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Combination Biologic Treatment of Refractory Psoriasis and Psoriatic Arthritis

To the Editor:

The pathophysiology of psoriasis and psoriatic arthritis (PsA) is dependent on a multistep process that leads to chronic or recurrent inflammation. Blockade of cytokines, receptors, and coreceptors represents one approach to treating these diseases, but a significant number of patients have a recurrent course or a persistent disease process. A persistent process appears to suggest that blockade of individual inflammatory mediators is not enough to control the disease. On the other hand, among the patients who do respond to disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARD), the doses needed to control the disease sometimes are too high and may lead to severe adverse reactions. A different or complementary approach to conventional therapy is to use combination therapy that simultaneously targets different pathogenic mechanisms of the disease pathophysiology. The rationale of using a combination of biologic agents is based upon the complex pathogenic mechanisms involved in the disease process, in which Th1 and Th17 cells play major roles. It has been shown that keratinocytes and dendritic cells in psoriatic skin overproduce interleukin 23 (IL-23), which is one of the cytokines that regulates the Th17 cells, and IL-22 induces keratinocyte proliferation. In addition, clinical data show that tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) inhibitors reduce epidermal hyperplasia as well as synovitis/enthesitis by decreasing Th1 and Th17 cell responses.

The literature on combination biologic therapy for patients with autoimmune diseases is sparse, probably because the majority of cases are well controlled with 1 biologic agent alone or in combination with classic DMARD, and safety issues and adverse events are major concerns. We describe a patient with psoriasis and PsA refractory to standard treatment with DMARD alone or in combination with biological therapy. The patient responded to the combination of 2 biologic agents given concomitantly.

A 38-year-old white man, HLA-B27-positive, with a 20-year history of psoriasis and PsA involving peripheral and axial joints, was initially treated with topical steroids and phototherapy, with minimal skin response. He developed synovitis of small and large joints, as well as enthesitis and prolonged morning stiffness. Over the next 10 years he experienced multiple treatment regimens including nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs, DMARD such as methotrexate (MTX) and sulfasalazine alone or in combination with a biologic, and TNF-α inhibitors such as infliximab, adalimumab, and etanercept, with marginal improvement.

Because of the severity of skin involvement [body surface area 95%; Psoriasis Area and Severity Index (PASI) 92%], and nail, joint, and enthesal involvement, he became wheelchair-bound and unable to perform activities of daily living. Abatacept was given once a month for 18 months. At 3 months a slight beneficial effect in arthritis and skin was noted, but he was still unable to walk because of persistent synovitis and enthesitis of the right ankle. At that point etanercept was added once a week, resulting in significant joint and enthesal improvement, but still only a partial skin response. Because of the refractory skin and joint involvement, the decision was made to start ustekinumab, and the abatacept/etanercept combination was discontinued (Figure 1). This was followed within 2 to 4 weeks by significant skin and nail improvement (Figure 2). By the 4-week followup, however, the right ankle and knee had flared. Etanercept was reintroduced once a week, bringing the synovitis under control. The patient has been on this regimen for 11 months with significant improvement in the composite psoriatic disease activity index. No adverse events have occurred. He has gained 25 lb and is able to perform most activities of daily living including hunting and fishing. Laboratory results remained within normal limits (Tables 1 and 2).

Autoimmune and malignant diseases are complex disorders of unknown etiology whose therapy remains a challenge. They may eventually require novel therapeutic approaches that combine multiple agents, each with different modes of action. Advantages of such a strategy include the ability to enhance or synergize a therapeutic response and minimize toxicities. This approach is beginning to be explored in animal models and human disorders including rheumatoid arthritis (RA) and autoimmune diseases.

The combination of 2 biologic agents given simultaneously was initially conceived in the mid-2000s for patients with RA. The rationale was that the additive and/or synergistic effects in more than 1 biological pathway may translate into clinical improvement in patients unresponsive to the traditional therapeutic regimens.

Genovese and colleagues conducted a double-blind multicenter study...
of 244 patients who had active RA despite MTX treatment. Patients were assigned to 3 groups: etanercept 25 mg twice weekly alone, etanercept 25 mg once weekly plus anakinra 100 mg daily, and etanercept 25 mg twice weekly plus anakinra 100 mg daily. Patients were followed for 24 weeks.

The hypothesis of synergistic effects was not proved and no added efficacy was demonstrated by combination therapy. Etanercept alone achieved better American College of Rheumatology (ACR) 20, 50, and 70 responses, and there were few adverse events. In the combination group there was an increased rate of infections and reactions at the injection site.

Weinblatt and colleagues conducted a pilot phase IIb trial to evaluate the safety and clinical efficacy of abatacept 2 mg/kg plus etanercept 25 mg twice weekly in 121 patients with active RA despite continued etanercept.

Figure 1D. Abdominal and right upper extremity exhibiting extensive skin involvement prior to combination therapy.

Figure 1E. Skin, joint, and nail involvement prior to combination therapy.

Figure 1F. Right foot exhibiting severe skin, joint, and nail involvement prior to combination therapy.
Overall results showed no significant differences between the treatment group and placebo at 6 months and at 1 year in ACR 20, 50, and 70 response rates. There were no differences regarding safety at 6 months, but after 1 year there was a significant increase in the prevalence and frequency of serious adverse events, and combination therapy was stopped. Both Weinblatt, et al\(^1\) and Genovese, et al\(^1\) showed consistent results of no significant added efficacy on the primary measure (ACR response rates). Even the anakinra/etanercept trial showed a much lower efficacy.

