Predictors of Incident Depression in Systemic Lupus Erythematosus

Xiangyang Huang, Laurence S. Magder, and Michelle Petri

ABSTRACT. Objective. Findings from previous studies of predictors of depression among patients with systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) have been inconsistent. The aim of our study was to identify risk factors that preceded incident depression based on a large, closely followed longitudinal cohort.

Methods. Data regarding 1609 patients with SLE in the Hopkins Lupus Cohort who had no history of depression prior to cohort entry were analyzed. Demographic variables, SLE manifestations, laboratory tests, physician's global assessment, Safety of Estrogens in Lupus Erythematosus National Assessment-SLE Disease Activity Index (SELENA-SLEDAI), cumulative organ damage (Systemic Lupus International Collaborating Clinics/American College of Rheumatology Damage Index), and onset of depression were recorded at enrollment and each quarterly visit. Rates of incident depression were calculated overall, and in subgroups defined by demographic and clinical variables. Adjusted estimates of association were derived using pooled logistic regression.

Results. The incidence of depression was 29.7 episodes per 1000 person-years. In the multivariable analysis, these variables remained as independent predictors of incident depression: recent SLE diagnosis, non-Asian ethnicity, disability, cutaneous activity, longitudinal myelitis, and current prednisone use of 20 mg/day or higher. Global disease activity (SELENA-SLEDAI) was not a significant predictor after controlling for prednisone use.

Conclusion. Depression in SLE is multifactorial. Higher-dose prednisone (≥ 20 mg daily) is 1 important independent risk factor. Global disease activity is not a risk factor, but cutaneous activity and certain types of neurologic activity (myelitis) are predictive of depression. The independent effect of prednisone provides clinicians with an additional incentive to avoid and reduce high-dose prednisone exposure in SLE. (J Rheumatol First Release Aug 15 2014; doi:10.3899/jrheum.140111)

Key Indexing Terms: DEPRESSION

RISK FACTORS

SYSTEMIC LUPUS ERYTHEMATOSUS

Neuropsychiatric systemic lupus erythematosus (NPSLE) develops in 17%–75% of patients with SLE during the course of their disease¹. Although multiple neuropsychiatric manifestations occur in SLE, major depression and cognitive dysfunction are the most common². Depression is frequent in SLE^{3,4,5,6,7,8}, and is more common than in the general population⁹. A diagnosis of depression ranges from

From the Sichuan University School of Medicine, West China Hospital, Chengdu, Sichuan, China; Department of Rheumatology, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine; University of Maryland, Baltimore, Maryland, USA.

Supported by a grant from the US National Institutes of Health (NIH AR 43727). Also supported by Grant Number UL1 RR 025005 from the US National Center for Research Resources.

X. Huang, MD, PhD, Associate Professor, Division of Rheumatology, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, and the Department of Rheumatology, Sichuan University School of Medicine, West China Hospital; L.S. Magder, MPH, PhD, Professor of Epidemiology and Public Health, University of Maryland School of Medicine; M. Petri, MD, MPH, Professor of Medicine, Division of Rheumatology, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine.

Address correspondence to Dr. M. Petri, Division of Rheumatology, 1830 East Monument St., Suite 7500, Baltimore, Maryland 21205, USA. E-mail: mpetri@jhmi.edu

Accepted for publication May 20, 2014.

2% to 60%, whereas a diagnosis of major depression ranges from 20% to 47% in SLE¹. The variability in reported frequency of depression is predominantly attributed to the variety of assessment methods and length of followup³. Depression has been linked to poor clinical outcomes in SLE, including increased work disability¹⁰.

The risk factors for depression in SLE are not adequately understood^{4,5,6,7,8,10,11,12},13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23 . SLE activity^{7,10,13}, other neuropsychiatric manifestations^{3,8,15,17}, or autoantibodies such as anticardiolipin (aCL) or anti-P lupus antibody^{12,16} could directly predispose to depression. Depression could also be a secondary phenomenon caused by other manifestations of the disease (for instance, pain and arthritis¹⁹), by corticosteroid therapy²⁴, or as an emotional reaction to the chronicity or social stress caused by having SLE¹. The results from past studies are inconsistent, with some studies finding no association between depression and disease activity^{4,6,11,18,20}, other neuropsychiatric manifestations⁶, autoantibodies [e.g., anti-P^{6,20}, antiphospholipid antibodies (aPL)²³], or corticosteroid usage^{10,18}. One previous analysis found that depression was associated with secondary Sjögren syndrome⁸.

Besides the inconsistencies in previous studies, most

Personal non-commercial use only. The Journal of Rheumatology Copyright © 2014. All rights reserved.

Huang, et al: Incident depression in SLE

prior studies have been cross-sectional, making it difficult to distinguish between the factors that preceded depression versus those that occurred after depression. Here, we report the results of a longitudinal study of incident depression in a large prospective cohort of patients with SLE without prior depression. Using this cohort, we estimated the incidence rate of first depression occurrence, and compared the incidence in subsets of SLE defined by candidate risk factors, including socioeconomic status, SLE disease activity, clinical manifestations, autoantibodies, and medication use.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients and study design. The analysis was based on data from the Hopkins Lupus Cohort, begun in 1987. The study was approved on a yearly basis by the Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine Institutional Review Board. All patients gave written informed consent. At cohort entry, a comprehensive medical history, including demographic variables, clinical manifestations, laboratory tests, and treatment was obtained from the medical records and the patient. At each quarterly clinic visit, a battery of laboratory tests were performed, including a complete blood count, urinalysis and urine protein/creatinine ratio, comprehensive metabolic panel, erythrocyte sedimentation rate, serum creatinine, complement factor 3 (C3) and C4 levels, anti-dsDNA, and multiple measures of aPL (lupus anticoagulant by dilute Russell's viper venom time with confirmatory studies, and aCL IgG, IgM, and IgA). In addition, cohort members had 1 or more measurements of other immunologic markers related to SLE, including antinuclear antibody, anti-Sm, anti-RNP, anti-Ro, anti-La, and anti-β2 glycoprotein I. At each visit, clinical assessment of disease activity was done using the physician's global assessment²⁵ and the Safety of Estrogens in Lupus Erythematosus National Assessment-Systemic Lupus Erythematosus Disease Activity Index (SELENA-SLEDAI)²⁶. The Systemic Lupus International Collaborating Clinics/American College of Rheumatology (SLICC/ACR) Damage Index²⁷ was also similarly recorded at the first visit and updated at least every 3 months. This analysis is based on the cohort experience through June 2013.

A patient was considered to have depression if (1) there was a record of persistent depression (2 or more mentions of depression separated by several weeks in rheumatology clinic notes) and/or a diagnosis of affective disorder was made by a psychiatric professional; and (2) treatment for those symptoms with psychotherapy or antidepressant medications was documented. Antidepressant use for reasons other than depression was not counted. All Johns Hopkins Hospital records, outpatient rheumatology records, and psychiatric records were reviewed by 1 rheumatologist. All patients met the ACR neuropsychiatric case definition of major depressive-like episode or mood disorder with depressive features²⁸. Other neuropsychiatric case definitions²⁸ and included seizures, stroke or transient ischemic attack, aseptic meningitis, organic brain syndrome, psychosis, and longitudinal myelitis.

From 1987 to 2013, 2104 patients were in the cohort. Patients who had an episode of depression prior to cohort entry were excluded. Those who had a history of depression, but for whom the onset year was uncertain, were also excluded. For those included, the study was based on the experience of each patient from cohort entry until the patient's last cohort visit, or at the time of the first episode of depression (whichever came first). Statistical analysis. To facilitate the analysis, the dataset was formatted to consist of 1 record for every month of followup for each patient. Each record contained the clinical and medication history of the patient up until that time based on information from the most recent quarterly visit. Each person-month record also contained a variable indicating whether new depression had occurred during that month. For some variables (such as

anti-Sm, anti-Ro, and anti-La), which were not part of the quarterly battery of tests and were measured only once, we assigned the value of the measurement at that time to all of a patient's person-months.

To estimate the association between a predictor (e.g., age) and incidence rates of depression, each month was classified into a subgroup based on that predictor (e.g., age 18-39 yrs). Then we estimated the rate of depression per month in each subgroup by calculating the number of incident events of depression divided by the number of person-months observed in that subgroup. Results were converted to rates per person-year. To assess whether associations between risk factors and rates of depression persisted after controlling for potential confounding variables (e.g., age), we applied pooled logistic regression to the monthly data. Pooled logistic regression is a form of discrete survival analysis that is about equivalent to a Cox model. An advantage of this approach is that it facilitates data exploration and incorporation of time-varying predictors²⁹. Using this approach, we fit multiple regression models for specific variables, controlling for additional confounders relevant to those specific variables. Finally, we fit a final multivariable model including the variables that were most important in the univariate and multivariate models.

RESULTS

Characteristics of the patients with SLE. The analysis was based on 1609 patients with SLE recruited between 1987 and June 2013. The majority (92%) of this cohort were women, and most were either white (51%) or African American (41%). About 76% of the patients were less than 40 years of age at cohort entry. Over the study, 46% of patients in the cohort were followed up for more than 5 years. The SLICC/ACR Damage Index indicated minimal cumulative organ damage with a score of 0 in 940 patients (58%), 1 in 357 patients (22%), 2–3 in 250 patients (15%), and more than 4 in 62 patients (5%) at cohort entry (Table 1).

Rate of incident depression. In our study, 282 (17%) of 1609 patients had a first depression occurrence during the followup. The 1609 patients were observed for a total of 9487 person-years. This equates to an incidence rate of 29.7 episodes per 1000 person-years (95% CI, 26.4 to 33.4; Table 2).

Association between demographic factors and depression in the univariate analysis. Rates of incident depression declined consistently with age (Table 2). The rates of depression were found to be 1.4 times higher in patients with disability than in those without (p = 0.0084), and 1.5 times higher in those separated or divorced compared to married individuals (p = 0.021). The rate of depression was significantly lower in patients with college education [rate ratio (RR) = 0.6, p = 0.031], or family income more than \$100,000 US (RR = 0.6, p = 0.014). Importantly, depression was substantially (90%) less frequent in those of Asian ethnicity than in others (RR = 0.1, p = 0.018; Table 2).

