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Rapid Improvement in the Signs and Symptoms of Rheumatoid Arthritis Following Certolizumab Pegol Treatment Predicts Better Longterm Outcomes: Post-hoc Analysis of a Randomized Controlled Trial

EDWARD C. KEYSTONE, JEFFREY R. CURTIS, ROY M. FLEISCHMANN, DANIEL E. FURST, DINESH KHANNA, JOSEF S. SMOLEN, PHILIP J. MEASE, MICHAEL H. SCHIFF, GEOFFROY COTEUR, OWEN DAVIES, and BERNARD COMBE

ABSTRACT. **Objective.** To assess the kinetics of response to certolizumab pegol (CZP), and association between rapid response and longterm outcomes, in patients with active rheumatoid arthritis (RA).

**Methods.** This was a post-hoc analysis of the randomized, double-blind RAPID 1 study in patients who received methotrexate (MTX) and either CZP 200 mg subcutaneously or placebo every 2 weeks for 52 weeks. Clinical and radiographic outcomes at Week 52 were evaluated based on the Disease Activity Score 28 (DAS28) ≥ 1.2 and American College of Rheumatology 20% (ACR20) responses at Week 6 and Week 12.

**Results.** Clinical responses [European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR), DAS28 ≥ 1.2, and ACR20 responses] were rapid in CZP-treated patients. Week 12 DAS28 ≥ 1.2 responders had better clinical and radiographic outcomes at Week 52 compared with nonresponders. Among Week 12 responders, incremental benefit of earlier response was observed: Week 6 DAS28 ≥ 1.2 responders had significantly higher ACR response rates and were more likely to achieve remission at Week 52 than Week 12 responders. Patients with a clinical response at Week 6 had faster, more meaningful sustained improvements in patient-derived outcomes than those responding by Week 12 only.

**Conclusion.** Rapid attainment of clinical response in patients with RA is associated with improved longterm outcomes. Analysis of the kinetics of response to CZP during the first 12 weeks of therapy potentially permits informed prediction of clinical success or need to alter treatment. In patients not achieving a clinical response at Week 12 treatment adjustment should be considered. Trial registration NCT00152386. (J Rheumatol First Release March 1 2011; doi:10.3899/jrheum.100935)
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Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is a rapidly progressive disease for many patients. Persistent high disease activity leads to disability, comorbidities, and premature mortality. Consequently, development of treatment strategies to bring the disease under control quickly is of utmost importance. Close monitoring of disease activity and rapid interventions with synthetic disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARD)\(^4\) or combination therapy\(^2,3,4\) revealed better longterm clinical outcomes (at 1 to 2 years) in patients with RA. These studies, among others, clearly demonstrate the importance of rapid and sustained control of disease activity in order to prevent irreversible damage and loss of function. In this respect the tumor necrosis factor-\(\alpha\) (TNF-\(\alpha\)) inhibitors, which rapidly improve clinical signs and symptoms\(^5,6,7,8\) and health-related quality of life (HRQOL)\(^7,9,10,11,12\), and inhibit structural damage\(^7,8,9,12\), have been an important addition to treatment options for patients with RA. Certolizumab pegol (CZP), a PEGylated anti-TNF used either as monotherapy or with methotrexate (MTX), resulted in significant improvement in the signs and symptoms of RA from Week 1\(^13\). CZP + MTX also rapidly inhibited the progression of structural damage (as early as Week 16) and were associated with rapid improvements in physical function/HRQOL and pain relief\(^8,14\).

In addition to the short-term clinical benefits of a rapid response to therapy, a growing body of evidence supports the concept that the timing of response to treatment is an important predictor of the likelihood of treatment success. Patients who respond more quickly to therapy are more likely to remain on treatment and have better longterm improvement in disease activity\(^15,16\).

To further examine the influence of onset of response to treatment on longterm outcomes in established RA, we assessed the kinetics of improvement in clinical outcomes following treatment with CZP + MTX in the RAPID 1 clinical trial\(^8\). This analysis was conducted in patients from a single homogeneous treatment cohort from the RAPID 1 clinical trial (the CZP 200 mg + MTX group was selected as this is the approved dose). The objective was to assess the effect of the timing of clinical response with CZP on a broad range of longterm clinical and radiographic outcomes at 52 weeks.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients. This post-hoc analysis was performed on data collected during the RAPID 1 clinical trial of CZP + MTX\(^3\). Patients participating in this trial had moderate to severe RA disease activity and met American College of Rheumatology (ACR) classification criteria for RA\(^17\). Inclusion criteria for RAPID 1 included active disease at screening and baseline and an inadequate response to MTX treatment (≥ 6 months with a stable dose of ≥ 10 mg weekly for ≥ 2 months prior to baseline).

