# Metabolic Syndrome and the Functional Outcomes of Hip and Knee Arthroplasty

RAJIV GANDHI, FAHAD RAZAK, J. RODERICK DAVEY, and NIZAR N. MAHOMED

**ABSTRACT. Objective.** Patients with an elevated systemic inflammatory state are known to report greater pain with knee osteoarthritis (OA). We investigated the influence of risk factors of metabolic syndrome (MetS) on patient function before and after hip and knee replacement surgery.

*Methods.* A total of 677 consecutive patients with primary knee replacement and 547 consecutive patients with primary hip replacement with at least one MetS risk factor were reviewed from our joint registry. Demographic variables of age, sex, and comorbidity were retrieved. MetS risk factors were defined as body mass index (BMI) >  $30 \text{ kg/m}^2$ , diabetes, hypertension, and hypercholesterolemia. Baseline and 1-year Western Ontario McMaster University Osteoarthritis Index (WOMAC) scores were compared across patients by number of MetS risk factors, ranging from 1 to 4. Linear regression modeling was used to evaluate the effects of the MetS risk groups and the individual metabolic abnormalities on predicting baseline and 1-year WOMAC scores. Knee and hip patients were reviewed separately.

**Results.** The knee and hip patients showed a significant difference in sex distribution, BMI, and mean comorbidity across risk groups (p < 0.05). Unadjusted analysis showed that baseline and 1-year WOMAC scores, for both knee and hip patients, increased significantly with increasing number of MetS risk factors (p < 0.05). The linear regression model with the individual metabolic abnormalities was found to be more predictive of outcome than one with the number of MetS risk factors. Hypertension and obesity were the metabolic factors most predictive of a poorer outcome following hip surgery as compared to just obesity for knee patients.

*Conclusion.* Patient function following joint replacement surgery, particularly hip surgery, is negatively affected by metabolic abnormalities perhaps secondary to the systemic proinflammatory state. This knowledge should be used when counseling patients prior to surgery. (First Release July 15 2010; J Rheumatol 2010;37:1917–22; doi:10.3899/jrheum.091242)

*Key Indexing Terms:* METABOLIC SYNDROME OUTCOMES

HIP ARTHROPLASTY

#### KNEE ARTHROPLASTY OSTEOARTHRITIS

Risk factors defining the metabolic syndrome (MetS) are central adiposity, hypertension, elevated fasting glucose, and dyslipidemia defined as high triglyceride and low high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol<sup>1,2</sup>. Patients with MetS are known to have an elevated level of systemic inflammation that increases their risk for cardiovascular disease, thromboembolic disease, and colon cancer<sup>1,3,4,5</sup>.

The relationship between MetS and systemic inflammation formed the basis for the hypothesis of this study. Adipose tissue has been shown to secrete mediators into the

From the Division of Orthopedic Surgery, University of Toronto, Toronto; and the Population Health Research Institute, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada.

R. Gandhi, MD, MS, FRCSC, Assistant Professor, Division of Orthopedic Surgery, University of Toronto; F. Razak, MD, MSc, Population Health Research Institute, McMaster University, Division of Orthopedic Surgery, University of Toronto; J.R. Davey, MD, FRCSC, Assistant Professor; N. Mahomed, MD, ScD, Assistant Professor, Division of Orthopedic Surgery, University of Toronto.

Address correspondence to Dr. R. Gandhi, Toronto Western Hospital, East Wing 1-439, 399 Bathurst Street, Toronto, Ontario M5T 2S8, Canada. E-mail: rajiv.gandhi@uhn.on.ca Accepted for publication April 21, 2010. systemic circulation, such as tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$  (TNF- $\alpha$ ), interleukin 6 (IL-6), and C-reactive protein (CRP), which induces a proinflammatory state and mediates insulin resistance<sup>6,7,8,9</sup>. Insulin resistance further promotes systemic inflammation through increased lipolysis and elevated systemic levels of free fatty acids. Moreover, adipocytes release the hormone leptin, which further promotes systemic inflammation<sup>10,11</sup>. Thus a negative cycle of obesity, insulin resistance, lipolysis, and systemic inflammation is created<sup>12</sup>.

