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The Journal of Rheumatology is a monthly international serial edited by Earl D. Silverman featuring research articles on clinical subjects from scientists working in rheumatology and related fields.
We read with great interest the article by Jarrett, et al on a case series of 8 patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA) who developed vasculitic lesions while undergoing anti-tumor necrosis factor (TNF) therapy. The authors suggest this could indicate a drug-induced syndrome, and discuss various mechanisms through which anti-TNF agents could cause the development of vasculitis. They emphasize the importance of watchfulness, given the risk of rare but serious adverse events in patients receiving such therapy. While we agree that patients receiving such treatment should be carefully monitored, and all serious events noted, we think some of the conclusions drawn by the authors warrant further discussion.

It is well known that a subset of patients with RA develop severe extraarticular disease manifestations, including vasculitis. We recently reported that patients with progressive early arthritis, marked by early disability, are at increased risk of developing severe extraarticular manifestations. Most patients who receive TNF inhibitors have more severe and progressive disease, and have usually failed a number of other antirheumatic agents. This means that such patients would be expected to have an elevated baseline risk of developing RA-associated vasculitis. Indeed, the first 3 patients reported by Jarrett, et al have a clinical history compatible with systemic rheumatoid vasculitis. They all improved after treatment with cyclophosphamide and methylprednisolone, which has been shown to be an appropriate treatment for rheumatoid vasculitis. That infliximab was withheld prior to improvement thus certainly does not prove that the lesions were drug-induced. An alternative interpretation would be that although infliximab had a major effect on synovitis in 2 of the patients, it failed to prevent the development of vasculitis.

Of the remaining 5 patients, the diagnosis of vasculitis is doubtful in 3 cases. One patient developed a rash that is described as pustular, and another had extensive urticaria. No evidence for vasculitis is presented. A third patient had an episode of focal cerebral ischemia, which could be due to vasculitis, but also to a thromboembolic event on the basis of atherosclerotic vascular disease. Although cerebrovascular disease would, generally speaking, be unusual in a 45-year-old man, it has been shown that severe RA with persistently active disease predisposes to cardiovascular events. In any event, the proposed link to infliximab is by no means self-evident. It has recently been shown that the incidence of cardiovascular disease actually tends to be lower in patients treated with anti-TNF therapy than in patients with RA in general. This could reflect a beneficial effect of aggressive antirheumatic treatment on the risk of cardiovascular events in patients with RA.

Rheumatoid vasculitis may improve after anti-TNF therapy. Several case series of refractory rheumatoid vasculitis responding to TNF inhibition have recently been published. On the other hand, it has been reported that scleritis may develop in patients with RA whose joint disease was controlled by TNF-blocking agents. As in the first 3 cases reported by Jarrett, et al, the development of this severe extraarticular manifestation suggests that successful anti-TNF therapy for synovitis does not exclude the development of disease-associated extraarticular disease.

Leukocytoclastic vasculitis during treatment with infliximab has been reported in a patient with Crohn’s disease. This, and the very interesting recurrence of an infliximab-induced cutaneous vasculitic rash in 2 patients rechallenged with etanercept in the case series reported by Jarrett, et al, indicates that idiosyncratic vasculitic reactions may result from treatment with agents directed against TNF. Apparently, such reactions seem to be limited to cutaneous lesions. We suggest that so far no evidence has been presented for a direct role of TNF inhibitors in the development of systemic rheumatoid vasculitis with multiorgan involvement.

CARL TURESSON, MD, PHD; ERIC L. MATTESON, MD, MPH, Division of Rheumatology, Mayo Clinic College of Medicine, Rochester, Minnesota, USA. E-mail: turesson.carl@mayo.edu
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Dr. Jarrett, et al reply

To the Editor:

Dr. Bruyn makes an important point with respect to the cases of vasculitis. There was an overrepresentation of leflunomide partly because we were studying patients who had gone through an escalating protocol that ended with leflunomide. However, he is right to suggest that a specific role for leflunomide with vasculitis cannot be excluded. In view of this possibility we did stop leflunomide in nearly all cases, and in several cases washed-out with cholestyramine. These details were not included for the sake of brevity. Obviously the induction of this vasculitis may relate to the high prevalence of antinuclear antibodies seen in patients treated with leflunomide and infliximab.

