Agreement with Guidelines from a Large Database for Management of Systemic Sclerosis: Results from the Canadian Scleroderma Research Group

JANET POPE, SARAH HARDING, SARIT KHIMDAS, ASHLEY BONNER, the Canadian Scleroderma Research Group, and MURRAY BARON

ABSTRACT. Objective. We determined congruence with published guidelines from the European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR)/EULAR Scleroderma Trials and Research group, for systemic sclerosis (SSc) investigations and treatment practices within the Canadian Scleroderma Research Group (CSRG).
 Methods. Investigations and medication use for SSc complications were obtained from records of patients with SSc in the CSRG to determine adherence to guidelines for patients enrolled before and after the guidelines were published.

Results. The CSRG database of 1253 patients had 992 patients with SSc enrolled before publication of the guidelines and 261 after. For pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH) treatment, there were no differences in use before and after the guidelines, yet annual echocardiograms for PAH screening were done in 95% of patients enrolled before the guidelines and in only 86% of those enrolled after (p < 0.0001), and fewer followup echocardiograms were done 1 year later in the latter group (88% vs 59%). No differences were found for the frequency of PAH-specific treatment; 60% had ever used calcium channel blockers for Raynaud's phenomenon, with no differences in the groups before and after the guidelines. But the use of phosphodiesterase type 5 inhibitors (which does not have guidelines) was increased in the after-guidelines group. Proton pump inhibitors were used in > 80% with gastroesophageal reflux disease before and after the guidelines. One-quarter with gastrointestinal symptoms were taking prokinetic drugs. For those with past SSc renal crisis, use of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors was not different before and after the guidelines. For early diffuse SSc < 2 years, ever-use of methotrexate was similar (one-quarter of each group); and for symptomatic interstitial lung disease, 19% had ever used cyclophosphamide before the guidelines and 9% after (p = nonsignificant). CSRG practices were generally comparable to recently published guidelines; however, use of iloprost and bosentan was low for digital ulcers because these drugs are not approved for use in Canada. Conclusion. There did not seem to be an increase in adherence to recommendations once the guidelines were published. For many guidelines, 25% to 40% of patients who would qualify received the recommended treatment. (J Rheumatol First Release Jan 15 2012; doi:10.3899/jrheum.110121)

Key Indexing Terms: SYSTEMIC SCLEROSIS GUIDELINES CANADIAN SCLERODERMA RESEARCH GROUP

EULAR

EUSTAR ADHERENCE

Systemic sclerosis (SSc) is a rare connective tissue disease characterized by inflammation and fibrosis of the skin, vascular abnormalities, and variable involvement of organs including the kidneys, gastrointestinal (GI) tract, lungs, and heart^{1.2}. SSc is divided into limited and diffuse disease types primari-

From the Department of Medicine, University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario; Department of Mathematics and Statistics, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario; and Department of Medicine, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada.

J. Pope, MD, MPH, FRCPC; S. Harding, BHSc; S. Khimdas, BSc, Department of Medicine, University of Western Ontario; A. Bonner, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, McMaster University; M. Baron, MD, FRCPC, Department of Medicine, McGill University.

Address correspondence to Dr. J. Pope, St. Joseph's Health Care, 268 Grosvenor Street, London, Ontario N6A 4V2, Canada. E-mail: janet.pope@sjhc.london.on.ca Accepted for publication October 3, 2011. ly according to the amount of skin affected. In limited SSc, skin changes are restricted to the areas distal to the elbows and knees and superior to the clavicles³. Diffuse cutaneous SSc (dcSSc) is progressive, has skin involvement proximal to the knees and elbows including the trunk, and is more likely to be associated with the development of renal crisis, cardiomyopathy and pulmonary fibrosis^{2,4}. Raynaud's phenomenon (RP) and its complications, pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH), and GI problems may develop in both subtypes⁵.

Currently, there is some evidence to support treatment and management for particular manifestations^{1,6,7,8}. The selection of therapeutic agents for the treatment of SSc-associated RP and its complications, interstitial lung disease (ILD), or PAH is supported by several metaanalyses and randomized trials⁹. For manifestations including gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), small bowel overgrowth, or delayed gastric empty-

ing, various treatments are available⁹. The appropriate management for other organ involvement, such as cardiovascular disease, remains largely unconfirmed⁹. Recommendations such as performing echocardiograms annually have been made by the World Health Organization and routine screening has resulted in earlier detection of PAH, which should improve longterm outcomes¹⁰. Thus standardized management in SSc is essential for detection of complications that are better managed if detected earlier. However, heterogeneous clinical presentation has resulted in highly variable investigatory and treatment practices¹¹. Until recently, no widely accepted clinical guidelines for the treatment of SSc have existed in Canada or elsewhere¹¹. The European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR) and the EULAR Scleroderma Trials and Research (EUSTAR) group have generated 14 evidence-based and consensus-derived treatment recommendations¹². These guidelines addressed specific problems: SScrelated digital vasculopathy [RP, digital ulcers (DU)], SSc-PAH, SSc-related skin involvement, SSc-ILD, SSc renal crisis (SRC), and SSc-related GI disease. The final 14 recommendations are summarized in Table 1. Within the Canadian Scleroderma Research Group (CSRG), a group of rheumatologists from 15 Canadian centers who contribute to a national registry, no treatment algorithm exists for any organ involvement. Although select investigations such as chest radiography, echocardiograms, and pulmonary function tests (PFT), as well as some laboratory tests, are standardized and performed annually, additional testing and treatments are left to the discretion of the individual clinician.

The investigation and treatment practices currently followed by CSRG physicians were studied to determine how similar these practices are to the guidelines published by EULAR/EUSTAR¹².

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The CSRG annually collects prospective clinical and demographic data about adult patients with SSc. All the patients have a diagnosis of SSc as confirmed by a rheumatologist. The CSRG database from April 2011 was used, dividing data for patients enrolled before and after June 2009, when the SSc guidelines were published. Patients enrolled before June 2009 had their last visit recorded on or before this date, and patients enrolled after June 2009 had their visits recorded up to April 2011. Use of medications was "ever used" unless stated otherwise (current use). Medication use was determined by the denominator of patients at risk to be receiving the medication. For instance, patients who did not have GERD were not at risk for use of proton pump inhibitors (PPI), and similarly if ILD was absent, they were not considered for treatment of ILD. The agreement with the EULAR/EUSTAR guidelines was determined for the frequency and percentage of patients receiving specific investigations and treatment options for RP, DU, skin involvement in early dcSSc (and in a separate analysis above a certain skin score), GI involvement (GERD, small bowel overgrowth, pseudoobstruction, delayed gastric emptying, and dysphagia), renal crisis, PAH, and ILD. Use was documented for chest radiographs, high-resolution computed tomography of the chest (HRCT chest), PFT, and echocardiograms.