The orthopedic manifestation of this systemic inflammation has been examined in only a few studies. One group has shown that elevated systemic CRP is associated with increased knee joint inflammation<sup>13</sup>; others showed that elevated systemic CRP is associated with greater patient-reported pain with knee osteoarthritis (OA)<sup>14</sup>. The incidence of ongoing pain 1 year after joint replacement surgery ranges from 5% to 15% despite no clinical and radiographic abnormalities<sup>15,16</sup>. The question of whether this heightened inflammatory state and the MetS affect joint replacement outcomes has not been examined.

Personal non-commercial use only. The Journal of Rheumatology Copyright © 2010. All rights reserved.

Gandhi, et al: Metabolic syndrome

Our primary objective was to determine if there was a relationship between 1-year functional outcome scores following knee and hip replacement surgery and the number of MetS risk factors. Our secondary objective was to determine which metabolic risk factors have the greatest influence on surgical outcomes. We hypothesized that those with the greatest number of MetS risk factors would demonstrate the poorest function at 1 year after surgery.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

As part of our prospective database, patients are recruited from a single Canadian academic institution, the Toronto Western Hospital, while on a waiting list for primary knee and hip replacement surgery. This registry was designed to track longitudinal patient outcomes of surgery. All patients give informed consent to have their data stored in a registry. Our inclusion criteria for this study were age at least 18 years with a diagnosis of primary or secondary OA, and unilateral joint replacement surgery. There were few patients with zero metabolic abnormalities in our study group; these patients were excluded. The study protocol was approved by the Human Subject Review Committee.

All surgeries were performed by one of 3 fellowship trained arthroplasty surgeons between the years 1998 and 2006. Surgical technique was similar among the 3 surgeons including use of tourniquet (knees), operating room with laminar air flow, and implants used. All patients were encouraged to begin ambulation on the first postoperative day.

*Data collection*. Baseline demographic data of age, sex, height, weight, and medical comorbidity are recorded in the database by patient self-report. Body mass index (BMI) was then calculated as weight (kg) divided by height (m<sup>2</sup>). We defined comorbidity by the 14 categories of chronic illness taken from the Modified Cumulative Illness Rating Scale (CIRS)<sup>17,18</sup>. The CIRS comprises cardiac, vascular, hematological, respiratory, otorhino-laryngological and ophthalmological, upper gastrointestinal, lower gastrointestinal, hepatic and pancreatic, renal, genitourinary, musculoskeletal and tegumental, neurological, endocrine and metabolic, and psychiatric systems. Specifically, patients are asked if they have ever been diagnosed with diabetes, hypertension, or hypercholesterolemia.

The American Heart Association defines MetS as having 3 or more of the following<sup>19</sup>: increased waist circumference: men > 102 cm, women > 88 cm; elevated triglycerides > 150 mg/dl; reduced HDL cholesterol: men < 40 mg/dl, women < 50 mg/dl; elevated blood pressure > 130/85 mm Hg; and elevated fasting glucose > 100 mg/dl.

The World Health Organization (WHO) defines MetS as<sup>20</sup>: insulin resistance (type II diabetes, impaired fasting glucose), plus any 2 of the following risk factors: elevated blood pressure; plasma triglyceride > 150 mg/dl; HDL < 35 mg/dl (men), < 40 mg/dl (women); BMI > 30 and/or waist/hip circumference > 0.9 (men), > 0.85 (women); and urinary albumin > 20 mg/min; Alb/Cr > 30 mg/g.

As part of our registry, we did not routinely collect serum values of cholesterol, fasting glucose, blood pressure, or waist circumference measurements. We therefore classified MetS in our study based on BMI >  $30 \text{ kg/m}^2$ and patient self-reported diagnosis of hypercholesterolemia, hypertension, and diabetes.