Association between SLE disease duration, activity, non-neuropsychiatric symptoms, and depression in the univariate analysis. The association of SLE disease-related variables and depression is summarized in Table 3. The rate of incident depression in patients with SLE disease duration more than 3 years was 40% to 60% lower than in those with disease duration less than 3 years (p < 0.0001). The rate of

Table 1. Characteristics of patients in the Hopkins Lupus Cohort who did not have precohort history of depression.

Variable	N (%)		
Patients	1609 (100)		
Sex			
Female	1486 (92)		
Male	123 (8)		
Ethnicity			
White	823 (51)		
African-American	663 (41)		
East Asian	63 (4)		
Other	60 (4)		
Age at cohort entry, yrs			
< 30	585 (36)		
30–44	642 (40)		
45–59	305 (19)		
60+	77 (5)		
Education	. ,		
< High school	150 (10)		
High school	404 (26)		
Some college	437 (28)		
College graduate+	563 (36)		
Income (\$ US)	. ,		
< 25,000	418 (29)		
25,000-60,000	464 (32)		
60,000–100,000	314 (22)		
100,000	264 (18)		
Year of cohort entry	. ,		
1987–1995	425 (26)		
1996-2004	692 (43)		
2005–2013	492 (31)		
Followup duration, yrs	- (- /		
< 2	515 (32)		
2–5	377 (23)		
5–10	374 (23)		
10+	343 (21)		
SLICC Damage Score at cohort entry	/		
0	940 (58)		
1	357 (22)		
2–3	250 (15)		
4+	62 (5)		

SLICC: Systemic Lupus International Collaborating Clinics.

incident depression was 1.6 times higher in patients with SLE with higher current SLE disease activity as measured by a SELENA-SLEDAI score of 5 or more versus 0 (p = 0.0077). The rate of incident depression in patients with SLE with current cutaneous SLE activity was 1.5 times higher than in those without (p = 0.0031). Moreover, the rate of incident depression in patients with SLE with cutaneous SLE activity over the last 12 months was also 1.5 times higher than in those without (p = 0.027). In contrast, there was no significant association between depression and either musculoskeletal activity or renal SLE (p > 0.05).

Association between NPSLE symptoms and depression in the univariate analysis. Of the 282 incident depressions, 183 (65%) occurred in the absence of other neuropsychiatric conditions within the same period of observation. As noted

in Table 4, the rate of incident depression in the months after onset of longitudinal myelitis was 4 times higher than in the other months without a history of myelitis (p = 0.0064). This finding is based on 8 patients with longitudinal myelitis in our cohort, of whom 4 developed depression. We found no association between depression and prior history of any other neuropsychiatric disorders, including seizure, psychosis, organic brain syndrome (encephalopathy), aseptic meningitis, stroke, SLE headache, mononeuritis multiplex, cognitive impairment, cranial or peripheral neuropathy (p > 0.05). Abnormal brain computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scans were also not associated with depression (p > 0.05; Table 4). Association of SLE immunological markers and SLE medication use with depression in the univariate analysis. As noted in Table 5, we found no significant association between depression and lower C3/C4, anti-dsDNA, anti-Sm, anti-RNP, anti-Ro, anti-La, aCL, or lupus anticoagulant. The rate of incident depression during months with low C3 was 1.3 times higher than during those without low C3, but it did not quite reach statistical significance (p = 0.066). Notably, the rate of incident depression increased significantly as the dose of current prednisone increased. Among those taking 20-39 mg/day, the rate of incident depression was 2.3 times higher than the rate among those not taking prednisone (p = 0.0002). Among those taking 40 or more mg/day, the rate was increased by a factor of 3.1 (RR = 3.1, p = 0.0001). Hydroxychloroquine or immunosuppressive drug use was not associated with and was not protective against depression (Table 5).

Multivariable analyses of independent predictors of incident depression in the Hopkins Lupus Cohort. To tease out the relative importance of correlated risk factors, we fit multivariable models that estimated the association between risk factors and depression, controlling for other variables. In separate multivariate analyses, we found the following results: (1) age was not a significant predictor of depression after controlling for SLE duration; (2) global disease activity (SELENA-SLEDAI) was not a significant predictor after controlling for prednisone use; (3) education and income were strongly related to each other, and neither was a significant predictor after adjusting for the other; and (4) smoking was not a significant predictor after controlling for education and/or income. Thus, in a single full multivariable model, we did not include age, SELENA-SLEDAI, education, and smoking. Estimates from the final multivariate model are shown in Table 6. We found that the rate of incident depression appeared to decline as the time since SLE diagnosis increased (RR = 0.7, p = 0.0006). East Asians had a significantly lower rate of depression (RR = 0.1, p = 0.031). The incidence of depression declined within recent cohort years (RR = 0.6, p = 0.0008). Notably, disability (RR = 1.4, p = 0.034), cutaneous activity (RR = 1.7, p = 0.0008), history of myelitis (RR = 4.5, p = 0.0033), and prednisone

Table 2. Relationship between demographic factors and incident episodes of depression in the Hopkins Lupus Cohort.

Subgroup	Incident Episodes of Depression	Person-yrs of Followup	Rate of Depression Events Per 1000 Person-yrs	RR ¹ , 95% CI	p
All	282	9487	29.7		
Age, yrs					
18–39	152	4694	32.4	1.0 (Ref. Group)	
40-49	72	2416	29.8	0.9 (0.7, 1.2)	0.56
50-59	40	1513	26.4	0.8 (0.6, 1.2)	0.25
60–69	15	678	23.2	0.7 (0.4, 1.2)	0.22
70+	3	216	13.9	0.4 (0.1, 1.3)	0.15
Sex					
Female	262	8780	29.8	1.0 (Ref. Group)	
Male	20	707	28.3	0.9 (0.6, 1.5)	0.82
Ethnicity				(,)	
White	152	4760	31.9	1.0 (Ref. group)	
African American	121	4147	29.2	0.9 (0.7, 1.2)	0.45
East Asian	1	335	3.0	0.1 (0.01, 0.7)	0.018
Other	8	245	32.7	1.0 (0.5, 2.1)	0.95
Education	9	2.5	32.1	1.0 (0.0, 2.1)	0.20
< High school	37	1044	35.5	10 (Ref. Group)	
High school	86	2919	29.5	0.8 (0.6, 1.2)	0.35
Some college	80	2210	36.2	1.0 (0.7, 1.5)	0.92
College graduate	74	3218	23.0	0.6 (0.4, 1.0)	0.031
Combined family income, mean	74	3210	25.0	0.0 (0.4, 1.0)	0.031
< \$25,000 US	98	2992	39.9	1.0 (Ref. group)	
\$25,000-\$60,000	92	2916	38.7	1.0 (0.7, 1.3)	0.80
\$60,000-\$100,000	47	1774	35.3	0.8 (0.6, 1.1)	0.23
\$100,000+	28	1447	28.0	0.6 (0.4, 0.9)	0.014
Disability pension	20	177/	20.0	0.0 (0.4, 0.2)	0.014
No	208	7535	27.6	1.0 (Ref. group)	
Yes	74	1877	39.4	1.4 (1.1, 1.9)	0.0084
Marital status	74	10//	39.4	1.4 (1.1, 1.9)	0.0064
Married	136	5109	26.6	1.0 (Dof moun)	
	96	3062	31.4	1.0 (Ref. group)	0.22
Single				1.2 (0.9, 1.5)	
Separated/divorced	42	1049	40.0	1.5 (1.1, 2.1)	0.021
Widowed	7	240	29.2	1.1 (0.5, 2.4)	0.81
Smoker	155	5702	26.0	1.0 (D-f	
No	155	5783	26.8	1.0 (Ref. group)	0.020
Yes	127	3697	34.4	1.3 (1.0, 1.6)	0.038
Alcohol abuse	255	0000	20.0	1.0 (D. f.	
No	255	8808	29.0	1.0 (Ref. group)	0.000
Yes	27	668	40.4	1.4 (0.9, 2.1)	0.099
nsurance type	211	7103	20.2	1.0 (D. C.	
Private	211	7193	29.3	1.0 (Ref. group)	
Medical assistance	54	1872	28.9	1.0 (0.7, 1.3)	0.91
None	13	350	37.1	1.3 (0.7, 2.2)	0.41
Calendar year					
1987–1995	48	1513	31.7	1.0 (Ref. group)	
1996–2004	140	3539	39.6	1.2 (0.9, 1.7)	0.19
2005–2013	94	4435	21.2	0.7 (0.5, 0.9)	0.023

¹ The rate ratio (RR) stands for the ratio of rates in one group relative to another group.

(RR = 2.0, p = 0.0006) were independently associated with a higher risk of incident depression (Table 6).

DISCUSSION

Depression is frequent in SLE. We observed an incidence rate of 29.7 episodes of depression per 1000 person-years. This rate is substantially higher than the rate reported of

15.9 cases per 1000 person-years based on a study by Eaton, *et al*³⁰ of 4 urban sites in the United States (1 of which was Baltimore).

In multivariable analyses, we found that the rate of incident depression was higher within the first 3 years of diagnosis of SLE, after periods of cutaneous disease activity, with current prednisone use, and among those with

Table 3. Relationship between SLE-related disease activities or symptoms and incident episodes of depression in the Hopkins Lupus Cohort.

