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Compositional Magnetic Resonance Imaging Measures of Cartilage — Endpoints for Clinical Trials of Disease-modifying Osteoarthritis Drugs?

Because compositional magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) techniques enable detection of biochemical and microstructural changes in the cartilage extracellular matrix before gross morphological changes occur, they may be useful as outcome measures for clinical trials focusing on early and potentially reversible disease stages. To date, many of these compositional magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) techniques have not been thoroughly validated in human patients with osteoarthritis (OA) and thus are not presently in routine clinical use. Therefore compositional MRI techniques have rarely been applied in clinical trials, but they have been used with increasing frequency in OA research for “premorphologic” evaluation of cartilage. Techniques comprise relaxometry measurements (T2, T2* and T1rho mapping) including T2* mapping with ultrashort echo-time imaging, sodium imaging, delayed gadolinium-enhanced MRI of cartilage (dGEMRIC), magnetization transfer contrast and glycosaminoglycan (GAG)-specific chemical exchange saturation transfer (gagCEST), diffusion-weighted imaging, and diffusion tensor imaging. Moreover, they seem to have the potential to serve as quantitative, reproducible, non-invasive, and objective endpoints for OA research, particularly in early and preradiographic stages of the disease. Table 1 summarizes available compositional MRI techniques in the context of OA research. Below we describe recent evidence regarding their potential utility.

Numerous clinical studies using T2 mapping have shown that subjects with knee pain have elevated T2 values. Other studies have also associated T2 values with risk factors for OA including age, sex, obesity, and physical activity levels. One study suggested that addition of a T2 mapping sequence to a routine MRI protocol at 3.0 T improved sensitivity in the detection of cartilage lesions in the knee joint, with only a slight reduction in specificity. A more recent study showed that higher T2 values at baseline predicted disease onset in a cohort of subjects at risk for OA.

Clinical studies using T2* mapping to study OA are scarce and offer conflicting results, despite the advantages inherent in 3-D acquisition of T2* sequences. One study of symptomatic and asymptomatic subjects with cavovarus malalignment of the ankle found that T2* values were higher in symptomatic patients than in asymptomatic volunteers. In contrast, in patients with endstage knee OA who had total knee arthroplasty, T2* values of knee articular cartilage decreased with increasing histologic cartilage degeneration. Thus, the utility of T2* mapping remains to be determined.

It has been suggested that T1rho imaging may be more sensitive than T2 mapping for differentiating between normal cartilage and early stage OA. A recent study compared parallel changes of quantitative T2, T1rho, and dGEMRIC mapping of human cartilage; T1rho and dGEMRIC mapping seemed to be more sensitive to early stages of cartilage degeneration than quantitative T2.

Another technique, dGEMRIC, is sensitive to cartilage proteoglycan GAG content and may predict the development of OA. It was recently demonstrated that dGEMRIC indices in medial tibiofemoral compartments decrease as the radiographic Kellgren-Lawrence grade increases. Six weeks of immobilization has been shown to result in biochemical changes in the cartilage that are measurable by dGEMRIC. A longitudinal study showed that lower baseline T1Gd values using dGEMRIC in medial and lateral femoral cartilage were associated with a higher grade of joint space narrowing after 11 years, and also with development of osteophytes. Another study found high-grade medial meniscal damage to be associated with lower dGEMRIC indices in the medial tibiofemoral compartment. Longitudinal changes reported in dGEMRIC indices over a 1-year period and their relationship with changes in cartilage thickness in the tibiofemoral compartments evaluated after 2 years demonstrated that a decrease in dGEMRIC indices over 1 year was associated with increased cartilage thickness after 2 years (Figure 1). Because the majority of the sample in that study had no radiographic OA or mild radiographic OA, the decrease in dGEMRIC (i.e., decrease in GAG) longitudinally was thought to be associated with cartilage swelling, representing initial degeneration of cartilage. However, to date, there has been no strong evidence that changes in dGEMRIC over time predict the incidence or progression of cartilage loss. Of note, Figure 1 illustrates that concurrent degeneration of articular cartilage and meniscus is demonstrable by dGEMRIC, consistent with a recent report.

