IgM Anti-ß₂ Glycoprotein I Is Protective Against Lupus Nephritis and Renal Damage in Systemic Lupus Erythematosus

TARANEH MEHRANI and MICHELLE PETRI

ABSTRACT. **Objective.** Antibodies to ß₂ glycoprotein I (IgG and IgM isotypes) have recently been added to the laboratory criteria of the revised antiphospholipid syndrome classification criteria. We investigated whether IgM anti-ß₂-glycoprotein I (anti-ß₂-GPI) is associated with clinical manifestations of systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE).
Methods. Anti-ß₂-GPI was measured in 796 patients with SLE (93% women, 53% white, 38% African American, mean age 45 yrs). IgM anti-ß₂-GPI (> 20 phospholipid units) was found in 16%. Associations were determined with clinical manifestations of SLE and with components of the Systemic Lupus International Collaborating Clinics/American College of Rheumatology Damage Index.
Results. As expected, IgM anti-ß₂-GPI was highly associated with both the lupus anticoagulant and with anticardiolipin. It was associated with transient ischemic attack (OR 2.64, $p = 0.04$), but not significantly with venous or arterial thrombosis. IgM anti-ß₂-GPI was protective against lupus nephritis (OR 0.54, $p = 0.049$), renal damage ($p = 0.019$), and hypertension (OR 0.58, $p = 0.008$). This protective effect remained after adjustment for ethnicity.
Conclusion. In SLE, IgM anti-ß₂-GPI is not associated with thrombosis but is protective against lupus nephritis and renal damage. "Natural" autoantibodies of the IgM isotype may have a protective effect. (J Rheumatol First Release Dec 1 2010; doi:10.3899/jrheum.100650)

Key Indexing Terms:
ANTI-ß₂ GLYCOPROTEIN I   SYSTEMIC LUPUS ERYTHEMATOSUS
LUPUS NEPHRITIS   ANTIPHOSPHOLIPID ANTIBODIES

Elevated levels of antiphospholipid antibodies (aPL), including anticardiolipin antibodies (aCL), lupus anticoagulant (LAC), and anti-ß₂-glycoprotein I (anti-ß₂-GPI), are detected in about 10%–44% of patients with systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE)[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]. Evidence has shown that recurrent arterial or venous thromboses and pregnancy morbidity may develop in 30%–70% of patients with SLE who have aPL after 20 years of followup[3,5,8].

It is well known that in some patients with SLE, renal manifestations such as renal artery stenosis, renal infarction, intrarenal thromboses, systemic hypertension, proteinuria, thrombotic microangiopathy, and progressive renal failure have been associated with raised levels of aPL[9,10,11]. However, whether aPL play any role in the pathogenesis of lupus nephritis remains unknown. The few studies that have examined the relationship between aPL and lupus nephritis have had contradictory results[9,12,13,14,15].

The 2006 updated international classification criteria for the antiphospholipid syndrome (APS) have included the presence of antibodies to IgG and/or IgM anti-ß₂-GPI as part of the laboratory criteria[16]. Our aim was to investigate whether IgM anti-ß₂-GPI is associated with clinical manifestations of APS in patients with SLE.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients. A total of 796 patients with SLE participated (733 women; median age 45.0 yrs, range 19.1–85.7). There were 422 whites (53.5%), 302 African Americans (38.3%), 26 Hispanics (3.3%), and 27 Asians (3.4%). All patients with SLE met 4 or more of the 1982 revised American College of Rheumatology criteria[17,18].
Protocol. The patients in the study were part of the Johns Hopkins Hospital Lupus Cohort, as described[19,20]. The study was approved by the Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine Institutional Review Board. All patients gave informed consent.

Patients were followed quarterly or more often if required by disease activity or complications. Disease activity was measured with the physician's global assessment, Lupus Activity Index, and the Safety of Estrogens in Lupus Erythematosus National Assessment – Systemic Lupus

From the Department of Medicine, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland; and the Department of Medicine, Division of Rheumatology, University of California, Irvine, California, USA.

