

Update on Outcome Measure Development in Large-Vessel Vasculitis: Report from OMERACT 2018

Sibel Z. Aydin, Associate Professor in Rheumatology, Division of Rheumatology, University of Ottawa, the Ottawa Hospital Research Institute, Ottawa, ON, Canada

Joanna C. Robson, MRCP PhD, Consultant Senior Lecturer, University of the West of England; Honorary Senior Lecturer, University of Bristol; Honorary Consultant in Rheumatology, University Hospitals Bristol NHS Trust, Bristol, UK

Antoine G. Sreih, MD, Assistant Professor of Medicine, Division of Rheumatology, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA

Catherine Hill, MD, Professor, Discipline of Medicine, University of Adelaide; Consultant Rheumatologist, The Queen Elizabeth Hospital, Adelaide, Australia

Fatma Alibaz-Oner, MD, Associate Professor of Rheumatology, Fatih Sultan Mehmet Training and Research Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey

Sarah Mackie, MRCP, PhD, Associate Professor, Leeds Institute of Rheumatic and Musculoskeletal Medicine, Leeds, UK; Honorary Consultant Rheumatologist, Leeds Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust, Leeds, UK

Susan Beard, B.Mus., AdelaideAustralia

Ahmet Gul, MD, Professor of Rheumatology, Division of Rheumatology, Department of Internal Medicine, Istanbul University Istanbul Faculty of Medicine, Istanbul, Turkey

Gülen Hatemi, MD. Professor of Medicine, Division of Rheumatology, Department of Internal Medicine, Istanbul University-Cerrahpasa, School of Medicine, Istanbul, Turkey

Tanaz A. Kermani, MD, MS, Associate Professor of Medicine, Division of Rheumatology, University of California at Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA

Alfred Mahr, MD, PhD, Professor of Internal Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, University Paris Diderot, Paris, France

Alexa Meara, MD. Assistant Professor, The Ohio State University Wexner Medical Center, Division of Rheumatology and Immunology, Columbus, OH, USA

Nataliya Milman, MD, Assistant Professor, Division of Rheumatology, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, ON; Division of Rheumatology, The Ottawa Hospital, Ottawa, ON; Department of Clinical Epidemiology, Ottawa Hospital Research Institute, Ottawa, ON, Canada

Beverley Shea, PhD, Clinical Investigator and Adjunct Professor, Ottawa Hospital Research Institute, and School of Epidemiology and Public Health, Faculty of Medicine, University of Ottawa; Ottawa, ON, Canada.

Gunnar Tómasson, MD, PhD, Assistant Professor of Medicine, Department of Public Health Sciences, University of Iceland, Reykjavik, Iceland

Peter Tugwell_MD Professor, Division of Rheumatology, Department of Medicine, and School of Epidemiology and Public Health, Faculty of Medicine, University of Ottawa; Clinical Epidemiology Program, Ottawa Hospital Research Institute, Ottawa, ON, Canada

Haner Direskeneli, MD, Professor of Medicine, Division of Rheumatology, Marmara University, School of Medicine, Marmara, Turkey

Peter A. Merkel, MD, MPH, Professor of Medicine and Epidemiology, Division of

Rheumatology and Department of Biostatistics, Epidemiology, and Informatics,
University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA

Corresponding Author:

Peter A. Merkel, MD, MPH
Chief, Division of Rheumatology
Professor of Medicine and Epidemiology
University of Pennsylvania
White Building, 5th Floor
3400 Spruce Street
Philadelphia, PA 19104
Tel: 215-614-4401
Fax: 215-614-4402
pmerkel@upenn.edu

Financial support:

This work was funded in part by the Vasculitis Clinical Research Consortium (VCRC). The VCRC is part of the Rare Diseases Clinical Research Network (RDCRN), an initiative of the Office of Rare Diseases Research (ORDR), National Center for Advancing Translational Science (NCATS). The VCRC is funded through collaboration between NCATS, and the National Institute of Arthritis and Musculoskeletal and Skin Diseases (NIAMS), U54 AR057319), and has received funding from the National Center for Research Resources (U54 RR019497).

Word Count: 1471

Running Title: Outcomes for Large-Vessel Vasculitis

Keywords: vasculitis, Takayasu's arteritis, giant cell arteritis, large-vessel, outcomes, OMERACT

Abstract

Objectives: The OMERACT Vasculitis Working Group seeks to develop validated outcome measures for use in trials for large-vessel vasculitis (LVV).

