Dr. Christiansen, et al, reply

ALICE ASHOURI CHRISTIANSEN, KASPAR RUFIBACH and ULRICH WEBER

J Rheumatol 2017;44;958
http://www.jrheum.org/content/44/6/958

1. Sign up for TOCs and other alerts
   http://www.jrheum.org/alerts

2. Information on Subscriptions
   http://jrheum.com/faq

3. Information on permissions/orders of reprints
   http://jrheum.com/reprints_permissions

*The Journal of Rheumatology* is a monthly international serial edited by Earl D. Silverman featuring research articles on clinical subjects from scientists working in rheumatology and related fields.
We thank Dr. Sabour¹ and Dr. Rothschild² for their interest in our manuscript³.

We acknowledge that $\kappa$ statistics depend on the prevalence of the variable under investigation and we have made this transparent. This limitation becomes relevant when comparing results across multiple studies. However, we use $\kappa$ to assess which of several variables similarly assessed on the same patients provide sufficient agreement, i.e., we primarily used $\kappa$ to order lesion types. This implies that the actual value of $\kappa$ is of minor importance and the above limitation does not alter the conclusion of our paper. Moreover, interpretation of $\kappa$ statistics should be made after considering the characteristics of the data. We presented $\kappa$ values along with positive and negative percent agreements, thus allowing readers to make a fully informed judgement. Others have suggested to examine the prevalence and bias indexes and to adjust $\kappa$ accordingly, resulting in an adjusted coefficient referred to as PABAK (prevalence-adjusted bias-adjusted kappa)⁴. However, this has resulted in criticism because it has been shown that the PABAK adjustment produces inflated positive $\kappa$ scores in cases of prevalence issues and negative $\kappa$ scores in cases of bias issues, leading to the conclusion that $\kappa$ values should remain unadjusted and be reported alongside the proportional agreement⁵.

Our article focuses on providing statistical inference by giving CI for the quantities of interest. The only instance where we claim a result to be “statistically significant,” but on the interpretation of the estimated OR of 13.5 with model. However, our interpretation does not rely on this perceived “statistically significant” if a p value is ≤ 0.05 is for the generalized linear mixed family-wise error rate for performing 500 tests (which is a number of relevance over statistical significance. Even if we had Bonferroni-corrected which variables were “significant” in Table 3 of our article would remain.

We believe our conclusions can be drawn from the data provided and do not agree with Dr. Sabour¹ that our analysis, if properly interpreted, may lead to mismanagement and misdiagnosis of patients.

We agree with Dr. Rothschild² that recognition of spondyloarthritis remains a complex process of composite deduction based on complementary information obtained from clinical, laboratory, and imaging assessment⁶. Our study is in support of previous reports that radiography of the sacroiliac joints has a limited involvement in assessment of patients with back pain clinically suspected to have early spondyloarthritis. Whether early recognition of this multifaceted disorder might be enhanced by expanded clinical evaluation, considering also response to treatment, remains to be shown in the future.
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