Increased Body Mass Index in Ankylosing Spondylitis Is Associated with Greater Burden of Symptoms and Poor Perceptions of the Benefits of Exercise

LAURA DURCAN, FIONA WILSON, RICHARD CONWAY, GAYE CUNNANE, and FINBAR D. O'SHEA

ABSTRACT. Objective. Increased body mass index (BMI) in patients with ankylosing spondylitis (AS) is associated with a greater burden of symptoms and poor perceptions of the benefits of exercise. In AS, the effect of obesity on disease characteristics and exercise perceptions is unknown. We evaluated the prevalence of obesity in AS, to assess the attitudes of patients toward exercise and to evaluate the effect of obesity on symptoms and disease activity.

> Methods. Demographic data and disease characteristics were collected from 46 patients with AS. Disease activity, symptomatology, and functional disability were examined using standard AS questionnaires. BMI was calculated. Comorbidity was analyzed using the Charlson Comorbidity Index. Patients' attitudes toward exercise were assessed using the Exercise Benefits and Barriers Scale (EBBS). We compared the disease characteristics, perceptions regarding exercise, and functional limitations in those who were overweight to those who had a normal BMI.

> Results. The mean BMI in the group was 27.4; 67.5% of subjects were overweight or obese. There was a statistically significant difference between those who were overweight and those with a normal BMI regarding their perceptions of exercise (EBBS 124.7 vs 136.6, respectively), functional limitation (Bath AS Functional Index 4.7 vs 2.5, Health Assessment Questionnaire 0.88 vs 0.26), and disease activity (Bath AS Disease Activity Index 4.8 vs 2.9). There was no difference between the groups in terms of their comorbid conditions or other demographic variables.

> Conclusion. The majority of patients in this AS cohort were overweight. They had a greater burden of symptoms, worse perceptions regarding the benefits of exercise, and enhanced awareness of their barriers to exercising. This is of particular concern in a disease where exercise plays a crucial role. (J Rheumatol First Release Oct 15 2012; doi:10.3899/jrheum.120595)

Key Indexing Terms: ANKYLOSING SPONDYLITIS DISEASE CONTROL

OBESITY

EXERCISE BODY MASS INDEX

Ankylosing spondylitis (AS) is a chronic inflammatory arthritis that primarily affects the axial skeleton and is characterized by pain, stiffness, and reduced spinal mobility. These lead to limitations in physical functioning and impaired quality of life¹. Physical activity is a central component in the management of AS² and has been shown to increase mobility, decrease disability, and improve quality of life^{3,4,5}. The Assessment of Spondylo-Arthritis International Society and the European League Against Rheumatism (ASAS/EULAR) recommendations for the management of AS state that management requires a

From the Department of Rheumatology, St. James's Hospital, Dublin; and Trinity College, Dublin, Ireland.

L. Durcan, MB, BCh, BAO, Department of Rheumatology, St. James's Hospital; F. Wilson, BSc, MSc, PhD, Trinity College; R. Conway, MB, Department of Rheumatology, St. James's Hospital; G. Cunnane, PhD, Department of Rheumatology, St. James's Hospital, Trinity College; F.D. O'Shea, MB, BCh, BAO, Department of Rheumatology, St. James's

Address correspondence to Dr. F.D. O'Shea, Rheumatology Day Centre, St. James's Hospital, Dublin 8, Ireland. E-mail: Foshea@stjames.ie Accepted for publication August 21, 2012.

combination of nonpharmacological and pharmacological treatments². Nonpharmacological treatment of AS should include regular self-directed exercise. There is a growing body of evidence to support the importance of exercise in the management of AS. Despite this, rates of regular participation in exercise remain low, ranging from 18% to 47.5% depending on the study 6,7,8 .

Obesity in the general population is associated with an increase in all-cause mortality 9,10,11, accelerated cardiovascular risk11, impaired functional capacity12, and an increased rate of malignancy, diabetes¹³ and depression¹⁴. In rheumatoid arthritis (RA), increased adiposity is protective against radiological progression^{15,16} and in some studies has been shown to promote longevity¹⁷; however, it is also associated with impaired quality of life¹⁸. In AS the prevalence of obesity and its relationship to disease-specific features are not known. Our aim was to identify the prevalence of a raised body mass index (BMI) in our patients with AS, to look at their degree of comorbidity and examine the disease-specific features in those who are normal and overweight or obese.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Forty-six patients with AS according to the modified New York criteria¹⁹ attending the rheumatology outpatient clinics in a large teaching hospital were recruited for study. In Ireland AS is not managed in the community; these patients would have been initially referred for investigation of back pain rather than for management of severe disease.