Patient functional status was assessed preoperatively and at 1-year followup with the Western Ontario McMaster University Osteoarthritis Index (WOMAC) score<sup>21</sup>; higher score on the WOMAC scale represents poorer function or greater pain. The psychometric properties of the WOMAC score including reliability, validity, and responsiveness are all well established in an OA population<sup>21</sup>.

*Statistical analysis.* Continuous data such as age, BMI, and WOMAC scores were compared between multiple groups using analysis of variance (ANOVA) as all data were found to be normally distributed. Means and standard deviations are reported for all continuous variables. Categorical

data such as gender are reported with frequencies and groups were compared with Fisher's exact test.

Linear regression modeling was used to examine the influence of the number of MetS risk factors on predicting preoperative and postoperative total WOMAC scores. Indicator variables were created for the ordinal predictor of the number of MetS risk factors. The group with 1 risk factor was taken as the reference group. The remaining variables entered into the models were age, sex, comorbidity, and baseline total WOMAC score when the 1-year WOMAC score was the dependent variable. Comorbidity was coded as the number of categories of the CIRS endorsed, excluding hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, and diabetes. The results of this model were compared to one predicting 1-year total WOMAC scores by the individual metabolic abnormalities. The predictive factors of interest were BMI, hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, and diabetes. BMI was coded as a binary variable in the model as obese (BMI >  $30 \text{ kg/m}^2$ ) and nonobese  $(BMI < 30 \text{ kg/m}^2)$ . The model was adjusted for age, sex, baseline total WOMAC scores, and comorbidity. Comorbidity was again coded as the number categories of the CIRS endorsed, excluding hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, and diabetes. Separate analyses were conducted for the knee and hip patients.

All statistical analyses were performed with SPSS version 13.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). Unstandardized beta coefficients and 95% confidence intervals are reported for regression modeling. All reported p values are 2-tailed with alpha = 0.05.

# RESULTS

In our registry, we had complete outcomes data on 1596 out of 1915 (83.3%) patients that comprised our study cohort. There were data for 889 knees and 707 hips for analysis. Responders were not significantly different from non-responders in age, sex, BMI, or comorbidity (p > 0.05).

At the time of surgery, there were significant differences between risk groups for sex, BMI, and comorbidity for both the knee and hip patients (p < 0.05). There were no differences in mean age across risk groups (Tables 1 and 2).

For the knee cohort, the baseline total WOMAC scores showed significant differences between groups, with increasing scores for increasing number of MetS risk factors. Postoperatively, there was a similar trend in all categories of WOMAC scores, with the highest scores in the group with all 4 MetS risk factors (p < 0.05; Table 3).

The hip cohort demonstrated a pattern similar to the knee cohort, with increasing WOMAC scores with a greater number of MetS risk factors, both preoperatively and postoperatively (Table 4).

Linear regression modeling showed that prior to knee surgery, those patients with 3 MetS risk factors demonstrated significantly higher total WOMAC scores adjusted for age, sex, and comorbidity, compared to those with 1 MetS risk factor: odds ratio 3.5 (95% CI 1.1, 8.2; p = 0.03; Table 5). Postoperatively, the number of MetS risk factors was not predictive of total WOMAC scores (p > 0.05; Table 5).

For the hip cohort, prior to surgery those patients with all 4 MetS risk factors demonstrated significantly higher total WOMAC scores compared to the reference group (1 MetS risk factor): 16.1 (95% CI 1.9, 30.8; p = 0.04; Table 5). After surgery, patients with 2 and 4 MetS risk factors had significantly higher WOMAC scores than the reference group,

Personal non-commercial use only. The Journal of Rheumatology Copyright © 2010. All rights reserved.

The Journal of Rheumatology 2010; 37:9; doi:10.3899/jrheum.091242

Table 1. Demographic data compared across number of MetS factors for patients with knee OA.

Characteristic	1 MetS Factor, n = 349	2 MetS Factors, n = 240	3 MetS Factors, n = 165	4 MetS Factors, n = 135	р
Mean age, yrs (SD)	65.9 (13.6)	67.0 (10.7)	67.5 (10.1)	67.2 (6.5)	0.68
Male, %	46	38	23	43	0.005
BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup> (SD)	25.5 (3.2)	30.8 (6.1)	34.4 (6.0)	38.5 (6.1)	0.0001
Mean comorbidity (SD)	) 2.1 (1.1)	2.9 (1.5)	3.5 (1.7)	4.9 (1.6)	0.0001

BMI: body mass index.