Study protocol. The full methods of the RAPID 1 trial have been published\(^3\). In summary, RAPID 1 was a randomized, phase III, multicenter, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. A total of 982 patients who were MTX-inadequate responders were randomized 2:2:1 to treatment with 1 of 2 regimens of subcutaneous CZP (400 mg at Weeks 0, 2, and 4 followed by 200 mg (n = 393) or 400 mg (n = 390)) + MTX, or placebo + MTX (n = 199) every other week (EOW) for 52 weeks. Patients who failed to achieve an ACR20 response at both Weeks 12 and 14 were withdrawn from the double-blind controlled phase of the study at Week 16. Patients provided written informed consent prior to enrollment and the study protocol was approved by the local institutional review board or ethics committee at each participating center.

Assessments. In this post-hoc analysis of RAPID 1 we further analyzed ACR20\(^20\)/50/70 response rates\(^19\) and the DAS28-erythrocyte sedimentation rate (DAS28)\(^19\). Low disease activity (LDA) was defined as a DAS28 ≤ 3.2. Remission was defined as DAS28 ≤ 2.6. Improvement in disease activity as measured by DAS28 was classified according to the European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR) response criteria\(^20\). The modified Total Sharp Score (mTSS), joint space narrowing (JSN), and erosion score (ES) were determined\(^7\). Nonprogression was defined as a change from baseline in the mTSS of ≤ 0.5. Swollen (n = 66 joints) and tender (n = 68 joints) joint counts were also evaluated. Patient-reported outcomes included arthritis pain (pain) reported on a 0 to 100-mm visual analog scale (VAS), physical function reported on a scale of 0 to 3 units using the Health Assessment Questionnaire-Disability Index (HAQ-DI)\(^21\), fatigue assessed on a 0 to 10 Fatigue Assessment Scale (FAS; a numeric rating scale)\(^22\), and HRQOL assessed using the Short-Form 36 (SF-36) health survey\(^23\).

Week 12 responders versus nonresponders. A decrease in DAS28 of ≥ 1.2 from baseline (DAS28 ≥ 1.2 response) at Week 12 has previously been shown to be important for longterm clinical outcomes\(^24\). To assess the importance of a clinical response at Week 12 on radiographic outcomes, the changes from baseline in mTSS, ES, and JSN were assessed in Week 12 DAS28 ≥ 1.2 responders versus nonresponders for all patients treated with CZP 200 mg + MTX. The analysis excluded 30 patients (7.6%) out of the 393 patients in the intent-to-treat (ITT) population due to nonimputable missing data.

Week 12 responders versus Week 6 responders. To assess the association between the kinetics of clinical response and longterm outcomes at Week 52, we evaluated patients from the CZP 200 mg + MTX arm who achieved a clinical response at Week 12. These patients were divided into 2 subgroups: Week 6 responders (who also responded at Week 12) and Week 12 responders (who failed to respond at Week 6 but responded at Week 12). Analyses were performed using 2 different clinical responder definitions evaluated at Weeks 6 and 12: the first was based on a DAS28 ≥ 1.2 response, and the second was based on achieving an ACR20 response. Patients with missing DAS28 or ACR20 results at Week 6 or 12 (this represents 17.8% and 16.8% of the CZP 200 mg ITT population for the DAS28 definition or ACR20 definition, respectively) or patients not responding at Week 12 were not included in either responder subgroup. A sensitivity analysis was performed in patients from the CZP 400 mg + MTX arm. ACR20/50/70 response rates,
RESULTS

EULAR good/moderate response rates at Weeks 6 and 12 were 67.4% and 77.6%, respectively, versus 27.0% and 29.1%, respectively, for placebo + MTX (p ≤ 0.001 by logistic regression). Similarly, ACR20 response rates for the CZP 200 mg + MTX group at Weeks 6 and 12 were 51.3% and 63.8%, respectively, versus 18.2% and 18.3% for placebo + MTX (p < 0.001 by logistic regression). At Week 12, ACR50 and ACR70 response rates were 32.9% and 15.2%, respectively, in the CZP 200 mg + MTX group.