Demographic data collected included age, sex, and smoking status (current, ex-smoker, nonsmoker). A full review of all available patient records was performed by a single investigator. Comorbid conditions, bone mineral density measured by dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (femoral neck rather than spinal T score), and occupational status (employed, unemployed, retired) were recorded.

Disease characteristics were investigated, and included year of diagnosis, age at diagnosis, and medication. Disease activity was examined using the Bath AS Disease Activity Index (BASDAI), Bath AS Functional Index (BASFI), patient global score, Health Assessment Questionnaire (HAQ), and total back and nocturnal back scores. The presence and type of extraarticular disease were noted.

BMI was recorded in the usual manner and classified according to the World Health Organization classification as either below weight, normal weight, overweight, or obese class I, II, or III²⁰.

Comorbidity. Comorbid conditions were scored using a modified Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI)^{21,22,23}. This was included to account for the role additional illnesses may play in changing attitudes toward exercise. The CCI was initially developed for predicting mortality in a cohort of patients with breast cancer and assigns a weight to each comorbid condition according to the risk of mortality. It has since been used to assess the degree of comorbidity in a wide variety of conditions such as hypertension, RA^{24,25}, and diabetes^{22,23}. In the development phase of the index, mortality for each disease considered was converted to a relative risk of death within 12 months. A weight was then assigned to each condition based on the relative risk. The CCI can then be further adapted to account for increasing age. Relative risk was calculated to increase by 2.4 for each additional decade of life. To allow for this, 1 point can be added to the CCI score for each decade of life over the age of 50 years.

From a practical perspective, the following are assigned a score of 1: myocardial infarction, congestive heart failure, peripheral vascular disease, cerebrovascular disease, dementia, chronic pulmonary disease, rheumatological disease, peptic ulcer disease, mild liver disease, and diabetes without complication. Given a score of 2 are diabetes with chronic complications, hemiplegia, renal disease, nonmetastatic solid tumor, leukemia, and lymphoma. Given a score of 3 is moderate to severe liver disease. Metastatic solid tumor and AIDS are given a score of 6. For our analyses we also used the age-adjusted Charlson Index (CCIa). For the CCIa the cumulative score is calculated to include the sum total of all comorbid conditions and number of decades over 5.

Attitudes toward exercise. To assess the patient's perception of exercise we used the Exercise Benefits and Barriers Scale (EBBS)²⁶. This is a wellvalidated questionnaire originally developed in healthy individuals. It has been used in many rheumatological conditions including AS to assess an individual's perceptions concerning the benefits of and barriers to exercise⁶. It has not, however, been formally validated in AS. The EBBS questionnaire consists of 29 benefits items in 5 categories. These are physical performance, preventive health, psychological outlook, social interaction, and life enhancement. There are 14 barriers items in 3 categories: physical exertion, time expenditure, and exercise environment. Individuals rate their agreement to each perceived benefit and barrier item on a Likert scale consisting of 4 answer options from strongly disagree to strongly agree. The possible range of scores on the questionnaire as a whole is 43-172, and higher scores indicate a more positive perception of exercise. For the barriers scale the range is 14-56, higher scores indicating a greater perception of barriers to exercise. For this analysis we used the combined benefits and barriers scale, giving their overall perception of exercise, and also noted a separate score for the barriers component. Fatigue was measured using the Fatigue Severity Scale^{27,28}, a self-report

questionnaire used extensively in rheumatological conditions. Pain and stiffness were quantified using visual analog scales (VASP, VASS, respectively) with a total possible score of 100.

The Statistical Package for Social Sciences version 18.0 was used (SPSS Inc.). Patients were grouped according to whether they were normal weight or obese or overweight. Chi-square testing was used to compare categorical variables between the groups and Student's t test for analysis of continuous variables. Significance was set at $\rho = 0.05$.

Ethical approval for the study was granted by the St. James's Hospital ethics committee.

RESULTS

Forty-six patients were included in our analysis. The patient demographic data and disease characteristics are shown in Table 1.