**Figures 2A-2D.** (A, upper left) Significant clinical improvement following combination therapy. Patient is in a standing position and has experienced significant weight gain. (B, above) Facial view following combination therapy. (C, below left) Marked improvement of psoriatic skin involvement of hands. (D, below) Disappearance of anterior chest skin involvement following combination therapy.
when compared to etanercept alone, and there was a significant increase in safety issues, mainly infections.

Record, et al\textsuperscript{14} reported the first series of cases of combination therapy in patients with systemic juvenile idiopathic arthritis (sJIA), using anakinra and abatacept in 4 steroid-dependent patients with refractory sJIA, which allowed corticosteroid reduction while improving arthritis and systemic features of the disease. The patients have been followed up to 17 months and no infusion reactions or significant infections have been observed.

Recently, rituximab in combination with a TNF inhibitor and MTX was used in a group of patients with RA. There was no clear evidence of an efficacy advantage in patients receiving rituximab in combination with a TNF
efalizumab. There was good control of the synovitis but limited improvement in the skin with the TNF inhibitor18,19,20,21. In 2009 efalizumab was withdrawn from the US market because of a risk of progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy.

Combination biologic therapy has been reported in 3 cases with good skin and poor joint response for refractory psoriasis and PsA; however, the safety profile has not been as strong as with TNF inhibitors28. Further, patient reports are beginning to be published demonstrating very good skin clinical response associated with lack of articular response in PsA29. The combination, however, of ustekinumab with etanercept in our patient proved to be highly beneficial for both skin and joint inflammation. This result suggests that combined biologic agents with different modes of action may have a role in refractory psoriasis and PsA, while maintaining a good safety profile.

Longterm combination therapy of ustekinumab and etanercept in our patient was associated with a good safety profile. Hematological indices of anemia and thrombocytosis, hypoalbuminemia, and acute-phase reactants became normal with combination therapy. Neutropenia and leukopenia were not observed. Some concern, however, should be raised over the changes observed in serum lipid levels and the development of an atherogenic lipid profile (Table 2). Patients with psoriasis have an increased cardiovascular (CV) risk, and recent evidence has shown that IL-17 may have a protective effect in the pathogenesis of the atherosclerotic process. IL-17

Table 1. Laboratory indices and Composite Psoriatic Disease Activity Index (CPDAI) scores.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>June 2009</th>
<th>July 2010</th>
<th>January 2011</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H/H, g per dl/%</td>
<td>10.7/34.4</td>
<td>14.4/42.6</td>
<td>14.4/43.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelets, 000/µl</td>
<td>522</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin, g/l</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESR, mm/h</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP, mg/l</td>
<td>16.21</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPDAI</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

H/H: hemoglobin/hematocrit; ESR: erythrocyte sedimentation rate; CRP: C-reactive protein.

Table 2. Lipid profile measurements. All units of measurement are mg/dl.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>June 2009</th>
<th>January 2011</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HDL: high-density lipoprotein; LDL: low-density lipoprotein.

Table 3. Psoriasis (Ps) and psoriatic arthritis (PsA): combination biologic therapy reports.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. Patients</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Combination Therapy</th>
<th>Dose</th>
<th>Reason to Start Combination Therapy</th>
<th>Followup</th>
<th>SAE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lowes18</td>
<td>1 Ps</td>
<td>Efalizumab + infliximab</td>
<td>125 mg QW + 400 mg 0.2 Q6W</td>
<td>Recurrent psoriasis</td>
<td>4 mo</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamilton20</td>
<td>20 PsA</td>
<td>Efalizumab + etanercept/infliximab</td>
<td>1 mg/kg QW+ 25/50 mg QW or 5-6 mg/kg</td>
<td>Ps and PsA (efalizumab for skin, etanercept for joint)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adisen19</td>
<td>1 PsA</td>
<td>Efalizumab + etanercept</td>
<td>1 mg/kg QW+ 50 mg QW</td>
<td>PsA and PsA (etanercept)</td>
<td>6 wks</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kitamura21</td>
<td>1 PsA</td>
<td>Efalizumab + etanercept</td>
<td>80 mg QW+ 50 mg QW</td>
<td>Recalcitrant Ps and PsA unresponsive to conventional treatment</td>
<td>1 yr</td>
<td>TB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuchacovich, et al, 2011</td>
<td>1 PsA</td>
<td>Ustekinumab + etanercept</td>
<td>90 mg 0.4 Q4W + 50 mg QW</td>
<td>PsA and PsA unresponsive to 1 biologic agent alone</td>
<td>&gt; 1 yr</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

QW: once a week; SAE: serious adverse events; TB: tuberculosis; NA: not available.
neutralization leads to increased IFN-γ production in atherosclerotic blood vessels. Ustekinumab, by downregulating IL-17, may have a negative effect on the lipid profile. In addition, breikinumab (ABT-874) is another IL-12 and IL-23 inhibitor that was recently withdrawn from further clinical studies. Its efficacy for moderate to severe psoriasis had been shown to be comparable to that of ustekinumab. However, in trials, 11 major advance CV events occurred at a rate of < 0.3 events/100 patient-years in patients with 1 or fewer risk factors compared with > 2.0 events/100 patient-years in those with 2 or more risk factors. More recently, however, analyses of available data from phase II and III clinical trials for ustekinumab suggest neither a detrimental nor a beneficial effect of ustekinumab on serious CV events. Additional data are needed to define the net effect of ustekinumab on CV events.

Combination biologic therapy with ustekinumab and etanercept was shown to be highly effective for our patient with refractory PsA. At the 1-year followup, combination therapy was well tolerated and was not associated with serious toxicity. The safety profile, however, needs to be closely monitored, particularly concerning CV risk, in view of the observed changes in lipid profile. The mechanisms of action of combination therapy remain to be fully explained. There is a need for long-term, prospective studies with larger numbers of patients in order to fully assess efficacy and the safety profile.
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