was described as < 1 g/day. The patient with NS was described as having "hypoalbuminemia, hyperlipidemia, and an abnormal urinalysis (5 g/day of protein, 5–10 red blood cells/high power field)." No significant extrarenal disease was present in these cases. Maintenance therapy was defined as starting 4 to 6 months after start of initial treatment. This survey and the data analysis plan for this study were approved by the Institutional Review Board of Nationwide Children's Hospital (IRB15-00843). Descriptive statistics (percentages) were used to summarize categorical survey responses.

RESULTS

A total of 117 physicians responded to the survey, with 57 pediatric rheumatologists and 60 pediatric nephrologists. Response rates were 21% for pediatric rheumatologists and 12% for pediatric nephrologists, with a combined response rate of 15%. Respondents were evenly distributed across the range of years in practice, number of pediatric SLE patients at their center, and number of new patients with LN per year at their center (data not shown).

Table 1. Guidelines for immunosuppressive treatment of pure membranous lupus nephritis in adults.

Guideline Organization	Publication Yr	Location	Induction	Treat Non-nephrotic Proteinuria	Maintenance
ACR ⁹	2012	USA	MMF + oral GC. Alt: CYC + GC pulse followed by oral GC	Not addressed	MMF or AZA
ALNN ¹²	2013	Asia	GC + MMF, AZA, MMF, or CNI	Yes, if deteriorating renal function or "active serology"	Not addressed
EULAR/ERA-EDTA ⁸	2012	Europe	MMF + oral GC. Alt: CYC, CNI, RTX	Not addressed	MMF + oral GC. Alt: AZA, CNI
GEAS ¹¹	2012	Spain	Oral GC + CYC, CNI, MMF, or AZA	No distinction for non-nephrotic range proteinuria	Oral GC + MMF, CNI, or AZA
KDIGO ¹⁰	2012	International	GC + CYC, CNI, MMF, or AZA	As dictated by extrarenal manifestation	Not addressed

ACR: American College of Rheumatology; ALNN: Asian Lupus Nephritis Network; EULAR/ERA-EDTA: European League Against Rheumatism/European Renal Association–European Dialysis and Transplant Association; GEAS: Systemic Autoimmune Disease Working Group of the Spanish Society of Internal Medicine and Spanish Society of Nephrology; KDIGO: Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes; MMF: mycophenolate; GC: glucocorticoid (oral, prednisone; pulse, methylprednisolone); Alt: alternative; CYC: cyclophosphamide; AZA: azathioprine; CNI: calcineurin inhibitors; RTX: rituximab.

Use of steroids for treatment of pure MLN. Most pediatric rheumatologists (98%) and pediatric nephrologists (91%) agreed that the initial treatment of pure MLN with NS should include systemic steroids (Table 2). More rheumatologists (93%) than nephrologists (60%) recommended steroids for first-line treatment of pure MLN without NS. For patients with pure MLN with NS who responded to initial therapy, similar proportions of rheumatologists (53%) and nephrologists (49%) would continue oral low-dose maintenance steroids. Somewhat fewer respondents (rheumatologists 30%, nephrologists 33%) would continue oral low-dose maintenance steroids for patients with pure MLN without NS who responded to initial therapy.

Use of NSI. For patients with pure MLN with NS, 98% of pediatric rheumatologists and 83% of pediatric nephrologists recommended NSI (Table 2). For patients with pure MLN without NS, 87% of rheumatologists and 53% of nephrologists recommended NSI. Mycophenolate was the most frequently chosen first-line NSI for both respondent groups and both cases, with some respondents choosing more than 1 agent as a first-line choice. For patients with pure MLN with NS who are nonresponsive to initial first-line therapy, 98% of rheumatologists and 96% of nephrologists recommended a range of other agents for NSI, without a clear preference (Table 3). For patients with pure MLN without NS who are nonresponsive to first-line therapy, 92% of rheumatologists and 91% of nephrologists recommended NSI, again without a clear preference among the alternative agents.

Use of renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system inhibition. Most

pediatric nephrologists (93%) would use either angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor therapy or angiotensin-receptor blockers for pure MLN. Of pediatric rheumatologists, 54% defer to nephrology for decisions regarding RAAS blockers.

DISCUSSION

Our study provides new insight into the treatment practices of pediatric subspecialists who care for patients with pure MLN. Previously reported rates of steroid treatment for pediatric pure MLN have been > 90% for patients with and without NS^{4,7}. Our survey data provide additional support for this observation, with most pediatric rheumatologists and pediatric nephrologists reporting systemic steroid treatment for MLN, regardless of the degree of proteinuria. Recent surveys have documented high levels of collaboration between pediatric nephrologists and rheumatologists when caring for patients with LN, and it may be that co-management of these patients increases the likelihood that at least 1 specialist will opt for steroid treatment¹³.