The mean age of the group was 45.1 years and it included 35 males (76.1%). Twelve (26.1%) were current smokers and 5 (10.9%) were ex-smokers. Twenty-four (52.2%) were employed, 26 (34.7%) were either unemployed or retired, and employment status was unknown in 6 (13%) individuals. Regarding bone density, the mean T score measured at the hip was –1.47 (SD 1.46). The mean BMI in the group was 27.4 (SD 4.0). Fifteen (32.6%) individuals had a normal BMI, 17 (37%) were in the overweight range, and 14 (30.5%) were obese (Table 1).

Disease characteristics. The mean disease duration was 12.8 (SD 10.87) years. Thirty-two individuals (69.6%) were being prescribed biologic therapy. The mean HAQ in the group was 0.73 (SD 0.67), the mean EBBS was 128.7 (SD 13.5), and the mean barriers score was 29.4 (SD 5.57). Nocturnal back pain score was 3.7 (SD 2.7) and the mean total back pain score was 4.2 (SD 2.5). The patient global score measured an average of 4.3 (SD 2.7). The mean BASDAI was 4.3 (SD 2.4) and the BASFI was 4.1 (SD 2.9). VAS for pain and stiffness had mean scores of 31.6 (SD 28.0) and 40.4 (SD 28.7), respectively (Table 2).

Comorbidity. There was a history of uveitis in 10 (21.7%) and inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) in 8 (17.4%) subjects. The mean CCI was 1.33 (SD 1.05), and adjusted for age this increased to 1.59 (SD 1.29). Forty patients

Table 1. Patient demographic data.

Characteristic	N (%)	Mean (SD)		
Male	35 (76.1)			
Age, yrs		45.1 (range 24-69)		
Disease duration, yrs		12.9 (10.9)		
Current smoker	12 (26.1)			
Ex-smoker	5 (10.9)			
Body mass index, kg/m ²		27.4 (4.0)		
Normal weight, BMI < 25	15 (32.6)			
Overweight, BMI 25-30	17 (37)			
Obese, BMI > 30	14 (30.5)			
Bone mineral density, T score		-1.47 (1.46)		
In current employment	24 (52.2)	, ,		

BMI: body mass index.

Table 2. Burden of symptomatology and comorbidity in the entire ankylosing spondylitis cohort.

Measure	N (%)	Mean (SD)
HAQ		0.73 (0.67)
EBBS		128.7 (13.5)
Nocturnal back pain		3.7 (2.7)
Total back pain		4.2 (2.5)
Patient global score		4.3 (2.7)
BASDAI		4.3 (2.4)
BASFI		4.1 (2.9)
VAS pain		31.6 (28.0)
VAS stiffness		40.4 (28.7)
Fatigue Severity Scale		44.1 (26.9)
Uveitis/iritis	10 (21.7)	
Inflammatory bowel disease	8 (17.4)	
Charlson Index		1.33 (1.05)
Age-adjusted Charlson Index		1.59 (1.29)

HAQ: Health Assessment Questionnaire; EBBS: Exercise Benefits and Barriers Score; BASDAI: Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index; BASFI: Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Functional Index; VAS: visual analog scale.

(87%) assessed had no significant comorbid illness as measured by the CCI.

Comparison of categorical variables. Comparison of those with a normal BMI to those who were overweight or obese revealed no significant differences between the groups in terms of sex, smoking history, the prevalence of IBD or uveitis, treatment with biologic therapy, or employment status using chi-square testing (Table 3).

Table 3. Categorical variables for patients with normal body mass index (BMI) compared to those overweight or obese.

Variable	Normal BMI (< 25) N	Overweight/obese (> 25) N	Chi-square	Significance	
Sex					
Female	3	8			
Male	11	24	0.68	0.79	
Uveitis					
No	11	25			
Yes	3	7	0.01	0.97	
IBD					
No	10	28			
Yes	4	4	1.75	0.19	
Smoker					
No	8	12			
Yes	4	8			
Ex-smoke	r 0	5	2.48	0.23	
Biologic					
No	2	12			
Yes	12	20	2.48	0.12	
Employed					
No	2	12			
Yes	9	15			
Retired	0	2	4.250	0.23	

IBD: inflammatory bowel disease.

