The rewards of taking a hard look at the practice of rheumatology.
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*The Journal of Rheumatology* is a monthly international serial edited by Earl D. Silverman featuring research articles on clinical subjects from scientists working in rheumatology and related fields.
It is alarmist to suggest that rheumatology is in danger of extinction. But it has to be admitted that our specialty is approaching a crisis. Medical manpower is a major issue in rheumatology throughout the world. The American College of Rheumatology predicts there will be more rheumatologists leaving practice (for retirement, etc.) in 2016 than entering practice. In Canada, it has been predicted that there will be a 64% shortfall in rheumatologists by 2026. We are becoming an endangered species.

At the same time, rheumatology has to be one of the most exciting specialties in medicine today. The science of immunology, cartilage repair, and bone metabolism is evolving rapidly. Every year there are new biochemical pathways to learn to keep current with potential future therapeutics. And rheumatology is uniquely rewarding in its practice. With the appropriate treatment we can see patients restored to near normal function who were completely disabled by inflammatory disease. We do not need studies of several thousand patients in order to prove we are making a difference.

Rheumatology has gone from a specialty perceived as babysitting patients with an untreatable chronic illness, to one well aware of the impact proper therapy can have in modifying important patient outcomes. Then what is the problem? Why are we having difficulty recruiting trainees, caring for our patients, successfully lobbying for access to drugs and allied care? There are many reasons: The public, governments, and even many of our colleagues in other specialties continue to perceive that arthritis care is futile and uninteresting. We have a tradition in rheumatology of being undervalued. And it is very difficult to break with tradition. In an arena of competitive funding, we come into the contest as underdogs.

How can we change matters? The first step is detailing the barriers to rheumatology care. The study by Shipton, et al describes the barriers that Ontario rheumatologists perceive in their practices. None should be a surprise to the readers of this journal. Our patients are barred from highly effective therapies on the grounds of cost. Physician remuneration is so poor for rheumatic diseases that, frighteningly, three-quarters of respondents were steering their practices away from patient care and toward independent medical services such as clinical trials or insurance company assessments. Patients have to wait far too long to see a rheumatologist both initially in consultation and for followup when problems arise. Rheumatologists are increasingly busy, partly because the demand for our services cannot meet our manpower supply, but also because more of our time is consumed by the burgeoning administrative demands of insurance companies and new therapy applications.

Our current situation is not, however, hopeless. Each of these problems has a solution. All the solutions involve a number of healthcare stakeholders (government, insurance, pharmaceutical, providers, hospital administrators and, importantly, patients). Traditionally, governments have excluded physician opinion for fear it is self-interested and biased. However, there is a growing recognition that physicians are well situated to recognize looming healthcare problems and propose appropriate solutions. Most of us already do this within our own practices in response to the changing healthcare environment.

Rheumatologists are particularly well suited to the work of healthcare reform. We are involved in acute care and chronic care, hospital based care and office based care, primary care and tertiary care. We work in academic and community practices. And we have proven ourselves able to adapt professionally as medical practice has evolved these past decades.

I suspect that most rheumatologists would consider themselves “reluctant politicians.” However, whether we like it or not, advocating for our patients for access to therapies has become part of our daily business. The American College of Rheumatology and the Canadian Rheumatology Association, as examples of 2 representative societies, are respected and powerful organizations. Our profession is well placed to effect constructive improvements in providing care for patients with
musculoskeletal disease. The barriers that have been defined by Shipton, et al in this issue can provide opportunities for renewing our specialty. With a lot of hard and organized work, it is not inconceivable that the best trainees will flock to rheumatology within the next 10 years.
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