T. Mehrani, MD, Rheumatology Fellow, Department of Medicine, Division of Rheumatology, University of California, Irvine, and Department of Medicine, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine; M. Petri, MD, MPH, Professor, Department of Medicine and Director, Johns Hopkins Lupus Center, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine.

Address correspondence to Dr. M. Petri, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, 1830 East Monument Street, Suite 7500, Baltimore, MD 21205, USA. E-mail: mpetri@jhmi.edu

Erythematosus Disease Activity Index (SELENA SLEDAI)[21,22], and permanent organ damage recorded using the Systemic Lupus International Collaborating Clinics/American College of Rheumatology Damage Index (SDI)[23]. Lupus nephritis was defined as 500–3000 mg/day of proteinuria. Nephrotic syndrome was defined as > 3000 mg/day of proteinuria.

Assays. Both anticardiolipin and anti-ß$_2$-GPI were measured by ELISA (Inova Diagnostics, San Diego, CA, USA). The LAC was measured by the dilute Russell's viper venom time with confirmatory testing[24].

Statistics. Statistical analyses were done using chi-squared and Fisher's exact tests (JMP v 5.0.1a, SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). A p value ≤ 0.05 was considered statistically significant. The strength of the association was measured by calculating the OR with its 95% CI.

RESULTS

IgM anti-ß$_2$-GPI was found in 15.8% of the patients with SLE, IgG anti-ß$_2$-GPI in 5.4%, and IgA anti-ß$_2$-GPI in 20.2%.

Relationship of IgM anti-ß$_2$-GPI to other aPL. IgM anti-ß$_2$-GPI was highly associated with the LAC (p = 0.0232) and with aCL (p < 0.0001).

Relationship of IgM anti-ß$_2$-GPI to thrombosis. IgM anti-ß$_2$-GPI was associated with transient ischemic attack (OR 2.64, p = 0.04), but not significantly with venous or arterial thrombosis (Table 1).

Relationship of IgM anti-ß$_2$-GPI to renal disease. IgM antibodies to ß$_2$-GPI had a significant negative correlation with renal damage (p = 0.019). The presence of IgM anti-ß$_2$-GPI was significantly less frequent in patients with SLE who had a history of proteinuria (OR 0.57, 95% CI 0.37–0.87; p = 0.009), nephrotic syndrome (OR 0.54, 95% CI 0.29–0.98; p = 0.049), and renal SLE (OR 0.58, 95% CI 0.39–0.87; p = 0.010; Table 2). In addition, IgM anti-ß$_2$-GPI was negatively associated with hypertension (OR 0.58, 95% CI 0.39–0.86; p = 0.008). After adjustment for ethnicity, all results remained statistically significant except for nephrotic syndrome. After adjustment for anti-dsDNA and low complement, IgM anti-ß$_2$-GPI remained protective against lupus nephritis (p = 0.0003) and against nephrotic syndrome (p = 0.0085).

IgM anti-ß$_2$-GPI was protective against renal damage (p = 0.042, adjusted for race), but not against other organ damage (damage score excluding renal damage 1.88 vs 1.75; p = 0.53).

DISCUSSION

As expected, we found that IgM anti-ß$_2$-GPI was highly associated with the LAC (p = 0.0232) and anticardiolipin (p < 0.0001). However, it was associated only with transient ischemic attack (OR 2.64, 95% CI 1.11–6.27; p = 0.04), and was not significantly associated with venous or arterial thrombosis. Similar to our results, Lakos and colleagues also described the correlation between IgM anti-ß$_2$-GPI antibodies and thrombotic manifestations of APS as weak[25]. They found that IgM anti-ß$_2$-GPI was associated only with thrombocytopenia and heart valve disease, with no significant relationship found between IgM anti-ß$_2$-GPI and thrombosis[25]. Amoroso, *et al* detected IgM anti-ß$_2$-GPI in 16% of 87

patients with SLE, with no association found with thrombosis (p = 0.08) or thrombocytopenia (p = 0.47)[26]. In contrast, Tsutsumi and colleagues found a significant relationship between the occurrence of IgM anti-ß$_2$-GPI and a history of deep vein thrombosis in their Japanese SLE cohort[15]. Our results, in the largest SLE sample to date, strongly suggest that IgM anti-ß$_2$-GPI should not be part of the thrombosis APS classification criterion for patients with SLE.