Table 2. Demographic data compared across number of MetS factors for patients with hip OA.

Characteristic	1 MetS Factor, n = 265	2 MetS Factors, n = 212	3 MetS Factors, n = 141	4 MetS Factors, n = 89	р
Mean age, yrs (SD)	64.8 (12.5)	65.5 (11.1)	66.1 (12.0)	66.2 (11.7)	0.74
Male, %	39	34	46	41	0.04
BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup> (SD)	22.0 (3.3)	23.3 (4.8)	29.1 (6.9)	36.6 (4.0)	0.0001
Mean comorbidity (SD)	) 1.8 (1.2)	3.4 (1.5)	3.7 (1.4)	5.2 (2.1)	0.0001

BMI: body mass index.

*Table 3*. Baseline and 1-year Western Ontario McMaster University Osteoarthritis Index (WOMAC) scores (SD) compared across number of MetS risk factors for patients with knee OA.

Characteristic	1 MetS Factor, n = 349	2 MetS Factors, n = 240	3 MetS Factors, n = 165	4 MetS Factors, n = 135	р	
WOMAC baseline						
Pain	10.3 (4.0)	10.5 (3.9)	11.3 (4.4)	15.3 (15.4)	0.04	
Function	41.8 (14.6)	41.7 (14.2)	46.0 (15.7)	55.8 (15.4)	0.04	
Total	52.0 (17.9)	52.2 (17.6)	57.1 (19.6)	67.2 (16.1)	0.03	
WOMAC 1-year						
Pain	2.8 (1.4)	3.1 (2.6)	4.2 (4.0)	5.8 (4.7)	0.02	
Function	14.1 (13.1)	16.5 (13.9)	19.1 (17.9)	28.7 (18.9)	0.006	
Total	16.9 (15.9)	19.7 (16.8)	23.4 (20.7)	34.5 (23.5)	0.006	

*Table 4.* Baseline and 1-year Western Ontario McMaster University Osteoarthritis Index (WOMAC) scores (SD) compared across number of MetS risk factors for patients with hip OA.

Characteristic	1 MetS Factor, n = 265	2 MetS Factors, n = 212	3 MetS Factors, n = 141	4 MetS Factors, n = 89	р
WOMAC baseline					
Pain	10.1 (3.9)	10.7 (3.6)	11.7 (3.3)	11.8 (3.6)	0.001
Function	41.6 (15.3)	42.7 (14.7)	44.0 (15.1)	48.9 (14.1)	0.001
Total	49.7 (17.9)	50.9 (17.1)	52.9 (17.9)	58.1 (16.6)	0.001
WOMAC 1-year					
Pain	4.3 (2.4)	4.8 (3.4)	5.2 (3.8)	6.6 (3.6)	0.06
Function	19.1 (10.8)	21.8 (14.0)	22.1 (14.3)	27.1 (13.7)	0.03
Total	22.7 (13.0)	26.4 (17.0)	26.5 (17.6)	33.5 (16.7)	0.01

adjusted for age, sex, comorbidity, and preoperative total WOMAC score: 3.1 (95% CI 0.3, 5.1; p = 0.03) and 15.0 (95% CI 1.4, 28.1; p = 0.04; Table 5), respectively.

For the models where the individual metabolic factors were entered, obesity (2.4, 95% CI 1.4, 4.2; p = 0.03; Table 6) and hypertension (7.3, 95% CI 2.4, 13.2; p = 0.006; Table 6) were found to be significant predictors of less functional

improvement at 1 year following hip replacement surgery. For the knee cohort, only obesity (3.6, 95% CI 0.02, 7.2; p = 0.04; Table 6) significantly predicted diminished 1-year outcome.