Methods: An international Delphi exercise conducted among investigators identified items considered important to measure active disease. In parallel, qualitative research with patients was conducted, including interviews and focus groups.

Results: Next steps prioritized by the group for LVV include i) defining disease states (remission, flare, and patient-acceptable symptom state); and ii) selection of patient-reported outcome tools.

Conclusions: The ultimate goal is to develop an OMERACT-endorsed Core Set of outcome measures for use in clinical trials of LVV.

Introduction:

Large-vessel vasculitis (LVV) is a rare set of diseases that mainly affect the aorta and its primary branches (1, 2). LVV is comprised of several diseases, the most common of which are giant cell arteritis (GCA) and Takayasu's arteritis (TAK). Mainly due to the rarity of these diseases, there is no standardized protocol on how to monitor patients, when to repeat arterial imaging, or when to change therapy. This situation leads to significant variations in clinical practice.

In the last 5 years, the OMERACT Vasculitis Working Group has been developing a core set of domains in LVV. The Working Group recognizes the need to obtain final endorsement of the domains prior to selecting and/or developing associated outcome measurement instruments. Concurrently, the group has been conducting parallel projects to understand the perspectives of experienced physicians, investigators, and patients regarding outcomes of importance in LVV (3, 4). The following work has been completed: a comprehensive literature review to assess the knowledge gap in this area (3); an international Delphi exercise among clinicians and investigators to identify items considered important to determine active disease status in LVV (5); and qualitative research with patients with LVV (6). A draft set of core domains for LVV were proposed (4). The group is currently working on two additional projects in LVV: 1) defining disease states (remission, flare and patient acceptable symptom state) and 2) developing patient-reported outcome tools.

The projects outlined below are being pursued in line with OMERACT processes (7, 8), and were presented at the OMERACT 2018 meeting at which feedback was obtained from meeting participants.

Defining Disease Status in Large-Vessel Vasculitis

A simple definition of “active disease” in TAK was proposed by Kerr *et al.*, based on the presence of constitutional symptoms, new bruits, acute phase reactants, or new angiographic features of arteritis (1). There are two other composite indices that were specifically developed for TAK: DEI.Tak (Disease Extent Index in TAK) and ITAS2010 (The Indian Takayasu’s Arteritis Score 2010) (9, 10) and proposed to help define disease states. DEI.Tak was based on the Birmingham Vasculitis Activity Score (BVAS), using the same 11 organ systems, some of which are not frequently affected in LVV; some weighting was applied to increase the impact of cardiovascular items. However, DEI.Tak was shown to have significant discrepancies with physicians’ judgements of activity (11). ITAS2010, a modification of DEI.Tak, has only 6 systems and is weighted even more heavily towards vascular items (9). This modification modestly increased the agreement with physicians’ assessments, but still leaves substantial discrepancies between the two assessments (12). In GCA, only one study investigated BVAS in a prospective observational cohort of patients with GCA which showed that most categories of the BVAS were not applicable in GCA, whereas many components of active disease were captured in the “*other*” category, not contributing to the total BVAS (13).

The randomized controlled trials involving TAK and GCA conducted to date usually defined relapse and remission based on the presence/absence of signs and symptoms

and/or acute phase reactants (**Table 1**). These definitions were not obtained by a data-driven approach or utilizing patients' perspectives, but through expert opinion.

The definitions of disease states in LVV outlined above have not been well-defined and are not uniform across studies. The complexity of the disease makes it difficult to differentiate 'activity' from 'damage' to define remission or relapse. Furthermore, disease states have not been studied from the patients' perspective. Patient Acceptable Symptom State (PASS), the value beyond which patients can consider themselves well, has not been studied in LVV. PASS is independent of treatment decisions and purely reflects patients' perspectives and, therefore, could be a useful tool to understand patients' perception of clinically-meaningful disease states (14).

The aim of this project is also to create a definition of disease states in LVV for use in clinical trials. To arrive at widely-acceptable and feasible definitions, data elements will be preferentially utilized if they are considered important by physicians and patients, routinely captured in standard clinical practice, specific to disease activity (not damage), and not redundant with each other. The following steps will be carried out to achieve this goal: 1) a patient survey will be designed and implemented to receive patient input on items from the physician Delphi, and new items considered relevant to disease states. 2) the features prioritized by patients, along with the results from the physician Delphi, will be reviewed during a meeting of key investigators and patients with an aim of reaching consensus on item reduction and selection for use. 3) data will be collected from patients with LVV and their physicians within a multicenter longitudinal cohort, including the impact of each of items on physicians' judgements of remission, relapse, and change in therapy.