Subgroup	Incident Episodes of Depression	Person-yrs of Followup	Rate of Events Per 1000 Person-yrs	RR, 95% CI	p
Duration of SLE, yrs					
0–3	84	1715	49.0	1.0 (Ref. group)	
3–6	55	1810	30.4	0.6 (0.4,0.9)	0.0058
6–10	61	2054	29.7	0.6 (0.4,0.8)	0.0038
10–15	36	1743	20.7		
				0.4 (0.3,0.6)	< 0.0001
15+	46	2164	21.3	0.4 (0.3,0.6)	< 0.0001
Age of diagnosis					
< 40	212	2736	29.3	1.0 (Ref. group)	
40–49	43	1454	29.6	1.0 (0.7,1.4)	0.96
50–59	19	562	33.8	1.2 (0.7,1.8)	0.55
60+	7	212	33.1	1.1 (0.5,2.4)	0.75
Current SLEDAI					
0	87	3364	25.9	1.0 (Ref. group)	
1–2	77	2202	35.0	1.4 (1.0, 1.8)	0.053
3–4	54	1564	34.5	1.3 (1.0, 1.9)	0.095
5+	53	1289	41.1	1.6 (1.1, 2.2)	0.0077
Average SLEDAI last 12 mos ¹		/	:-	(,)	,
0	28	1273	22.0	1.0 (Ref. group)	
0–2	39	2042	19.1	0.9 (0.5, 1.4)	0.57
2–5	84	2490	33.7	1.5 (1.0, 2.4)	0.050
2–3 5+	23				
	23	875	26.3	1.2 (0.7, 2.1)	0.053
Current physical global assessment	0.2	20.50	20.4	10000	
0	83	2950	28.1	1.0 (Ref. group)	
0–1	78	2563	30.4	1.1 (0.8, 1.5)	0.62
1–1.5	62	1609	38.5	1.4 (1.0, 1.9)	0.061
1.5+	48	1302	36.9	1.3 (0.9, 1.9)	0.14
Average physical global assessment last 1	2 mos ¹				
0	17	786	21.6	1.0 (Ref. group)	
0–1	122	4495	27.1	1.3 (0.8, 2.1)	0.38
1–1.5	26	895	29.1	1.3 (0.7, 2.5)	0.34
1.5+	9	514	17.5	0.8 (0.4, 1.8)	0.61
SLICC damage score		011	17.10	0.0 (01., 1.0)	0.01
0	112	3979	28.1	1.0 (Ref. group)	
1–2	121	3729	32.4	1.2 (0.9, 1.5)	0.28
3–5	46	1463	32.5		0.53
				1.1 (0.8, 1.6)	
6+	3	316	9.5	0.3 (0.1, 1.1)	0.063
Musculoskeletal activity ²			24.0	1000	
None	249	7841	31.8	1.0 (Ref. group)	
Some	22	583	37.8	1.2 (0.8, 1.8)	0.44
Musculoskeletal activity ² in last 12 mos ¹					
None	138	5582	24.7	1.0 (Ref. group)	
Some	36	1006	32.5	1.3 (0.9, 1.9)	0.14
Cutaneous SLE activity ³				* *	
None	205	6952	29.6	1.0 (Ref. group)	
Some	66	1473	44.8	1.5 (1.2, 2.0)	0.0031
Cutaneous SLE activity ³ in last 12 mos ¹	50	1110	. 110	1.0 (1.2, 2.0)	0.0051
None	97	4267	22.7	1.0 (Ref. group)	
Some	77	2420	31.8	1.5 (1.0, 1.9)	0.027
Renal involvement	11	2420	51.0	1.5 (1.0, 1.7)	0.027
	1.57	4024	21.0	1.0 (D. C.)	
None	157	4934	31.8	1.0 (Ref. group)	
Proteinuria ⁴	56	2044	27.4	0.9 (0.6, 1.2)	0.34
Nephrotic syndrome	29	896	32.4	1.0 (0.7, 1.5)	0.93
Renal insufficiency	28	1085	25.8	0.8 (0.5, 1.2)	0.31
ESRD	12	527	22.8	0.7 (0.4, 1.3)	0.26
Sjögren syndrome					
No	225	7644	29.4	1.0 (Ref. group)	
Yes	40	1364	29.3	1.0 (0.7, 1.4)	0.98

¹ Unknown and not included in the analysis for followup that occurred within the first year of cohort participation. ² Based on SLEDAI items (arthritis, myositis). ³ Based on SLEDAI items (new rash, alopecia, mucosal ulcers). ⁴ Proteinuria, urine dipstick protein (3+). SLE: systemic lupus erythematosus; RR: rate ratio; SLEDAI: Systemic Lupus Erythematosus Disease Activity Index; SLICC: Systemic Lupus International Collaborating Clinics; ESRD: endstage renal disease.

Table 4. Relationship between neurologic manifestation of SLE and incident episodes of depression in the Hopkins Lupus Cohort.

Subgroup	Incident Episodes of Depression	Person-yrs of Followup	Rate of Events Per 1000 Person-yrs	RR ¹ , 95% CI	p
Any neuropsychiatric di	sorder (other than depression)				
No	183	6162	29.7	1.0 (Ref. group)	
Yes	99	3324	29.8	1.0 (0.7, 1.3)	0.98
Seizure					
No	259	8831	29.3	1.0 (Ref. group)	
Yes	23	652	35.3	1.2 (0.8, 1.8)	0.39
Psychosis					
No	277	9225	30.0	1.0 (Ref. group)	
Yes	5	261	19.1	0.6 (0.3, 1.5)	0.32
Organic brain syndrome	(encephalopathy)			, , ,	
No	269	9142	29.4	1.0 (Ref group)	
Yes	13	345	37.7	1.3 (0.7, 2.2)	0.38
Aseptic meningitis				, , ,	
No	275	9324	29.5	1.0 (Ref. group)	
Yes	7	162	43.1	1.5 (0.7, 3.1)	0.32
Stroke				, , ,	
No	260	8943	29.1	1.0 (Ref. group)	
Yes	21	528	39.8	1.4 (0.9, 2.1)	0.17
SLE headache				, , ,	
No	254	8617	29.5	1.0 (Ref. group	
Yes	27	869	31.1	1.1 (0.7, 1.6)	0.79
Mononeuritis multiplex				, , ,	
No	279	9414	29.6	1.0 (Ref. group)	
Yes	3	73	41.1	1.4 (0.4, 4.3)	0.57
Cognitive impairment				, , ,	
No	272	9112	29.9	1.0 (Ref. group)	
Yes	10	366	27.4	0.9 (0.5, 1.7)	0.79
Cranial or peripheral ne	uropathy			, , ,	
No	256	8896	28.8	1.0 (Ref. group)	
Yes	24	570	42.1	1.5 (1.0, 2.2)	0.074
Longitudinal myelitis				, , ,	
No	278	9452	29.4	1.0 (Ref. group)	
Yes	4	34. 5	115.9	4.0 (1.5, 10.7)	0.0064
Abnormal CT of the bra				. (,)	
No	262	8983	29.2	1.0 (Ref. group)	
Yes	20	503	39.8	1.4 (0.9, 2.2)	0.18
Abnormal MRI of the bi				. (, -,	
No	245	8096	30.3	1.0 (Ref. group)	
Yes	37	1391	26.6	0.9 (0.6, 1.2)	0.46

¹ The rate ratio (RR) stands for the ratio of rates in one group relative to another group. SLE: systemic lupus erythematosus; MRI: magnetic resonance imaging; CT: computed tomography.

a history of longitudinal myelitis. It was lower among those who were East Asian or had high socioeconomic status.

Our results showed that depression tended to occur early in the course of SLE. A similar finding was observed with seizure in SLE³¹. The incidence of depression might decline later in the course of SLE as a result of better control of disease activity, less prednisone use, and increased ability to cope over time.

We observed a decline in the incidence of first depression occurrence with older age. Our findings suggest that older patients with SLE who have not had a prior episode of depression are at relatively low risk of developing a first occurrence of depression. Because we were describing incidence of a first episode of depression, it should be noted that this does not imply that depression was less frequent or less prevalent among older patients in our cohort. Also, in this cohort, the association between incidence of depression and younger age appears to be explained by the fact that the rates of depression are highest in the years close to the diagnosis of SLE.

Consistent with a prior study³², we found that depression was independently associated with disability in patients with SLE. A previous study showed that patients with SLE and work disability were more likely to have depression, fibromyalgia, and arthralgia³². Our multivariate analysis confirmed that disability was associated with depression¹⁰.

Table 5. Relationship between immunologic variables, medication use, and incident episodes of depression in the Hopkins Lupus Cohort.