Drs. Turesson and Matteson make some very interesting points regarding the development of vasculitis with anti-TNF therapy. We agree that certain of the patients described had severe rheumatoid arthritis (RA) that would have put them at risk of extraarticular manifestations. We also agree that as patients with severe longterm disease they would have failed multiple therapies. However, importantly, despite this long history of severe disease up until the time of anti-TNF therapy, these patients had had no significant extraarticular manifestations. Furthermore, these were dramatic examples of immune reactions. We have a very large catchment population and many thousand RA patients on therapy with severe disease. Despite this, the incidence of acute vasculitis has diminished dramatically, presumably due to more aggressive therapy, cessation of smoking, and other general improvements in health. The patients reported here represent a majority of the patients with recent presentations of vasculitis.

We specifically described Case 1 as rheumatoid vasculitis because of the long duration of disease, male sex, rheumatoid factor positivity, and nodular disease, all of which are significant risk factors for the development of vasculitis, and thus agree with Turesson, et al. We also made the point that in this case continuation of anti-TNF therapy may be desirable. We referred to correspondence from the drug company describing this phenomenon and referenced an article in which cutaneous vasculitis improved with alternative therapy. For Cases 2 and 3, anti-TNF improved the synovitis, but vasculitis still developed. Importantly, these cases developed early in the disease, i.e., within 12 months of onset of symptoms. There was a striking correlation between serological changes and development of vasculitis. In Cases 6 and 7 the diagnosis was made by clinicians experienced in the diagnosis of vasculitis, while in Case 8 the neuroradiologist diagnosed definite vasculitis, and an extensive search for thromboembolic causes was undertaken, with no significant risk factor identified in a previously fit 45-year-old.

In the two cases with biopsy-proven leukocytoclastic vasculitis — we agree that one patient appears to be a purely local reaction that recurred on rechallenge with a different agent; in the second case the rash was associated with a change in serology with the development of antinuclear factor and perinuclear anticytoplasmic antibodies (although we accept there was no change in PR3), which point to a systemic reaction.

The improvement with cyclophosphamide and methylprednisolone is unhelpful in distinguishing drug-induced from disease-related vasculitis. We also agree that the improvements seen on withholding a drug do not provide evidence for causation.

Overall, the cases presented were of a spectrum from vasculitic rashes to systemic vasculitides. We discussed the potential mechanisms and accepted that we could not ascribe causality to all. However, we were struck by the number of cases associated with anti-TNF therapy, especially against the falling incidence of rheumatoid vasculitis.

The fact that anti-TNF has been proposed as therapy for vasculitis was the major reason for publishing the clinical course of these patients. In support of the drug-induced nature of this disease was the change in auto-reactivity with development of autoantibodies compatible with a cause for the vasculitis. We feel it is important that clinicians are aware that a presentation of vasculitis in patients receiving TNF could be drug-induced.

STEPHEN J. JARRETT, BSc, MRCP, Research Fellow; GAYE CUNNANE, PhD, MRCP, Senior Lecturer in Rheumatology; PHILIP G. CONAGHAN, MBBS, FRACP, Senior Lecturer in Rheumatology; SARAH J. BINGHAM, MA, MRCP, Research Fellow; MAYA H. BUCH, MRCP, Research Fellow; MARK A. QUINN, MBBS, MRCP, Lecturer in Rheumatology; PAUL EMERY, MA, MD, FRCP, ARC, Professor of Rheumatology Lead Clinician, University of Leeds, Department of Rheumatology, Leeds General Infirmary, Great George Street, Leeds LS1 3EX, United Kingdom.

