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Antiphosphatidylserine/Prothrombin Antibodies in Systemic Lupus Erythematosus

To the Editor:

We read with interest the report by Akhter, et al1 on antiphosphatidylserine/prothrombin (aPS/PT) and IgA antiphospholipid assays in systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE). While we commend the authors on the number of patient samples investigated and fully agree on the importance of aPS/PT as markers of thrombosis in antiphospholipid syndrome (APS), we cannot overlook the weak design of the study.

The authors offer testing for aPS/PT as an “alternative” assay to predict risk of thrombosis in anticoagulated patients where, presumably, the lupus anticoagulant (LAC) testing is not valid. While the invalidity of the LAC testing in anticoagulated patients is a matter of debate2,3,4, Akhter, et al supported this hypothesis and found their conclusions in categordal data and OR obtained by univariate analysis, when comparing a population of LAC-positive versus LAC-negative patients. Although all other antibodies tested, such as anticardiolipin antibodies (aCL), anti-β2-GPI, IgG anti-β2-GPI DI, and IgA anti-β2-GPI D4/5, showed an increased OR for LAC, the authors arbitrarily chose to base their conclusions only on the aPS/PT findings, disregarding that anti-β2-GPI shows even higher significance than aPS/PT, when studying their association with thrombosis.

Moreover, the lack of data on the anticoagulant treatment, unfortunately, weakens even more the authors’ strong statements on the potential use of aPS/PT in this setting, because we are left in the dark on the number of patients receiving such treatment.

In 2010, Atsumi and Koike5 reported that most of the APS patients with aPS/PT from their cohort had a positive LAC (44/47 in the APS group but 6/11 in the non-APS group), regardless of their anticoagulation status, suggesting that aPS/PT could be a “confirming” assay for APS-associated LAC6.

Recently, we published our data on aPS/PT and its association with LAC7. Our results show that although the association of aPS/PT with thrombosis is stronger in patients with positivity for LAC, the risk of thrombosis is also increased in those without LAC but positive for aPS/PT. After multivariate analysis, our data confirm that the aPS/PT associations with clinical features of APS are independent of the presence of LAC. In addition, we evaluated the clinical accuracy of several aPL specificities, both individually and in combination, in a wide cohort of patients with SLE in an attempt to identify a panel of tests that could provide the best accuracy for diagnosing APS. We showed that a panel including LAC, anti-β2-GPI, and aPS/PT improved the diagnostic power and helped in stratifying the risk for each patient. Each further aPL positivity detection increased the risk for thrombosis, with OR ranging from 3 to 7 for the single positivity for anti-β2-GPI and aPS/PT, respectively, to 23 for the triple positivity including the LAC8. Even more, aPS/PT was 1 of the 6 variables along with arterial hypertension, hyperlipidemia, aCL, LAC, and anti-β2-GPI identified as independent risk factors for APS in the development of a quantitative risk score system, the so-called global APS score9.

Overall, we confirm the importance of aPS/PT testing in APS and we hope the authors are able to substantiate their findings after applying multivariate analysis in this very cohort. In addition, we do not propose testing for aPS/PT as an “alternative” but as an additional test for the recognition of the APS.
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