Current low C3	5% CI	p
No		
Yes 74 1902 38.9 1.3 (1.0 Ever low C3 70 103 3425 30.1 1.0 (Ref. No	f. group)	
Ever law C3 No No No 103 A3425 30.1 10.0 (Ref. Yes 179 6062 29.5 10.0 (0.8 Current low C4 No No Yes 49 1475 33.2 10.0 (Ref. Yes Yes 158 Ever low C4 No No 124 A374 27.4 10.0 (Ref. Yes 158 5112 30.9 11.0 (0.8 Current anti-dsDNA No 191 6089 31.4 10.0 (Ref. Yes 80 2261 35.4 11.0 (0.8 Current anti-dsDNA No 93 2266 Ever anti-dsDNA No No 94 827 10.0 (Ref. Yes 77 2387 32.3 11.0 (0.8 Ever low Anti-RNP No No 106 6594 29.7 10.0 (Ref. Yes 81 2800 28.9 10.0 (Ref. Yes 37 1164 31.8 11.1 (0.8 Anti-danticardiolpin No No 188 375.1 131.5 10.0 (Ref. Yes 37 1104 31.8 11.0 (0.8 No 188 375.1 31.5 10.0 (Ref. Yes 92 30.63 30.0 10.0 (Ref. Yes 92 10		0.066
No 103 3425 30.1 10.0 Ref. Yes 179 6062 29.5 10.0 0.8 Current low C4 No 221 6896 32.0 10.0 Ref. Yes 49 1475 33.2 10.0 0.8 Ever low C4 No 124 4374 27.4 10.0 Ref. Yes 158 5112 30.9 1.1 (0.9 Current anti-dsDNA No 191 6089 31.4 10.0 Ref. Yes 80 2261 35.4 11.0 (0.9 Ever low C4 No 93 2669 31.4 10.0 Ref. Yes 189 2261 35.4 11.0 (0.9 Ever anti-dsDNA No 93 2669 34.8 10.0 Ref. Yes 189 2261 35.4 11.0 (0.9 Anti-Sm No 221 7704 28.7 10.0 Ref. Yes 57 1699 33.6 12.0 0.9 Anti-RNP No 221 7704 28.7 10.0 Ref. Yes 77 2387 32.3 11.0 (0.8 Ever anti-dsDNA No 201 7017 28.6 10.0 Ref. Yes 81 2800 28.9 10.0 Ref. Yes 81 2800 28.9 10.0 Ref. Yes 81 2800 28.9 10.0 Ref. Yes 37 1164 31.8 11.0 0.8 Anti-La No 196 6594 29.7 10.0 Ref. Yes 37 1164 31.8 11.0 0.8 Anti-La No 181 3751 31.5 10.0 Ref. Yes 37 1164 31.8 11.0 0.8 Anti-tardiolipin No 118 3751 31.5 10.0 Ref. Yes 37 1566 28.2 0.9 0.0.7 Lupus anticoagulant No 118 3751 31.5 10.0 Ref. Yes 92 3063 30.0 10.0 (0.8 Anti-La 10.0 No 11.0	.0, 1.7)	0.000
Yes	f group)	
Current flow C4		0.88
No 221 6896 32.0 1.0 (Ref. Yes Yes 49 1475 33.2 1.0 (0.8 Ever low C4 No 124 4374 27.4 1.0 (Ref. Yes 158 5112 30.9 1.1 (0.9 1.0 (0.8 Ever low C4) No 124 4374 27.4 1.0 (Ref. Yes 158 5112 30.9 1.1 (0.9 Ever anti-dsDNA) No 191 6089 31.4 1.0 (Ref. Yes 80 2261 35.4 1.1 (0.9 Ever anti-dsDNA) No 93 2669 34.8 1.0 (Ref. Yes 189 2261 27.7 0.8 (0.6 No 189 28.9 1.0 (Ref. Yes 77 2387 32.3 1.1 (0.9 No 201 7017 28.6 1.0 (Ref. Yes 77 2387 32.3 1.1 (0.9 No 180 28.9 1.0 (0.8 No 180 28	.0, 1.3)	0.66
Yes 49 1475 33.2 1.0 (0.8 Ever low C4 No 124 4374 27.4 1.0 (Ref. Yes) Current anti-dsDNA No 191 6089 31.4 1.0 (Ref. Yes) Ever anti-dsDNA 80 2261 35.4 1.1 (0.9 Ever anti-dsDNA) No 93 2669 34.8 1.0 (Ref. Action of the control of the c	f amazzm)	
Sever low C4		0.82
No 124 4374 27.4 1.0 (Ref. Yes 158 5112 30.9 1.1 (0.9 Current anti-dsDNA	.8, 1.4)	0.82
Yes 158 5112 30.9 1.1 (0.9) Current anti-dsDNA No 191 6089 31.4 1.0 (Ref. Yes No 191 6089 31.4 1.0 (Ref. Yes Ever anti-dsDNA 1.0 (Ref. Yes 32669 34.8 1.0 (Ref. Yes Anti-Sm 189 2261 27.7 0.8 (0.6) Anti-Sm 70 28.7 1.0 (Ref. Yes 1.0 (Ref. Yes 37.0 (Ref. Yes 33.6 1.2 (0.9) Anti-RNP 80 201 7017 28.6 1.0 (Ref. Yes 37.1 (0.9) Anti-RNP 81 2800 28.9 1.0 (Ref. Yes 81 2800 28.9 1.0 (0.8) Anti-La 80 240 8227 29.2 1.0 (Ref. Yes 37.1 (16.8 31.5 1.0 (0.8) 3.1 (0.8) 3.1 (0.8) 3.1 (0.8) 3.1 (0.8) 3.1 (0.8) 3.1 (0.8) 3.1 (0.8) 3.1 (0.8) 3.1 (0.8) 3.1 (0.8) 3.1 (0.8) 3.1 (0.8) 3.1 (0.8) 3.1 (0.8) 3.1 (0.8) 3.1 (0.8)		
No		
No 191 6089 31.4 1.0 (Ref. Yes 80 2261 35.4 1.1 (0.9 Fer. Yes 80 2261 35.4 1.1 (0.9 Fer. Arti-dsDNA 35.4 1.1 (0.9 Fer. Arti-ds	.9, 1.4)	0.47
Yes 80 2261 35.4 1.1 (0.9) Ever anti-dsDNA No 93 2669 34.8 1.0 (Ref. Yes Anti-Sm No 221 7704 28.7 1.0 (Ref. Yes Anti-Sm No 221 7704 28.7 1.0 (Ref. Yes Anti-RNP No 201 7017 28.6 1.0 (Ref. Yes Anti-Ro Yes 77 2387 32.3 1.1 (0.9) Anti-Ro No 196 6594 29.7 1 0 (Ref. Yes Anti-Ro No 196 6594 29.7 1 0 (Ref. Yes Anti-La 280 1.0 (0.8 29.7 1 0 (Ref. Yes 1 0 (0.8 Anti-Cardiolipin No 118 3751 31.5 1.0 (Ref. Yes 1 0 (0.8 Lupsa anticoagulant No 183 6330 28.9 1.0 (Ref. Yes 2 3063 30.0 1.0 (0.8 Current prednisone dose 12 4373 28.4 1.0 (Ref. 10.0 2 2.2 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td></td<>		
Seer anti-dsDNA		
No 93 2669 34.8 1.0 (Ref. Yes 189 2261 27.7 0.8 (0.6 (0.8) (0.6 (0.8) (0.8) (0.6 (0.8) (0.	.9, 1.5)	0.36
Yes 189 2261 27.7 0.8 (0.6 this) Anti-Sm No 221 7704 28.7 1.0 (Ref. Yes 57 1699 33.6 1.2 (0.9 this) Anti-RNP No 201 7017 28.6 1.0 (Ref. Yes 32.3 1.1 (0.9 this) Anti-Ro No 196 6594 29.7 1 0 (Ref. Yes 1.0 (0.8 this) Anti-La No 196 6594 29.7 1 0 (Ref. Yes 1 0 (0.8 this) Anti-La No 240 8227 29.2 1.0 (0.8 this) Anti-Cardiolipin No 118 3751 31.5 1.0 (Ref. Yes 1.0 (0.8 this) Anticardiolipin No 118 3751 31.5 1.0 (Ref. Yes 9.2 3063 30.0 1.0 (0.8 this) Anticardiolipin No 183 6330 28.9 1.0 (Ref. Yes 9.2 3063 30.0 1.0 (Ref. Yes 9.2 3063 30.0 1.0 (0.8 this) 1.0 (Ref. Yes 2.2 2.2		
Anti-Sm No 221 7704 28.7 1.0 (Ref. Yes 57 1699 33.6 1.2 (0.9 Anti-RNP No 201 7017 28.6 1.0 (Ref. Yes 77 2387 32.3 1.1 (0.9 Anti-RNP No 77 2387 32.3 1.1 (0.9 Anti-Ro No 196 6594 29.7 1.0 (Ref. Yes 81 2800 28.9 1.0 (0.8 Anti-La 81 2800 28.9 1.0 (Ref. Yes 37 1164 31.8 1.1 (0.8 Yes 37 1164 31.8 1.1 (0.8 Yes 157 5566 28.2 0.9 (0.7 Yes 16 2	f. group)	
Anti-Sm No 221 7704 28.7 1.0 (Ref. Yes 57 1699 33.6 1.2 (0.9 Anti-RNP No 201 7017 28.6 1.0 (Ref. Yes 77 2387 32.3 1.1 (0.9 Ref. Yes 77 2387 32.3 1.1 (0.9 Ref. Yes 8 1 2800 28.9 1.0 (Ref. Yes 8 1 2800 28.9 1.0 (Ref. Yes 8 1 2800 28.9 1.0 (Ref. Yes 37 1164 31.8 1.1 (0.8 Anti-La No 240 8227 29.2 1.0 (Ref. Yes 37 1164 31.8 1.1 (0.8 Yes 157 5566 28.2 0.9 (0.7 Yes 157 5566 28	.6, 1.0)	0.071
No 221 7704 28.7 1.0 (Ref. Yes 57 1699 33.6 1.2 (0.9) Anti-RNP No 201 7017 28.6 1.0 (Ref. Yes 77 2387 32.3 1.1 (0.9) Anti-RN No 196 6594 29.7 10 (Ref. Yes 81 2800 28.9 1.0 (0.8) Anti-RO No 240 8227 29.2 1.0 (Ref. Yes 37 1164 31.8 1.1 (0.8) Anti-La No 240 8227 29.2 1.0 (Ref. Yes 37 1164 31.8 1.1 (0.8) Anti-Cardiolipin No 118 3751 31.5 1.0 (Ref. Yes 1566 28.2 0.9 (0.7) Augustanticoagulant No 183 6330 28.9 1.0 (Ref. Yes 92 3063 30.0 1.0 (0.8) Augustanticoagulant No 183 6330 28.9 1.0 (Ref. Yes 92 3063 30.0 1.0 (0.8) Current prednisone dose None 124 4373 28.4 1.0 (Ref. 1-9 66 2282 28.9 1.0 (0.8) 10-19 45 1218 36.9 1.3 (0.9) 20-39 24 374 64.3 2.3 (1.5) 40+ 13 150 86.5 3.1 (1.7) Mean prednisone dose last 12 mos¹ None 74 3062 24.2 1.0 (Ref. 1-9 66 228) 10-19 37 904 40.9 1.7 (1.1, 20+1) Augustanticoagulane No 102 3001 31.4 1.0 (0.7) Augustanticoagulane 70 5415 30.2 0.9 (0.7) Augustanticoagulane 71 1.1 (0.8) Augustanticoagulane 72 220 54.6 2.5 (1.3) Augustanticoagulane 73 904 40.9 1.7 (1.1, 20+1) Augustanticoagulane 74 3062 24.2 1.0 (Ref. 1-9 65 2399 27.1 1.1 (1.0, 6.8) Augustanticoagulane 74 3062 24.2 1.0 (Ref. 1-9 65 2399 27.1 1.1 (1.0, 6.8) Augustanticoagulane 74 3062 24.2 1.0 (Ref. 1-9 65 2399 27.1 1.1 (1.0, 6.8) Augustanticoagulane 74 3062 24.2 1.0 (Ref. 1-9 65 2399 27.1 1.1 (1.0, 6.8) Augustanticoagulane 75 904 40.9 1.7 (1.1, 20+1) Augustanticoagulane 75 904 40.9 1.7 (1.1, 20+1) Augustanticoagulane 75 904 40.9 1.7 (1.1, 20+1) Augustanticoagulane 96 98.7 904 40.9 1.7 (1.1, 20+1) Augustanticoagulane 97 98.8 98.9 98.7 90.9 (0.6) Augustanticoagulane 98.8 98.9 98.7 90.9 (0.6) Augustanticoagulane 98.9 98.7 90.9 (0.6) Augustanticoagulane 98.8 98.9 98.7 90.9 (0.6) Augustanticoagulane 98.8 98.9 98.9 98.9 98.9 98.9 98.9 98.	*	