The physician recorded the presence of RP, DU (ever, active, or healed), inflammatory arthritis, inflammatory myositis, and a history of renal crisis. The modified Rodnan skin score (mRSS) was used as an assessment of skin involvement⁶. Standardized forms completed annually helped to determine

the presence of various symptoms and complications. To assess GI involvement, patients answered yes/no to a series of questions involving dysphagia, GERD abdominal bloating, and the need for antibiotics for diarrhea. The only way to make a diagnosis of PAH is to perform right heart catheterization, but we did not record the results of these tests (if performed) in the database, so a surrogate measure was used. Suspected pulmonary hypertension (PH) was defined as an estimated systolic pulmonary artery pressure (PAP) > 45 mm Hg (PAP was measured using the Doppler flow measurement of the tricuspid regurgitation jet on echocardiography). For the purposes of our study, suspected PH was considered as possibly requiring treatment if the patient was in New York Heart Association (NYHA) class III or IV. ILD was considered present if an HRCT lung was interpreted by an experienced radiologist as showing ILD or, in the case where no HRCT was performed, if either (1) a chest radiograph was reported as showing either increased interstitial markings (not thought to be due to congestive heart failure) or fibrosis, and/or (2) a study physician reported findings indicative of ILD on physical examination. ILD potentially requiring treatment was defined as reduced lung volumes (DLCO < 70% or corrected DLCO < 70% and total lung capacity < 70% and forced vital capacity < 70%) in addition to being classified as NYHA class II, III, or IV. A subset of patients had HRCT of the lungs based on the decision of the treating physician, and the proportion with HRCT evidence of ILD/pulmonary fibrosis who received treatment with cyclophosphamide and other immune suppressives was compared.

To assess adherence to guidelines and to determine whether the guideline publication may have had any effect on management, we assessed treatment and the frequency of ordering selected investigations before and after the guideline publication in June 2009.

Descriptive statistics were used to summarize the baseline characteristics of the patients. Chi-squared tests, Yates' chi-square tests, Fisher's exact tests, and Mann-Whitney U tests were used as appropriate. P values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant. The analyses were performed with SAS v.9.2 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA).

RESULTS

Data from 1253 patients within the CSRG database were analyzed. Baseline characteristics are presented in Table 2. There were 86% women; mean \pm SEM age was 55.3 \pm 12.2 years before June 2009 and 56.2 \pm 11.9 years after June 2009. There were no significant differences in disease duration (11 years, defined as time since onset of first non-RP symptoms), age, sex, SSc subsets, RP, GI symptoms, and PH before and after June 2009. The number of DU, SRC, some cases of ILD, and the skin score were higher in the earlier group. The prevalence of various abnormalities related to the EULAR recommendations is shown for the cohorts whose baseline visits were before or after June 2009 (Table 2).

Table 3 shows the treatments ever used for various manifestations before and after the guideline publication. The frequency of only a few treatments changed. More phosphodiesterase type 5 inhibitors were used after 2009 in patients with RP (which is not in the guidelines but has some clinical trial data), and use of methotrexate (MTX) in early dcSSc was similar (but numerically higher in the preguidelines group if considering dcSSc for up to 5 years, the same if disease duration < 2 years). We did not find other treatment differences between the 2 groups.

Table 4 shows the investigations that were ordered. Surprisingly, most were ordered slightly less frequently after June 2009.

Personal non-commercial use only. The Journal of Rheumatology Copyright © 2012. All rights reserved.

The Journal of Rheumatology 2012; 39:3; doi:10.3899/jrheum.110121

Table 1. EULAR guidelines and CSRG compatibility.

EULAR Guideline	Medication I	Percentage of All CSRG Patients, n = 1253	Percentage of CSRG Patients I Prior to June 2009, n = 992		р
Raynaud's phenomenon (RP)					
1. Calcium channel blockers (CCB) should be first-line	CCB	60.0	60.9	56.5	NS
treatment for SSc-related RP, and intravenous iloprost should be considered for severe SSc-related RP	Iloprost	1.3	1.7	0.0	NS
Digital ulcers (DU), active 2. Intravenous prostanoids (particularly IV iloprost) should be considered for treatment of active DU	Iloprost	4.0	4.7	0.0	NS
3. Bosentan should be considered in treatment of diffuse SSc patients with multiple DU, after failure of CCB and usually, prostanoid therapy	Bosentan	12.0*	13.0*	0.0*	NS
Pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH) 4. Bosentan should be strongly considered in treatment of SSc-related PAH	Bosentan	10.3	12.4	0.0	NS
5. Sitaxsentan** may be considered in treatment of SSc-related PA	H Sitaxsentan	3.5	2.1	10.5	NS
6. Sildenafil may be considered in treatment of SSc-related PAH	PDE 5 inhibitors	3.5	2.1	10.5	NS
7. Intravenous epoprostenol should be considered for treatment of severe SSc-related PAH	Epoprostenol	7.8	8.3	5.3	NS
Pulmonary hypertension (NYHA ≥ Class 3) ^{††} 4. Bosentan should be strongly considered in treatment of SSc-related PAH	Bosentan	15.7	17.4	6.3	NS
5. Sitaxsentan** may be considered in treatment of SSc-related PA	H Sitaxsentan	3.9	2.3	12.5	NS
6. Sildenafil may be considered in treatment of SSc-related PAH	PDE5 inhibitors	3.9	2.3	12.5	NS
7. IV epoprostenol should be considered for treatment of severe SSc-related PAH	Epoprostenol	6.9	8.1	0.0	NS
 Skin involvement Methotrexate (MTX) may be considered in treatment of skin involvement in early diffuse SSc Description of the state of	、 、	27.8*	28.4*	25.0*	NS
Percentage with early diffuse SSc taking MTX (< 2 yrs' duration Percentage with early diffuse SSc taking MTX (< 5 yrs' duration		33.1*	35.3*	22.2*	NS
Current mRSS > 10 and ever-use of MTX	MTX	32.4*	33.9*	24.0*	NS
9. CYC should be considered in treatment of SSc-related ILD DLCO < 70% and TLC < 70% and FVC < 70% and short of breath	CYC	15.3	16.3	10.3	NS
9. CYC should be considered in treatment of SSc-related ILD Renal crisis	CYC	16.6	19.1	8.8	NS
10. Angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors should be used in treatment of scleroderma renal crisis	ACE inhibitors	83.7	86.7	50.0	NS
Gastrointestinal involvement 12. Proton pump inhibitors (PPI) should be used for prevention of SSc-related GERD, esophageal ulcers, and strictures	PPI (GERD)	85.3	86.2	82.1	NS
13. Prokinetic agents should be used for management of	Promotility agents (GERD) 23.3	23.4	23.1	NS
SSc-related symptomatic motility disturbances (dysphagia,	Promotility agents (delayed		41.9	42.2	NS
GERD, delayed gastric emptying, bloating, pseudoobstruction, etc.)	gastric emptying) Promotility agents	44.7	50.0	22.2	NS
	(pseudoobstruction)				
14. In treatment of SSc-related malabsorption, if due to bacterial	Promotility agents (dysphag Antibiotics (small bowel	ia) 26.7 4.5 [#]	25.3	31.3	NS
overgrowth, rotating antibiotics is appropriate	overgrowth) Current use Ever used	14.2#			