Comparing the 2 regression models, one with the number of metabolic abnormalities entered and one with the individual metabolic abnormalities entered, the latter was found

Personal non-commercial use only. The Journal of Rheumatology Copyright © 2010. All rights reserved.

Gandhi, et al: Metabolic syndrome

*Table 5.* Linear regression modeling predicting preoperative and postoperative total Western Ontario McMaster University Osteoarthritis Index (WOMAC) scores for knee and hip patients by number of metabolic abnormalities, adjusted for age, sex, and comorbidity. Postoperative WOMAC scores are also adjusted for preoperative WOMAC scores.

	Beta Coefficients (95% CI) Predicting Preoperative WOMAC Scores	р	Beta Coefficients (95% CI) Predicting 1-year WOMAC Scores	р
Knees				
MetS 2 risk factors	3.0 (-1.3, 7.9)	0.69	3.3 (-0.8, 7.5)	0.12
MetS 3 risk factors	3.5 (1.1, 8.2)	0.03	0.3 (-4.7, 5.2)	0.93
MetS 4 risk factors	3.2 (-1.2, 9.4)	0.29	7.6 (-0.8, 16.0)	0.08
Hips				
MetS 2 risk factors	0.6 (-2.8, 2.7)	0.63	3.1 (0.3, 5.1)	0.03
MetS 3 risk factors	2.7 (-3.9, 9.1)	0.86	0.4 (-6.1, 5.3)	0.24
MetS 4 risk factors	16.1 (1.9, 30.8)	0.04	15.0 (1.4, 28.1)	0.04

Postoperative model R<sup>2</sup> knees: 0.29; hips: 0.32. MetS: metabolic syndrome.

*Table 6.* Linear regression modeling predicting postoperative total Western Ontario McMaster University Osteoarthritis Index (WOMAC) scores for knee and hip patients by metabolic abnormalities, adjusted for age, sex, preoperative total WOMAC scores, and comorbidity.

	Beta Coefficients (95% CI) Predicting 1-year WOMAC Scores	р
Knees		
Hypertension	-3.1 (-8.4, 2.5)	0.29
Obesity	3.6 (0.02, 7.2)	0.04
Hypercholesterolemia	3.1 (-2.3, 8.4)	0.18
Diabetes	4.4 (0.5, 7.2)	0.07
Hips		
Hypertension	7.3 (2.4, 13.2)	0.006
Obesity	2.4 (1.4, 4.2)	0.03
Hypercholesterolemia	4.5 (-0.2, 8.9)	0.08
Diabetes	-2.3 (-8.3, 3.7)	0.46

Model R<sup>2</sup> knees: 0.34. Model R<sup>2</sup> hips: 0.41.

to better predict postoperative joint replacement outcomes, based on the model  $R^2$  values given in Tables 5 and 6.

## DISCUSSION

Investigators have recently suggested that OA is not simply an isolated joint disease but may be related to a systemic, proinflammatory state. The proposed pathologic mechanisms involve the associations of insulin resistance<sup>22</sup>, adipokines such as leptin and adiponectin<sup>23,24,25</sup>, serum lipid balance<sup>26</sup>, and atheromatous vascular disease<sup>27</sup>. In our study, we found that WOMAC scores showed a trend to increasing joint pain and dysfunction with a higher number of MetS risk factors in both knee and hip patients, pre and postoperatively. After adjustment for relevant covariates, patients with all 4 MetS risk factors who presented for knee and hip replacement surgery had significantly higher total WOMAC scores than patients with only 1 MetS risk factor. The presence of all 4 MetS risk factors also predicted a poorer outcome following hip replacement surgery, driven largely by obesity and hypertension.

Those with MetS are known to have elevated circulating levels of proinflammatory markers such as IL-6 and CRP<sup>12</sup>. The elevated systemic inflammatory state in MetS has been shown to be associated with prevalent myocardial infarction<sup>28</sup>, stroke<sup>28</sup>, and the incidence of cognitive decline<sup>29</sup>. This inflammation has also been linked to increased joint pain in patients with knee OA<sup>13,14</sup>. Our study suggests that the elevated systemic inflammation associated with these metabolic risk factors may affect the outcomes of joint replacement surgery. Much attention has been focused on patient dissatisfaction following joint replacement surgery<sup>30,31,32</sup>; however, no research has examined the relationship between systemic and joint inflammation as a predictor of ongoing pain.