We found that IgM anti-ß$_2$-GPI protected against nephritis and renal damage in SLE. This negative association persisted when adjustment was made for ethnicity. The apparent protection, as well, against hypertension could reflect the lower frequency of nephritis. Antiphospholipid antibodies have been associated with thrombotic findings on renal biopsies. Indeed, several studies have found that aPL increase the risk of lupus nephropathy[27,28,29,30]. However, these studies did not include anti-ß$_2$-GPI.

Most studies found no relationship between aPL and lupus nephritis[9,13,14,15,31,32,33,34,35]. Two studies did find a negative association of aPL and lupus renal disease. In a study of 92 patients with SLE, Weidmann, *et al* found that 4 of 6 aPL were negatively associated with lupus nephritis[36]. Alarcon-Segovia, *et al* found that APS (rather than aPL) was protective against nephrotic syndrome[8]. Urinary loss of aPL did not completely explain the inverse relationship between nephrotic syndrome and aPL, especially of the IgM isotype[37].

IgM autoantibodies have been hypothesized to have a protective effect as "natural" autoantibodies[38]. The exact mechanism of the protective effect remains to be explored[39]. Our study proves, for the first time, the protective effect of IgM anti-ß$_2$-GPI in SLE.

REFERENCES

1. Alarcon-Segovia D, Deleze M, Oria CV, Sanchez-Guerrero J, Gomez-Pacheco L, Cabiedes J, et al. Antiphospholipid antibodies and the antiphospholipid syndrome in systemic lupus erythematosus: a prospective analysis of 500 consecutive patients. Medicine 1989;68:353-65.
2. Cervera R, Khamashta MA, Font J, Sebastiani GD, Gil A, Lavilla P, et al. Systemic lupus erythematosus: clinical and immunological patterns of disease expression in a cohort of 1000 patients. Medicine 1993;72:113-24.
3. Love PE, Santoro SA. Antiphospholipid antibodies: anticardiolipin and the lupus anticoagulant in systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) and in non-SLE disorders. Ann Intern Med 1990;112:682-98.
4. Merkel PA, Chang YC, Pierangeli SS, Convery K, Harris EN, Polisson RP. The prevalence and clinical associations of anticardiolipin antibodies in a large inception cohort of patients with connective tissue diseases. Am J Med 1996;10:576-83.
5. Petri M. Epidemiology of the antiphospholipid syndrome. J Autoimmun 2000;15:145-51.
6. Tubach F, Hayem G, Marchand JL, Weber M, Palazzo E, de Bandt M, et al. IgG anti-ß2-glycoprotein I antibodies in adult patients with systemic lupus erythematosus: prevalence and diagnostic value for the antiphospholipid syndrome. J Rheumatol 2000;27:1437-43.
7. Wong KL, Liu HW, Ho K, Chan K, Wong R. Anticardiolipin antibodies and lupus anticoagulant in Chinese patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. J Rheumatol 1991;18:1187-92.

Table 1. Associations of antiphospholipid antibodies, by isotype, in systemic lupus erythematosus.