Yes 57 1699 33.6 1.2 (0.9. Anti-RNP	f. group)	
Anti-RNP No 201 7017 28.6 1.0 (Ref. Yes 77 2387 32.3 1.1 (0.9 Anti-Ro No 196 6594 29.7 1.0 (Ref. Yes 81 2800 28.9 1.0 (Ref. Yes 81 2800 28.9 1.0 (Ref. Yes 37 1164 31.8 1.1 (0.8 Anti-La No 240 82.7 29.2 1.0 (Ref. Yes 37 1164 31.8 1.1 (0.8 Anti-cardiolipin No 118 3751 31.5 1.0 (Ref. Yes 157 5566 28.2 0.9 (0.7 Eupus anticoagulant No 183 6330 28.9 1.0 (Ref. Yes 92 3063 30.0 1.0 (Ref. Yes 1-9 66 2282 28.9 1.0 (Ref. Yes 1-9 66 2282 28.9 1.0 (Ref. Yes 1-9 66 2282 28.9 1.0 (Ref. Yes 1-9 65 2399 27.1 1.1 (0.8 El-19 65 2399 29.1 (0.8 El-19 65 2399 29.1 (0.8 El-19 65 2399 29.1 (0.8 El-19 65 2399 2	C 1	0.29
No 201 7017 28.6 1.0 (Ref. Yes 77 2387 32.3 1.1 (0.9 Anti-Ro No 196 6594 29.7 10 (Ref. Yes 81 2800 28.9 1.0 (0.8 Anti-La No 240 8227 29.2 1.0 (Ref. Yes 37 1164 31.8 1.1 (0.8 Anti-California No 118 3751 31.5 1.0 (Ref. Yes 15566 28.2 0.9 (0.7 Eugustanticoagulant No 183 6330 28.9 1.0 (Ref. Yes 92 3063 30.0 1.0 (Ref. Yes 92 3063 30.0 1.0 (Ref. 199 66 2282 28.9 1.0 (Ref. 1-9 66 2282 28.9 1.0 (Ref. 1-9 45 1218 36.9 1.3 (0.9 40.4 40.4 13 150.9 40.4 40.4 13 150.9 40.4 40.4 13 150.9 40.4 40.4 13 150.9 40.4 40.9 1.1 (1.0 (Ref. 1-9 65 2399 27.1 1.1 (0.8 (Ref. 1-9 65 2399 27.1 1.	, 1.0)	J.27
Yes 77 2387 32.3 1.1 (0.9. Anti-Ro No 196 6594 29.7 10 (Ref. Yes 81 2800 28.9 1.0 (0.8. Anti-La No 240 8227 29.2 1.0 (Ref. Yes 37 1164 31.8 1.1 (0.8. Anti-La No 118 3751 31.5 1.0 (Ref. Yes 157 5566 28.2 0.9 (0.7. Anti-Ro No 183 6330 28.9 1.0 (Ref. Yes 9.9 157 5566 28.2 0.9 (0.7. Anti-Ro No 183 6330 28.9 1.0 (Ref. Yes 9.9 2 3063 30.0 1.0 (Ref. 1-9 66 2282 28.9 1.0 (Ref. 1-9 66 2282 28.9 1.0 (Ref. 1-9 66 2282 28.9 1.0 (Ref. 1-9 65 2399 27.1 1.1 (0.8. Anti-Ro None 124 374 64.3 2.3 (1.5. 40+ 13 150 86.5 3.1 (1.7. Anti-Ro None 125 127 128 36.9 1.3 (0.9. 20-39 24 374 64.3 2.3 (1.5. 40+ 13 150 86.5 3.1 (1.7. Anti-Ro None 125 127 128 36.9 1.3 (0.9. 20-39 24 374 64.3 2.3 (1.5. 40+ 13 150 86.5 3.1 (1.7. Anti-Ro None 126 2399 27.1 1.1 (0.8. 86.5 10-19 37 904 40.9 1.7 (1.1. 20+ 12 220 54.6 2.5 (1.3. Current hydroxychloroquine No 102 3001 31.4 1.0 (Ref. Yes 170 5415 30.2 0.9 (0.7. 4) (1.0. Ref. Yes 170 5415 30.3 30.3 30.0 30.0 30.0 30.0 30.0 30.	f group)	
Anti-Ro No 196 6594 29.7 1.0 (Ref. Yes 81 2800 28.9 1.0 (0.8 Anti-La No 240 8227 29.2 1.0 (Ref. Yes 37 1164 31.8 1.1 (0.8 Anti-Cardiolipin No 118 3751 31.5 1.0 (Ref. Yes 37 5566 28.2 0.9 (0.7 Mark) Auticardiolipin No 118 3751 31.5 1.0 (Ref. Yes 37 5566 28.2 0.9 (0.7 Mark) Auticardiolipin No 183 6330 28.9 1.0 (Ref. Yes 37 30.0 1.0 (Ref. Yes 37 30.0 1.0 (Ref. Yes 37 30.0 1.0 (Ref. 19 30.		0.38
No 196 6594 29.7 10 (Ref. Yes 81 2800 28.9 1.0 (0.8 Anti-La No 240 8227 29.2 1.0 (Ref. Yes 37 1164 31.8 1.1 (0.8 Anticardiolipin No 118 3751 31.5 1.0 (Ref. Yes 157 5566 28.2 0.9 (0.7 Anticardiolipin No 183 6330 28.9 1.0 (Ref. Yes 92 3063 30.0 11.0 (Ref. 1-9 66 2282 28.9 1.0 (Ref. 1-9 66 2282 28.9 1.0 (Ref. 1-9 45 11.0 (Ref. 1-19 45 11.0 (Ref. 1-	.9, 1.3)	0.56
Yes 81 2800 28.9 1.0 (0.8 Anti-La No 240 8227 29.2 1.0 (Ref. Yes 37 1164 31.8 1.1 (0.8 Anti-Arrival Anti-Arri	c	
Anti-La No 240 8227 29.2 1.0 (Ref. Yes 37 1164 31.8 1.1 (0.8 Anticardiolipin No 1118 3751 31.5 1.0 (Ref. Yes 157 5566 28.2 0.9 (0.7 Anticardiolipin) No 118 3751 31.5 1.0 (Ref. Yes 2.2 0.9 (0.7 Anticardiolipin) No 183 6330 28.9 1.0 (Ref. Yes 92 3063 30.0 1.0 (0.8 Anticardiolipin) No 183 6330 28.9 1.0 (Ref. Yes 92 3063 30.0 1.0 (0.8 Anticardiolipin) None 124 4373 28.4 1.0 (Ref. 1-9 66 2282 28.9 1.0 (0.8 Anticardiolipin) None 124 4373 28.4 1.0 (Ref. 1-9 66 2282 28.9 1.0 (0.8 Anticardiolipin) None 124 374 64.3 2.3 (1.5 Anticardiolipin) Mean prednisone dose last 12 mos¹ None 74 3062 24.2 1.0 (Ref. 1-9 65 2399 27.1 1.1 (1.0 Ref. 1-9 65 2399 29.1 1.0 (Ref. 1-9 65 29.1 1.0 (Ref. 1-9 65 29.1 1.0 (Ref. 1-		0.04
No 240 8227 29.2 1.0 (Ref. Yes 37 1164 31.8 1.1 (0.8 Anticardiolipin No 118 3751 31.5 1.0 (Ref. Yes 157 5566 28.2 0.9 (0.7 Aupus anticoagulant No 183 6330 28.9 1.0 (Ref. Yes 92 3063 30.0 1.0 (0.8 Augus anticoagulant No 183 6330 28.9 1.0 (Ref. Yes 92 3063 30.0 1.0 (0.8 Augus anticoagulant No 184 4373 28.4 1.0 (Ref. 1-9 66 2282 28.9 1.0 (0.8 Augus 10-19 45 1218 36.9 1.3 (0.9 20-39 24 374 64.3 2.3 (1.5 40+ 13 150 86.5 3.1 (1.7 Mean prednisone dose last 12 mos¹ None 74 3062 24.2 1.0 (Ref. 1-9 65 2399 27.1 1.1 (0.8 Augus 10-19 37 904 40.9 1.7 (1.1, 20+ 12) 20+ 12 220 54.6 2.5 (1.3 Augus 10-19 37 904 40.9 1.7 (1.1, 20+ 12) 20+ 12 220 54.6 2.5 (1.3 Augus 10-19 37 904 40.9 1.7 (1.1, 20+ 12) 20+ 12 20 54.6 2.5 (1.3 Augus 10.8 Augus 10.3 3826 26.9 0.9 (0.7 Augus 10.8 Augus 10.3 3826 26.9 0.9 (0.7 Augus 10.8 Augus 10.3 3826 26.9 0.9 (0.6 Augus 10.8 Augus 10.3 3826 26.9 0.9 (0.6 Augus 10.8 Augus 10.3 3826 26.9 0.9 (0.6 Augus 10.8 Augus 10.9 55 5980 32.6 1.0 (Ref. Yes 77 2435 31.6 1.0 (0.7 Augus 10.8 Augus 10.9 Augus 10.	.8, 1.3)	0.84
Yes 37 1164 31.8 1.1 (0.8 Anticardiolipin No 118 3751 31.5 1.0 (Ref. Yes 157 5566 28.2 0.9 (0.7 Eupus anticoagulant No 183 6330 28.9 1.0 (Ref. Yes 20 3063 30.0 1.0 (0.8 Eupus anticoagulant) None 124 4373 28.4 1.0 (Ref. 1-9 66 2282 28.9 1.0 (0.8 Eupus 20.39 24 374 64.3 2.3 (1.5 Eupus 20.39 27.1 1.1 (0.8 Eupus 20.39 28.9 Eupus 20.39 28.2 Eupus 20.39 29.2		
Anticardiolipin No 118 3751 31.5 1.0 (Ref. Yes 157 5566 28.2 0.9 (0.7) Lupus anticoagulant No 183 6330 28.9 1.0 (Ref. Yes 92 3063 30.0 1.0 (0.8) Current prednisone dose None 124 4373 28.4 1.0 (Ref. 1-9 66 2282 28.9 1.0 (0.8) 10-19 45 1218 36.9 1.3 (0.9) 20-39 24 374 64.3 2.3 (1.5) 40+ 13 150 86.5 3.1 (1.7) Mean prednisone dose last 12 mos 1 None 74 3062 24.2 1.0 (Ref. 1-9 65 2399 27.1 1.1 (0.8) 10-19 37 904 40.9 1.7 (1.1) 20+ 12 220 54.6 2.5 (1.3) Current hydroxychloroquine No 102 3001 31.4 1.0 (Ref. 2.8) Hydroxychloroquine over last 12 mos 1 Nore 56 1857 30.2 0.9 (0.7) Hydroxychloroquine over last 12 mos 1 None 29 917 31.6 1.0 (Ref. Some 29 917 31.6 1.0 (0.7) Always 103 3826 26.9 0.9 (0.6) Current immunosuppressant use No 195 5980 32.6 1.0 (Ref. Yes 77 2435 31.6 1.0 (Ref. Sef. Yes 77 2435 31.6 1.0 (Ref. Yes 77 2435 31.6 1.0 (Ref. Sef. Yes 77 2435 31.6 1.0 (Ref. Y		