There are conflicting reports discussing the influence of each MetS risk factor in predicting outcomes of joint replacement surgery. Some authors suggest that higher BMI predicts poorer outcome following surgery<sup>33</sup>, while most have found no negative association with short-term outcomes<sup>34,35</sup>, longterm outcomes<sup>36,37</sup>, or implant survivorship<sup>34,36</sup>. We found that higher BMI predicted a diminished functional improvement following both hip and knee replacement surgery. Reports on the effect of comorbidity on functional outcomes also show no consensus<sup>38,39,40</sup>. No studies have specifically looked at the influence of hypertension or hypercholesterolemia on outcomes. Surgical outcomes in diabetic patients have not been well investigated, but these patients have been shown to obtain the same relative benefit from joint replacement surgery as those without diabetes<sup>41,42</sup>. This supports our finding that hypertension, but not diabetes or high cholesterol, predicted less functional improvement following hip replacement surgery. Klein, et al performed a stratified analysis similar to our study, examining the risk of cardiovascular disease with an increasing

Personal non-commercial use only. The Journal of Rheumatology Copyright © 2010. All rights reserved.

The Journal of Rheumatology 2010; 37:9; doi:10.3899/jrheum.091242

number of MetS risk factors<sup>43</sup>. Similar to our study, they found a graded risk with an increasing number of risk factors, with the greatest difference in patients having all 4 MetS risk factors<sup>43</sup>.

One potential limitation of our study is how we have defined MetS. We did not measure patient blood pressure or serum HDL and triglyceride levels; instead, we used patient report of a history of diagnosis of hypertension and hypercholesterolemia. Our definition may overlook those with undiagnosed comorbidity at the time of surgery; however, we believe that any systematic misclassification resulting in a selection bias was minimal. Second, we studied a patient population from a high-volume tertiary care joint arthroplasty hospital and thus our results are only directly generalizable to a similar population. Third, although we adjusted for relevant factors that may confound the relationship between the metabolic factors and joint function, there was still potential for residual confounding from unmeasured factors. We believe the association we found is likely clinically relevant as a predictor of surgical outcomes.

In summary, patients with MetS who present for knee and hip replacement surgery have greater pain and dysfunction. The regression model with the individual metabolic abnormalities was found to be more predictive of outcome than one with the number of risk factors present. Obesity and hypertension are important predictors for hip surgery outcomes, compared to just obesity for knee surgery. This knowledge should be used in counseling patients prior to surgery to set appropriate expectations. Further work should be directed to understanding the relationship between systemic and joint inflammation and pain following joint replacement surgery.

## REFERENCES

- Bray GA, Bellanger T. Epidemiology, trends, and morbidities of obesity and the metabolic syndrome. Endocrine 2006:29:109-17.
- Grundy SM. Obesity, metabolic syndrome, and cardiovascular disease. J Clin Endocrinol Metabol 2004;89:2595-600.
- Rutter MK, Meigs JB, Sullivan LM, D'Agostino RB Sr, Wilson PW. C-reactive protein, the metabolic syndrome, and prediction of cardiovascular events in the Framingham Offspring Study. Circulation 2004;110:380-5.
- Sturmer T, Buring JE, Lee IM, Gaziano JM, Glynn RJ. Metabolic abnormalities and risk for colorectal cancer in the Physicians' Health Study. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2006;15:2391-7.
- Ay C, Tengler T, Vormittag R, Simanek R, Dorda W, Vukovich T, et al. Venous thromboembolism — a manifestation of the metabolic syndrome. Haematologica 2007;92:374-80.
- Kern PA, Ranganathan S, Li C, Wood L, Ranganathan G. Adipose tissue tumor necrosis factor and interleukin-6 expression in human obesity and insulin resistance. Am J Physiol 2001;280:E745-E751.
- Dandona P, Weinstock R, Thusu K, Abdel-Rahman E, Aljada A, Wadden T. Tumor necrosis factor-alpha in sera of obese patients: fall with weight loss. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 1998;83:2907-10.
- Vozarova B, Weyer C, Hanson K, Tataranni PA, Bogardus C, Pratley RE. Circulating interleukin-6 in relation to adiposity, insulin action, and insulin secretion. Obes Res 2001;9:414-7.
- 9. Pradhan AD, Manson JE, Rifai N, Buring JE, Ridker PM.