E-mail:p.emery@leeds.ac.uk
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Hormone Replacement Therapy in Rheumatoid Arthritis

To the Editor:

I read with great interest the article by Forsblad d’Elia, et al in the July issue. The authors note that the highest incidence of developing rheumatoid arthritis (RA) coincides with the menopause. Unfortunately, that also constitutes a risk factor for developing coronary artery disease.
Cardiovascular death is considered the leading cause of mortality in patients with RA and is responsible for about half the deaths observed in RA cohorts. Further, the increased incidence of cardiovascular events in patients with RA is independent of traditional risk factors. Corticosteroids prescribed in RA may also increase this risk.

Even though the results presented in this article are interesting, they do not influence clinical management of RA. The authors state that no serious side effects were noted with hormone replacement therapy (HRT), but fail to mention how, when, and with what measures these were ascertained in the Methods section. Using HRT as a supplement to conventional therapy in the management of postmenopausal women with RA, who are already at increased risk of cardiovascular events and malignancy, would be unjustifiable in view of the results of recent trials of HRT use among healthy postmenopausal women.

MEENAKSHI JOLLY, MD, Chief, Section of Rheumatology, Advocate Christ Medical Center, Oak Lawn, Illinois, USA
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Drs. Forsblad d’Elia and Carlsten reply

To the Editor:

We thank Dr. Jolly for the valuable comments about our study, which assessed the effects of hormone replacement therapy (HRT) on the course of rheumatoid arthritis (RA) and bone mineral density (BMD) in postmenopausal women. To summarize, HRT decreased clinical and laboratory signs of disease activity, improved BMD, and indicated a joint protective effect. The patients were examined clinically and had a mammography before entry and yearly thereafter. No serious side effects were recorded in our study with a limited number of participants during the 2 study years. In an investigation designed to evaluate the effect of HRT on cardiovascular events and breast cancer incidence in RA, a larger trial would be needed.

We note our study was conducted and the manuscript was submitted before the Women’s Health Initiative study was published. No overall increase in the rate of coronary heart disease (CHD) events was found in the HRT group in the previously published HERS I trial in women with established CHD.

We do not think it is possible to generalize the results from studies of healthy postmenopausal women, for instance the women in the WHI trial, to patients with RA, a chronic inflammatory disease, since, as Dr. Jolly writes, the increased incidence of cardiovascular events in patients with RA is independent of traditional risk factors. Instead, the systemic inflammation seems to be of large importance in the development of CHD in RA. In our study, the disease activity was reduced in the HRT group assessed by reduction in erythrocyte sedimentation rate and orosomucoid, and increase in the hemoglobin level. We also found that serum levels of soluble interleukin 6 (IL-6) receptor, an agonist to IL-6, decreased in the HRT group. Also, high disease activity has been shown to be associated with increased risk of developing amyloidosis and with the occurrence of lymphoma in RA, emphasizing the importance of reducing the systemic inflammation.

Accordingly, one may hypothesize that HRT, through its effects on systemic inflammation, theoretically might have a positive influence on outcomes associated with systemic inflammation in postmenopausal women with RA. This speculation can only be confirmed in larger clinical trials.

HRT, composed of estrogens often in combination with progestogens, influences the endocrine and immune system in a multifaceted way not yet completely understood. Hopefully, selective estrogen receptor modulators with potent antiarthritic and antiinflammatory effects, lacking the side effects associated with conventional HRT, would be developed. In the meantime, we agree with Dr. Jolly that there is a need to be cautious about HRT in view of recent trials. We therefore believe that treatment of postmenopausal RA patients with HRT has to be individualized for any given patient.