Outcomes at Week 52 in Week 12 responders and nonresponders. We first evaluated the outcomes at Week 52 in Week 12 responders and nonresponders. Baseline demographics and disease characteristics were similar between groups (data not shown). A higher proportion of patients treated with CZP 200 mg + MTX who achieved a DAS28 response ≥ 1.2 at Week 12 achieved DAS28 LDA at Week 52 compared with patients who did not (37.2% vs 6.1%, respectively)24. The present post-hoc analysis included 363 CZP 200 mg + MTX-treated patients, and 178 placebo + MTX-treated patients who had radiographic outcomes data. By the DAS28 ≥ 1.2 responder definition, 275/363 (75.8%) CZP 200 mg + MTX-treated patients and 49/178 (27.5%) placebo + MTX-treated patients responded at Week 12. We found that, at Week 52, DAS28 ≥ 1.2 Week 12 responders had lower mean changes from baseline in mTSS than Week 12 DAS28 nonresponders for both CZP + MTX-treated patients (0.18 vs 1.22, respectively) and placebo + MTX-treated patients (1.06 vs 3.57; Figure 1A). Importantly, CZP + MTX inhibited radiographic progression when compared to placebo + MTX in both responders (0.18 vs 1.06) and nonresponders (1.22 vs 3.57). These patterns were also noted for ES (CZP, –0.01 vs 0.29; placebo, 0.76 vs 1.82) and JSN (CZP, 0.21 vs 0.95; placebo, 0.51 vs 1.77) for responders and nonresponders, respectively. Further, at Week 52, more DAS28 ≥ 1.2 Week 12 responders than Week 12 nonresponders were mTSS nonprogressors in both the CZP (78.5% vs 69.3%) and placebo (69.4% vs 48.1%) groups (Figure 1B).

Outcomes at Week 52 in Week 6 responders versus Week 12 responders. We next asked whether a significant difference in Week 52 outcomes would be influenced by responses at Week 6 versus Week 12. This post-hoc analysis included 240 and 244 CZP 200 mg + MTX-treated patients who achieved a DAS28 ≥ 1.2 or ACR20 response, respectively, at Week 12 of treatment. Based on the DAS28 ≥ 1.2 response definition, 195/240 (81.3%) patients achieved a response at Week 6 (sustained to Week 12); the remainder of the Week 12 responders (45/240, 18.8%) failed to achieve a ≥ 1.2-point reduction in DAS28 at Week 12. Patient demographics and disease characteristics at baseline in the 2 subgroups are shown in Table 1. Week 6 DAS28 ≥ 1.2 responders were approximately 4 years younger (mean 50.6 vs 55.0 years; p ≤ 0.05) than Week 12 responders and had a shorter mean...
when patients were stratified based on their Week 6 and Week 12 (p < 0.05; Figure 2). Similar outcomes were observed in ACR20 Week 6 responders (n = 172) were 83.0%, 67.3%, and 39.8%, respectively, compared with 63.9%, 36.1%, and 18.1% in ACR20 Week 12 responders (n = 72) (p < 0.01). Comparable trends were observed for DAS28 LDA and remission. Interestingly, there was no difference in mTSS change from baseline between Week 6 and Week 12 DAS28 ≥ 1.2 and ACR20 responders at Week 52 (data not shown).

A similar trend to better outcomes at Week 52 with a more rapid response was observed with pain, fatigue, physical function, and tender and swollen joint counts. Once again patients that had a more rapid (Week 6) clinical response to CZP 200 mg + MTX had faster, more meaningful improvements that were sustained to Week 52 than those who had a clinical response by Week 12. Further, greater mean improvements in pain, physical function, fatigue, and swollen and tender joint counts were also observed in patients who achieved an ACR20 response at Week 6 compared with patients who achieved a response at Week 12.

Week 6 responders also had greater mean improvements in HRQOL than Week 12 responders, with greater improvements in the SF-36 physical component summary, but not the mental component summary, at multiple timepoints throughout the study (data not shown). In a sensitivity analysis, similar results demonstrating greater improvements in clinical and patient-reported outcomes in Week 6 versus Week 12 clinical responders were obtained for patients in the CZP 400 mg + MTX group (data not shown).