Factor	Antibody-negative, %	Antibody-positive, %	p	OR (95% CI)
IgM aCL				
Transient ischemic attack	2	6	0.0389	2.44 (1.09–5.42)
Superficial thrombophlebitis	4	5	0.5350	1.30 (0.60–2.83)
Deep venous thrombosis	12	14	0.5515	1.14 (0.72–1.81)
Other venous thrombosis	3	6	0.0738	1.95 (0.96–3.97)
Stroke	7	10	0.3102	1.32 (0.76–2.28)
Myocardial infarction	3	1	0.3110	0.45 (0.13–1.54)
IgG aCL				
Transient ischemic attack	2	6	0.0381	2.47 (1.11–5.50)
Superficial thrombophlebitis	3	5	0.1478	1.76 (0.83–3.71)
Deep venous thrombosis	10	20	0.0005	2.21 (1.43–3.40)
Other venous thrombosis	3	8	0.0045	2.89 (1.43–5.83)
Stroke	7	11	0.1423	1.54 (0.90–2.63)
Myocardial infarction	2	3	0.8044	1.11 (0.42–2.92)
IgA aCL				
Transient ischemic attack	3	4	0.4757	1.43 (0.42–4.92)
Superficial thrombophlebitis	4	4	0.7395	1.17 (0.35–3.97)
Deep venous thrombosis	12	21	0.0538	1.92 (1.02–3.61)
Other venous thrombosis	3	15	0.0002	5.26 (2.39–11.6)
Stroke	8	13	0.1047	1.86 (0.88–3.96)
Myocardial infarction	3	1	1.0000	0.55 (0.07–4.14)
IgM anti-ß$_2$-GPI				
Transient ischemic attack	3	7	0.0430	2.64 (1.11–6.27)
Superficial thrombophlebitis	3	6	0.1220	1.99 (0.86–4.57)
Deep venous thrombosis	13	12	1.0000	0.94 (0.52–1.69)
Other venous thrombosis	4	5	0.6335	1.18 (0.48–2.92)
Stroke	8	10	0.4729	1.27 (0.66–2.46)
Myocardial infarction	3	1	0.2286	0.27 (0.04–2.06)
IgG anti-ß$_2$-GPI				
Transient ischemic attack	3	10	0.0374	3.69 (1.21–11.3)
Superficial thrombophlebitis	3	12	0.0197	3.78 (1.37–10.4)
Deep venous thrombosis	12	30	0.0013	3.33 (1.67–6.62)
Other venous thrombosis	4	12	0.0293	3.36 (1.23–9.17)
Stroke	8	16	0.0730	2.39 (1.02–5.61)
Myocardial infarction	3	2	1.0000	0.91 (0.12–6.95)
IgA anti-ß$_2$-GPI				
Transient ischemic attack	3	6	0.0707	2.30 (1.00–5.31)
Superficial thrombophlebitis	4	4	0.6466	1.20 (0.51–2.85)
Deep venous thrombosis	11	20	0.0031	2.08 (1.31–3.30)
Other venous thrombosis	4	4	0.8273	1.06 (0.45–2.49)
Stroke	7	12	0.0502	1.79 (1.01–3.15)
Myocardial infarction	3	1	0.3968	0.43 (0.10–1.87)
Lupus anticoagulant				
Transient ischemic attack	2	7	0.0004	4.55 (1.98–10.4)
Superficial thrombophlebitis	3	5	0.2212	1.63 (0.77–3.43)
Deep venous thrombosis	6	27	< 0.0001	5.91 (3.75–9.32)
Other venous thrombosis	2	10	< 0.0001	5.20 (2.48–10.9)
Stroke	5	14	< 0.0001	2.90 (1.72–4.89)
Myocardial infarction	2	3	0.3228	1.61 (0.65–4.01)

aCL: anticardiolipin antibodies; anti-ß$_2$-GPI: anti-ß$_2$ glycoprotein I.

8. Alarcon-Segovia D, Perez-Vazquez ME, Villa AR, Drenkard C, Cabiedes J. Preliminary classification criteria for the antiphospholipid syndrome within systemic lupus erythematosus. Semin Arthritis Rheum 1992;21:275-86.
9. Loizou S, Samarkos M, Norsworthy PJ, Cazabon JK, Walport MJ, Davies KA. Significance of anticardiolipin and anti-beta2-glycoprotein I antibodies in lupus nephritis. Rheumatology 2000;39:962-8.
10. Piette JC, Cacoub P, Wechsler B. Renal manifestations of the antiphospholipid syndrome. Semin Arthritis Rheum 1994;23:357-66.
11. Piette JC, Kleinknecht D, Bach JF. Renal manifestations in the antiphospholipid syndrome. In: Asherson RA, Cervera R, Piette JC, Shonfeld Y, editors. The antiphospholipid syndrome. Boca Raton: CRC; 1996:169-81.
12. Bastian HM, Alarcon GS, Roseman JM, McGwin G Jr, Vilá LM, Fessler BJ, et al. Systemic lupus erythematosus in a multiethnic US cohort (LUMINA) XL II: factors predictive of new or worsening

Table 2. Relationship of IgM anti-ß$_2$ glycoprotein I to systemic lupus erythematosus renal disease.

