Atherosclerosis and Lupus: What We Know and What We Should Know



Whether atherosclerosis is accelerated in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) is not a matter of debate any more. Since Urowitz, *et al*'s first study¹, myocardial infarction (MI) has been recognized as one of the leading causes of death in patients with SLE, particularly in those with long-lasting disease. The frequency of MI as a cause of death in SLE patients has also proportionately increased in the last few decades due to the decrease in deaths directly related to SLE, a consequence of improvement in SLE treatments and the longer survival of patients².

Interestingly, in post mortem studies, significant atherosclerosis was observed in more than 50% of patients regardless of the cause of death³. In addition, clinical and epidemiologic studies have thoroughly documented the higher prevalence of coronary artery disease (CAD) in patients with SLE compared with the general population, which ranges between 6% and 10%⁴. These findings were expanded in studies using diagnostic methodologies such as scintigraphy with thallium-201, single photon-emission computed tomography dual-isotope myocardial perfusion imaging, electron-beam computed tomography, and carotid B-mode ultrasound (US): prevalence of subclinical atherosclerosis was shown to be even higher than that of clinical atherosclerosis, with values ranging between 10% and 40%⁴.

It is still unknown, however, whether cardiac perfusion abnormalities, carotid plaques, or calcifications in coronary arteries in patients with SLE are as predictive of cardiovascular (CV) events as in the general population.

Studies using either clinical or subclinical outcomes clearly showed that excess atherosclerosis in SLE patients cannot be attributed to "classic" Framingham risk factors, but derives from a complex interaction between traditional and nontraditional predictors⁵. Notably, in SLE a great variability in the predictors of clinical and subclinical atherosclerosis was observed. This variability seems to be primarily dependent on the characteristics of patient cohorts and outcomes considered in different studies.

SLE is an extremely heterogeneous disease; as a consequence, cohorts of patients varied from study to study not only according to age, female to male ratio, disease duration, ethnicity, and prevalence of any single traditional risk factor, but also according to the prevalence of SLE manifestations, disease activity, and treatment. Atherosclerotic outcomes are also extremely variable. Apart from the obvious difference between clinical and subclinical outcomes, it is worth noting that the different techniques used to assess subclinical lesions investigate different stages or different aspects of the atherosclerotic process, leading to the identification of different atherosclerotic predictors.

In addition, if we look at the studies using carotid US, the most common method for detection of subclinical atherosclerosis, we can see that many of them considered the value of intima-media thickness (IMT), and not the presence of plaque, as an outcome measure. However, in the majority of cases the IMT mean values observed in these studies were similar, ranging between 0.50 and 0.90 mm. It is notable that these IMT values are within what is considered the normal range of IMT measurement.

Interestingly, in Roman, *et al*'s study⁶ mean IMT was significantly lower in SLE patients compared with healthy subjects, whereas the prevalence of plaque was significantly increased in the former versus the latter. This means that in SLE the arterial tree is not as extensively altered as in subjects with diabetes or hypertension, but it is affected by the presence of plaques. Apart from the number, both composition and stability of plaques are also relevant but poorly investigated aspects in lupus.

In a post mortem study Aubry, *et al*⁷ showed that extent of atherosclerosis in coronary arteries as well as grade of stenosis were lower in rheumatoid arthritis (RA) patients with CV disease versus controls with CV disease, which appears to be in contrast to several vascular imaging studies suggesting increased CAD in RA patients. However, in Aubry, *et al*'s study⁷, the percentage of vulnerable plaques [defined as lesions with a fibrous cap < 65 µm thick con-

See Risk factors for development of CAD in women with SLE, page 2454

Personal non-commercial use only. The Journal of Rheumatology Copyright © 2009. All rights reserved.

taining > 25 inflammatory cells per high-power (×40) field] was higher in RA patients than in controls.

As an explanation of CV events in SLE, an alternative mechanism to the rupture of a vulnerable plaque could be the development of a superficial erosion of the endothelial cells, possibly caused by endothelial apoptosis or desquamation, that could lead to a coronary thrombus formation⁸ in patients with high thrombotic risk profile.

With these caveats on variability of SLE cohorts and atherosclerotic outcomes, there is general agreement that traditional risk factors play a relevant role in accelerated atherosclerosis observed in SLE patients⁵. While older age seems to be the strongest predictor, hypertension, dyslipidemia, sedentary lifestyle, and other traditional risk factors also seem to make a significant contribution⁵.