HELENA FORSBLAD d’ELIA, MD, PhD; HANS CARLSTEN, MD, Professor, Department of Rheumatology and Inflammation Research, Sahlgrenska Academy at Göteborg University, Göteborg, Sweden
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Origins of Erosive Arthritis

To the Editor:

Rothschild, et al have documented a fascinating inverse link between rheumatoid arthritis (RA) and tuberculosis (TB). Studying the 2 diseases in the Archaic and Early Woodland period of North America, they conclude that TB offers protection against the development of RA. Could they have as readily concluded that RA protects against TB?

Immune resistance to Mycobacterium tuberculosis derives primarily from the Th1 arm of the immune system. A Th1-charged system, although as readily concluded that RA protects against TB?...
graphic, or climatic clustering is consistent with a disease having global survival value. *M. tuberculosis* is global and one of the greatest disease scourges of humans, causing more deaths annually than any other human pathogen. Over 8 million new cases of TB and 2 million deaths occur annually. The vigorous mammalian immune response to antigens associated with the mycobacterium species, a phenomenon long appreciated by the response to Freund’s complete adjuvant, is evidence of the frantic posturing of mammals toward the mycobacterium. An immune system such as that underlying RA, poised and quickly triggered into a Th1-type of immune response, would offer deterrence. However, the price to pay for such a defense would be an immune system poised for robust Th1-weighted responses not only against the tubercle bacillus but also against innocuous agents or even toward micro-injury and manifesting as inflammatory joint damage, i.e., RA.

In The Journal last year is a report from Spain that the risk of TB is increased 4-fold in patients with RA. Although this appears on the surface to be in conflict with the Rothschild report it is, on the contrary, consistent with it. Unlike patients with the rheumatoid diathesis, patients with overt clinical disease are debilitated and are treated with drugs such as corticosteroids that specifically target and diminish the Th1 cytokine release that predisposes to RA and protects against TB.

Although the skew toward a Th1 pattern of cytokine response that predisposes to RA may have had survival value at one time, drugs effective against TB have for the most part eliminated that edge. The door may now be open to the pursuit of creative means of reversing this survival mechanism and thereby RA.

HUGH McGrath Jr, MD, Louisiana State University Health Care Center, 1542 Tulane Avenue, New Orleans, Louisiana 70112, USA. E-mail: hmgra@lsuhsc.edu
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Dr. Rothschild replies

To the Editor:

Dr. McGrath’s considered analysis of our recent article is very much appreciated. The key to understanding is often predicated upon asking the right question. Delineation of the time course of recognition of rheumatoid arthritis (RA) raised the possibility that it actually originated as a New World disease. Affirmative answer to that question and observation of its North American distribution pattern over time raised the possibility that RA is a vector-derived disease. The geographic dichotomy between distribution of RA and that of tuberculosis in ancient North America suggested that perhaps the wrong question was being asked. Rather than ask what is directly causing RA, perhaps it is more appropriate to ask if tuberculosis acts as a conditioning agent. If RA and spondyloarthropathy are related, perhaps this conditioning agent is the determinant for which disease occurs. Perhaps absence of a tuberculosis-related factor is a necessary condition for occurrence of RA.

McGrath asks if our initial question requires further revision. Could the presence of RA have prevented occurrence of tuberculosis? It is unclear how the presence of RA in a relatively few individuals could alter occurrence of tuberculosis in other members of that population. Alteration of immune response in the affected individual might alter their own susceptibility, but it is unclear to me how this would affect “herd immunity” and therefore population resistance to tuberculosis. Further, there is no epidemiologic evidence that Amerindians (in the RA catchment area) were ever actually exposed to tuberculosis. There is certainly no evidence that they came into contact with infected animals.

