Fibromyalgia and Its Diagnosis by Family Physicians

To the Editor:

I read with interest the report by Shleyfer, *et al* concerning the training of family physicians to manage fibromyalgia syndrome (FM)¹. Their retrospective analysis has shown that familiarity with the problem is sufficient to make a correct diagnosis, unlike the results of previous studies^{2,3}.

Patients with FM are estimated to comprise 2% to 5% of those treated by family physicians¹. As a rheumatologist and a family physician trainer, I should like to draw attention to the following relevant points.

1. What is needed is working knowledge of clinical anatomy and a well mastered algorithm of studying the musculoskeletal system⁴. Training obtained by the medical student should be expanded, as family physicians encounter rheumatic diseases very often in their everyday practice. Examination of the articular system should also include evaluation of the periarticular structures such as muscles, tendons, and bursae.
2. Training modules should include such issues as chronic fatigue syndrome, chronic pain, and in particular, FM. Family physicians should assess the intensity of pain (on a visual analog scale) and the point pain by pressing specific trigger points.
3. The well known tests for rheumatoid factor (RF) and antinuclear antibodies (ANA) should be prescribed if respective indications are present⁵. Even if there is no joint swelling, specific rash, or organ involvement, these tests are useful in the differential diagnosis of secondary FM (in rheumatoid arthritis and systemic lupus erythematosus), neoplasms, and hematological diseases. Some family physicians do not order tests for RF and ANA, leaving this to the rheumatologic specialists.
4. Treatment of FM also requires knowledge of neurophysiology and pharmacology. In view of the duration of the treatment and the choice of approach (monotherapy or combined pharmacotherapy) it is appropriate for these patients to be referred to a neurologist⁶.

The data reported by Shleyfer, *et al* are of interest with respect to the

methodology of training family physicians in the management of articular muscular diseases, FM in particular — i.e., the place of clinical anatomy, examination of rheumatologic problems, and reasons for misdiagnosis.

MARIA S. PANCHOVSKA, MD, PhD, Associate Professor, Consultant Rheumatologist, Division of Family Medicine, Medical University, Plovdiv, Bulgaria. Address correspondence to Dr. M. Panchovska, 10 Alen Mak str., 4003 Plovdiv, Bulgaria. E-mail: panchovska@abv.bg

REFERENCES

1. Shleyfer E, Jotkowitz A, Karmon A, Nevzorov R, Cohen H, Buskila D. Accuracy of the diagnosis of fibromyalgia by family physicians: Is the pendulum shifting? *J Rheumatol* 2009;36:170-3.
2. Buskila D, Neumann L, Sibirski D, Shvartzman P. Awareness of diagnostic and clinical features of fibromyalgia among family physicians. *Fam Pract* 1997;14:238-41.
3. Blotman F, Thomas E, Myon E, Andre E, Caubere J, Taieb C. Awareness and knowledge of fibromyalgia among French rheumatologists and general practitioners. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2005;23:697-700.
4. Kalash RA, Canoso JJ. Clinical anatomy: An unmet agenda in rheumatology training. *J Rheumatol* 2007;34:1208-11.
5. Suarez-Almazor ME, Gonzalez-Lopez L, Gamez-Nava I, Belseck E, Kendall CJ, Davis P. Utilization and predictive value of laboratory tests in patients referred to rheumatologists by primary care physicians. *J Rheumatol* 1998;25:1980-5.
6. Gur A, Oktayoglu P. Central nervous system abnormalities in fibromyalgia and chronic fatigue syndrome: new concepts in treatment. *Curr Pharm Des* 2008;14:1274-94.

J Rheumatol 2009;36:9; doi:10.3899/jrheum.090111