Although the overall role of nontraditional risk factors is unquestioned, the contribution of any single nontraditional predictor is still controversial. Nontraditional predictors have been more extensively evaluated in subclinical than in clinical studies since the number of events are higher in the former compared to the latter.

Apart from immune or inflammatory markers such as C-reactive protein, cytokines, adhesion molecules, and antioxidized low-density lipoprotein, which have been poorly investigated in SLE⁹⁻¹¹, what is not completely clear is the role of some important clinical variables such as disease severity or use of corticosteroids and immunosuppressants¹². According to some studies⁶, but not others¹³, patients with mild disease who took less corticosteroid and immunosuppressants have a higher likelihood to develop atherosclerosis. This information is relevant since we need to know which patients require the closest monitoring and the most stringent preventive strategy¹⁴⁻¹⁶. The effect of treatments on CV risk factors and, in turn, on subclinical and clinical lesions is a key aspect that should be urgently addressed.

It is well known that corticosteroids are a "double-edged sword": on the one hand they worsen classical CV risk factors by increasing blood pressure, glycemia, cholesterolemia, triglyceridemia, and body mass index; on the other, they have a favorable effect on nontraditional risk factors by reducing inflammation and disease activity. What we should probably focus on is the possibility of identifying a cutoff dosage able to balance favorable and adverse effects.

The use of hydroxychloroquine (HCQ) seems to be associated with lower serum cholesterol and triglyceride levels in SLE patients; moreover, HCQ seems to have an antithrombotic effect and seems to reduce glycemia as well as blood pressure¹⁷. Despite all these favorable effects, we still do not know whether HCQ can protect SLE patients from atherosclerosis.

Immunosuppressants exert a corticosteroid saving effect; moreover, they do not substantially affect traditional risk factors (with some exceptions: cyclophosphamide induces

Doria: Editorial

premature menopause, methotrexate raises serum homocysteine levels, and cyclosporin A increases blood pressure). In contrast, mycophenolate mofetil (MMF) seems to have antiatherogenic properties, including inhibition of inducible nitric oxide synthases, reduction of the expression of adhesion molecules, and, in turn, recruitment of leukocytes, inhibition of T cell and smooth-muscle cell proliferation, induction of apoptosis of T cells and monocytes/macrophages, and inhibition of dendritic cell maturation and T cell activation. However, the real contribution of any single immunosuppressant, including MMF, to atherosclerosis in patients with SLE is still unclear.

Finally, B cell depletion is becoming a popular off-label treatment for refractory manifestations of SLE. Interestingly, serum levels of IgG and IgA as well as of antimicrobial antibodies do not seem to be affected by rituximab treatment, whereas some studies report a decrease in serum IgM levels. Notably, IgM antibodies play a central role in protection against atherosclerosis 18, thus the use of rituximab, particularly in repeated cycles, might potentially increase the atherosclerotic burden in patients with SLE. In contrast, endothelial function improved after rituximab treatment in patients with RA refractory to tumor necrosis factor- α blockers 19. Thus, the net effect of rituximab on atherosclerosis remains to be elucidated.

In this issue of *The Journal*, Goldberg, *et al*²⁰ show, in the first prospective controlled study on this topic, that patients with SLE developed significantly more CAD than age-matched controls and that the most important risk factors in multivariate analysis were lupus itself, age, and triglyceride levels. Unfortunately, like many other studies in lupus, the number of patients with CAD was relatively small, leading to an insufficient statistical power of the study to detect the influence of some risk factors. Nevertheless, it represents further evidence of the increased atherosclerotic burden in SLE and the role played by SLE itself.

The time has come to focus our efforts and resources on all the other unresolved issues that need to be urgently addressed.

ANDREA DORIA, MD,

Division of Rheumatology, Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, University of Padova, Via Giustiniani 2, 35128 Padova, Italy

2381

Address correspondence to Prof. Doria; E-mail adoria@unipd.it

REFERENCES

- Urowitz MB, Bookman AA, Koehler BE, Gordon DA, Smythe HA, Ogryzlo MA. The bimodal mortality pattern of SLE. Am J Med 1976;60:221-5.
- Doria A, Iaccarino L, Ghirardello A, Zampieri S, Arienti S, Sarzi-Puttini P, et al. Long-term prognosis and causes of death in

Downloaded on April 9, 2024 from www.jrheum.org

Personal non-commercial use only. The Journal of Rheumatology Copyright © 2009. All rights reserved.