McGrath notes that this question does not negate our hypothesis, but actually extends it to address a further issue: Is tuberculosis less common among individuals with RA? If so, then does RA have a global survival value? It is intriguing that this question is raised. First, such assessment has been offered for spondyloarthropathy, for which there has been clear demonstration of geologic time longevity, panspecific mammalian distribution, and geometric increase in frequency over time. Second, it requires interpretation of reports, such as that of the EMECAR Study group. McGrath very appropriately points out the confounding effect of contemporary therapeutics, the very reason why study of ancient populations (free of effects of contemporary therapeutics) provides such valuable and otherwise unattainable insights. However, analysis of frequency reports also requires reconsideration of the clinical issue of diagnostic lumping and splitting. Some rheumatologists classify as having RA individuals that others would classify as having spondyloarthropathy. We suggested very specific criteria for classification of individuals with inflammatory arthritis as having RA. They include periarthritis osteopenia and marginally (not subchondrally distributed) symmetrical polyarticular erosions, in the absence of axial (odontoid disease excepted) involvement or of peripheral joint fusion. Application of such limiting criteria (to individuals with inflammatory arthritis who develop tuberculosis) will perhaps allow confident clarification of the question — does RA protect the individual from infection with tuberculosis?

The clear recognition that much of our current therapeutics predispose to infection is one of the factors propelling the ongoing effort to uncover new approaches. Progress will likely derive from learning to ask the right question.

BRUCE ROTHSCCHILD, MD, Arthritis Center of Northeast Ohio, 5500 Market, Youngstown, Ohio 44512, USA. E-mail: bmr@neoucom.edu
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Comparison Between Ultrasound and Magnetic Resonance Imaging of Achilles Tendon Enthesopathy in Patients with Psoriasis

To the Editor:

We have read with great interest the report by Kamel and coworkers1 on the diagnostic evaluation of heel enthesitis in patients with seronegative arthropathy. In particular, we found the comparison between ultrasound (US) and magnetic resonance (MR) data very stimulating.

Indeed, in a recent study on Achilles tendinitis in psoriatic subjects2 we demonstrated in 59 patients and 50 healthy volunteers that US is a safe and reliable method to detect the frequent changes in Achilles tendon and peritendinous tissues that may be associated with the enthesopathic involvement. Based on our experience and on data from the literature3-5, we are now conducting a new study to identify ever-earlier evidence of enthesopathy in psoriatic subjects with and without arthropathy, and to optimize use of the various imaging techniques to detect relevant signs of disease. US evaluation is being integrated with color Doppler and unenhanced and contrast-enhanced MR examination of Achilles tendon.

We are currently evaluating data from 22 psoriatic patients (17 men and 5 women; age range 19–72 years, mean 48; Psoriasis Area and Severity Index8 scores between 8.6 and 47.1, mean 14), 16 of whom have been diagnosed with psoriatic arthropathy. Subjects were studied with an Apio (Toshiba) US machine, using an 8–13 MHz multifrequency linear transducer, equipped with color Doppler to detect possible intra- or peritendinous vascular changes. Patients also underwent MR scanning in a superconducting 0.2 Tesla E-Scan XQ Esaote magnet using a surface coil. T1 and T2 weighted sequences were acquired on axial and sagittal planes, and gradient echo and short-time inversion recovery sequences on the sagittal plane. Axial and sagittal T1 weighted sequences were acquired after administration of a 0.1 mmol/kg bw bolus of dimeglutine gadopentate (Magnevist, Schering, Berlin, Germany).

Preliminary results confirm the sensitivity of US in depicting early signs of Achilles tendon involvement; in particular, intratendinous microcalcifications (the outcome of previous degenerative tendon damage now in reparative evolution) were identified in 6 patients, and signs of bursitis in 6 more. MR was less sensitive, especially regarding identification of microcalcifications (not detected in any patient), whereas the 2 methods yielded similar results in the evaluation of tendon changes (enlargement and degenerative areas) and peritendinous thickening; in 2 patients, however, US allowed detection of degenerative changes measuring less than 3 mm that were not seen with MR.

The contribution of color Doppler does not seem significant; this is not surprising, since vascular changes are typical of acute inflammation, and most of the patients enrolled to date receive an antiinflammatory therapy for their arthropathy, which might mitigate inflammatory changes. Indeed, and interestingly, post-contrast MR images did not significantly improve on unenhanced data.