Durcan, et al: Overweight and AS

Comparison of continuous variables (Table 4). Further analysis revealed significant differences between the groups in terms of HAQ scores; the mean HAQ in the overweight or obese group was 0.88, and it was 0.28 in the normal-weight subjects (p = 0.002). On analysis of the patients' perceptions of exercise and the perceived benefits, the overweight and obese patients had a mean score of 124.7; the mean score in those with normal BMI was 136.6 (p = 0.006), reflecting a significantly more positive perception of exercise among those with a normal BMI. In terms of the perceived barriers to exercise there was also a significant difference between the 2 groups. In those of normal weight the mean barriers score was 26.5, whereas it was 31.1 in those who were overweight or obese (p = 0.008). The patient

Table 4. Comparison of disease characteristics, attitudes toward exercise, and comorbidity for patients with normal body mass index (BMI) compared to those overweight or obese.

BMI	N	Mean	SD	Significance
HAQ				
Overweight or obese	31	0.88	0.65	
Normal	14	0.26	0.27	0.002*
EBBS				
Overweight or obese	29	124.7	12.3	
Normal	14	136.6	13.3	0.006*
Barriers				
Overweight or obese	29	31.1	5.44	
Normal	14	26.5	4.62	*800.0
Nocturnal back pain				
Overweight or obese	31	4.0	2.7	
Normal	14	2.9	2.5	0.189
Total back pain				
Overweight or obese	31	4.5	2.5	
Normal	14	3.3	2.2	0.113
Patient global score				
Overweight or obese	31	4.9	2.6	
Normal	14	2.7	2.3	*800.0
BASDAI				
Overweight or obese	31	4.8	2.3	
Normal	14	2.9	2.2	0.010*
BASFI				
Overweight or obese	30	4.7	2.9	
Normal	14	2.5	1.8	0.004*
VAS pain				
Overweight or obese	31	34.0	27.9	
Normal	14	23.0	25.9	0.209
VAS stiffness				
Overweight or obese	31	43.9	30.5	
Normal	14	29.9	21.0	0.081
Fatigue Severity Scale				
Overweight or obese	29	45.8	25.6	
Normal	14	41.9	30.9	0.681
Age-adjusted Charlson Ind	ex			
Overweight or obese	31	0.55	1.15	
Normal	14	0.71	1.64	0.735

^{*} Statistically significant. HAQ: Health Assessment Questionnaire; EBBS: Exercise Benefits and Barriers Scale; BASDAI: Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index; VAS: visual analog scale; BASFI: Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Functional Index.

3

global scores were also significantly higher in those of normal weight (p = 0.008) as were the BASDAI (p = 0.01) and BASFI (p = 0.004). There was a nonsignificant difference between the groups in their nocturnal back pain scores, total back pain, VAS for stiffness and pain, and fatigue severity index, although each of these had a higher mean score in the overweight or obese patients. In terms of their comorbidity, there was no significant difference between the groups in terms of CCI and CCIa scores.

DISCUSSION

The mean BMI in this group of patients with AS was 27.4, which is in the overweight or pre-obese range; 67.5% were overweight or obese and 30.5% of the population studied were obese. This is higher than the proportion of obesity in the general population. In the Irish population 14% were obese when last assessed³¹; however, it is impossible to make any assumptions regarding the entire AS population from our study. It is, however, a concern that such a large proportion of our patients are overweight. In agreement with the findings in the general population, those who are overweight or obese have a greater degree of functional limitation^{9,32}. This is demonstrated by the statistically significant difference in the HAQ scores between the 2 groups and in the differences in the BASFI measurements, both of which measure functional limitation.

Our overweight and obese patients had fewer positive perceptions about the benefits of exercise and a greater awareness of the barriers to exercise. Being overweight itself is often considered a barrier to exercise³³. This variable is not specifically examined in the EBBS, which may limit its usefulness as a tool in assessing the influence of overweight and obesity on attitudes and practices related to exercising. The overweight and obese patients had a lower sense of overall well-being as demonstrated by the patient global results with increased disease activity as measured by the BASDAI.

There was an equal incidence of comorbid conditions in the 2 groups as measured by the CCI and CCIa. The populations studied were not different in terms of the burden of other chronic diseases that might have limited their ability to exercise. It is notable, however, that no score is given to IBD in this tool: 17.4% of our patient population had IBD. This is a common comorbidity in AS and this perhaps limits the usefulness of the CCI in AS.

Fatigue is frequently found to be a barrier to exercising in rheumatic diseases. In AS in particular fatigue is commonly cited as a debilitating symptom and for this reason is included in the BASDAI. The Fatigue Severity Scale was used in this study to further assess the role of fatigue in preventing our patients from exercising, and in assessing whether fatigue played a larger role in those who were overweight or obese. We found that there was no difference between the groups in terms of their fatigue.