C-reactive protein, interleukin 6, and risk of developing type 2 diabetes mellitus. JAMA 2001;286:327-34.

- La Cava A, Alviggi C, Matarese G. Unraveling the multiple roles of leptin in inflammation and autoimmunity. J Mol Med 2004;82:4-11.
- Toussirot E, Streit G, Wendling D. The contribution of adipose tissue and adipokines to inflammation in joint diseases. Curr Med Chem 2007;14:1095-100.
- Dandona P, Aljada A, Chaudhuri A, Mohanty P, Garg R. Metabolic syndrome: A comprehensive perspective based on interactions between obesity, diabetes, and inflammation. Circulation 2005;111:1448-54.
- Pearle AD, Scanzello CR, George A, Mandl LA, DiCarlo ER, Peterson M, et al. Elevated high-sensitivity C-reactive protein levels are associated with local inflammatory findings in patients with osteoarthritis. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2007;15:516-23.
- Sturmer T, Brenner H, Koenig W, Gunther K-P. Severity and extent of osteoarthritis and low grade systemic inflammation as assessed by high sensitivity C reactive protein. Ann Rheum Dis 2004;63:200-5.
- Brander VA, Stulberg SD, Adams AD, Harden RN, Bruehl S, Stanos SP, et al. Predicting total knee replacement pain: A prospective, observational study. Clin Orthop Relat Res 2003;416:27-36.
- Bachmeier CJM, March LM, Cross MJ, Lapsley HM, Tribe KL, Courtenay BG, et al. A comparison of outcomes in osteoarthritis patients undergoing total hip and knee replacement surgery. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2001;9:137-46.
- 17. Linn BS, Linn MW, Gurel L. Cumulative Illness Rating Scale. J Am Geriatr Soc 1968;16:622-6.
- Miller MD, Paradis CF, Houck PR, Mazumdar S, Stack JA, Rifai AH, et al. Rating chronic medical illness burden in geropsychiatric practice and research: application of the Cumulative Illness Rating Scale. Psychiatry Res 1992;41:237-48.
- Grundy SM, Brewer HB Jr, Cleeman JI, Smith SC Jr, Lenfant C; National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute; American Heart Association. Definition of metabolic syndrome: report of the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute/American Heart Association conference on scientific issues related to definition. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 2004;24:e13-e18.
- World Health Organization. Definition, diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus and its complications: Report of a WHO consultation. Geneva: World Health Organization; 1999.
- Bellamy N, Buchanan WW, Goldsmith CH, Campbell J, Stitt LW. Validation study of WOMAC: a health status instrument for measuring clinically important patient relevant outcomes to antirheumatic drug therapy in patients with osteoarthritis of the hip or knee. J Rheumatol 1988;5:1833-40.
- 22. Rojas-Rodriguez J, Escobar-Linares L, Garcia-Carrasco M, Escarcega R, Fuentes-Alexandro S, Zamora-Ustaran A. The relationship between the metabolic syndrome and energy-utilization deficit in the pathogenesis of obesity-induced osteoarthritis. Med Hypotheses 2007;69:860-8.
- 23. Sandell LJ. Obesity and osteoarthritis: Is leptin the link? Arthritis Rheum 2009;60:2858-60.
- 24. Terlain B, Presle N, Pottie P, Mainard D, Netter P. Leptin: a link between obesity and osteoarthritis? [French]. Bull Acad Natl Med 2006;190:1421-35.
- 25. Dumond H, Presle N, Terlain B, Mainard D, Loeuille D, Netter P, et al. Evidence for a key role of leptin in osteoarthritis. Arthritis Rheum 2003;48:3118-29.
- Aspden R, Scheven B, Hutchison J. Osteoarthritis as a systemic disorder including stromal cell differentiation and lipid metabolism. Lancet 2001;357:1118-20.
- 27. Conaghan PG, Vanharanta H, Dieppe PA. Is progressive osteoarthritis an atheromatous vascular disease? Ann Rheum Dis

Personal non-commercial use only. The Journal of Rheumatology Copyright © 2010. All rights reserved.