* Percentage of patients on select medications with the diffuse SSc subset. [†] CSRG definition of interstitial lung disease (ILD) is a positive HRCT lung with ILD or abnormal chest radiograph with interstitial markings (not thought to be due to congestive heart failure) or fibrosis, and/or findings of ILD on physical examination. ** Sitaxsentan has been removed from the market. ^{††} CSRG definition of suspected pulmonary hypertension is pulmonary arterial pressure (PAP) measured using the Doppler flow measurement of the tricuspid regurgitation jet on echocardiography and an estimated systolic pulmonary artery pressure > 45 mm Hg. For the subset analysis, only NYHA class > III were included. [#] Number of patients with small bowel overgrowth is unknown, but 4.5% received antibiotics for this indication. NS: not significant; CSRG: Canadian Scleroderma Research Group; EULAR: European League Against Rheumatism; SSc: systemic sclerosis; IV: intravenous; GERD: gastroesophageal reflux disease; NYHA: New York Heart Association; TLC: total lung capacity; FVC: forced vital capacity; ILD: interstitial lung disease; HRCT: high-resolution computed tomography; CYC: cyclophosphamide; PDE5: phosphodiesterase type 5.

Table 2.	CSRG patient	baseline c	characteristics.	All data	are n (%)	unless	otherwise	indicated.
----------	--------------	------------	------------------	----------	-----------	--------	-----------	------------

Characteristic	All Patients	Patients Before June 2009	Patients After June 2009	Comparing Patients Before and After June 2009, p
Total patients	1253	992	261	_
Age, yrs, mean \pm SEM	55.45 ± 12.15	55.3 ± 12.2	56.2 ± 11.9	0.1922
Women	1080 (86.2)	854 (86.1)	226 (86.6)	0.8345
Disease duration, yrs, mean ± SEM	10.95 ± 9.50	10.9 ± 9.3	11.2 ± 10.2	0.7318
Disease subsets				0.0752
Diffuse disease	459 (37.4)	376 (38.6)	83 (32.6)	
Limited or Sine disease	770 (62.7)	598 (61.4)	172 (67.5)	
Raynaud's phenomenon	1198 (97.6)	952 (97.6)	246 (97.6)	0.9837
Digital ulcers ever	632 (51.7)	524 (53.9)	108 (43.2)	0.0026
Active digital ulcers	99 (8.0)	86 (8.2)	13 (5.1)	0.0484
Healed digital ulcers	378 (30.7)	318 (32.6)	60 (23.4)	0.0042
Scleroderma renal crisis	50 (4.1)	46 (4.7)	4 (1.6)	0.0221
mRSS, mean ± SEM	9.86 ± 9.50	10.3 ± 9.6	8.2 ± 8.9	0.0001
Gastrointestinal symptoms				
GERD	1036 (83.4)	824 (83.6)	212 (82.5)	0.6790
Pseudoobstruction	47 (3.8)	38 (3.9)	9 (3.5)	0.7889
Delayed gastric emptying	584 (47.0)	468 (47.5)	116 (45.1)	0.5054
Dysphagia	258 (24.5)	194 (24.3)	64 (25.0)	0.8157
Pulmonary hypertension (PH) by CSRG* definition	117 (11.2)	98 (11.8)	19 (8.9)	0.2320
PH (NYHA ≥ Class III)	102 (8.3)	86 (8.9)	16 (6.3)	0.1803
Patients with a previous CT scan indicating lung fibrosis	304 (31.0)	249 (32.3)	55 (26.2)	0.0878
Evidence of ILD on previous chest radiograph	249 (21.4)	206 (22.3)	43 (18.1)	0.1608
Velcro rales	302 (26.1)	250 (27.2)	52 (21.6)	0.0750
ILD by CSRG definition** (any ILD)	415 (34.2)	347 (36.0)	68 (27.3)	0.0100
HRCT abnormal for ILD/pulmonary fibrosis	65 (20.8)	54 (20.5)	11 (22.5)	0.7519
DLCO or corrected DLCO < 70% and TLC and FVC < 70% and short of breath	140 (11.2)	106 (10.7)	34 (13.3)	0.2854

* CSRG definition of suspected pulmonary hypertension is pulmonary arterial pressure (PAP) measured using the Doppler flow measurement of the tricuspid regurgitation jet on echocardiography and an estimated systolic PAP > 45 mm Hg. For the subset analysis, only New York Heart Association (NYHA) \geq III were included. ** CSRG definition of ILD is a positive HRCT lung with ILD or abnormal chest radiograph with interstitial markings (not thought to be due to congestive heart failure) or fibrosis, and/or findings of ILD on physical examination. CSRG: Canadian Scleroderma Research Group; mRSS: modified Rodnan skin score; GI: gastrointestinal; GERD: gastroesophageal reflux disease; NYHA: New York Heart Association; CT: computed tomography; ILD: interstitial lung disease; HRCT: high-resolution computed tomography; TLC: total lung capacity; FVC: forced vital capacity.

Table 1 demonstrates the agreement with EULAR/ EUSTAR recommendations. There was no difference in the 2 cohorts (enrolled before guidelines vs after). Sixty percent of CSRG patients with RP ever received calcium channel blockers. Although only 1.3% of patients with RP were receiving iloprost, severity of RP could not be determined in our analysis and thus comparability with the EULAR guideline could not be directly assessed. Also, iloprost is not approved for use in Canada and thus was not easy to obtain. The recommendation that intravenous prostanoids (particularly iloprost) be considered for the healing of active ulcers was thus not routine in the CSRG. In the CSRG, 12% of patients with dcSSc and a history of DU were treated with bosentan. There is no approval in Canada for use of bosentan in the prevention of DU.

CSRG medication practices mostly seemed to be in keeping with recommendations for PAH treatment. Not all the patients with our definition of PH received treatment, because some did not have PAH proven by right heart catheterization and some may have had too much ILD to qualify for coverage of PAH treatment. Others may have had PH but not PAH. One-quarter to one-third with early diffuse skin involvement received MTX. This is concordant with the recommendations. Cyclophosphamide was prescribed for about 15% of patients with ILD and use was not different before and after the guidelines. Most (84%) but not all patients with SRC were ever treated with angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors and only 63% were currently treated with ACE inhibitors for previous SRC.

The recommendations state that PPI should be used for prevention of SSc-related GERD, esophageal ulcers, and strictures. PPI were used for 85% of patients with GERD. Promotility agents were used in one-quarter of patients with GERD and in > 40% if delayed gastric emptying symptoms were present, and in one-quarter of those with dysphagia. Current antibiotic use was 4.5% for presumed small bowel overgrowth and ever-use was 14.2%. However, we do not know how many patients actually had small bowel overgrowth because this was not asked of the treating physicians.

Table 3. CSRG patient medications (ever used unless otherwise stated).