Clinical Manifestation	IgM Anti-ß$_2$-GPI-positive	IgM Anti-ß$_2$-GPI-negative	p	OR (95% CI)	Adjusted p (for race)
Proteinuria, %	28	41	0.009	0.57 (0.37–0.87)	0.035
Nephrotic syndrome, %	10	18	0.049	0.54 (0.29–0.98)	0.108
Renal SLE, %	33	46	0.010	0.58 (0.39–0.87)	0.022
Hypertension, %	35	48	0.008	0.58 (0.39–0.86)	0.003
SLICC/ACR Damage Index					
Persistent proteinuria, %	2	8	0.007	0.19 (0.05–0.79)	0.043
Total renal damage score	0.05 ± 0.34	0.19 ± 0.64	0.019		0.042

Anti-ß$_2$-GPI: anti-ß$_2$ glycoprotein I; SLE: systemic lupus erythematosus; SLICC/ACR: Systemic Lupus International Collaborating Clinics/American College of Rheumatology.

proteinuria. Rheumatology 2007;46:683-9.

13. Fofi C, Cuadrado MJ, Godfrey T, Abbs I, Khamashta MA, Hughes GR. Lack of association between antiphospholipid antibody and WHO classification in lupus nephritis. Clin Exp Rheumatol 2001;19:75-7.

14. Frampton G, Hicks J, Cameron JS. Significance of antiphospholipid antibodies in patients with lupus nephritis. Kidney Int 1991;39:1225-31.

15. Tsutsumi A, Matsuura E, Ichikawa K, Fujisaku A, Mukai M, Kobayashi S, et al. Antibodies to beta2-glycoprotein I and clinical manifestations in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. Arthritis Rheum 1996;39:1466-74.

16. Miyakis S, Lockshin MD, Atsumi T, Branch DW, Brey RL, Cervera R, et al. International consensus statement on an update of the classification criteria for definite antiphospholipid syndrome (APS). J Thromb Haemost 2006;4:295-306.

17. Tan EM, Cohen AS, Fries JF, Masi AT, McShane DJ, Rothfield NF, et al. The 1982 revised criteria for the classification of systemic lupus erythematosus. Arthritis Rheum 1982;25:1271-7.

18. Hochberg MC. Updating the American College of Rheumatology revised criteria for the classification of systemic lupus erythematosus [letter]. Arthritis Rheum 1997;40:1725.

19. Petri M, Rheinschmidt M, Whiting-O'Keefe Q, Hellmann D, Corash L. The frequency of lupus anticoagulant in systemic lupus erythematosus. A study of sixty consecutive patients by activated partial thromboplastin time, Russell viper venom time, and cardiolipin antibody level. Ann Intern Med 1987;106:524-31.

20. Somers E, Magder LS, Petri M. Antiphospholipid antibodies and incidence of venous thrombosis in a cohort of patients with SLE. J Rheumatol 2002;29:2531-6.

21. Bombardier C, Gladman DD, Chang CH, Urowitz MB, and the Committee on Prognosis Studies in SLE. Development of the Disease Activity Index: the SLEDAI. Arthritis Rheum 1992;35:630-40.

22. Petri M, Kim MY, Kalunian KC, Grossman J, Hahn BH, Sammaritano LR, et al. Combined oral contraceptives in women with systemic lupus erythematosus. N Engl J Med 2005;353:2550-8.