2005;64:1539-41.

- Ninomiya JK, L'Italien G, Criqui MH, Whyte JL, Gamst A, Chen RS. Association of the metabolic syndrome with history of myocardial infarction and stroke in the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. Circulation 2004;109:42-6.
- Yaffe K, Kanaya A, Lindquist K, Simonsick EM, Harris T, Shorr RI, et al. The metabolic syndrome, inflammation, and risk of cognitive decline. JAMA 2004;292:2237-42.
- Dorr LD, Thomas D, Long WT, Polatin PB, Sirianni LE. Psychologic reasons for patients preferring minimally invasive total hip arthroplasty. Clin Orthop Relat Res 2007;458:94-100.
- Robertsson O, Dunbar M, Pehrsson T, Knutson K, Lidgren L. Patient satisfaction after knee arthroplasty: A report on 27,372 knees operated on between 1981 and 1995 in Sweden. Acta Orthopaedica 2000;71:26-7.
- Noble PC, Conditt MA, Cook KF, Mathis KB. The John Insall Award: patient expectations affect satisfaction with total knee arthroplasty. Clin Orthop Relat Res 2006;452:35-43.
- Foran JR, Mont MA, Etienne G, Jones LC, Hungerford DS. The outcome of total knee arthroplasty in obese patients. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2004;86:1609-15.
- Spicer D, Pomeroy D, Badenhausen W, Schaper L Jr, Curry J, Suthers K, et al. Body mass index as a predictor of outcome in total knee replacement. Int Orthop 2001;25:246-9.
- Dishmukh RG, Hayes JH, Pinder IM. Does body weight influence outcome after total knee arthroplasty? A 1-year analysis. J Arthroplasty 2002;17:315-9.

- 36. Haverkamp D, de Man FH, de Jong PT, van Stralen RA, Marti RK. Is the long-term outcome of cemented THA jeopardized by patients being overweight? Clin Orthop Relat Res 2008;466:1162-8.
- Cushnaghan J, Coggon D, Reading I, Croft P, Byng P, Cox K, et al. Long term outcome following total hip arthroplasty; a controlled longitudinal study. Arthritis Rheum 2007;57:1375-80.
- Liang MH, Cullen HE, Poss R. Primary total hip or knee replacement: evaluation of patients. Ann Intern Med 1982;97:735-9.
- 39. Greenfield S, Apolone G, McNeil BJ, Cleary PD. The importance of co-existent disease in the occurrence of postoperative complications and one-year recovery in patients undergoing total hip replacement. Comorbidity and outcomes after hip replacement. Med Care 1993;31:141-54.
- Lubbeke A, Katz JN, Perneger TV, Hoffmeyer P. Primary and revision hip arthroplasty: 5-year outcomes and influence of age and comorbidity. J Rheumatol 2007;34:394-400.
- Moon HK, Han CD, Yang IH, Cha BS. Factors affecting outcome after total knee arthroplasty in patients with diabetes mellitus. Yonsei Med J 2008;49:129-37.
- Meding JB, Reddleman K, Keating ME, Klay A, Ritter MA, Faris PM, et al. Total knee replacement in patients with diabetes mellitus. Clin Orthop Relat Res 2003;416:208-16.
- Klein B, Klein R, Lee KE. Components of the metabolic syndrome and risk of cardiovascular disease and diabetes in beaver dam. Diabetes Care 2002;25:1790-4.

Personal non-commercial use only. The Journal of Rheumatology Copyright © 2010. All rights reserved.