Raynaud's phenomenon Calcium channel blockers Iloprost PDE5 inhibitors Skin involvement in early diffuse SSc Methotrexate use for diffuse SSc only, < 2 yrs' duration Methotrexate use for diffuse SSc only, < 5 yrs' duration Skin involvement (mRSS > 10) Corticosteroids D-penicillamine Methotrexate Cyclophosphamide Skin involvement (mRSS > 20) Corticosteroids D-penicillamine Methotrexate Cyclophosphamide Skin involvement (mRSS > 20) Corticosteroids D-penicillamine Methotrexate Cyclophosphamide Digital ulcers Calcium channel blocker Iloprost Bosentan	n = 992, Frequency (%) 952 578 (60.9) 16 (1.7) 17 (1.8) 21 (28.4) 48 (35.3) 347 149 (43.1) 74 (21.4) 105 (30.4) 37 (10.7) 141 63 (45.0) 32 (22.9) 53 (37.9) 16 (11.4) 524 369 (70.7) 15 (2.9) 28 (5.4) 86	n = 261, Frequency (%) 246 139 (56.5) 0 (0.0) 13 (5.3) 4 (25.0) 6 (22.2) 62 20 (32.3) 11 (17.7) 17 (27.4) 6 (9.7) 25 5 (20.0) 2 (8.0) 3 (12.0) 4 (16.0) 108 77 (71.3) 0 (0.0) 2 (1.0)	June 2009, p
Calcium channel blockers Iloprost PDE5 inhibitors Skin involvement in early diffuse SSc Methotrexate use for diffuse SSc only, < 2 yrs' duration Methotrexate use for diffuse SSc only, < 5 yrs' duration Skin involvement (mRSS > 10) Corticosteroids D-penicillamine Methotrexate Cyclophosphamide Skin involvement (mRSS > 20) Corticosteroids D-penicillamine Methotrexate Cyclophosphamide Signianice Methotrexate Cyclophosphamide Digital ulcers Calcium channel blocker Iloprost	$578 (60.9) \\16 (1.7) \\17 (1.8) \\21 (28.4) \\48 (35.3) \\347 \\149 (43.1) \\74 (21.4) \\105 (30.4) \\37 (10.7) \\141 \\63 (45.0) \\32 (22.9) \\53 (37.9) \\16 (11.4) \\524 \\369 (70.7) \\15 (2.9) \\28 (5.4) \\28 (5.4) \\$	$\begin{array}{c} 139 \ (56.5) \\ 0 \ (0.0) \\ 13 \ (5.3) \\ \\ 4 \ (25.0) \\ 6 \ (22.2) \\ 62 \\ 20 \ (32.3) \\ 11 \ (17.7) \\ 17 \ (27.4) \\ 6 \ (9.7) \\ 25 \\ 5 \ (20.0) \\ 2 \ (8.0) \\ 3 \ (12.0) \\ 4 \ (16.0) \\ 108 \\ 77 \ (71.3) \\ 0 \ (0.0) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0820\\ 0.0018\\ > 0.05\\ > 0.05\\ -\\ 0.1117\\ 0.5151\\ 0.6429\\ 0.8103\\ -\\ 0.0193\\ 0.0907\\ 0.0119\\ 0.7547\\ -\\ -\end{array}$
Calcium channel blockers Iloprost PDE5 inhibitors Skin involvement in early diffuse SSc Methotrexate use for diffuse SSc only, < 2 yrs' duration Methotrexate use for diffuse SSc only, < 5 yrs' duration Skin involvement (mRSS > 10) Corticosteroids D-penicillamine Methotrexate Cyclophosphamide Skin involvement (mRSS > 20) Corticosteroids D-penicillamine Methotrexate Cyclophosphamide Signianice Methotrexate Cyclophosphamide Digital ulcers Calcium channel blocker Iloprost	$578 (60.9) \\16 (1.7) \\17 (1.8) \\21 (28.4) \\48 (35.3) \\347 \\149 (43.1) \\74 (21.4) \\105 (30.4) \\37 (10.7) \\141 \\63 (45.0) \\32 (22.9) \\53 (37.9) \\16 (11.4) \\524 \\369 (70.7) \\15 (2.9) \\28 (5.4) \\28 (5.4) \\$	$\begin{array}{c} 139 \ (56.5) \\ 0 \ (0.0) \\ 13 \ (5.3) \\ \\ 4 \ (25.0) \\ 6 \ (22.2) \\ 62 \\ 20 \ (32.3) \\ 11 \ (17.7) \\ 17 \ (27.4) \\ 6 \ (9.7) \\ 25 \\ 5 \ (20.0) \\ 2 \ (8.0) \\ 3 \ (12.0) \\ 4 \ (16.0) \\ 108 \\ 77 \ (71.3) \\ 0 \ (0.0) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0820\\ 0.0018\\ > 0.05\\ > 0.05\\ -\\ 0.1117\\ 0.5151\\ 0.6429\\ 0.8103\\ -\\ 0.0193\\ 0.0907\\ 0.0119\\ 0.7547\\ -\\ -\end{array}$
PDE5 inhibitors Skin involvement in early diffuse SSc Methotrexate use for diffuse SSc only, < 2 yrs' duration Methotrexate use for diffuse SSc only, < 5 yrs' duration Skin involvement (mRSS > 10) Corticosteroids D-penicillamine Methotrexate Cyclophosphamide Skin involvement (mRSS > 20) Corticosteroids D-penicillamine Methotrexate Cyclophosphamide Digital ulcers Calcium channel blocker Iloprost	16 (1.7) 17 (1.8) 21 (28.4) 48 (35.3) 347 149 (43.1) 74 (21.4) 105 (30.4) 37 (10.7) 141 63 (45.0) 32 (22.9) 53 (37.9) 16 (11.4) 524 369 (70.7) 15 (2.9) 28 (5.4) $21 (28.4) $	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \ (0.0) \\ 13 \ (5.3) \\ \\ 4 \ (25.0) \\ 6 \ (22.2) \\ 62 \\ 20 \ (32.3) \\ 11 \ (17.7) \\ 17 \ (27.4) \\ 6 \ (9.7) \\ 25 \\ 5 \ (20.0) \\ 2 \ (8.0) \\ 3 \ (12.0) \\ 4 \ (16.0) \\ 108 \\ 77 \ (71.3) \\ 0 \ (0.0) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0018 \\ > 0.05 \\ > 0.05 \\ \hline \\ 0.1117 \\ 0.5151 \\ 0.6429 \\ 0.8103 \\ \hline \\ 0.0193 \\ 0.0907 \\ 0.0119 \\ 0.7547 \\ \hline \end{array}$