Clinical studies using sodium MRI are limited. A feasibility study found a higher mean fixed-charge density in healthy individuals compared to symptomatic early OA subjects, in whom proteoglycan loss was indicated. One study reported that the sodium concentration in both
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Table 1. Summary of compositional MRI techniques.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>Cartilage Component Assessed</th>
<th>Strengths</th>
<th>Limitations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T2 mapping</td>
<td>Collagen network, water content, isotropy</td>
<td>Well validated. Compatible with most MR systems. No need for contrast administration</td>
<td>Long acquisition times using multiecho spin echo sequence; cannot assess calcified cartilage at osteochondral junction, nonspecific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2* mapping</td>
<td>Collagen network, water content</td>
<td>Faster acquisition than T2 mapping because of 3-D acquisition. No need for contrast administration</td>
<td>Not fully validated. Susceptible to postoperative magnetic field inhomogeneities and magic angle effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1rho mapping</td>
<td>Collagen network, GAG</td>
<td>Sensitive to early cartilage degeneration. May complement T2/T2* mapping. No need for contrast administration</td>
<td>Non-specific for cartilage components assessed. Special pulse sequences available only at a few academic institutions. Acquisition can be time-consuming</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium imaging</td>
<td>GAG</td>
<td>Correlates directly with GAG content. No need for contrast administration</td>
<td>Requires specialized hardware. Long examination times. Low spatial resolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dGEMRIC</td>
<td>GAG</td>
<td>Indirect assessment of GAG content. Well validated</td>
<td>Need intravenous contrast and delay between injection and imaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTC and gagCEST</td>
<td>GAG</td>
<td>No need for contrast administration</td>
<td>Difficult to implement owing to technical complexity. Requires high-field MRI. Not fully validated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffusion-weighted imaging</td>
<td>Collagen network, GAG</td>
<td>Provides additional information regarding cartilage microstructure</td>
<td>Susceptible to movement artifacts. Low spatial resolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultrasound TE imaging</td>
<td>Collagen network, water content, GAG</td>
<td>Can be used to assess tissue with intrinsic short T2 such as cartilage near osteochondral junction. Imaging Can demonstrate calcified cartilage as a curvilinear increased signal, superficial to the subchondral bone</td>
<td>Special pulse sequences only available at a few academic institutions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MRI: magnetic resonance imaging; GAG: glycosaminoglycans; dGEMRIC: delayed gadolinium-enhanced MRI of cartilage; gagCEST: GAG chemical exchange saturation transfer; MTC: magnetization transfer contrast; TE: echo time.

healthy and OA knees at 7T imaging with fluid-suppressed sodium MRI can be used for detection of OA with good sensitivity and good specificity. Although diffusion imaging may supplement other quantitative techniques for evaluating cartilage, clinical studies that use the technique are rare. However, in 1 study, diffusion tensor imaging showed excellent reproducibility and may be able to differentiate healthy from OA subjects. Clinical studies examining the potential role of gagCEST in the assessment of OA-related cartilage degeneration are lacking. Feasibility studies using in vivo gagCEST have shown gagCEST MRI to be sensitive to GAG levels in the cartilage. The value of this technique in assessment of OA-related cartilage degeneration needs further study.