No 118 3751 31.5 1.0 (Ref. Yes 157 5566 28.2 0.9 (0.7 capus anticoagulant No 183 6330 28.9 1.0 (Ref. Yes 92 3063 30.0 1.0 (0.8 capus anticoagulant No 183 6330 28.9 1.0 (Ref. Yes 92 3063 30.0 1.0 (0.8 capus anticoagulant None 124 4373 28.4 1.0 (Ref. 1-9 66 2282 28.9 1.0 (0.8 capus 10-19 45 1218 36.9 1.3 (0.9 20-39 24 374 64.3 2.3 (1.5 40+ 13 150 86.5 3.1 (1.7 days 10-19 45 1218 36.9 1.3 (0.9 20-39 24 374 64.3 2.3 (1.5 40+ 13 150 86.5 3.1 (1.7 days 10-19 37 40+ 13 150 86.5 3.1 (1.7 days 10-19 37 904 40.9 1.7 (1.1 1.1 (0.8 1-9 65 2399 27.1 1.1 (0.8 1-9 65 2399	.8, 1.5)	0.63
Yes 157 5566 28.2 0.9 (0.7) Lupus anticoagulant No 183 6330 28.9 1.0 (Ref. Yes Yes 92 3063 30.0 1.0 (Ref. Yes Current prednisone dose 124 4373 28.4 1.0 (Ref. 1.9) 1.9 66 2282 28.9 1.0 (0.8) 10-19 45 1218 36.9 1.3 (0.9) 20-39 24 374 64.3 2.3 (1.5) 40+ 13 150 86.5 3.1 (1.7) Mean prednisone dose last 12 mos ¹ 31 150 86.5 3.1 (1.7) Mean prednisone dose last 12 mos ¹ 37 904 40.9 1.7 (1.1) 0.8 10-19 37 904 40.9 1.7 (1.1) 0.8 10-19 37 904 40.9 1.7 (1.1) 0.8 20+ 12 220 54.6 2.5 (1.3) 20- 10 5415 30.2 0.9 (0.7)		
No	f. group)	
No 183 6330 28.9 1.0 (Ref. Yes 92 3063 30.0 1.0 (0.8 Current prednisone dose None 124 4373 28.4 1.0 (Ref. 1-9 66 2282 28.9 1.0 (0.8 10-19 45 1218 36.9 1.3 (0.9 20-39 44) 40.4 13 150 86.5 3.1 (1.7 Mean prednisone dose last 12 mos¹ None 74 3062 24.2 1.0 (Ref. 1-9 65 2399 27.1 1.1 (0.8 10-19 37 904 40.9 1.7 (1.1, 20+ 12 220 54.6 2.5 (1.3 Current hydroxychloroquine No 102 3001 31.4 1.0 (Ref. Yes 170 5415 30.2 0.9 (0.7 Med. 170 5415 30.2 0.9 (0.7 M	.7, 1.1)	0.37
Yes 92 3063 30.0 1.0 (0.8) Current prednisone dose None 124 4373 28.4 1.0 (Ref. 1.0) 1-9 66 2282 28.9 1.0 (0.8) 10-19 45 1218 36.9 1.3 (0.9) 20-39 24 374 64.3 2.3 (1.5) 40+ 13 150 86.5 3.1 (1.7) Mean prednisone dose last 12 mos¹ 74 3062 24.2 1.0 (Ref. 1.0) None 74 3062 24.2 1.0 (Ref. 1.0) 1-9 65 2399 27.1 1.1 (0.8) 10-19 37 904 40.9 1.7 (1.1) 20+ 12 220 54.6 2.5 (1.3) Current hydroxychloroquine 80 102 3001 31.4 1.0 (Ref. 1.0) Hydroxychloroquine over last 12 mos¹ 170 5415 30.2 0.9 (0.7) Hydroxychloroquine over last 12 mos¹ 29 917 31.6 1.0 (Ref. 5.2) Some 29 917 31.6 1.0 (0.7) Always 103 3826 26.9 0.9 (0.6) Current immunosuppressant use 77 2435 31.6		
Current prednisone dose None	f. group)	
None 124 4373 28.4 1.0 (Ref. 1-9 66 2282 28.9 1.0 (0.8 10-19 45 1218 36.9 1.3 (0.9 20-39 24 374 64.3 2.3 (1.5 40+ 13 150 86.5 3.1 (1.7 Mean prednisone dose last 12 mos¹ None 74 3062 24.2 1.0 (Ref. 1-9 65 2399 27.1 1.1 (0.8 10-19 37 904 40.9 1.7 (1.1, 20+ 12 220 54.6 2.5 (1.3 Current hydroxychloroquine No 102 3001 31.4 1.0 (Ref. Yes 170 5415 30.2 0.9 (0.7 Hydroxychloroquine over last 12 mos¹ None 56 1857 30.2 1.0 (Ref. Some 29 917 31.6 1.0 (0.7 Always 103 3826 26.9 0.9 (0.6 Current immunosuppressant use No 195 5980 32.6 1.0 (Ref. Yes 77 2435 31.6 1.0 (0.7 Always 1.0 (0.7 Always 31.6 1.0 (0	.8, 1.3)	0.76
None 124 4373 28.4 1.0 (Ref. 1-9 66 2282 28.9 1.0 (0.8 10-19 45 1218 36.9 1.3 (0.9 20-39 24 374 64.3 2.3 (1.5 40+ 13 150 86.5 3.1 (1.7 Mean prednisone dose last 12 mos¹ None 74 3062 24.2 1.0 (Ref. 1-9 65 2399 27.1 1.1 (0.8 10-19 37 904 40.9 1.7 (1.1, 20+ 12 220 54.6 2.5 (1.3 Current hydroxychloroquine No 102 3001 31.4 1.0 (Ref. Yes 170 5415 30.2 0.9 (0.7 Hydroxychloroquine over last 12 mos¹ None 56 1857 30.2 1.0 (Ref. Some 29 917 31.6 1.0 (0.7 Always 103 3826 26.9 0.9 (0.6 Current immunosuppressant use No 195 5980 32.6 1.0 (Ref. Yes 77 2435 31.6 1.0 (0.7 Always 1.0 (0.7 Always 31.6 1.0 (0		
10-19	f. group)	
10-19	0 1	0.90
20–39		0.13
40+		0.0002
None 74 3062 24.2 1.0 (Ref. strength) 1.1 (0.8 strength) 1.2 220 54.6 2.5 (1.3 strength) 2.5		0.0002
None 74 3062 24.2 1.0 (Ref. 1–9 65 2399 27.1 1.1 (0.8 10–19 37 904 40.9 1.7 (1.1, 20+ 12 220 54.6 2.5 (1.3 Current hydroxychloroquine No 102 3001 31.4 1.0 (Ref. Yes 170 5415 30.2 0.9 (0.7 Mydroxychloroquine over last 12 mos¹ None 56 1857 30.2 1.0 (Ref. Some 29 917 31.6 1.0 (0.7 Always 103 3826 26.9 0.9 (0.6 Current immunosuppressant use No 195 5980 32.6 1.0 (Ref. Yes 77 2435 31.6 1.0 (0.7 Mef. 1.0 (0.7	.,, 5.4)	0.0001
1–9 65 2399 27.1 1.1 (0.8 10–19 37 904 40.9 1.7 (1.1, 20+ 12 220 54.6 2.5 (1.3 20+ 12 20 20 54.6 2.5 (1.3 20+ 12 20 20 54.6 2.5 (1.3 20+ 12 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	f group)	
10–19 37 904 40.9 1.7 (1.1, 20+ 12 220 54.6 2.5 (1.3). Current hydroxychloroquine No 102 3001 31.4 1.0 (Ref. Yes 170 5415 30.2 0.9 (0.7). Hydroxychloroquine over last 12 mos 1 None 56 1857 30.2 1.0 (Ref. Some 29 917 31.6 1.0 (0.7). Always 103 3826 26.9 0.9 (0.6). Current immunosuppressant use No 195 5980 32.6 1.0 (Ref. Yes 77 2435 31.6 1.0 (0.7).		0.50
20+ 12 220 54.6 2.5 (1.3) Current hydroxychloroquine No 102 3001 31.4 1.0 (Ref. Yes 170 5415 30.2 0.9 (0.7) Hydroxychloroquine over last 12 mos 1 None 56 1857 30.2 1.0 (Ref. Some 29 917 31.6 1.0 (0.7) Always 103 3826 26.9 0.9 (0.6) Current immunosuppressant use No 195 5980 32.6 1.0 (Ref. Yes 77 2435 31.6 1.0 (0.7)		0.50
Current hydroxychloroquine No 102 3001 31.4 1.0 (Ref. Yes 170 5415 30.2 0.9 (0.7 Mydroxychloroquine over last 12 mos 1		0.0089
No 102 3001 31.4 1.0 (Ref. Nef. Nef. Nef. Nef. Nef. None Hydroxychloroquine over last 12 mos¹ 56 1857 30.2 1.0 (Ref. Nef. Nef. Nef. Nef. Nef. Nef. Nef. N	.3, 4.5)	0.0038
Yes 170 5415 30.2 0.9 (0.7) Hydroxychloroquine over last 12 mos¹ .		
Hydroxychloroquine over last 12 mos¹ None 56 1857 30.2 1.0 (Ref. Some 29 917 31.6 1.0 (0.7 Always 103 3826 26.9 0.9 (0.6 Current immunosuppressant use No 195 5980 32.6 1.0 (Ref. Yes 77 2435 31.6 1.0 (0.7		
None 56 1857 30.2 1.0 (Ref. 200.7) Some 29 917 31.6 1.0 (0.7) Always 103 3826 26.9 0.9 (0.6) Current immunosuppressant use No 195 5980 32.6 1.0 (Ref. 200.7) Yes 77 2435 31.6 1.0 (0.7)	.7, 1.2)	0.53
Some 29 917 31.6 1.0 (0.7 cm) Always 103 3826 26.9 0.9 (0.6 cm) Current immunosuppressant use No 195 5980 32.6 1.0 (Ref. cm) Yes 77 2435 31.6 1.0 (0.7 cm)		
Some 29 917 31.6 1.0 (0.7 do.) Always 103 3826 26.9 0.9 (0.6 do.) Current immunosuppressant use No 195 5980 32.6 1.0 (Ref. do.) Yes 77 2435 31.6 1.0 (0.7 do.)	f. group)	
Always 103 3826 26.9 0.9 (0.6. Current immunosuppressant use No 195 5980 32.6 1.0 (Ref. Yes 77 2435 31.6 1.0 (0.7.		0.84
No 195 5980 32.6 1.0 (Ref. 2435) Yes 77 2435 31.6 1.0 (0.7)		0.49
No 195 5980 32.6 1.0 (Ref. Yes 77 2435 31.6 1.0 (0.7.	. ,	
Yes 77 2435 31.6 1.0 (0.7)	f. group)	
		0.82
	.,, 1.0)	0.02
Mean immunosuppressant use last 12 mos ¹	f group)	
None 116 4281 27.1 1.0 (Ref. Some 25 732 34.2 1.3 (0.8)		0.29