PDE5 inhibitors Skin involvement in early diffuse SSc Methotrexate use for diffuse SSc only, < 2 yrs' duration Methotrexate use for diffuse SSc only, < 5 yrs' duration Skin involvement (mRSS > 10) Corticosteroids D-penicillamine Methotrexate Cyclophosphamide Skin involvement (mRSS > 20) Corticosteroids D-penicillamine Methotrexate Cyclophosphamide Digital ulcers Calcium channel blocker Iloprost	17 (1.8) $21 (28.4)$ $48 (35.3)$ 347 $149 (43.1)$ $74 (21.4)$ $105 (30.4)$ $37 (10.7)$ 141 $63 (45.0)$ $32 (22.9)$ $53 (37.9)$ $16 (11.4)$ 524 $369 (70.7)$ $15 (2.9)$ $28 (5.4)$	13 (5.3) $4 (25.0)$ $6 (22.2)$ 62 $20 (32.3)$ $11 (17.7)$ $17 (27.4)$ $6 (9.7)$ 25 $5 (20.0)$ $2 (8.0)$ $3 (12.0)$ $4 (16.0)$ 108 $77 (71.3)$ $0 (0.0)$	> 0.05 > 0.05
Skin involvement in early diffuse SSc Methotrexate use for diffuse SSc only, < 2 yrs' duration Methotrexate use for diffuse SSc only, < 5 yrs' duration Skin involvement (mRSS > 10) Corticosteroids D-penicillamine Methotrexate Cyclophosphamide Skin involvement (mRSS > 20) Corticosteroids D-penicillamine Methotrexate Cyclophosphamide Digital ulcers Calcium channel blocker Iloprost	21 (28.4) 48 (35.3) 347 149 (43.1) 74 (21.4) 105 (30.4) 37 (10.7) 141 63 (45.0) 32 (22.9) 53 (37.9) 16 (11.4) 524 369 (70.7) 15 (2.9) 28 (5.4)	$\begin{array}{c} 4 \ (25.0) \\ 6 \ (22.2) \\ 62 \\ 20 \ (32.3) \\ 11 \ (17.7) \\ 17 \ (27.4) \\ 6 \ (9.7) \\ 25 \\ 5 \ (20.0) \\ 2 \ (8.0) \\ 3 \ (12.0) \\ 4 \ (16.0) \\ 108 \\ 77 \ (71.3) \\ 0 \ (0.0) \end{array}$	> 0.05 > 0.05
Methotrexate use for diffuse SSc only, < 2 yrs' duration Methotrexate use for diffuse SSc only, < 5 yrs' duration Skin involvement (mRSS > 10) Corticosteroids D-penicillamine Methotrexate Cyclophosphamide Skin involvement (mRSS > 20) Corticosteroids D-penicillamine Methotrexate Cyclophosphamide Digital ulcers Calcium channel blocker Iloprost	$\begin{array}{c} 48 \ (35.3) \\ 347 \\ 149 \ (43.1) \\ 74 \ (21.4) \\ 105 \ (30.4) \\ 37 \ (10.7) \\ 141 \\ 63 \ (45.0) \\ 32 \ (22.9) \\ 53 \ (37.9) \\ 16 \ (11.4) \\ 524 \\ 369 \ (70.7) \\ 15 \ (2.9) \\ 28 \ (5.4) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6 (22.2) \\ 62 \\ 20 (32.3) \\ 11 (17.7) \\ 17 (27.4) \\ 6 (9.7) \\ 25 \\ 5 (20.0) \\ 2 (8.0) \\ 3 (12.0) \\ 4 (16.0) \\ 108 \\ 77 (71.3) \\ 0 (0.0) \end{array}$	> 0.05
Methotrexate use for diffuse SSc only, < 5 yrs' duration Skin involvement (mRSS > 10) Corticosteroids D-penicillamine Methotrexate Cyclophosphamide Skin involvement (mRSS > 20) Corticosteroids D-penicillamine Methotrexate Cyclophosphamide Digital ulcers Calcium channel blocker Iloprost	$\begin{array}{c} 48 \ (35.3) \\ 347 \\ 149 \ (43.1) \\ 74 \ (21.4) \\ 105 \ (30.4) \\ 37 \ (10.7) \\ 141 \\ 63 \ (45.0) \\ 32 \ (22.9) \\ 53 \ (37.9) \\ 16 \ (11.4) \\ 524 \\ 369 \ (70.7) \\ 15 \ (2.9) \\ 28 \ (5.4) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6 (22.2) \\ 62 \\ 20 (32.3) \\ 11 (17.7) \\ 17 (27.4) \\ 6 (9.7) \\ 25 \\ 5 (20.0) \\ 2 (8.0) \\ 3 (12.0) \\ 4 (16.0) \\ 108 \\ 77 (71.3) \\ 0 (0.0) \end{array}$	0.1117 0.5151 0.6429 0.8103
Skin involvement (mRSS > 10) Corticosteroids D-penicillamine Methotrexate Cyclophosphamide Skin involvement (mRSS > 20) Corticosteroids D-penicillamine Methotrexate Cyclophosphamide Digital ulcers Calcium channel blocker Iloprost	$\begin{array}{c} 347\\ 149\ (43.1)\\ 74\ (21.4)\\ 105\ (30.4)\\ 37\ (10.7)\\ 141\\ 63\ (45.0)\\ 32\ (22.9)\\ 53\ (37.9)\\ 16\ (11.4)\\ 524\\ 369\ (70.7)\\ 15\ (2.9)\\ 28\ (5.4)\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 62\\ 20 \ (32.3)\\ 11 \ (17.7)\\ 17 \ (27.4)\\ 6 \ (9.7)\\ 25\\ 5 \ (20.0)\\ 2 \ (8.0)\\ 3 \ (12.0)\\ 4 \ (16.0)\\ 108\\ 77 \ (71.3)\\ 0 \ (0.0) \end{array}$	0.1117 0.5151 0.6429 0.8103
Corticosteroids D-penicillamine Methotrexate Cyclophosphamide Skin involvement (mRSS > 20) Corticosteroids D-penicillamine Methotrexate Cyclophosphamide Digital ulcers Calcium channel blocker Iloprost	149 (43.1) 74 (21.4) 105 (30.4) 37 (10.7) 141 63 (45.0) 32 (22.9) 53 (37.9) 16 (11.4) 524 369 (70.7) 15 (2.9) 28 (5.4)	$\begin{array}{c} 20 \ (32.3) \\ 11 \ (17.7) \\ 17 \ (27.4) \\ 6 \ (9.7) \\ 25 \\ 5 \ (20.0) \\ 2 \ (8.0) \\ 3 \ (12.0) \\ 4 \ (16.0) \\ 108 \\ 77 \ (71.3) \\ 0 \ (0.0) \end{array}$	0.1117 0.5151 0.6429 0.8103 0.0193 0.0907 0.0119 0.7547