23. Gladman D, Ginzler E, Goldsmith C, Fortin P, Liang M, Urowitz M, et al. Systemic lupus international collaborative clinics: Development of a damage index in systemic lupus erythematosus. J Rheumatol 1992;29:1820-1.

24. Petri M, Nelson L, Weimer F, Anderson D, Darlington T, Corash L. The automated modified Russell viper venom time test for the lupus anticoagulant. J Rheumatol 1991;18:1823-5.

25. Lakos G, Kiss E, Regeczy P, Tarján P, Soltész P, Zeher M, et al. Isotype distribution and clinical relevance of anti-beta2-glycoprotein I antibodies: importance of IgA isotype. Clin Exp Immunol 1999;117:574-9.

26. Amoroso A, Mitterhofer AP, Del Porto F, Garzia P, Ferri GM, Galluzzo S, et al. Antibodies to anionic phospholipids and anti-beta2-GPI: association with thrombosis and thrombocytopenia in systemic lupus erythematosus. Hum Immunol 2003;64:265-73.

27. Tektonidou MG, Sotsiou F, Nakopoulou L, Vlachoyiannopoulos PG, Moutsopoulos HM. Antiphospholipid syndrome nephropathy in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus and antiphospholipid antibodies. Arthritis Rheum 2004;50:2569-79.

28. Moroni G, Ventura D, Riva P, Panzeri P, Quaglini S, Banfi G, et al. Antiphospholipid antibodies are associated with an increased risk for chronic renal insufficiency in patients with lupus nephritis. Am J Kidney Dis 2004;43:28-36.

29. Varela DC, Quintana G, Somers EC, Rojas-Villarraga A, Espinosa G, Hincapie ME, et al. Delayed lupus nephritis. Ann Rheum Dis 2008;67:1044-6.

30. Ishii Y, Nagasawa K, Mayumi T, Niho Y. Clinical importance of persistence of anticardiolipin antibodies in systemic lupus erythematosus. Ann Rheum Dis 1990;49:387-90.

31. Farrugia E, Torres VE, Gastineau D, Michet CJ, Holley KE. Lupus anticoagulant in systemic lupus erythematosus: a clinical and renal pathologic study. Am J Kidney Dis 1992;20:463-71.

32. Perdiguero M, Boronat M, Marco P, Rivera F. The role of antiphospholipid antibodies in lupus nephropathy. Nephron 1995;71:35-9.

33. Natejumnong C, Ruangkanchanasetr P, Aimpun P, Supaporn T. Significance of antiphospholipid antibodies in lupus nephritis. J Med Assoc Thai 2006;89 Suppl 2:121-8.

34. Hill DS, Nochy D. Antiphospholipid syndrome in systemic lupus erythematosus. J Am Soc Nephrol 2007;18:2461-4.

35. Cohen D, Koopmans M, Kremer-Hovinga IC, Berger SP, Roos van Groningen M, Steup-Beekman GM, et al. Potential for glomerular C4d as an indicator of thrombotic microangiopathy in lupus nephritis. Arthritis Rheum 2008;58:2460-9.

36. Weidmann CE, Wallace DJ, Peter JB, Knight PJ, Bear MB, Klinenberg JR. Studies of IgG, IgM and IgA antiphospholipid antibody isotypes in systemic lupus erythematosus. Ann Rheum Dis 1988;49:387-90.

37. Perez Vazquez ME, Cabiedes J, Cabral RA, Alarcon-Segovia D. Decrease in serum antiphospholipid levels upon development of nephrotic syndrome in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus: relationship to urinary loss of IgG and other factors. Am J Med 1992;92:357-62.

38. Shaw PX, Horkko S, Chang MK, Curtiss LK, Palinski W, Silverman GJ, et al. Natural antibodies with the T15 idiotype may act in atherosclerosis, apoptotic clearance, and protective immunity. J Clin Invest 2000;105:1731-40.

39. Silverman GJ, Srikrishnan R, Germar K, Goodyear CS, Andrews KA, Ginzler EM, et al. Genetic imprinting of autoantibody repertoires in systemic lupus erythematosus patients. Clin Exp Immunol 2008;153:102-16.