Our preliminary results confirm the findings of Kamel and coworkers, despite differences in the sample studied. US examination conducted by experienced operators using machines equipped with multifrequency probes — especially high frequencies and thus high spatial resolution — is at present superior to MR in detecting early enthesopathic changes of Achilles tendon, particularly with low-field magnets. US should be considered the imaging technique of choice for early diagnosis, disease evaluation, and followup of psoriatic patients with suspected Achilles tendon enthesopathy.

Drs. Kamel, et al reply

To the Editor:

We thank Dr. De Simone and coworkers for their valuable data and interest in our work1. Enthesopathy is an evolving area for applied clinical research. The diagnosis of enthesitis in clinical practice is difficult, and typical conventional radiography was almost not helpful. Too many patients are underdiagnosed and/or misdiagnosed because early pathological changes of enthesis in the different types of spondyloarthropathies are not detected1-7.

We agree that ultrasound (US) imaging proved to be more sensitive and accurate than other imaging modalities in detecting enthesopathy. US examinations usually show reliable evidence for: loss of fibrillar echo pattern, lack of homogenous pattern with flaring of tendon margins, irregular fusiform tendon thickening, and hyperechoic intratendinous lesions with ill defined focal defects filled with a mixture of fluid, fat, and/or granulation tissue indicating fatty degeneration4,5. Further, US shows very early signs of intratendinous calcification that MRI cannot detect1.

US provides data that help in the diagnosis and identification of different pathological and biomechanical changes in the Achilles tendon9,9. We are currently studying enthesitis at other insertion sites such as the patellar knee tendon10, greater trochanter, iliac crest, deltoid tendon, elbow epicondyles, manubrium sterni, and collateral ligaments of knees and ankles. We believe these studies will provide interesting data for more accurate diagnosis in patients with different types of spondyloarthropathies, particularly psoriasis, Reiter’s disease, ankylosing spondylitis, and ulcerative colitis.

We also believe that color Doppler will be more beneficial in detecting early pathological changes in the synovium rather than in the tendons. We suggest that a multicenter study in collaboration with other colleagues would be beneficial.
Mohamed Kamel, M.D., Ph.D., FACR; Hazem Eid, M.D.; Ramy Mansour, M.Sc.; Mohamed Abbady, M.D., Rheumatology and Radiology Departments, Al-Azhar University, Cairo, Egypt; and Dr. Fakhry Hospital, Al-Khobar, Saudi Arabia
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Silicone Breast Implants

To the Editor:

In his recent editorial1, Dr. Vasey and his colleagues made reference to our study of symptom-reporting in women with cosmetic breast implants compared with women with breast reduction surgery1. In the editorial, Dr. Vasey, et al misunderstood our study population and the study conclusions, as they did in an earlier letter on the same study3. In the Abstract, Results, and Discussion sections of our earlier report2, we explicitly state that the study population contained women with both saline-filled and silicone gel-filled breast implants1,2. They rightly point out that the title of our Table 1 should not have included “with rupture.” We agree rupture is not required before systemic symptoms develop. The writers’ final note, “no epidemiologic study has found evidence for a new disease,” deserves comment. Elsewhere4 they observe, “It is not possible to conduct a rigorous epidemiological study without first having a validated and reliable definition of the disease under investigation.” Our editorial was a plea for a new disease definition that epidemiologists could study. We feel strongly that prevalence of symptom studies show statistically increased prevalence of symptoms in women with silicone breast implants compared with controls, as summarized in our editorial1.

If the flu were undefined it would not exist in the epidemiological world, but in the real world millions of people have it.

Frank B. Vasey, M.D., Professor and Director, Division of Rheumatology, University of South Florida, Tampa, Florida, USA
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Dr. Vasey replies

To the Editor:

We appreciate the work done by Fryzek and McLaughlin in improving understanding of clinical systemic and local symptoms in women with both saline-filled and silicone gel-filled breast implants5. They rightly point out that the title of our Table 1 should not have included “with rupture.” We agree rupture is not required before systemic symptoms develop.