¹ Unknown and not included in the analysis for followup that occurred within the first year of cohort participation. C3: complement factor 3; C4: complement factor 4; RR: rate ratio.

Table 6. Independent predictors of incident depression in the Hopkins Lupus Cohort based on a multivariate model.

Variables	Comparison	Adjusted RR, 95% CI	p
Time since SLE diagnosis	Per 10-yr difference	0.7 (0.5, 0.9)	0.0006
Ethnicity	East Asian vs others	0.1 (0.01, 0.8)	0.031
Disability	Yes vs no	1.4 (1.0, 1.8)	0.034
Income	Combined Income > 100,000	0.7 (0.5, 1.1)	0.15
Year of enrollment	Year after 2005	0.6 (0.5, 0.8)	0.0008
Cutaneous activity	Some vs none	1.7 (1.2, 2.2)	0.0008
History of longitud myelitis	inal Yes vs no	4.5 (1.6, 12.2)	0.0033
High dose of prednisone	20 mg/day+ vs less	2.0 (1.3, 2.9)	0.0006

RR: rate ratio; SLE: systemic lupus erythematosus.

Depression was also associated with disability in systemic sclerosis³³ and multiple sclerosis³⁴.

We found that East Asians had a significantly lower rate of depression compared with other ethnicities. To our knowledge, this is the first study to find this protective effect of East Asian ethnicity in SLE. The findings of a protective effect of East Asian ethnicity will require independent confirmation, because the East Asian ethnic subgroup in our cohort was small (< 8%) compared to the white and African American groups, and there was only 1 incident depression event in the East Asian group. However, our finding is supported by other studies that found a lower level of depression in East Asians with rheumatoid arthritis (RA)³⁵ and in the general population^{36,37,38,39}. Cross-national comparative community studies found that the prevalence of lifetime depression in Taiwan and Korea was 1.5% and 2.9%, respectively, as opposed to 5.2% in the United States³⁶. One study examined data from 10 countries and found that East Asian countries reported the lowest rates (3.0% in Japan), while Western countries reported the highest prevalence of depression (16.9% in the United States)³⁷. Pacific Islanders were 3 times more likely to have severe or moderately severe depression compared to East Asians $(4.8\% \text{ vs } 1.5\%)^{38}$. The reduced incidence of depression in East Asian patients with SLE, both in our study and in other non-SLE studies cited, might be related to cultural factors affecting the likelihood of acknowledging depression. East Asians who have depression could be less likely to have the disorder detected and treated, which may result in a lower prevalence of depression. However, genetic, biologic, social, and other causative factors require further study 40 .

Prior research¹⁹ showed an association of depression with other symptoms and signs in patients with SLE. The most significant variables associated with depression were pain and arthritis¹⁹. In our cohort, cutaneous SLE activity

was an independent predictor of incident depression in SLE, but we did not observe a strong association between depression and musculoskeletal activity, renal SLE, or global disease activity, after adjustment for corticosteroid exposure in multivariate analysis. The reasons that cutaneous manifestations are most strongly related to depression are unclear. It is possible that cutaneous SLE activity (or sequelae thereof) could lead to psychosocial stress as a precipitant of depression.

We found that longitudinal myelitis was independently associated with a higher risk of incident depression. The independent effect of longitudinal myelitis on depression was based on 4 cases of depression in 8 patients with myelitis. Because myelitis is so rare in SLE, it will be difficult to independently confirm our finding. This statistically significant finding is the first documentation of the association of longitudinal myelitis and depression, although an association of depression with other neuropsychiatric SLE manifestations was found in several studies^{3,8,15,17}. Hanly, et al¹⁵ found that patients with SLE with neuropsychiatric syndromes reported more symptoms of depression compared to patients with RA with neuropsychiatric syndromes¹⁵. Patients with SLE with clinical evidence of recent or previous central nervous system disorder scored significantly higher (more pathology) than did other patients with SLE on the subscales that measure psychotic depression⁴¹. Utset, et al found that some types of neuropsychiatric SLE (such as seizures, psychosis, aseptic meningitis, poorer cognitive function, and encephalopathy) were independently associated with depression⁸. Lim, et al⁴ failed to find a significant association between current and previous neurological disease and psychiatric symptoms.

Depression in the general population (in particular, major depression) has been shown to be accompanied by signs of an immune response and cell-mediated immune activation 42 , which include increased serum levels of soluble CD8 molecule, interleukin 1 (IL-1)- β , the soluble IL-2 receptor, tumor necrosis factor- α , increased numbers of T cell activation markers such as CD3+CD25+, and higher neopterin 42 . In our study, depression was associated only with myelitis and cutaneous activity, and not global activity, serologic activity, or aPL. We found that abnormalities in MRI or CT scans were not associated with depression, confirming a previous study 4 .

The association of disease activity with depression had been previously investigated with conflicting results. Although some prior studies found an association between depression and SLE disease activity^{7,10,13}, other studies found no relation between disease activity and depression^{4,6,11,18,20}. In our univariate analysis, we found an association of disease activity with depression. However, our multivariable analysis concluded that SLE disease activity was not a significant independent predictor after

controlling for prednisone use, which was consistent with the majority of the prior studies^{4,6,11,18,20}.

The most striking finding in our study was the association of incident depression in SLE with prednisone, in a dose-dependent manner. The effect of corticosteroids on mood (including depression) has been well recognized and suggested to be dose-dependent to some extent in non-SLE disease^{43,44,45,46}. The Boston Collaborative Drug Surveillance Program examined psychiatric symptoms in 676 patients free of psychiatric disease prior to steroid treatment and found that severe psychiatric symptoms (psychosis, profound depression, mania) were less frequent (1.3%) at doses of < 40 mg of prednisone daily, but increased to 18.4% at doses > 80 mg of prednisone daily⁴⁴, strongly suggesting that these psychiatric symptoms are dose-dependent. Naber, et al found that 10% of 50 ophthalmologic patients developed depression after receiving high doses of corticosteroids (methylprednisolone and fluocortolone at doses of 119 \pm 41 mg/day at baseline and 75 \pm 22 mg after 8 days of treatment)⁴⁵. Compared with short-term higher prednisone therapy, longterm therapy might be more associated with depressive than with manic symptoms^{47,48}.

However, previous studies have been inconsistent with respect to the association of corticosteroids and depression in SLE. In a study of 70 patients, Nery, et al found that patients with SLE with major depression did not differ significantly from patients without major depression based on the mean dose of prednisone¹⁰. In fact, Denburg, et al found actual improvement in mood following exposure to corticosteroid use (a dose of 0.5 mg/kg of prednisone daily for at least 6 months), but that small study included only 10 women with SLE⁴⁹. In contrast, Shah, et al found an association of depression with corticosteroid use in a retrospective study of claims data in 2717 patients with SLE (p = $(0.0443)^{24}$. Karol, et al found that a current prednisone dose higher than 7.5 mg per day was perhaps associated with depression in patients with SLE, although the association did not reach statistical significance (p = 0.07)¹⁹. Our findings showed that current prednisone therapy was associated with incident depression in a dose-dependent manner, with the statistical effect of current prednisone dose seen at or above 20 mg/day. Because these higher doses of prednisone are generally used in patients with SLE with higher disease activity, especially renal, central nervous system, and hematologic involvement, our results reinforce the need for noncorticosteroid approaches to control SLE activity, to avoid inducing depression.

The mechanism of the association of depression and corticosteroid use is not well understood. Compared with controls of similar age, sex, ethnicity, education, height, and medical history, the patients treated with corticosteroid may have lower N-acetyl aspartate ratios (a putative marker of neuronal viability) and smaller hippocampal volumes, and greater depressive symptom severity⁴⁸. Increased levels of

glucocorticoid hormones — the main product of the hypothalamic pituitary adrenal (HPA) axis — have been considered "depressogenic". Abnormalities of the HPA axis with hypercortisolemia and elevated plasma cortisol concentrations are found in patients with major depressive disorder, and the effect of corticosteroids on the HPA axis might be a mechanism that induces depression⁵⁰.

We did not find any association of SLE autoantibodies or of low complement with depression. In previous studies, depression has been linked to anti-P¹⁶. We could not address anti-P, as it was not part of our cohort protocol. In spite of an association of aPL with seizures and headache, Sanna, *et al* similarly found no association between aPL and depression²³, which was consistent with our results.

A limitation of our study was that some of the major findings were based on very small numbers of actual events (such as East Asian ethnicity and longitudinal myelitis). In addition, our case finding was based on the ACR neuropsychiatric case definition and not on a prospective DSM-IV interview at every visit. The strengths of our study, including large size, the standardized approach to data collection, and the prospective multiethnic cohort analyses, enabled us to estimate the incidence rate of first occurrence of depression, to assess risk factors before the onset of depression, and to detect the important association with prednisone.

Our results showed that depression in SLE was multifactorial. Depression usually occurred early in the course of SLE, in patients with non-East Asian ethnicity, and was independently associated with prior cutaneous lupus and myelitis activity. Current prednisone therapy was associated with incident depression in a dose-dependent manner. Our findings may help to guide prevention of and management of depression in SLE.