D-penicillamine Methotrexate Cyclophosphamide Skin involvement (mRSS > 20) Corticosteroids D-penicillamine Methotrexate Cyclophosphamide Digital ulcers Calcium channel blocker Iloprost	$\begin{array}{c} 74 \ (21.4) \\ 105 \ (30.4) \\ 37 \ (10.7) \\ 141 \\ 63 \ (45.0) \\ 32 \ (22.9) \\ 53 \ (37.9) \\ 16 \ (11.4) \\ 524 \\ 369 \ (70.7) \\ 15 \ (2.9) \\ 28 \ (5.4) \end{array}$	11 (17.7) 17 (27.4) 6 (9.7) 25 5 (20.0) 2 (8.0) 3 (12.0) 4 (16.0) 108 77 (71.3) 0 (0.0)	0.5151 0.6429 0.8103
Methotrexate Cyclophosphamide Skin involvement (mRSS > 20) Corticosteroids D-penicillamine Methotrexate Cyclophosphamide Digital ulcers Calcium channel blocker Iloprost	105 (30.4) 37 (10.7) 141 63 (45.0) 32 (22.9) 53 (37.9) 16 (11.4) 524 369 (70.7) 15 (2.9) 28 (5.4)	17 (27.4) 6 (9.7) 25 5 (20.0) 2 (8.0) 3 (12.0) 4 (16.0) 108 77 (71.3) 0 (0.0)	0.6429 0.8103 0.0193 0.0907 0.0119 0.7547
Cyclophosphamide Skin involvement (mRSS > 20) Corticosteroids D-penicillamine Methotrexate Cyclophosphamide Digital ulcers Calcium channel blocker Iloprost	$\begin{array}{c} 37 \ (10.7) \\ 141 \\ 63 \ (45.0) \\ 32 \ (22.9) \\ 53 \ (37.9) \\ 16 \ (11.4) \\ 524 \\ 369 \ (70.7) \\ 15 \ (2.9) \\ 28 \ (5.4) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6 (9.7) \\ 25 \\ 5 (20.0) \\ 2 (8.0) \\ 3 (12.0) \\ 4 (16.0) \\ 108 \\ 77 (71.3) \\ 0 (0.0) \end{array}$	0.8103
ikin involvement (mRSS > 20) Corticosteroids D-penicillamine Methotrexate Cyclophosphamide Digital ulcers Calcium channel blocker Iloprost	$141 \\ 63 (45.0) \\ 32 (22.9) \\ 53 (37.9) \\ 16 (11.4) \\ 524 \\ 369 (70.7) \\ 15 (2.9) \\ 28 (5.4) $	$25 \\ 5 (20.0) \\ 2 (8.0) \\ 3 (12.0) \\ 4 (16.0) \\ 108 \\ 77 (71.3) \\ 0 (0.0)$	0.0193 0.0907 0.0119 0.7547
Corticosteroids D-penicillamine Methotrexate Cyclophosphamide Digital ulcers Calcium channel blocker Iloprost	63 (45.0) 32 (22.9) 53 (37.9) 16 (11.4) 524 369 (70.7) 15 (2.9) 28 (5.4)	5 (20.0) 2 (8.0) 3 (12.0) 4 (16.0) 108 77 (71.3) 0 (0.0)	0.0907 0.0119 0.7547
D-penicillamine Methotrexate Cyclophosphamide Digital ulcers Calcium channel blocker Iloprost	32 (22.9) 53 (37.9) 16 (11.4) 524 369 (70.7) 15 (2.9) 28 (5.4)	2 (8.0) 3 (12.0) 4 (16.0) 108 77 (71.3) 0 (0.0)	0.0119 0.7547 —
Methotrexate Cyclophosphamide Digital ulcers Calcium channel blocker Iloprost	53 (37.9) 16 (11.4) 524 369 (70.7) 15 (2.9) 28 (5.4)	3 (12.0) 4 (16.0) 108 77 (71.3) 0 (0.0)	0.7547
Cyclophosphamide Digital ulcers Calcium channel blocker Iloprost	16 (11.4) 524 369 (70.7) 15 (2.9) 28 (5.4)	4 (16.0) 108 77 (71.3) 0 (0.0)	0.7547
Digital ulcers Calcium channel blocker Iloprost	524 369 (70.7) 15 (2.9) 28 (5.4)	108 77 (71.3) 0 (0.0)	—
Calcium channel blocker Iloprost	369 (70.7) 15 (2.9) 28 (5.4)	77 (71.3) 0 (0.0)	
Iloprost	15 (2.9) 28 (5.4)	0 (0.0)	
1	28 (5.4)		0.1509
		2 (1.9)	0.1187
Digital ulcers (active; current use)		13	_
Calcium channel blocker	67 (77.9)	11 (84.6)	0.8513
Ilopost	4 (4.7)	0 (0.0)	1.0000
Bosentan	7 (8.1)	0 (0.0)	0.5894
Digital ulcers (healed)	318	60	_
Calcium channel blocker	232 (73.2)	44 (73.3)	0.9812
Bosentan	18 (5.7)	2 (3.3)	0.6679
JERD	824	212	_
Gastroprotective agents	705 (86.2)	174 (82.1)	0.1316
Promotility agents	191 (23.4)	49 (23.1)	0.9422
Delayed gastric emptying	468	116	
Promotility agents	194 (41.9)	49 (42.2)	0.9470
Dysphagia	194	64	_
Promotility agents	49 (25.3)	20 (31.3)	0.3477
Dilatation	29 (15.1)	9 (14.5)	0.9101
nflammatory arthritis	92	32	_
Methotrexate	33 (36.3)	10 (31.3)	0.6089
Hydroxychloroquine	36 (39.6)	9 (28.1)	0.2480
D-penicillamine	17 (18.7)	4 (12.5)	0.4241
NSAID	53 (58.2)	14 (43.8)	0.1568
Corticosteroids	45 (49.5)	15 (46.9)	0.8020
nflammatory myositis/myopathy	99	24	
Methotrexate	39 (39.4)	13 (54.2)	0.1887
Azathioprine	15 (15.2)	6 (25.0)	0.3964
Cyclophosphamide	11 (11.1)	2 (8.3)	0.9784
Renal crisis ever	46	4	_
Hemodialysis or peritoneal dialysis	16 (34.8)	2 (50.0)	0.6123
Kidney transplant	5 (11.1)	1 (25.0)	0.4175
ACE inhibitor	39 (86.7)	2 (50.0)	0.1195
ACE inhibitor (current use)	29 (64.4)	2 (50.0) 2 (50.0)	0.6181
PH by CSRG criteria [†]	98	19	-
Flolan	8 (8.3)	1 (5.3)	1.0000
Trepostinil	3 (3.1)	1 (5.3)	0.5161
Iloprost	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	-
Bosentan	12 (12.4)	0 (0.0)	0.2273
Sitaxsentan*	2 (2.1)	2 (10.5)	0.1249
PDE5 inhibitors	2 (2.1) 2 (2.1)	2 (10.5) 2 (10.5)	0.1249
Warfarin	2 (2.1) 22 (22.7)		0.1249
Combination PAH treatment	13 (13.4)	2 (10.5) 1 (5.3)	0.5413