The current obesity epidemic is a global health concern because of the negative effects of increased adiposity on health, quality of life, and longevity. In AS the high prevalence of obesity is a particular concern, given the increased standardized mortality rate demonstrated in this group, attributed in large part to accelerated cardiovascular risk^{34,35}. Increased physical activity is associated with longevity and decreased all-cause mortality in the normal population³⁶. In patients with AS, exercise is central to maintenance of function and management of symptoms; it is associated with improved spinal mobility and functional capacity, and decreased pain and stiffness^{5,37}. The role of exercise in AS lies both in the management of diseasespecific variables and in controlling cardiovascular risk. The influence of obesity on cardiometabolic risks in these patients is unknown.

Our study is limited by the small numbers of subjects and our reliance on self-report measures. Formal quantification of physical activity would have strengthened the study.

Our study demonstrated that our patients with AS are for the most part overweight or obese. Those who are overweight or obese have fewer positive perceptions about the benefits of exercise and increased awareness of barriers to exercise, compared to patients with a normal BMI. In particular, those who were overweight had higher self-reported disease activity scores, worse patient global scores, and greater functional limitation. From a practical point of view the issue of increased BMI should be addressed, explaining to the patient that there is an increased burden of disability and functional limitation associated with adiposity. With improvements in pharmacotherapy our AS patients have a lower burden of symptomatology; however, this should not diminish the central role of regular exercise in disease management. The obesity epidemic is a global health concern; its effect on the management and prognosis of rheumatic diseases requires further investigation.

REFERENCES

- Ward MM, Weisman MH, Davis JC, Reveille JD. Risk factors for functional limitations in patients with long-standing ankylosing spondylitis. Arthritis Rheum 2005;53:710-7.
- Zochling J, van der Heijde D, Burgos-Vargas R, Collantes E, Davis JC, Dijkmans B, et al. ASAS/EULAR recommendations for the management of ankylosing spondylitis. Ann Rheum Dis 2006;65:442-52.
- Durmus D, Alayli G, Cil E, Canturk F. Effects of a home-based exercise program on quality of life, fatigue, and depression in patients with ankylosing spondylitis. Rheumatol Int 2009;29:673-7.
- Dagfinrud H, Halvorsen S, Vøllestad NK, Niedermann K, Kvien TK, Hagen KB. Exercise programs in trials for patients with ankylosing spondylitis: Do they really have the potential for effectiveness? Arthritis Care Res 2011;63:597-603.
- Zochling J, van der Heijde D, Dougados M, Braun J. Current evidence for the management of ankylosing spondylitis: A systematic literature review for the ASAS/EULAR management recommendations in ankylosing spondylitis. Ann Rheum Dis 2006;65:423-32.

- Passalent LA, Soever LJ, O'Shea FD, Inman RD. Exercise in ankylosing spondylitis: discrepancies between recommendations and reality. J Rheumatol 2010;37:835-41.
- Sundström B, Ekergård H, Sundelin G. Exercise habits among patients with ankylosing spondylitis. A questionnaire based survey in the County of Västerbotten, Sweden. Scand J Rheumatol 2002;31:163-7.
- Falkenbach A. Disability motivates patients with ankylosing spondylitis for more frequent physical exercise. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2003;84:382-3.
- Adams KF, Schatzkin A, Harris TB, Kipnis V, Mouw T, Ballard-Barbash R, et al. Overweight, obesity, and mortality in a large prospective cohort of persons 50 to 71 years old. N Engl J Med 2006;355:763-78.
- Calle EE, Rodriguez C, Walker-Thurmond K, Thun MJ.
 Overweight, obesity, and mortality from cancer in a prospectively studied cohort of U.S. adults. N Engl J Med 2003;348:1625-38.
- 11. McTigue K, Kuller L. Cardiovascular risk factors, mortality, and overweight. JAMA 2008;299:1260-1; author reply 1261.
- Sui X, LaMonte MJ, Laditka JN, Hardin JW, Chase N, Hooker SP, et al. Cardiorespiratory fitness and adiposity as mortality predictors in older adults. JAMA 2007;298:2507-16.
- Mokdad AH, Ford ES, Bowman BA, Dietz WH, Vinicor F, Bales VS, et al. Prevalence of obesity, diabetes, and obesity-related health risk factors, 2001. JAMA 2003;289:76-9.
- Zhao G, Ford ES, Li C, Tsai J, Dhingra S, Balluz LS. Waist circumference, abdominal obesity, and depression among overweight and obese U.S. adults: National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2005-2006. BMC Psychiatry 2011;11:130.
- Baker JF, George M, Baker DG, Toedter G, Von Feldt JM, Leonard MB. Associations between body mass, radiographic joint damage, adipokines and risk factors for bone loss in rheumatoid arthritis. Rheumatology 2011;50:2100-7.
- van der Helm-van Mil AH, van der Kooij SM, Allaart CF, Toes RE, Huizinga TW. A high body mass index has a protective effect on the amount of joint destruction in small joints in early rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 2008:67:769-74.
- Escalante A, Haas RW, del Rincón I. Paradoxical effect of body mass index on survival in rheumatoid arthritis: Role of comorbidity and systemic inflammation. Arch Intern Med 2005;165:1624-9.
- García-Poma A, Segami MI, Mora CS, Ugarte MF, Terrazas HN, Rhor EA, et al. Obesity is independently associated with impaired quality of life in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Clin Rheumatol 2007;26:1831-5.
- van der Linden S, Valkenburg HA, Cats A. Evaluation of diagnostic criteria for ankylosing spondylitis. A proposal for modification of the New York Criteria. Arthritis Rheum 1984;27:361-8.
- WHO MONICA Project: Risk factors. Int J Epidemiol 1989;18 Suppl:S46-55.