- systemic lupus erythematosus. Am J Med 2006;119:700-6.
- Bulkley BH, Roberts WC. The heart in systemic lupus erythematosus and the changes induced in it by corticosteroid therapy. A study of 36 necropsy patients. Am J Med 1975;58:243-64.
- Doria A, Iaccarino L, Sarzi-Puttini P, Atzeni F, Turiel M, Petri M. Cardiac involvement in systemic lupus erythematosus. Lupus 2005:14:683-6.
- Bruce IN. 'Not only...but also': factors that contribute to accelerated atherosclerosis and premature coronary heart disease in systemic lupus erythematosus. Rheumatology 2005;44:1492-502.
- Roman MJ, Shanker BA, Davis A, Lockshin MD, Sammaritano L, Simantov R, et al. Prevalence and correlates of accelerated atherosclerosis in systemic lupus erythematosus. N Engl J Med 2003;349:2399-406.
- Aubry MC, Maradit-Kremers H, Reinalda MS, Crowson CS, Edwards WD, Gabriel SE. Differences in atherosclerotic coronary heart disease between subjects with and without rheumatoid arthritis. J Rheumatol 2007;34:937-42.
- Libby P. Role of inflammation in atherosclerosis associated with rheumatoid arthritis. Am J Med 2008;121 Suppl 1:S21-31.
- Doria A, Sherer Y, Meroni PL, Shoenfeld Y. Inflammation and accelerated atherosclerosis — Basic mechanisms. Rheum Dis Clin North Am 2005;31:329-54.
- Bassi N, Zampieri S, Ghirardello A, Tonon M, Zen M, Cozzi F, et al. Pentraxins, anti-pentraxin antibodies, and atherosclerosis. Clin Rev Allergy Immunol 2009;37:36-43.
- Bassi N, Ghirardello A, Iaccarino L, Zampieri S, Rampudda ME, Atzeni F, et al. OxLDL/beta 2 GPI-anti-oxLDL/beta 2 GPI complex and atherosclerosis in SLE patients. Autoimmun Rev 2007;7:52-8.
- 12. Doria A, Shoenfeld Y, Pauletto P. Premature coronary disease in systemic lupus. N Engl J Med 2004;350:1571-5.

- Doria A, Shoenfeld Y, Wu R, Gambari PF, Puato M, Ghirardello A, et al. Risk factors for subclinical atherosclerosis in a prospective cohort of patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. Ann Rheum Dis 2003;62:1071-7.
- Doria A, Briani C. Primary prevention of systemic lupus erythematosus. Nat Clin Pract Rheumatol 2008;4:576-7.
- Doria A, Arienti S, Rampudda M, Canova M, Tonon M, Sarzi-Puttini P. Preventive strategies in systemic lupus erythematosus. Autoimmun Rev 2008;7:192-7.
- Doria A, Briani C. Lupus: improving long-term prognosis. Lupus 2008;17:166-70.
- Ruiz-Irastorza G, Ramos-Casals M, Brito-Zeron P, Khamashta MA. Clinical efficacy and side effects of antimalarials in systemic lupus erythematosus: a systematic review. Ann Rheum Dis 2009 Aug 28. [Epub ahead of print]
- Lewis MJ, Malik TH, Ehrenstein MR, Boyle JJ, Botto M, Haskard DO. Immunoglobulin M is required for protection against atherosclerosis in low-density lipoprotein receptor-deficient mice. Circulation 2009;120:417-26.
- Gonzalez-Juanatey C, Llorca J, Vazquez-Rodriguez TR, Diaz-Varela N, Garcia-Quiroga H, Gonzalez-Gay MA. Short-term improvement of endothelial function in rituximab-treated rheumatoid arthritis patients refractory to tumor necrosis factor alpha blocker therapy. Arthritis Rheum 2008;59:1821-4.
- Goldberg RJ, Urowitz MB, Ibañez D, Nikpour M, Gladman DD. Risk factors for development of coronary artery disease in women with systemic lupus erythematosus. J Rheumatol 2009;36:2454-61.

J Rheumatol 2009;36:2380-2; doi: 10.3899/jrheum.090999