Back to Basics: Clinical versus Radiologic Recognition of Spondyloarthropathy

To the Editor:

The report by Christiansen, *et al*¹ again emphasizes one of the limitations to clinical application of our diagnostic paradigms. Sacroiliac joints (SIJ) have highly irregular contours with 3-D topography². Imaging artifacts related to the limited resolution of computed tomography (related to the subchondral bone thickness of SIJ) compromises its reliability in identifying or excluding not only erosions, but also bridging or fusion^{3,4}. Standard radiographs also have limited resolution, but no averaging artifact. One would anticipate that they would provide clarity, and they generally do for most joints. SIJ are an exception^{1,3}. The irregular characteristic of the joint and its positioning outside of visualization on standard anterior-posterior and lateral views are thought responsible for erroneous perspectives of the “health” of the joint⁵. However, experimentation with alternative views (Table 1) failed to provide a solution³.

The category of disease inclusive of ankylosing spondylitis (AS) and spondyloarthropathy (SpA) in general is a construct. Clinical, laboratory, and radiologic findings have been used to facilitate its recognition. However, it is perhaps useful to examine the clinical purpose of categorization. Is it not to define a clinical population that would be anticipated to respond to a specific course of treatment?

Back pain that is worse with immobility, improved with activity, and associated with significant morning stiffness is highly characteristic, although arguably not definitive for the diagnosis of AS or at least the axial presentation of SpA⁶. If radiologic findings are not always reliable^{1,3}, the value of HLA-B27 positivity is limited by its high presence in healthy

individuals⁷, and acute-phase reactants are variable⁸, is therapeutic response an alternative diagnostic consideration? If the patient’s pain complaints respond to an extension exercise program (with avoidance of flexion activities), the diagnosis of SpA is highly likely⁹. After all, we are clinicians and from a clinical perspective, the patient’s problem has been resolved.

BRUCE ROTHSCILD, MD, Professor of Medicine, West Virginia University, and Research Associate, Carnegie Museum, Morgantown, West Virginia, USA. Address correspondence to Dr. B. Rothschild, Department of Medicine, West Virginia University School of Medicine, Morgantown, West Virginia 26506, USA. E-mail: spondylair@gmail.com

REFERENCES

1. Christiansen AA, Hendricks O, Kuettel D, Hørslev-Petersen K, Jurik AG, Nielsen S, et al. Limited reliability of radiographic assessment of sacroiliac joints in patients with suspected early spondyloarthritis. *J Rheumatol* 2017;44:70-7.

2. Solonen KA. The sacroiliac joint in the light of anatomical, roentgenological and clinical studies. *Acta Orthop Scand Suppl* 1957;27:1-127.

3. Rothschild BM, Poteat GB, Williams E, Crawford WL. Inflammatory sacroiliac joint pathology: evaluation of radiologic assessment techniques. *Clin Exp Rheum* 1994;12:267-74.

4. Lawson TL, Foley WD, Carrera GF, Berland LL. The sacroiliac joints: anatomic, plain roentgenographic, and computed tomographic analysis. *J Comput Assist Tomogr* 1982;6:307-14.

5. Yazici H, Turunç M, Ozdoğan H, Yurdakul S, Akinci A, Barnes CG. Observer variation in grading sacroiliac radiographs might be a cause of ‘sacroiliitis’ reported in certain disease states. *Ann Rheum Dis* 1987;46:139-45.

6. Inman R, Sieper J. Oxford textbook of axial spondyloarthritis. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2016.

7. Sheehan NJ. The ramifications of HLA-B27. *J R Soc Med* 2004;97:10-14.

8. Laurent MR, Panayi GS. Acute-phase proteins and serum immunoglobulins in ankylosing spondylitis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 1983;42:524-8.

9. Rothschild B. Mechanical/enthesial origin for ankylosing spondylitis axial involvement? Clues from a therapeutic viewpoint. *J Arthritis* 2014;3:120.

J Rheumatol 2017;44:6; doi:10.3899/jrheum.170164

Table 1. Radiologic views for sacroiliac joint visualization³.

Anterior-posterior (AP)
30° cephalad-angulated AP
15° cephalad-angulated AP
30° caudally angulated posterior-anterior
Obliques
Stereoscopic
Tomograms
CT scans
Fluoroscopy

CT: computed tomography.