Personal non-commercial use only. The Journal of Rheumatology Copyright © 2012. All rights reserved.

Pope, et al: SSc guidelines agreement

Table 3. Continued.

Therapeutic Agent or Investigation	Before June 2009, All SSc Patients, n = 992, Frequency (%)	After June 2009, All SSc Patients, n = 261, Frequency (%)	Comparing All Before to 2009 and After June 2009, p
PH [†] and NYHA ≥ Class III	86	16	_
Flolan	7 (8.1)	0 (0.0)	0.5927
Trepostinil	4 (4.7)	1 (6.3)	0.5819
Iloprost	1 (1.2)	0 (0.0)	1.0000
Bosentan	15 (17.4)	1 (6.3)	0.4497
Sitaxsentan*	2 (2.3)	2 (12.5)	0.1150
PDE5 inhibitors	2 (2.3)	2 (12.5)	0.1150
Warfarin	22 (25.6)	2 (12.5)	0.4169
Combination PAH treatment	15 (17.4)	2 (12.5)	0.9031
DLCO < 70% and TLC < 70% and FVC < 70% and short of breath	106	34	_
Corticosteroids	58 (55.2)	13 (38.2)	0.0848
Azathioprine	6 (5.7)	7 (20.6)	0.0244
Cyclophosphamide	20 (19.1)	3 (8.8)	0.1632
Mycophenolate mofetil	2 (1.9)	2 (5.9)	0.2510
ILD by CSRG criteria**	347	68	_
Corticosteroids	149 (43.4)	21 (30.9)	0.0547
Azathioprine	37 (10.8)	8 (11.8)	0.8136
Cyclophosphamide	56 (16.3)	7 (10.3)	0.2072
Mycophenolate mofetil	10 (2.9)	3 (4.4)	0.7911

* Sitaxsentan has been removed from the market. [†] CSRG definition of suspected pulmonary hypertension is pulmonary arterial pressure (PAP) measured using the Doppler flow measurement of the tricuspid regurgitation jet on echocardiography and an estimated systolic PAP > 45 mm Hg. For the subset analysis, only NYHA \geq III were included. ** CSRG definition of ILD is a positive HRCT lung with ILD or abnormal chest radiograph with interstitial markings (not thought to be due to congestive heart failure) or fibrosis, and/or findings of ILD on physical examination. CSRG: Canadian Scleroderma Research Group; SSc: systemic sclerosis; mRSS: modified Rodnan skin score; PDE5: phosphodiesterase type 5; GERD: gastroesophageal reflux disease; NSAID: non-steroidal antiinflammatory drugs; ACE: angiotensin-converting enzyme; PH: pulmonary hypertension; NYHA: New York Heart Association; ILD: interstitial lung disease; HRCT: high-resolution computed tomography; TLC: total lung capacity; FVC: forced vital capacity.

Table 4. CSRG investigatory practices for baseline and followup visits.

Investigation	All SSc Patients Before June 2009, n = 992 (%)	All SSc Patients After June 2009, n = 261 (%)	Comparing All Before 2009 and After June 2009, p	Year 1 Followup and No PAH at Baseline for Echocardiogram Before June 2009, n = 662 (%)	Year 1 Followup and No PAH at Baseline for Echocardiogram After June 2009, n = 106 (%)
HRCT	264 (26.6)	49 (18.8)	0.0092	62 (9.4)	6 (5.7)
Chest radiograph	762 (76.8)	173 (66.3)	0.0005	442 (66.8)	58 (54.7)
PFT	843 (85.0)	206 (78.9)	0.0184	476 (71.9)	63 (59.4)
ECG	677 (68.2)	185 (70.9)	0.4136	406 (61.3)	61 (57.5)
Echocardiogram	943 (95.1)	224 (85.8)	< 0.0001	582 (87.9)	63 (59.4)

CSRG: Canadian Scleroderma Research Group; SSc: systemic sclerosis; PAH: pulmonary arterial hypertension; HRCT: high-resolution computed tomography; PFT: pulmonary function tests; ECG: electrocardiogram.

DISCUSSION

Prescribing practices of rheumatologists who follow SSc were documented in our analysis without differences before and after the guidelines were published. Not all guidelines were analyzed for compatibility with CSRG practices because some data were not collected within the CSRG, and definitions varied (e.g., ILD needing cyclophosphamide and proven PAH were studied only through a surrogate). The published guidelines did not consider contraindications or cost-effectiveness and the absence of a recommendation was not indicative of opposition to its use¹². Thus, a discrepancy between guidelines and CSRG practice is not necessarily a failing of the CSRG because different indications or approvals for medications may exist in Canada compared to Europe, and some guidelines had to be eminence-based if literature was lacking. The majority of CSRG patients were followed and treated

Personal non-commercial use only. The Journal of Rheumatology Copyright © 2012. All rights reserved.

The Journal of Rheumatology 2012; 39:3; doi:10.3899/jrheum.110121

before publication of the guidelines. In the pre-June 2009 group, concordance with guidelines offers face validity for the recommendations.

Annual echocardiogram screening was part of the CSRG protocol, and missing data are queried so that the rate of annual testing is a best-case scenario. Echocardiogram use did not increase after the guidelines were published (it actually declined), but echocardiograms in the group enrolled after June 2009 may not have been recorded yet in the database (they were ordered but not yet performed or entered; this is especially true for followup tests). It does not appear that adherence to guidelines for annual echocardiograms has improved.

The use of MTX in SSc could be considered controversial as trials have shown at most modest effects and 1 study had a p value not $< 0.05^{8,13}$. Therefore, the rate of use in early dcSSc is about one-quarter to one-half of patients. Currently, there is a lack of evidence to support D-penicillamine use in the treatment of skin fibrosis and thus it is not recommended^{4,6}. We documented "ever-use," which was low. Few studies have investigated the efficacy of mycophenolate mofetil (MMF) in the treatment of SSc skin. One retrospective study of its efficacy in treating dcSSc found no significant difference in mean mRSS between patients taking MMF and controls¹⁴. Another study found that in patients with recent-onset dcSSc, MMF in combination with antithymocyte globulin resulted in a decrease of mean skin score¹⁵.

Intravenous iloprost is not available in Canada and thus must be obtained by special access from another country and consequently its use would be low. Bosentan is not approved in Canada for prevention of DU.

Since the patients enrolled over the last 2 years were included in the post-guidelines era, it may be too soon to determine whether the SSc recommendations will change practice over time.

Evidence from other etiologies must often be applied to treatment of SSc-associated GI disturbances due to a lack of randomized controlled trials⁹. Within the CSRG, treatment with PPI and promotility agents was reasonable. Some patients with GERD may not have been very symptomatic and others may have had sufficient treatment with lifestyle modification or other medications (such as H2 blockers). Some promotility agents have been removed from the market, a change that could affect prescribing patterns. Additionally, small bowel overgrowth was not defined by the physician, so we could not determine how concordant antibiotic prescription was with respect to this indication.