In a recent large cohort study, NSI treatment was reported for 95% of pediatric patients who had pure MLN, again suggesting that decisions to treat with these medications may not be dependent on degree of proteinuria⁷. Our data suggest that physicians frequently recommend NSI treatment for MLN without nephrotic-range proteinuria, with 87% of pediatric rheumatologists and 53% of pediatric nephrologists supporting such treatment. Notably, the hypothetical cases did not have any extrarenal disease that would warrant such

Table 2. First-line initial immunosuppression for pure MLN. Values are n (%).

Variables	Steroids	MMF	CNI	CYC	RTX	AZA	None
MLN with NS							
Pediatric rheumatologists, n = 57	56 (98)	44 (77)	15 (26)	4 (7)	4 (7)	1 (2)	1 (2)
Pediatric nephrologists, n = 60	55 (91)	30 (50)	9 (15)	9 (15)	6 (10)	1 (2)	10 (17)
MLN without NS							
Pediatric rheumatologists, n = 52	52 (93)	39 (75)	10 (19)	2 (4)	1 (2)	2 (4)	7 (13)
Pediatric nephrologists, n = 55	34 (60)	21 (38)	5 (9)	2 (4)	3 (5)	1 (2)	26 (47)

MLN: membranous lupus nephritis; NS: nephrotic syndrome; MMF: mycophenolate; CNI: calcineurin inhibitors; CYC: cyclophosphamide; RTX: rituximab; AZA: azathioprine.

Table 3. Immunosuppression for patients with MLN nonresponsive to first-line treatment. Values are n (%).

Variables	CYC	MMF	AZA	CNI	RTX	None
MLN with NS						
Pediatric rheumatologists, n = 56	18 (32)	14 (25)	6 (11)	17 (30)	17 (30)	1 (2)
Pediatric nephrologists, n = 56	9 (16)	16 (29)	0 (0)	15 (27)	18 (32)	2 (4)
MLN without NS						
Pediatric rheumatologists, n = 52	10 (22)	22 (45)	7 (12)	16 (31)	13 (25)	2 (8)
Pediatric nephrologists, n = 55	6 (11)	26 (47)	1 (2)	11 (20)	9 (16)	5 (9)

MLN: membranous lupus nephritis; NS: nephrotic syndrome; CYC: cyclophosphamide; MMF: mycophenolate; AZA: azathioprine; CNI: calcineurin inhibitors; RTX: rituximab.

treatment, supporting the idea that physicians are recommending immunosuppression solely for MLN treatment. Whether degree of proteinuria should guide treatment decisions for MLN is unknown. Several investigators have reported that degree of proteinuria at baseline is not a significant predictor of renal function deterioration in adults, although nephrotic syndrome has been linked to increased risk for renal failure^{14,15,16}. In pure adult-onset MLN, 1 randomized controlled trial has been done and 2 other trials have included pure class V nephritis, and these patients have been analyzed separately^{17,18}. The patients included with pure MLN in these studies mostly had ≥ 2 g/day of proteinuria. Further study is needed to assess outcomes for pediatric patients with pure MLN.

Limitations of our study include the possibility of selection bias or reporting bias. Our response rates were low, but not unexpectedly so for a survey distributed through e-mail and without a paid incentive for participating subspecialist physicians¹⁹. By surveying providers participating in either CARRA or ASPN, we may have biased the results toward particular types of respondents. Another possible limitation of our study, which arises from its design as a case-based survey, is the risk of sentinel effect, when respondents' knowledge that they are being evaluated creates bias in their responses. Despite these possible limitations, the frequent use of steroids and NSI medications reported by respondents is consistent with recently reported retrospective data from the CARRA Registry, suggesting that the respondents in our study are representative of the larger population of North American pediatric rheumatologists⁷.

Our study was not designed with the goal of comparing pediatric rheumatologists to pediatric nephrologists. However, it is notable that nephrologists tend to choose NSI and steroids less frequently than do rheumatologists, and they seem willing to wait longer, on average, before determining a patient to be nonresponsive to initial therapy (data not shown). Our data raise the possibility that rheumatologists and nephrologists may differ in their approach to patients with pediatric LN. Given the fact that nephrologists and rheumatologists frequently cooperate in caring for these patients, it is not clear that differences between providers will ultimately lead to substantial differences in treatment. Further descriptive study will be required to elucidate these trends.

The results of our study highlight the empirical character of treatment decisions for pediatric MLN. Future observational studies are needed to better describe treatment practices and renal outcomes for patients with MLN. Collaboration between pediatric rheumatologists and pediatric nephrologists will be important in formulating standardized approaches to treatment that will allow for comparative effectiveness and longterm outcome studies.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors thank the participating members of the Childhood Arthritis and Rheumatology Research Alliance (CARRA) and The American Society of

Pediatric Nephrology. The authors acknowledge CARRA and the ongoing Arthritis Foundation support of CARRA.