Patients' input on remission, flare, and PASS will also be collected and analyzed. These steps were discussed in the OMERACT meeting with encouraging feedback received from attendees including emphasizing that collecting data to develop a definition of different disease will require significant input from the patients.

Patient-Reported Outcomes in LVV

The OMERACT Vasculitis Working Group has highlighted the development of a disease-specific patient-reported outcome (PRO) measure for TAK and GCA as one of the next steps in their research agenda (4, 15). In TAK and GCA, commonly-used generic tools such as the 36-item short-form health survey (SF-36) do not distinguish between clinically important groups such as patients with and without visual loss or systemic symptoms (16-19). An international collaboration has therefore been formed to develop disease-specific PRO measures.

As a first step in TAK, 12 patients from the US participated in semi-structured, individual, in-person interviews and a total of 19 patients in Turkey participated in 2 focus groups (6). The interviews and group sessions were recorded, transcribed, and analyzed with NVivo. A line-by-line review of narrative data was used to develop themes describing the impact of TAK on patients' life. US patients were invited to free-list terms that they associated with disease states (active disease and remission). The Smith Saliency Index (SSI) was used to identify the most salient terms. Pain, fatigue and emotional impact emerged as common themes. The most salient terms were pain/discomfort and fatigue/low energy levels during active disease and pain/discomfort and emotional impact

during remission. Outcomes were similar between the two countries. Current efforts are focusing on creating a disease-specific PRO tool for TAK based on the results of the qualitative studies.

For GCA, the results of the first stage, qualitative interviews with 36 patients in the UK and Australia, were presented at the LVV Special Interest Group (SIG) session at OMERACT 2018. All patients had a confirmed diagnosis of GCA on temporal artery biopsy or ultrasound. Patients were purposely sampled to include a range of ages, sex, disease duration, and disease manifestations (specifically visual loss and large-vessel involvement). Initial overarching themes that emerged included: “Anxieties around getting a diagnosis of GCA”, “Description of symptoms related to GCA and its treatment” (including visual disturbance), “Lack of bodily strength, stability and stamina; difficulties with completing daily tasks”, “Difficulties with participating in social activities, work and caring roles”, “Not feeling normal and impact on general perception of health”, and “Anxiety and fear of the future” (20). Key contextual factors around how patients experience GCA were also discussed, including the development of adverse effects and co-morbidities, receiving support from family and friends, and self-management techniques such as maintaining physical activity. Discussions at OMERACT 2018 included the potential to expand the qualitative work into another geographic area by patients with GCA in Turkey, to ensure the full range of themes of importance to patients is captured.

Themes are also being developed further into candidate questionnaire items for a disease-specific GCA PRO. Among the learnings from the OMERACT conference was the importance of having questions which differentiate between patients in states of active disease and remission, as well as capturing any background impact on HRQoL (e.g., symptoms related to glucocorticoid-related adverse effects or physical deconditioning due to the disease or treatment) was highlighted within the SIG. It was also decided to incorporate further prompts into the cognitive interview stage for the GCA PRO to ask patients to describe the start of their disease, flares, and periods of remission. This qualitative work will be carried out as part of the cognitive interview stages in the UK and Australia and will be incorporated into the initial qualitative work planned in Turkey. The focus on flare will inform the development of the GCA PRO but could also be used to help define appropriate questions for the disease states patient survey planned (as outlined above).

Summary and Research Agenda

The OMERACT Vasculitis Working Group has suggested a preliminary core set of domains for LVV (4), and has a new research agenda for the next 2 years:

- Achieve full endorsement of a core set of domains for LVV.
- Select and/or develop validated instruments for each domain in LVV.
- Advance PRO research in LVV by gathering more patient-derived data on disease-related quality of life issues, including regarding different treatment regimens, with an ultimate goal of developing a LVV-specific PRO instruments.

- Define disease states for use in clinical trials of LVV through data-driven methods that include input of all stakeholders.
- Work towards an endorsed core set of outcomes for LVV that include domains with matching validated outcome measures.