A limitation of our study was that the CSRG database did not contain a record of PAH diagnoses after the baseline visit, which limited our ability to accurately identify patients with PAH. Assuming that all who were diagnosed with PAH were treated would result in 9% of patients identified as having PAH. This percentage may be lower than in other reports, therefore it is possible that not all patients with PAH were identified. Applying a definition of PAH at echocardiogram PAP > 45 mm Hg would yield some false positives. This is likely what happened, because bosentan was prescribed in only about 15% of patients who met our case definition of PH. However, the CSRG definition correlates strongly with right heart catheter studies¹⁶. Bosentan has been associated with improved survival in patients with advanced SSc-associated PAH^{12,17,18}. From the CSRG database, reasons for prescribing a particular agent could not be determined. In addition, the reason a patient did not take a medication could have been lack of a prescription, side effects, deliberate nonadherence, or other factors such as limited finances for relatively expensive therapies. Patients might have also been awaiting coverage for treatments such as those for PAH. These factors may have led to an overestimation or underestimation in the number of patients receiving treatment for a particular SSc-associated manifestation. For instance, sildenafil may have been prescribed for PAH, RP, or DU.

Within the CSRG database, patients were not definitively classified as having symptomatic ILD. Thus, various definitions of ILD were applied. Not all patients with ILD are symptomatic and thus not all will require treatment. Cyclophosphamide use within the CSRG varied among centers regardless of the ILD definition applied, although the subset in which it varied was dependent on the definition. Use of azathioprine was also inconsistent depending on the definition of ILD used.

Guidelines are generally not followed at high rates. For instance, steroid-induced osteoporosis guidelines are followed 10% of the time¹⁹. Thus there is often a gap between ideal practice and real practice.

It has been previously demonstrated that treatment of SSc varies in that experts are less likely to use treatments that have been proven ineffective and more apt to consider treatments that are under investigation. Further, rheumatologists who see a greater number of patients with SSc will see a particular organ manifestation more often, which might allow for more thorough identification of complications¹¹. In general rheumatology practices, there could be wide variability because of the small number of patients, or because a practice is not enrolled in a database, resulting in a lack of feedback about whether echocardiograms should be performed annually and lack of awareness of the guidelines¹¹.

Overall, it does not appear that guidelines have changed the behavior of rheumatologists in the CSRG, but the patients with SSc enrolled after June 2009 had long disease duration and we studied ever-treatment. The guidelines would only potentially affect a 2-year period, from June 2009 until April 2011.

APPENDIX

List of study collaborators. Investigators of the CSRG: M. Hudson, Montreal, Quebec; J. Markland, Saskatoon, Saskatchewan; P. Docherty, Moncton, New Brunswick; M. Fritzler, Advanced Diagnostics Laboratory, Calgary, Alberta; N. Jones, Edmonton, Alberta; E. Kaminska, Hamilton, Ontario; N. Khalidi,

Hamilton, Ontario; S. Ligier, Montreal, Quebec; A. Masetto, Sherbrooke, Quebec; J-P. Mathieu, Montreal, Quebec; D. Robinson, Winnipeg, Manitoba; D. Smith, Ottawa, Ontario; E. Sutton, Halifax, Nova Scotia. Former members: M. Abu-Hakim, S. LeClercq, Calgary, Alberta.

REFERENCES

- Thompson AE, Pope JE. Increased prevalence of scleroderma in southwestern Ontario: A cluster analysis. J Rheumatol 2002;29:1867-73.
- 2. Gazi H, Pope JE, Clements P, Medsger TA, Martin RW, Merkel PA, et al. Outcome measurements in scleroderma: Results from a delphi exercise. J Rheumatol 2007;34:501-9.
- LeRoy EC, Black C, Fleischmajer R, Jablonska S, Krieg T, Medsger TA Jr, et al. Scleroderma (systemic sclerosis): Classification, subsets and pathogenesis. J Rheumatol 1988;15:202-5.
- Sapadin AN, Fleischmajer R. Treatment of scleroderma. Arch Dermatol 2002;138:99-105.
- Silver RM. Clinical aspects of systemic sclerosis (scleroderma). Ann Rheum Dis 1991;50 Suppl 4:854-61.
- Clements PJ, Furst DE, Wong WK, Mayes M, White B, Wigley F, et al. High-dose versus low-dose D-penicillamine in early diffuse systemic sclerosis: Analysis of a two-year, double-blind, randomized, controlled clinical trial. Arthritis Rheum 1999;42:1194-203.
- 7. Leighton C. Drug treatment of scleroderma. Drugs 2001;61:419-27.
- Pope JE, Bellamy N, Seibold JR, Baron M, Ellman M, Carette S, et al. A randomized, controlled trial of methotrexate versus placebo in early diffuse scleroderma. Arthritis Rheum 2001;44:1351-8.
- Pope JE. Connective tissue diseases: New evidence-based guidelines for treating SSc. Nat Rev Rheumatol 2009;5:300-2.
- Hachulla E, de Groote P, Gressin V, Sibilia J, Diot E, Carpentier P, et al. The three-year incidence of pulmonary arterial hypertension associated with systemic sclerosis in a multicenter nationwide longitudinal study in France. Arthritis Rheum 2009;60:1831-9.

- Pope JE, Ouimet JM, Krizova A. Scleroderma treatment differs between experts and general rheumatologists. Arthritis Rheum 2006;55:138-45.
- Kowal-Bielecka O, Landewé R, Avouac J, Chwiesko S, Miniati I, Czirjak L, et al. EULAR recommendations for the treatment of systemic sclerosis: A report from the EULAR Scleroderma Trials and Research group (EUSTAR). Ann Rheum Dis 2009;68:620-8.
- 13. van den Hoogen FH, Boerbooms AM, Swaak AJ, Rasker JJ, van Lier HJ, van de Putte LB. Comparison of methotrexate with placebo in the treatment of systemic sclerosis: A 24 week randomized double-blind trial, followed by a 24 week observational trial. Br J Rheumatol 1996;35:364-72.
- Nihtyanova SI, Brough GM, Black CM, Denton CP. Mycophenolate mofetil in diffuse cutaneous systemic sclerosis — A retrospective analysis. Rheumatology 2007;46:442-5.
- Liossis SN, Bounas A, Andonopoulos AP. Mycophenolate mofetil as first-line treatment improves clinically evident early scleroderma lung disease. Rheumatology 2006;45:1005-8.
- Pope JE, Lee P, Baron M, Dunne J, Smith D, Docherty PS, et al. Prevalence of elevated pulmonary arterial pressures measured by echocardiography in a multicenter study of patients with systemic sclerosis. J Rheumatol 2005;32:1273-8.
- Hsu VM, Moreyra AE, Wilson AC, Shinnar M, Shindler DM, Wilson JE, et al. Assessment of pulmonary arterial hypertension in patients with systemic sclerosis: Comparison of noninvasive tests with results of right-heart catheterization. J Rheumatol 2008;35:458-65.
- McLaughlin VV. Survival in patients with pulmonary arterial hypertension treated with first-line bosentan. Eur J Clin Invest 2006;36 Suppl 3:10-5.
- Feldstein AC, Elmer PJ, Nichols GA, Herson M. Practice patterns in patients at risk for glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis. Osteoporos Int 2005;16:2168-74.