The writers’ final note, “no epidemiologic study has found evidence for a new disease,” deserves comment. Elsewhere they observe, “It is not possible to conduct a rigorous epidemiological study without first having a validated and reliable definition of the disease under investigation.” Our editorial was a plea for a new disease definition that epidemiologists could study. We feel strongly that prevalence of symptom studies show statistically increased prevalence of symptoms in women with silicone breast implants compared with controls, as summarized in our editorial1.

If the flu were undefined it would not exist in the epidemiological world, but in the real world millions of people have it.

Frank B. Vasey, M.D., Professor and Director, Division of Rheumatology, University of South Florida, Tampa, Florida, USA
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Giant Cell Arteritis in a Patient Taking Etanercept and Methotrexate

To the Editor:

I describe the occurrence of giant cell arteritis (GCA) in an elderly woman with long-standing seropositive rheumatoid arthritis (RA), treated for 2 years with etanercept 25 mg twice weekly and methotrexate 7.5 mg weekly. Since etanercept and infliximab were approved for treatment of RA, there has been one report of noncaseating granulomas in pulmonary parenchyma and vasculitis with increased nodulosis, and several reports of reactivation of latent tuberculosis in patients taking infliximab or etanercept. These and other complications of tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) receptor-blocking therapy involving activated endothelial cells and granuloma formation suggest a common mechanism of inflammation in susceptible patients.

In summer 2002, a 79-year-old Caucasian woman with RA presented with left jaw pain, so severe that she would not open her mouth to eat. There was associated ear pain and a sore throat. Evaluation by our dental service showed no lesion. The next week when she came into clinic for her injections, she reported worsening pain over the left temporal area. There was no antecedent trauma, and no history of temporomandibular joint involvement in her disease or previous complaints of pain at this site. Present on examination was a thin-walled, tender left temporal artery, with no erythema. There was no evidence on examination of other systemic vasculitis. A biopsy of the left temporal artery showed GCA (Figure 1).

Laboratory studies were remarkable for a rising erythrocyte sedimentation rate, 46 to 86, and negative antinuclear antibodies, and she was hepatitis C antibody negative. No HLA testing was done.

GCA is commonly reported in association with polymyalgia rheumatica, but it is unusual to see GCA occurring in patients with RA — or at least, it is reported infrequently in the literature. In 1983, 2 groups published cases describing its concurrence, and there has been speculation over the years that RA and GCA may share common pathogenetic mechanisms. Studies in GCA have described TNF I (p55) receptor expression in the intima of the large arteries, with immunohistochemical staining revealing TNF-α on endothelial cells, macrophages, and giant cells.

Etanercept is a soluble TNF receptor, a fusion protein created from human TNF p75 receptor and the Fc portion of human immunoglobulin G1. Binding to soluble TNF-α, this drug effectively blocks receptor activation in RA, resulting in clinical improvement in many patients. In 2002, Cunnane and colleagues showed images of an inflammatory cell infiltrate in a medium-size arterial wall associated with accelerated nodulosis in patients with RA treated with etanercept. Clinical trials in Crohn’s disease suggest etanercept is not effective in Th1 mediated inflammatory bowel disease, although infliximab has some record of success. The reason for this discrepancy is unclear. Clinical studies have been undertaken to examine the efficacy of etanercept in Wegner’s granulomatosis. The responses here, too, have been variable, suggesting once again that etanercept may not protect against granulomatous vasculitis. In 2003, Tan, et al published a letter describing effective treatment of “resistant” GCA with etanercept in an elderly man with clinical polymyalgia rheumatica and biopsy negative temporal arthritis.