Some methodological issues regarding application of compositional MRI techniques in OA studies need to be considered. Biomechanical loading or physical stress applied to cartilage may alter measurements of the quantitative composition of cartilage in some techniques, such as T2 mapping and dGEMRIC. Thus, the physical activities of subjects before undergoing compositional MRI may have an effect on the results; however, to date, there is no consensus on how subjects should be prepared. Also, there is no consensus on the best way to segment a defined region of interest within the articular cartilage for compositional analysis. Ideally, all articular cartilage of a defined anatomical structure (e.g., medial femur, tibia, etc.) should be segmented before values of quantitative compositional variables are extracted, which would then reflect the compositional status of the whole region. The use of one or only a few images displaying the articular cartilage from a region or joint of interest will not accurately reflect the compositional status of cartilage for a given region or joint. Further, to assess the predictive effect of cartilage composition in regard to longitudinal structural deterioration, a region-based analysis would be better than a whole joint-based analysis. For example, one would not expect a decrease in the GAG content of the cartilage matrix in the anterior region of the medial tibial plateau to cause cartilage loss in the posterior region of the lateral femoral condyle. This point is of the utmost importance for future studies using compositional MRI techniques. Finally, before the compositional status of cartilage can be put to use as a biomarker for clinical trials involving disease-modifying OA drugs, the predictive effect of longitudinal compositional changes on the incidence or progression of structural deterioration — not just their effect on baseline values or indices — will need to be shown. There has been no strong evidence of such a relationship.

There are limitations of compositional MRI techniques that need to be overcome before they can be applied in larger
clinical trials. First, it is currently difficult to duplicate the exact sequence after a few years because of changes in equipment, making long-term follow-up studies difficult. Second, it is difficult to define the threshold of “pathologic value” for indices of cartilage biochemical composition (e.g., T2, dGEMRIC index) because of large inter- and intra-individual variations. Third, although compositional imaging techniques have been explored in other fields such as imaging of cartilage repair and rheumatoid arthritis, there has been no major breakthrough despite availability of these techniques for 2 decades. This may be a reflection of the aforementioned limitations and perhaps due in part to technical difficulties and limited availability of advanced MRI scanners. At least 1 study, however, reported moderate to excellent reproducibility for T1rho and T2 mapping in a multicenter setting. The study included large differences, with intraclass correlation coefficients ranging from 0.61 to 0.98 and root-mean-square coefficients of variation ranging from 4%

Figure 1. Compositional MRI as a tool to detect longitudinal changes in cartilage matrix over 2 years. Sagittal 3-D inversion recovery-prepared SPRG with selective water excitation images of the medial tibiofemoral compartment acquired 90 min after intravenous injection of gadolinium for dGEMRIC (GAG) assessment at baseline (A), 3 months (B), 1 year (C), and 2 years (D). Baseline assessment (A) shows homogeneous and higher dGEMRIC indices according to the color-coded map within the femoral and tibial cartilage. A progressive decrease in dGEMRIC indices at the central weight-bearing subregion of the medial femur, coded in red, is observed during follow-up, consistent with a decrease in GAG content (arrows, B, C, and D). Focal areas of decrease in dGEMRIC indices are apparent in the medial tibia at 2 years when compared to previous assessments (arrowheads). Note also a decrease in dGEMRIC indices in the medial meniscus over time. MRI: magnetic resonance imaging; dGEMRIC: delayed gadolinium-enhanced MRI of cartilage; GAG: glycosaminoglycan; SPGR: spoiled gradient echo. Panel C is from Crema, et al. Arthritis Rheumatol 2014;66:1517-24; with permission.
Compositional MRI techniques seem to have the potential to supplement clinical MRI sequences in identifying cartilage degeneration at an earlier stage than is possible today. Different techniques are complementary, in that some focus on isotropy or the collagen network (e.g., T2 mapping and T1rho) while others focus on tissue composition, e.g., dGEMRIC, that conveys information on the GAG concentration. So far, the applicability and responsiveness of these techniques to clinical or structural outcomes have not been well established. In addition to the different tissue components targeted by the different techniques, applicability and feasibility will play an important role in implementation in a larger clinical study, or eventually in clinical practice. While some, such as T2 mapping and dGEMRIC, are easily applied on standard clinical platforms using 1.5 or 3T systems, others require dedicated hardware or software. Compositional MRI techniques seem promising in terms of predicting structural and clinical outcomes relevant to OA research, at least for a short-term followup study of up to 1 year. At present, compositional MRI techniques are potentially helpful as adjunct or secondary outcome measures in short-term clinical trials involving OA.
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