REFERENCES

- Palagini L, Mosca M, Tani C, Gemignani A, Mauri M, Bombardieri S. Depression and systemic lupus erythematosus: a systematic review. Lupus 2013;22:409-16.
- Petri M, Naqibuddin M, Carson KA, Wallace DJ, Weisman MH, Holliday SL, et al. Depression and cognitive impairment in newly diagnosed systemic lupus erythematosus. J Rheumatol 2010;37:2032-8.
- Wekking EM. Psychiatric symptoms in systemic lupus erythematosus: an update. Psychosom Med 1993;55:219-28.
- Lim L, Ron MA, Ormerod IE, David J, Miller DH, Logsdail SJ, et al. Psychiatric and neurological manifestations in systemic lupus erythematosus. Q J Med 1988;66:27-38.
- Brey RL, Holliday SL, Saklad AR, Navarrete MG, Hermosillo-Romo D, Stallworth CL, et al. Neuropsychiatric syndromes in lupus: prevalence using standardized definitions. Neurology 2002;58:1214-20.
- Nery FG, Borba EF, Viana VS, Hatch JP, Soares JC, Bonfa E, et al. Prevalence of depressive and anxiety disorders in systemic lupus erythematosus and their association with anti-ribosomal P antibodies. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry 2008;32:695-700.
- 7. Bachen EA, Chesney MA, Criswell LA. Prevalence of mood and

- anxiety disorders in women with systemic lupus erythematosus. Arthritis Rheum 2009;61:822-9.
- Utset TO, Golden M, Siberry G, Kiri N, Crum RM, Petri M. Depressive symptoms in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus: association with central nervous system lupus and Sjogren's syndrome. J Rheumatol 1994;21:2039-45.
- Waraich P, Goldner EM, Somers JM, Hsu L. Prevalence and incidence studies of mood disorders: a systematic review of the literature. Can J Psychiatry 2004;49:124-38.
- Nery FG, Borba EF, Hatch JP, Soares JC, Bonfa E, Neto FL. Major depressive disorder and disease activity in systemic lupus erythematosus. Compr Psychiatry 2007;48:14-9.
- Shortall E, Isenberg D, Newman SP. Factors associated with mood and mood disorders in SLE. Lupus 1995;4:272-9.
- West SG, Emlen W, Wener MH, Kotzin BL. Neuropsychiatric lupus erythematosus: a 10-year prospective study on the value of diagnostic tests. Am J Med 1995;99:153-63.
- Walker SE, Smarr KL, Parker JC, Weidensaul DN, Nelson W, McMurray RW. Mood states and disease activity in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus treated with bromocriptine. Lupus 2000;9:527-33.
- Segui J, Ramos-Casals M, Garcia-Carrasco M, de Flores T, Cervera R, Valdes M, et al. Psychiatric and psychosocial disorders in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus: a longitudinal study of active and inactive stages of the disease. Lupus 2000;9:584-8.
- Hanly JG, Fisk JD, McCurdy G, Fougere L, Douglas JA. Neuropsychiatric syndromes in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus and rheumatoid arthritis. J Rheumatol 2005; 32:1459.6
- Arnett FC, Reveille JD, Moutsopoulos HM, Georgescu L, Elkon KB. Ribosomal P autoantibodies in systemic lupus erythematosus. Frequencies in different ethnic groups and clinical and immunogenetic associations. Arthritis Rheum 1996;39:1833-9.
- Hanly JG, Su L, Farewell V, McCurdy G, Fougere L, Thompson K. Prospective study of neuropsychiatric events in systemic lupus erythematosus. J Rheumatol 2009;36:1449-59.
- Hawro T, Krupinska-Kun M, Rabe-Jablonska J, Sysa-Jedrzejowska A, Robak E, Bogaczewicz J, et al. Psychiatric disorders in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus: association of anxiety disorder with shorter disease duration. Rheumatol Int 2011;31:1387-91.
- Karol DE, Criscione-Schreiber LG, Lin M, Clowse ME. Depressive symptoms and associated factors in systemic lupus erythematosus. Psychosomatics 2013;54:443-50.
- Jarpa E, Babul M, Calderon J, Gonzalez M, Martinez ME, Bravo-Zehnder M, et al. Common mental disorders and psychological distress in systemic lupus erythematosus are not associated with disease activity. Lupus 2011;20:58-66.
- Schneebaum AB, Singleton JD, West SG, Blodgett JK, Allen LG, Cheronis JC, et al. Association of psychiatric manifestations with antibodies to ribosomal P proteins in systemic lupus erythematosus. Am J Med 1991;90:54-62.
- Schattner E, Shahar G, Lerman S, Shakra MA. Depression in systemic lupus erythematosus: the key role of illness intrusiveness and concealment of symptoms. Psychiatry 2010;73:329-40.
- Sanna G, Bertolaccini ML, Cuadrado MJ, Laing H, Khamashta MA, Mathieu A, et al. Neuropsychiatric manifestations in systemic lupus erythematosus: prevalence and association with antiphospholipid antibodies. J Rheumatol 2003;30:985-92.
- Shah M, Chaudhari S, McLaughlin TP, Kan HJ, Bechtel B, Dennis GJ, et al. Cumulative burden of oral corticosteroid adverse effects and the economic implications of corticosteroid use in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. Clin Ther 2013;35:486-97.
- Petri M, Hellmann D, Hochberg M. Validity and reliability of lupus activity measures in the routine clinic setting. J Rheumatol 1992;19:53-9.

- Petri M, Kim MY, Kalunian KC, Grossman J, Hahn BH, Sammaritano LR, et al. Combined oral contraceptives in women with systemic lupus erythematosus. N Engl J Med 2005; 353:2550-8.
- Gladman DD, Goldsmith CH, Urowitz MB, Bacon P, Fortin P, Ginzler E, et al. The Systemic Lupus International Collaborating Clinics/American College of Rheumatology (SLICC/ACR) Damage Index for Systemic Lupus Erythematosus International Comparison. J Rheumatol 2000;27:373-6.
- The American College of Rheumatology nomenclature and case definitions for neuropsychiatric lupus syndromes. Arthritis Rheum 1999;42:599-608.
- Gough H, Goggin T, Bissessar A, Baker M, Crowley M, Callaghan N. A comparative study of the relative influence of different anticonvulsant drugs, UV exposure and diet on vitamin D and calcium metabolism in out-patients with epilepsy. Q J Med 1986;59:569-77.
- Eaton WW, Kramer M, Anthony JC, Dryman A, Shapiro S, Locke BZ. The incidence of specific DIS/DSM-III mental disorders: data from the NIMH Epidemiologic Catchment Area Program. Acta Psychiatr Scand 1989;79:163-78.
- Andrade RM, Alarcon GS, Gonzalez LA, Fernandez M, Apte M, Vila LM, et al. Seizures in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus: data from LUMINA, a multiethnic cohort (LUMINA LIV). Ann Rheum Dis 2008;67:829-34.
- Baker K, Pope J, Fortin P, Silverman E, Peschken C. Work disability in systemic lupus erythematosus is prevalent and associated with socio-demographic and disease related factors. Lupus 2009;18:1281-8.
- Del Rosso A, Mikhaylova S, Baccini M, Lupi I, Matucci Cerinic M, Maddali BS. In systemic sclerosis, anxiety and depression assessed by hospital anxiety depression scale are independently associated with disability and psychological factors. Biomed Res Int 2013;2013:507493.
- Smith MM, Arnett PA. Perfectionism and physical disability predict depression in multiple sclerosis. J Psychosom Res 2013;75:187-9.
- 35. Margaretten M, Yelin E, Imboden J, Graf J, Barton J, Katz P, et al. Predictors of depression in a multiethnic cohort of patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 2009;61:1586-91.
- Weissman MM, Bland RC, Canino GJ, Faravelli C, Greenwald S, Hwu HG, et al. Cross-national epidemiology of major depression and bipolar disorder. JAMA 1996;276:293-9.
- Andrade L, Caraveo-Anduaga JJ, Berglund P, Bijl RV, De Graaf R, Vollebergh W, et al. The epidemiology of major depressive episodes: results from the International Consortium of Psychiatric Epidemiology (ICPE) Surveys. Int J Methods Psychiatr Res 2003;12:3-21.
- 38. Aczon-Armstrong M, Inouye J, Reyes-Salvail F. Depression and chronic illness: Asian/Pacific Islander adults in Hawaii. Issues Ment Health Nurs 2013;34:169-79.
- Takeuchi DT, Chung RC, Lin KM, Shen H, Kurasaki K, Chun CA, et al. Lifetime and twelve-month prevalence rates of major depressive episodes and dysthymia among Chinese Americans in Los Angeles. Am J Psychiatry 1998;155:1407-14.
- Waraich P, Goldner EM, Somers JM, Hsu L. Prevalence and incidence studies of mood disorders: a systematic review of the literature. Can J Psychiatry 2004;49:124-38.
- Wekking EM, Nossent JC, van Dam AP, Swaak AJ. Cognitive and emotional disturbances in systemic lupus erythematosus. Psychother Psychosom 1991;55:126-31.
- 42. Leonard B, Maes M. Mechanistic explanations how cell-mediated immune activation, inflammation and oxidative and nitrosative stress pathways and their sequels and concomitants play a role in the pathophysiology of unipolar depression. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 2012;36:764-85.

- Brown ES. Effects of glucocorticoids on mood, memory, and the hippocampus. Treatment and preventive therapy. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2009;1179:41-55.
- Acute adverse reactions to prednisone in relation to dosage. Clin Pharmacol Ther 1972;13:694-8.
- Naber D, Sand P, Heigl B. Psychopathological and neuropsychological effects of 8-days' corticosteroid treatment. A prospective study. Psychoneuroendocrinology 1996;21:25-31.
- Gift AG, Wood RM, Cahill CA. Depression, somatization and steroid use in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Int J Nurs Stud 1989;26:281-6.
- 47. Bolanos SH, Khan DA, Hanczyc M, Bauer MS, Dhanani N, Brown ES. Assessment of mood states in patients receiving long-term

- corticosteroid therapy and in controls with patient-rated and clinician-rated scales. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol 2004;92:500-5.
- Brown ES, J Woolston D, Frol A, Bobadilla L, Khan DA, Hanczyc M, et al. Hippocampal volume, spectroscopy, cognition, and mood in patients receiving corticosteroid therapy. Biol Psychiatry 2004;55:538-45.
- Denburg SD, Carbotte RM, Denburg JA. Corticosteroids and neuropsychological functioning in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. Arthritis Rheum 1994;37:1311-20.
- Pariante CM, Lightman SL. The HPA axis in major depression: classical theories and new developments. Trends Neurosci 2008;31:464-8.