- Charlson ME, Pompei P, Ales KL, MacKenzie CR. A new method of classifying prognostic comorbidity in longitudinal studies: Development and validation. J Chronic Dis 1987;40:373-83.
- Charlson M, Szatrowski TP, Peterson J, Gold J. Validation of a combined comorbidity index. J Clin Epidemiol 1994;47:1245-51.
- de Groot V, Beckerman H, Lankhorst GJ, Bouter LM. How to measure comorbidity. A critical review of available methods. J Clin Epidemiol 2003;56:221-9.
- Radner H, Smolen JS, Aletaha D. Impact of comorbidity on physical function in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 2010;69:536-41.
- Navarro-Cano G, Del Rincón I, Pogosian S, Roldán JF, Escalante A. Association of mortality with disease severity in rheumatoid arthritis, independent of comorbidity. Arthritis Rheum 2003:48:2425-33.
- Sechrist KR, Walker SN, Pender NJ. Development and psychometric evaluation of the Exercise Benefits/Barriers Scale. Res Nurs Health 1987;10:357-65.
- Krupp LB, LaRocca NG, Muir-Nash J, Steinberg AD. The Fatigue Severity Scale. Application to patients with multiple sclerosis and systemic lupus erythematosus. Arch Neurol 1989;46:1121-3.
- Wheaton L, Pope J. The minimally important difference for patient-reported outcomes in spondyloarthropathies including pain, fatigue, sleep, and Health Assessment Questionnaire. J Rheumatol 2010;37:816-22.
- Poobalan AS, Aucott LS, Ahmed S, Smith WC. Analysis of the UK recommendations on obesity based on a proposed implementation framework. BMC Public Health 2010;10:17.
- Statistics on obesity, physical activity and diet: England, February 2009. The Information Centre for Health and Social Care. London: National Health Service: 2009.
- Morgan K, McGee H, Watson D, Perry I, Barry M, Shelley E, et al. SLAN 2007: Survey of lifestyle, attitudes & nutrition in Ireland. Main report. Dublin: Department of Health and Children; 2008.
- 32. Alley DE, Chang VW. The changing relationship of obesity and disability, 1988-2004. JAMA 2007;298:2020-7.
- 33. Ball K, Crawford D, Owen N. Too fat to exercise? Obesity as a barrier to physical activity. Aust NZ J Public Health 2000;24:331-3.
- Boonen A, van der Linden SM. The burden of ankylosing spondylitis. J Rheumatol Suppl. 2006 Sep;78:4-11.
- Zochling J, Braun J. Mortality in rheumatoid arthritis and ankylosing spondylitis. Clin Exp Rheumatol 2009;27(4 Suppl 55):S127-30.
- Paffenbarger RS, Hyde RT, Wing AL, Hsieh CC. Physical activity, all-cause mortality, and longevity of college alumni. N Engl J Med 1986;314:605-13.
- Dagfinrud H, Kvien TK, Hagen KB. Physiotherapy interventions for ankylosing spondylitis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2008;1:CD002822.