REFERENCES

1. Yang LY, Chen WP, Lin CY. Lupus nephritis in children—a review of 167 patients. *Pediatrics* 1994;94:335-40.
2. Ruggiero B, Vivarelli M, Gianviti A, Benetti E, Peruzzi L, Barbano G, et al. Lupus nephritis in children and adolescents: results of the Italian Collaborative Study. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2013; 28:1487-96.
3. Nathanson S, Salomon R, Ranchin B, Macher MA, Lavocat MP, Krier MJ, et al. Prognosis of lupus membranous nephropathy in children. *Pediatr Nephrol* 2006;21:1113-6.
4. Hugle B, Silverman ED, Tyrrell PN, Harvey EA, Hebert D, Benseler SM. Presentation and outcome of paediatric membranous non-proliferative lupus nephritis. *Pediatr Nephrol* 2015;30:113-21.
5. Askenazi D, Myones B, Kamdar A, Warren R, Perez M, De Guzman M, et al. Outcomes of children with proliferative lupus nephritis: the role of protocol renal biopsy. *Pediatr Nephrol* 2007;22:981-6.
6. Lau KK, Jones DP, Hastings MC, Gaber LW, Ault BH. Short-term outcomes of severe lupus nephritis in a cohort of predominantly African-American children. *Pediatr Nephrol* 2006;21:655-62.
7. Boneparth A, Wenderfer SE, Moorthy LN, Radhakrishna SM, Sagcal-Gironella AC, von Scheven E; CARRA Registry investigators. Clinical characteristics of children with membranous lupus nephritis: the Childhood Arthritis and Rheumatology Research Alliance Legacy Registry. *Lupus* 2017;26:299-306.
8. Bertias GK, Tektonidou M, Amoura Z, Aringer M, Bajema I, Berden JH, et al; European League Against Rheumatism and European Renal Association-European Dialysis and Transplant Association. Joint European League Against Rheumatism and European Renal Association-European Dialysis and Transplant Association (EULAR/ERA-EDTA) recommendations for the management of adult and paediatric lupus nephritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2012;71:1771-82.
9. Hahn BH, McMahon MA, Wilkinson A, Wallace WD, Daikh DI, Fitzgerald JD, et al; American College of Rheumatology. American College of Rheumatology guidelines for screening, treatment, and management of lupus nephritis. *Arthritis Care Res* 2012;64:797-808.
10. Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcomes. KDIGO clinical practice guideline for glomerulonephritis. *Kidney Int Suppl* 2012;2:139-274.
11. Ruiz Irastorza G, Espinosa G, Frutos MA, Jimenez Alonso J, Praga M, Pallares L, et al; Spanish Society of Internal Medicine (SEMI); Spanish Society of Nephrology (SEN). Diagnosis and treatment of lupus nephritis. Consensus document from the systemic auto-immune disease group (GEAS) of the Spanish Society of Internal Medicine (SEMI) and Spanish Society of Nephrology (S.E.N.). *Nefrologia* 2012;32 Suppl 1:1-35.
12. Mok CC, Yap DY, Navarra SV, Liu ZH, Zhao MH, Lu L, et al; Asian Lupus Nephritis Network (ALNN). Overview of lupus nephritis management guidelines and perspective from Asia. *Int J Rheum Dis* 2013;16:625-36.
13. Wenderfer SE, Lane JC, Shatat IF, von Scheven E, Ruth NM. Practice patterns and approach to kidney biopsy in lupus: a collaboration of the Midwest Pediatric Nephrology Consortium and the Childhood Arthritis and Rheumatology Research Alliance. *Pediatr Rheumatol Online J* 2015;13:26.
14. Mercadal L, Montcel ST, Nochy D, Queffeuilou G, Piette JC, Isnard-Bagnis C, et al. Factors affecting outcome and prognosis in membranous lupus nephropathy. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2002;17:1771-8.
15. Sloan RP, Schwartz MM, Korbet SM, Borok RZ. Long-term outcome in systemic lupus erythematosus membranous

- glomerulonephritis. Lupus Nephritis Collaborative Study Group. J Am Soc Nephrol 1996;7:299-305.
16. Mok CC, Ying KY, Lau CS, Yim CW, Ng WL, Wong WS, et al. Treatment of pure membranous lupus nephropathy with prednisone and azathioprine: an open-label trial. Am J Kidney Dis 2004;43:269-76.
17. Austin HA 3rd, Illei GG, Braun MJ, Balow JE. Randomized, controlled trial of prednisone, cyclophosphamide, and cyclosporine in lupus membranous nephropathy. J Am Soc Nephrol 2009; 20:901-11.
18. Radhakrishnan J, Moutzouris DA, Ginzler EM, Solomons N, Siempos II, Appel GB. Mycophenolate mofetil and intravenous cyclophosphamide are similar as induction therapy for class V lupus nephritis. Kidney Int 2010;77:152-60.
19. McLeod CC, Klabunde CN, Willis GB, Stark D. Health care provider surveys in the United States, 2000-2010: a review. Eval Health Prof 2013;36:106-26.