References

1. Kerr GS, Hallahan CW, Giordano J, Leavitt RY, Fauci AS, Rottem M, et al. Takayasu arteritis. *Ann Intern Med* 1994;120:919-29.
2. Seo P, Stone JH. Large-vessel vasculitis. *Arthritis Rheum* 2004;51:128-39.
3. Direskeneli H, Aydin SZ, Kermani TA, Matteson EL, Boers M, Herlyn K, et al. Development of outcome measures for large-vessel vasculitis for use in clinical trials: opportunities, challenges, and research agenda. *J Rheumatol* 2011;38:1471-9.
4. Sreih AG, Alibaz-Oner F, Kermani TA, Aydin SZ, Cronholm PF, Davis T, et al. Development of a Core Set of Outcome Measures for Large-vessel Vasculitis: Report from OMERACT 2016. *J Rheumatol* 2017;44:1933-7.
5. Aydin SZ, Direskeneli H, Merkel PA, International Delphi on Disease Activity Assessment in Large-vessel V. Assessment of Disease Activity in Large-vessel Vasculitis: Results of an International Delphi Exercise. *J Rheumatol* 2017;44:1928-32.
6. Sreih AG, Alibaz-Oner F, Easley E, Davis T, Mumcu G, Milman N, et al. Health-related outcomes of importance to patients with Takayasu's arteritis. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2018;36 Suppl 111:51-7.
7. Boers M, Kirwan JR, Wells G, Beaton D, Gossec L, d'Agostino MA, et al. Developing core outcome measurement sets for clinical trials: OMERACT filter 2.0. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2014;67:745-53.
8. Boers M, Kirwan J, Tugwell P, Beaton D, Bingham CO, Conaghan PG, et al. The OMERACT Handbook. <https://omeract.org/resources>. 2018.
9. Misra R, Danda D, Rajappa SM, Ghosh A, Gupta R, Mahendranath KM, et al. Development and initial validation of the Indian Takayasu Clinical Activity Score (ITAS2010). *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2013;52:1795-801.
10. Sivakumar MRM, Bacon PA. The Indian perspective of Takayasu arteritis and development of a disease extent index (DEI.Tak) to assess Takayasu arteritis. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2005;44:6-7.
11. Aydin SZ, Yilmaz N, Akar S, Aksu K, Kamali S, Yucel E, et al. Assessment of disease activity and progression in Takayasu's arteritis with Disease Extent Index-Takayasu. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2010;49:1889-93.
12. Alibaz-Oner F, Aydin SZ, Akar S, Aksu K, Kamali S, Yucel E, et al. Assessment of Patients with Takayasu Arteritis in Routine Practice with Indian Takayasu Clinical Activity Score. *J Rheumatol* 2015;42:1443-7.
13. Kermani TA, Cuthbertson D, Carette S, Hoffman GS, Khalidi NA, Koenig CL, et al. The Birmingham Vasculitis Activity Score as a Measure of Disease Activity in Patients with Giant Cell Arteritis. *J Rheumatol* 2016;43:1078-84.
14. Tubach F, Ravaud P, Baron G, Falissard B, Logeart I, Bellamy N, et al. Evaluation of clinically relevant states in patient reported outcomes in knee and hip osteoarthritis: the patient acceptable symptom state. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2005;64:34-7.
15. Aydin SZ, Direskeneli H, Sreih A, Alibaz-Oner F, Gul A, Kamali S, et al. Update on Outcome Measure Development for Large Vessel Vasculitis: Report from OMERACT 12. *J Rheumatol* 2015;42:2465-9.
16. Jobard S, Magnant J, Blasco H, Ferreira-Maldent N, Griffoul I, Diot E, et al. Quality of life of patients treated for giant cell arteritis: a case-control study. *Clin Rheumatol* 2017;36:2055-62.

- Accepted Article
17. Akar S, Can G, Binicier O, Aksu K, Akinci B, Solmaz D, et al. Quality of life in patients with Takayasu's arteritis is impaired and comparable with rheumatoid arthritis and ankylosing spondylitis patients. *Clin Rheumatol* 2008;27:859-65.
 18. Yilmaz N, Can M, Oner FA, Kalfa M, Emmungil H, Karadag O, et al. Impaired quality of life, disability and mental health in Takayasu's arteritis. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2013;52:1898-904.
 19. Alibaz-Oner F, Sreih AG, Merkel PA, Direskeneli H. Patient-reported outcomes in Takayasu's arteritis. *Presse medicale* 2017;46:e225-e7.
 20. Robson J, Almeida C, Dawson J, Bromhead A, Mackie SL, Hill CL, et al. A multinational qualitative study in Giant Cell Arteritis: patient perceptions of diagnosis, treatment, impact on health-related quality of life and contextual factors. *Ann Rheum Dis [Abstract]* 2018;17:777.