**DISCUSSION**

This post-hoc analysis of the RAPID 1 study focuses on assessment of the kinetics of response to CZP as well as the effects of timing of response on clinical and patient-reported outcomes after 52 weeks of treatment. The findings show

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Change in DAS28 ≥ 1.2</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Week 6 Responders</td>
<td>Week 12 Responders</td>
<td>All Responders</td>
<td>Week 6 Responders</td>
<td>Week 12 Responders</td>
<td>All Responders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(n = 195)</td>
<td>(n = 45)</td>
<td>(n = 240)</td>
<td>(n = 172)</td>
<td>(n = 72)</td>
<td>(n = 244)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, yrs, mean (SD)</td>
<td>50.6 (12.3)</td>
<td>55.0 (8.9)</td>
<td>51.4 (11.8)</td>
<td>50.8 (12.2)</td>
<td>52.3 (10.6)</td>
<td>51.2 (11.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female, %</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>91.1</td>
<td>82.1</td>
<td>83.1</td>
<td>76.4</td>
<td>81.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. previous DMARD, mean (SD)</td>
<td>1.3 (1.3)</td>
<td>1.3 (1.2)</td>
<td>1.3 (1.3)</td>
<td>1.3 (1.3)</td>
<td>1.1 (1.1)</td>
<td>1.2 (1.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease duration, yrs, mean (SD)</td>
<td>5.45 (4.40)</td>
<td>7.29 (4.38)</td>
<td>5.79 (4.13)</td>
<td>5.76 (4.13)</td>
<td>5.85 (3.89)</td>
<td>5.79 (4.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTX dose ≥ 15 mg/week, %</td>
<td>50.8</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>48.3</td>
<td>50.6</td>
<td>34.7</td>
<td>45.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steroid use, %</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>61.3</td>
<td>59.9</td>
<td>58.3</td>
<td>59.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RF-positive, ≥ 14 IU/ml, %</td>
<td>81.0</td>
<td>82.2</td>
<td>81.3</td>
<td>82.6</td>
<td>81.9</td>
<td>82.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SJC, mean (SD)</td>
<td>22.59 (9.59)</td>
<td>21.40 (10.92)</td>
<td>22.37 (9.84)</td>
<td>22.74 (9.47)</td>
<td>20.78 (10.36)</td>
<td>22.16 (9.76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TJC, mean (SD)</td>
<td>30.82 (11.85)</td>
<td>30.00 (12.39)</td>
<td>30.66 (11.93)</td>
<td>31.06 (12.00)</td>
<td>28.00 (12.18)</td>
<td>30.16 (12.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mTSS, mean (SD)</td>
<td>34.88 (42.97)</td>
<td>46.14 (46.98)</td>
<td>36.99 (43.87)</td>
<td>34.74 (42.41)</td>
<td>39.81 (45.69)</td>
<td>36.24 (43.37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAS28, range 0–10, mean (SD)</td>
<td>6.97 (0.78)</td>
<td>6.83 (0.81)</td>
<td>6.95 (0.79)</td>
<td>6.89 (0.81)</td>
<td>6.98 (0.83)</td>
<td>6.92 (0.81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain, range 0–100 mm, mean (SD)</td>
<td>62.0 (19.5)</td>
<td>63.1 (18.8)</td>
<td>62.2 (19.3)</td>
<td>62.1 (19.3)</td>
<td>63.9 (19.6)</td>
<td>62.6 (19.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAQ-DI, range 0–3, mean (SD)</td>
<td>1.64 (0.64)</td>
<td>1.82 (0.61)</td>
<td>1.67 (0.64)</td>
<td>1.64 (0.64)</td>
<td>1.72 (0.61)</td>
<td>1.67 (0.63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAS, range 0–10, mean (SD)</td>
<td>6.4 (2.0)</td>
<td>6.6 (1.9)</td>
<td>6.4 (2.0)</td>
<td>6.3 (1.9)</td>
<td>6.8 (2.2)</td>
<td>6.4 (2.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP, mean mg/l (SD)</td>
<td>27.34 (26.42)</td>
<td>21.40 (23.45)</td>
<td>26.23 (25.95)</td>
<td>25.22 (23.12)</td>
<td>29.28 (35.27)</td>
<td>26.41 (27.26)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DAS28: Disease Activity Score 28; ACR20: American College of Rheumatology 20% response; DMARD: disease-modifying antirheumatic drug; MTX: methotrexate; RF: rheumatoid factor; SJC: swollen joint count; TJC: tender joint count; mTSS: mean Total Sharp Score; VAS: visual analog scale; HAQ-DI: Health Assessment Questionnaire-Disability Index; FAS: Fatigue Assessment Scale; CRP: C-reactive protein.
clearly that there are longterm clinical and radiographic differences between patients who respond by Week 12 and those who do not. An important finding in this study was that patients who failed to achieve a clinical response (defined as a DAS28 change ≥ 1.2) at Week 12 had greater radiographic progression at 52 weeks than patients who did achieve a response. This trend was observed in both the CZP + MTX and placebo + MTX groups, although the differences between responders and nonresponders were more marked in the latter group. Importantly, the addition of CZP to MTX inhibited radiographic progression in both the 12-week responder and nonresponder groups when compared with placebo. These results support the recent findings of Ichikawa, et al25, who found that in patients with early RA, ACR core set measures after 12 weeks of nonbiologic DMARD treatment predicted articular destruction 2 years later, demonstrating the importance of obtaining rapid control of disease activity in order to prevent longterm irreversible damage. Similarly, Smolen, et al demonstrated that attainment of remission or LDA at 3 months led to no or minimal progression of joint damage over a 1-year period in patients treated with MTX + infliximab26. All these findings support the notion that if a patient has not had a clinical response within the first 3 months of therapy, careful consideration should be given to adjusting therapy27.