The experience with our patient raises the question whether individuals undergoing TNF receptor blockade might be more vulnerable to granulomatous vasculitis, or to other infectious complications requiring effective granuloma formation and intracellular killing. Moreland, et al recently reported there is no evident impairment of delayed hypersensitivity reactions in patients taking etanercept, that immunoglobulin concentrations are normal, and there was no excessive risk of infection in the people studied. Clearly, the complications of TNF receptor blockade reported in the literature suggest that at a cellular level, in an aging artery, arteriole, or latent tubercular granuloma, TNF receptor expression and signaling are altered in some way, in some individuals. As clinical trials using anti-TNF agents in granulomatous vasculitis are under way, it is important that clinicians realize that these agents may not be effective in these diseases, and may not protect against them.

MARGARET SETON, MD, Chief of Rheumatology, Cambridge Health Alliance, Assistant Professor of Medicine, Harvard University, Staff Physician, Arthritis Unit, Bulfinch 165, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts 02114, USA.

E-mail: margaret_seton@hms.harvard.edu
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Is Measurement of Serum Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor Reliable in Patients with Systemic Sclerosis?

To the Editor:

I read with interest the article by Choi, et al. reporting serum concentrations of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) in patients with systemic sclerosis (SSc). I bring to your attention some methodological concerns that have arisen.

First, serum VEGF levels do not reflect VEGF synthesis by peripheral tissues, and they are not representative of circulating extracellular VEGF level at the time of sampling. In serum, VEGF levels are several-fold higher than in matched plasma samples, owing to in vitro release of VEGF from platelets during blood clotting. Although various blood cells such as granulocytes, monocytes, mast cells, and lymphocytes have been shown to be capable of producing VEGF, these cells are of little importance for the level at the time of sampling. In serum, VEGF levels are several-fold higher than in matched plasma samples, owing to the thrombocytosis of Wegener’s granulomatosis: a six-month open-label trial to evaluate safety. Arthritis Rheum 2001;44:1149-54.

Second, the authors did not report the condition of processing (i.e., force of centrifugation, time and temperature between blood collection and processing). When VEGF levels are measured, standardization in the collection of serum is relevant and it should be declared. VEGF is released from the platelet in serum in a time-dependent manner. Allowing whole blood sample to clot 2 to 6 hours before serum is collected reduces time-dependent, non-uniform release of VEGF. When VEGF levels are measured from serum samples, it is advisable to correct the measurement to platelet count.

In light of these considerations, I believe the results reported by the authors should be confirmed on plasma samples. The use of plasma samples may improve the value of circulating extracellular VEGF as an indicator of capillary damage in patients with SSc.

SIMONE FERRERO, M.D. Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, San Martino Hospital, University of Genoa, Largo R. Benzi 1, 16132 Genoa, Italy, E-mail: simone.ferrero@fastwebnet.it
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fixed human neutrophils (Inova Diagnostics, San Diego, CA, USA) as substrate, while they used only ethanol-fixed human neutrophils.

When we compared26 the clinical and serological features of cathepsin G, MPO, and PR3 ANCA-positive patients with those of cathepsin G, MPO, and PR3 ANCA-negative patients, we found no correlation. In particular, according to the reports cited20, statistical analysis showed no association between cathepsin G positivity and clinical/serological features nor between MPO positivity and kidney involvement.

We confirm the high prevalence of anti-BPI and cathepsin G antibodies and their frequent association in SSc sera. The significance of their presence and that of other ANCA in scleroderma remains unknown.

Gastrointestinal Disease and Psoriatic Arthritis

To the Editor:

Observations suggest that a relationship exists between gastrointestinal (GI) disease and psoriatic arthritis (PsA). A subgroup of patients with PsA has large joint oligoarthritis that is clinically similar to reactive arthritis and the arthritis associated with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD). In addition, microscopic inflammatory changes in the bowel mucosa of patients with active psoriasis and PsA are common.2-3 These findings have led to the suggestion that GI disease, as well as skin disease, may act as a portal of entry and that of other ANCA in scleroderma remains unknown.
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