More detailed analyses of the Week 12 responder population demonstrated an incremental benefit for patients who responded earlier. Patients treated with CZP who had a clinical response at Week 6 demonstrated significantly greater ACR20, 50 and 70 responses, significantly higher rates of DAS28 LDA and remission, and improved patient-reported outcomes (pain, physical function, and fatigue) at the end of 1 year of treatment relative to patients who had a response at Week 12 but not Week 6. The greater improvement in physical function in the Week 6 responders is of particular significance as HAQ-DI has been demonstrated to be a strong predictor of disability and mortality28,29. Although all the measured outcomes were better in Week 6 than Week 12 responders, improvements greater than the minimum clinically important difference thresholds for pain, physical function, and fatigue were achieved in both Week 6 and Week 12 responder subgroups throughout the trial from the first week of treatment with CZP.

Previous studies have shown that a response to treatment by Week 12 increases the possibility of LDA or remission after 1 year of anti-TNF therapy in patients with RA15, and that response to treatment as early as Week 6 may predict continuation of anti-TNF treatment16. However, the present study differs from the study by Aletaha, et al15 because it is focused on a cohort of patients from a single treatment arm of a placebo-controlled clinical study rather than a heterogeneous mixed population of patients from multiple different studies. Further, the present study examines the effects of kinetics of response on a much broader range of outcomes, including patient-reported outcomes and radiographic endpoints. It also focuses on defined populations of early versus late responders rather than disease activity over the treatment course with reference to disease state at Week 52 in the case of the Aletaha study15, although that investigation included stringent remission criteria as one of the outcomes. This study also differs from that of Gülfe, et al16, which examined only the effect of kinetics of response on treatment persistence.

The results from our analysis clearly demonstrate that patients who do not achieve a clinical response at Week 12 are less likely to do well in the long term. Nevertheless, fur-
ther prospective studies are required to evaluate the effect of disease activity at baseline as this study was a post-hoc analysis and clinical trial populations do not generally reflect the broad populations of patients seen in clinical practice. Despite these limitations, the findings of this work are consistent with those of a growing body of other studies and recent recommendations for RA management. The present data suggest that adjustment of therapy in the population evaluated in this study should be considered at Week 12 for patients with inadequate initial clinical response (defined as DAS28 change < 1.2). Indeed, this was shown to be an effective approach in the GUEPARD study. However, the question of when to change therapy for patients with some response but not having achieved the specified treatment goal is not addressed. Nevertheless, the recent EULAR guidelines advocate that the desired target should be achieved within a maximum of 6 months.

Putting our results into context in the clinical setting, we conclude that if patients have an early clinical response to CZP + MTX (by Week 6) they are more likely to have a better long-term clinical response and greater control of disease activity. In patients who show a slower or incomplete response, careful monitoring and inspection of the rate of improvement during the first 12 weeks of therapy may help to predict the potential benefit of continuing treatment. Further, the ability to predict long-term outcomes based on the onset of initial clinical response has the potential to reduce costs, decrease unnecessary drug exposure, and allow prompt access to alternative therapy.

Further analysis of rapid responders to treatment may assist in future identification of biomarkers that predict sustained response to treatment.

The findings from this study indicate that rapid attainment of clinical benefit in patients with RA receiving treatment with CZP is associated with improved long-term outcomes, with earlier clinical benefit being associated with better control of disease activity.
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